

Braneworld Gravity in Symmetric Space Bulk

Nejat T. Yılmaz

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering,

Yaşar University,

Selçuk Yaşar Kampüsü

Üniversite Caddesi, No:35-37,

AğaçlıYol, 35100,

Bornova, İzmir, Turkey.

`nejat.yilmaz@yasar.edu.tr`

October 30, 2018

Abstract

By considering the p-brane motion in G/K symmetric space bulk we identify the G -invariant bulk metric in the solvable lie algebra gauge of the brane action. After calculating the Levi-Civita connection of this bulk metric we use it in the Gauss equation to compute the braneworld curvature in terms of the bulk coordinates. Finally, by making use of the Gauss equation in the braneworld Einstein equation we present a geometrical method of implementing the first fundamental form in the gravitating brane dynamics for the specially chosen symmetric space bulk case leading to an Einstein equation solely expressed in terms of the bulk coordinates of the braneworld.

1 Introduction

Following [1, 2], braneworld cosmological scenarios have gained an extensive attention. Within the last decade there is a vast literature formed in this direction. As introductory samples of this literature the reader may consult

[3] for brane cosmology in general, [4, 5, 6, 7] for inflation emerging from braneworld scenarios, and [8] for braneworld gravity.

In this work, we study the braneworld gravity when the braneworld is immersed in a generic symmetric space bulk so that the brane moves in any sort of symmetric space [9]. We will focus on the Einstein equation when the induced braneworld metric is coupled to the Einsteinian gravity in the presence of other braneworld matter fields. As our major point of view in this work is the brane motion in symmetric space bulk we will specify the generic p-brane action [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] so that it will exhibit certain global and local symmetries emerging from the symmetric space bulk. For this reason we will refer to the symmetric space sigma model being a coset sigma model which can be obtained from the principal chiral model by reduction [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. To implement the above mentioned symmetries in the p-brane dynamics we will refer to the equivalent Polyakov action. Basically, we will make use of the sigma model action for the symmetric space target manifolds in particular the one constructed in the solvable lie algebra gauge [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. The outstanding characteristics of the brane motion in symmetric space bulk obeying certain symmetries will appear as the predetermination of the bulk metric at the braneworld-bulk intersection in terms of the braneworld coordinates. We will compute the Levi-Civita connection of the bulk which is compatible with this metric. Later, by plugging in the ingredients of the derived bulk curvature in the Gauss equation of the braneworld immersion we will present the formal method of relating the bulk and the braneworld geometries. Consequently, we will see that in the last section, such a relation will help us to implement the induced metric constraint in the braneworld Einstein equation in a way which makes the geometry of the immersion explicit and accessible at the level of field equations.

In Section two, we will give introductory remarks for the free p-brane motion in a symmetric space bulk. We will also mention about the equivalence of the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov actions. The solvable lie subalgebra gauge construction of the symmetric space sigma model will be discussed in Section three. Section four, is reserved for the identification of the bulk metric which will be read through the sigma model lagrangian and which is dictated by the symmetries of the gauge set in Section three. In Section five, we will compute the Levi-Civita connection one-forms and the curvature two-forms of this bulk metric. In Section six, we will construct the Gauss equation of the braneworld immersion. Finally, in the last section we will

combine all our machinery of the previous sections to write down the Einstein equation of the braneworld in terms of the braneworld coordinates when its induced metric is coupled to the braneworld gravity and other braneworld matter fields.

2 Free p-branes in Symmetric Spaces

In this section, we will focus on the general properties of the Nambu-Goto and the equivalent Polyakov actions corresponding to the free p-brane motion in a symmetric space bulk. Now consider a Lie group G which is a non-compact real form of any other semi-simple Lie group and also consider a maximal compact subgroup of G which we will denote by K . If we assume that the Lie algebra k of K is a maximal compactly imbedded Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of G then it is an element of a Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra of G . In this case (G, K) is a Riemannian symmetric pair therefore the left coset space G/K has a unique analytical structure induced by the quotient topology of G . The manifold G/K is a Riemannian globally symmetric space for all the G -invariant Riemannian structures on G/K [9]. The coset manifold G/K becomes a homogeneous space since for all the G -invariant Riemannian structures on G/K the identity component $I_0(G/K)$ of the isometry group acts transitively on G/K .

If we consider the low energy limit motion of Dirichlet p-branes [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] in a generic above mentioned G/K symmetric space background the action which governs the dynamics is the Dirac-Born-Infeld action [34, 35]

$$S_{DBI} = -T_p \int d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-\det(G_{AB} + \mathcal{F}_{AB})}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$G_{AB} = g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b, \quad (2.2)$$

is the pullback of the semi-Riemannian metric g_{ab} which we assign on the symmetric space G/K through the immersion map [36]

$$f : N \longrightarrow G/K, \quad (2.3)$$

of the world volume N of the p-brane in the bulk G/K . T_p is the p-brane tension. Locally the immersion is characterized by the coordinates $\varphi^b(x^A)$ for

$b = 1, \dots, \dim(G/K)$ of the symmetric space bulk G/K which are functions of the local world volume coordinates x^A for $A = 1, \dots, (p+1)$. In (2.1)

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} = F_{AB} - B_{AB}, \quad (2.4)$$

where F is the field strength of a $U(1)$ gauge field living on the world volume N and

$$B_{AB} = B_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b, \quad (2.5)$$

is the pullback of a two-form field living on G/K onto the world volume N . Now if we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

we obtain the Nambu-Goto action

$$S_{NG} = -T_p \int d^{(p+1)} \sigma \sqrt{-\det(G_{AB})}, \quad (2.7)$$

for the free p-brane which moves in the symmetric space G/K bulk. Now if we consider the world volume N as an isometrically immersed submanifold of the semi-Riemannian manifold G/K which is endowed with g_{ab} then (2.2) becomes the first fundamental form of the immersion [37, 38, 39, 40]. Also (2.7) becomes a multiple of the semi-Riemannian volume of the world volume N . We should remark that seeing the world volume as an isometric immersion is equivalent to the fact that G_{AB} in (2.2) is the induced metric on N . However in this framework it is not an independent field. Now let us consider the Polyakov action

$$S_P = -T \int d^{(p+1)} \sigma \sqrt{-G} G^{AB} g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b, \quad (2.8)$$

whose independent fields are $\{\varphi^a, G^{AB}\}$ which live on N . (2.8) can also be written as

$$S_P = -T \int g_{ab} d\varphi^a \wedge *d\varphi^b. \quad (2.9)$$

If we vary (2.9) and equate it to zero then we get

$$f(\delta\varphi^a) - \delta e^A \wedge [T g_{ab} (d\varphi^b \wedge i_A *d\varphi^a + i_A d\varphi^a \wedge *d\varphi^b)] = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Here $\{e^A\}$ is a viel-bein on N . Also i_A is the interior derivative with respect to e^A . (2.10) can further be written as

$$f(\delta\varphi^a) - \delta e^A \wedge [-2T g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b + T g_{ab} \partial^C \varphi^a \partial_C \varphi^b G_{AB}] * e^B = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where the expression inside the brackets is the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the action (2.9). Thus we have

$$-2g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b + g_{ab}\partial^C\varphi^a\partial_C\varphi^b G_{AB} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

We observe that assuming an isometric immersion solution namely (2.2) in (2.12) yields

$$-2 + p + 1 = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

We see that only for $p = 1$ (for strings) (2.2) is a solution of (2.12). In this case if one inserts (2.2) in the Polyakov action (2.8) then one obtains the Nambu-Goto action (2.7) with $T = T_p/2$. Thus both of the actions are equivalent when one considers the field equations of $\{\varphi^a\}$. However for $p > 1$ the equivalence of (2.7) and (2.8) is obviously not possible. Even if one considers (2.8) as a constraint system with a constraint equation $G_{AB} \propto g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$ to establish the equivalence such a constraint equation is inconsistent with the field equations (2.12). Of course the privileged state of the string case is due to the Weyl-invariance which occurs only when $p = 1$. On the other hand when $p > 1$ one may introduce a cosmological constant term to (2.8) to establish a similar equivalence [18, 19]. For $p > 1$ one should consider the action

$$S_P = -T \int d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-G} G^{AB} g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b - T \int d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-G} \Lambda, \quad (2.14)$$

which can also be written as

$$S_P = -T \int g_{ab} d\varphi^a \wedge *d\varphi^b - T \int *\Lambda. \quad (2.15)$$

Following the same track above the corresponding Einstein equation yields

$$-2g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b + g_{ab}\partial^C\varphi^a\partial_C\varphi^b G_{AB} + \Lambda G_{AB} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

We see that if we chose $\Lambda = 1 - p$ then (2.2) satisfies this equation and again the Polyakov (2.14) and the Nambu-Goto (2.7) actions become equivalent for the fields $\{\varphi^a\}$ following the insertion of (2.2) in (2.14). Also in this case $T = T_p/2$. When $p \neq 1$ the solution of (2.16) is

$$G_{AB} = -\frac{p-1}{\Lambda} g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b. \quad (2.17)$$

Thus if one wishes to leave Λ arbitrary and still establish the equivalence of (2.14) and (2.7) via insertion of (2.17) in (2.14) then one must give up the isometric immersion condition (2.2) by scaling it. In this case by assuming positive Λ we find $T_p = -2T(\frac{\Lambda}{p-1})^{\frac{1-p}{2}}$.

3 Symmetries and the Gauge

We have reviewed the conditions on the equivalence of the free p-brane and the sigma model actions in the last section. Now bearing in mind that our perspective is the brane motion in symmetric space bulk we will refine the sigma model action so that it will admit global and local symmetries. Equivalently this corresponds to restricting ourselves to brane motion with a collection of local and global conserved currents and charges which govern the dynamics of the brane in the bulk. We will shortly review the construction of the G/K symmetric space sigma model and then establish the solvable Lie algebra gauge to finalize the form of the Polyakov action which we will refer to for the rest of our analysis. Here G is a real form of a non-compact semisimple Lie group and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . To construct a Lagrangian which has global and local symmetries one starts from the G -valued field $\nu(x) : N \rightarrow G$ and considers the pull-back $\mathcal{G} = \nu d\nu^{-1}$ of the Cartan-Maurer form of the Lie group G in a matrix representation which can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_A dx^A = Q_A^a(x) K_a dx^A + P_A^b(x) T_b dx^A = Q + P. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\{K_a\}$ are the generators of the Lie algebra of K and $\{T_b\}$ form a basis for its vector space direct sum complement p in the Lie algebra of G . These definitions are based on the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra g of G

$$g = k \oplus p, \quad (3.2)$$

where k is the Lie algebra of K and p is its complement in g . The Lie algebra k is a maximal compactly imbedded Lie subalgebra of g . Also k and p are orthogonal with respect to the trace of a representation chosen. This is a consequence of the homogeneous space nature of G/K which enables the existence of an inner product on g which is adjoint-invariant and which is positive definite on p . This inner product can also be projected onto G/K . If one chooses the adjoint representation then it becomes the Cartan-Killing form. Thus $tr(kp) = 0$. As (3.2) is a Cartan decomposition it admits

$$[k, k] \subset k \quad , \quad [k, p] \subset p \quad , \quad [p, p] \subset k, \quad (3.3)$$

where the last commutation relation quarantines that (3.2) is a Cartan decomposition and G/K is a symmetric space [9] which is a subclass of homogeneous spaces. By adding this commutation relation to the first two one

reduces the homogeneous space sigma model to the symmetric space sigma model which admits a Lax pair thus becomes an integrable system possessing an infinite number of local conserved charges. This specialization will also enable us to introduce an involutive automorphism called the generalized transpose which leads to the internal metric formulation of the associated sigma model. Now if we define $P_A \equiv P_A^a T_a$ then the sigma model action which has G -global invariance from the right ($\nu \rightarrow \nu g' \quad \forall g' \in G$) and K -local invariance from the left ($\nu \rightarrow k'(x)\nu \quad \forall k'(x) \in K$ and $x \in N$) can be constructed as [41]

$$S_{Sigma} = T \int d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-G} \text{tr}(P_A P^A) = T \int \text{tr}(*P \wedge P). \quad (3.4)$$

In (3.1) Q appears as a gauge connection corresponding to the local K -symmetry thus one may define the covariant derivative

$$D\nu = d\nu + Q\nu, \quad (3.5)$$

which in component form can be written as $D_A\nu = \partial_A\nu + Q_{A\nu}$. Thus under the local K -symmetry we can identify the covariant part of (3.1) as

$$P = -D\nu\nu^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand $\mathcal{G} = \nu d\nu^{-1}$ is the corresponding Noether current of the global G -symmetry and both Q and P are invariant under the global G -right-action. By using (3.6) the Lagrangian (3.4) can also be written as

$$S_{Sigma} = T \int \text{tr}(*D\nu\nu^{-1} \wedge D\nu\nu^{-1}). \quad (3.7)$$

The Cartan decomposition in (3.2) is the eigenspace decomposition of an involutive automorphism of g which is called the Cartan involution. On the components based on the decomposition (3.2) it acts as

$$S : (Q, P) \rightarrow (Q, -P). \quad (3.8)$$

By using this involution we can define a generalized transpose operator $\#$ on g as

$$\#(g') = -S(g') \quad \forall g' \in g. \quad (3.9)$$

Since it is induced by the Cartan involution S one is able to find a higher dimensional matrix representation of g in which $\#$ coincides with the ordinary matrix transpose operator. For this reason one can extend (3.9) to

the group G and one can generally define an induced map $\#$ over G as $(\exp(g))^\# = \exp(g^\#)$. In this respect both (3.9) and $(\exp(g))^\# = \exp(g^\#)$ are representation-free and they admit all the features of the matrix transpose operator justifying their name. By using this operator we can write P as

$$P = \frac{1}{2}(\nu d\nu^{-1} + (\nu d\nu^{-1})^\#). \quad (3.10)$$

Now if we define

$$\mathcal{M} = \nu^\# \nu, \quad (3.11)$$

and further

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}^{-1} d\mathcal{M}, \quad (3.12)$$

then by using (3.10) we can show that

$$\mathcal{A} = -2\nu^{-1} P \nu. \quad (3.13)$$

\mathcal{M} provides a parametrization of the coset space G/K . Since its definition resembles the metric construction from the viel-bein it is usually called the internal metric. Now by using (3.13) in (3.4) and the fact that trace operator permits cyclic permutations we can write

$$S_{Sigma} = T \int tr(*P \wedge P) = \frac{T}{4} tr(*\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}) = -\frac{T}{4} tr(*d\mathcal{M} \wedge d\mathcal{M}), \quad (3.14)$$

where in the last identity we have used $d\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M} = -\mathcal{M}^{-1}d\mathcal{M}$. Due to the local gauge symmetry the solution space $\{\nu(x)\}$ of (3.14) has non-physical gauge degrees of freedom which can be eliminated by choosing a gauge and omitting the gauge symmetry. An appropriate gauge which is entirely based on the physical degrees of freedom is the solvable Lie algebra gauge [42]. The solvable Lie algebra gauge fixed field is

$$\nu(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\phi^i(x)H_i} e^{\chi^\beta(x)E_\beta}, \quad (3.15)$$

which is based on the axion-dilaton parametrization of the symmetric space G/K [30, 31, 32, 33, 43]. The map

$$Exp : p \longrightarrow G/K, \quad (3.16)$$

where p is the complementing piece in the Cartan decomposition (3.2) is a diffeomorphism onto G/K from p which can be considered as a submanifold

of g that can be identified with the tangent space of G at the identity element. Now if we turn back to (3.15) the right hand side is composed of two factors of the exponential map of the Lie group G . $\{H_i, E_\beta\}$ are the generators of a solvable Lie subalgebra s of g which takes part in the Iwasawa decomposition

$$g = k \oplus s, \quad (3.17)$$

of the Lie algebra g ¹ [9]. We should state here that the decompositions (3.2) and (3.17) are not the same. Furthermore as a vector space

$$s \cong p, \quad (3.18)$$

thus

$$Exp : s \longrightarrow G/K, \quad (3.19)$$

is another diffeomorphism from s which can be equipped with the differentiable structure of p onto G/K . Therefore (3.19) which the gauge (3.15) refers to is a global parametrization of G/K . We can use this new gauge (3.15) which contains only the true degrees of freedom of the symmetric space sigma model in (3.11). Thus when one fixes the gauge (3.15) the gauge fixed solutions of (3.4) can be obtained from the action

$$S_{Sigma} = -\frac{T}{4} \int tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}). \quad (3.20)$$

Since we have chosen a gauge and eliminated the gauge degrees of freedom of the sigma model (3.20) breaks the local K -symmetry but it is still G -global invariant. However the standard global right action of G on G namely $\nu \longrightarrow \nu g' \quad \forall g' \in G$ is no more consistent with the gauge (3.15). In the gauge fixed case the global right action of G which preserves the gauge (whose image always maps e^s onto e^s) can be defined as

$$\nu \longrightarrow k'(g', \nu) \nu g', \quad \forall g' \in G, \quad \text{and where } k' \in K. \quad (3.21)$$

Under this global action which owes its consistency of closure on the image of e^s to the Iwasawa decomposition (3.17) the action (3.20) remains invariant. We remark that in (3.21) one has to introduce a field dependent $k'(g', \nu)$

¹ $\{H_i\}$ are the non-compact Cartan generators and $\{E_\beta\}$ are a subset of the positive root generators which correspond to the non-compact positive roots of the Lie algebra of G with respect to the Cartan involution. They form a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of g .

factor from the left to restore the gauge by pulling the acted field back into the image of e^s as it is thrown out of the gauge by the right factor in (3.21)². Of course via (3.19) this action also induces an action on the bulk G/K since each group element $[e^{s'}]$ for $s' \in s$ is a representative of the left coset space G/K . We may say that the global action of G on G/K is non-linearly realized through (3.21). The bulk metric on G/K is implicitly implemented in (3.20) and it is dictated by the gauge (3.15) and the global and the local symmetries. Before passing to the identification of the explicit form of this bulk metric which may be considered to live just necessarily at the braneworld occupation of the bulk we will mention a couple of points about the global axion-dilaton parametrization of the bulk emerging from (3.15). Now we have

$$\{\varphi^a\} = \{\phi^1, \phi^2, \dots, \phi^r, \chi^1, \chi^2, \dots, \chi^n\}, \quad (3.22)$$

where r is the number of the non-compact Cartan generators in the Iwasawa decomposition (3.17), and n is the dimension of the nilpotent component of the solvable Lie subalgebra s of g . Since in (3.15) we have established the gauge solely with the physical degrees of freedom their sum is

$$r + n = \dim(G/K). \quad (3.23)$$

Although (3.19) is a global parametrization of G/K our point of view will be local and we will assume that the global parametrization (3.19) coincides with a local coordinate chart of G/K . For this reason we assume that the map

$$C : (\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots, \varphi^{\dim(s)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\dim(s)} \longrightarrow (\phi^i H_i + \chi^\beta E_\beta) \in s, \quad (3.24)$$

is a homeomorphism on an open set U of $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(s)}$. Thus the map

$$C' = Exp \circ C : \mathbb{R}^{\dim(s)} \longrightarrow G/K, \quad (3.25)$$

is also a homeomorphism and $(C'(U), C'^{-1})$ becomes a coordinate chart for G/K and $\{\varphi^a\}$ become the coordinates of G/K .³ On this coordinate chart by also assuming a local chart for N we may take the gauge fixed Polyakov action that is equivalent to (2.7) as

$$S_p = -\frac{T}{4} \int tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}) - T \int *\Lambda, \quad (3.26)$$

²This is needed to be able to realize the action of G which is originally defined for the map ν on the dilatons and the axions

³We should state that $\dim(p) = \dim(s) = \dim(G/K)$.

which is invariant under the global action (3.21) of G on the bulk; the sigma model target manifold. At first glance this refined action with the global symmetry (3.21) has invariant Noether currents which are intimately related to the Cartan forms $\mathcal{G} = \nu^{-1}d\nu$ and $\mathcal{G}' = d\nu\nu^{-1}$. They can be expressed in terms of the brane coordinates in the bulk. Furthermore as a symmetric space sigma model the sigma model term in (3.26) carries the rich class of characteristic symmetries of the integrable systems.

4 G -invariant Metric on the Bulk

As we have mentioned above choosing the gauge (3.15) has eliminated the non-physical degrees of freedom in the field $\nu(x)$. Besides together with the global symmetry (3.21) it also dictates the bulk metric in (3.26). In this section we will identify this bulk metric. Its form is a consequence of the global and the local symmetries of (3.4), the solvable Lie algebra gauge (3.15), and the gauge fixed form of the sigma model term in (3.26) which possesses the global symmetry (3.21). Another gauge may result in a different bulk metric however the physical solution space will be the same. One may argue the physical meaning of this gauge-dependent bulk metric. First of all we should see that since in (3.26) the bulk coordinates depend on the world volume ones it determines the metric at the regions of the bulk traced by the brane. With this point of view we may call this a moving metric that is to say it is the metric required at the braneworld to establish the necessary symmetries of the motion incorporation with the gauge chosen. We may consider it as a constraint on the metric structure of the bulk even if one introduces bulk gravity. This constraint emerges from the symmetries of the brane motion we require. Each gauge fixing may end up with a different constraint system whose physical solution space of the brane coordinates will be the same. Now let us focus on (3.26). In [33] the kinetic term of (3.26) is explicitly derived in terms of the bulk coordinates $\{\varphi^a\}$. It reads

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{T}{4} \int tr(*d\mathcal{M}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{M}) = & -T \int \left(-\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} * d\phi^i \wedge d\phi^j \right. \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} * d\phi^i \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j} \Omega_\gamma^\alpha d\chi^\gamma \\
& \left. -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j} * \Omega_\gamma^\alpha d\chi^\gamma \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i\phi^i} \Omega_\tau^\beta d\chi^\tau \right), \quad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{ij}, \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}, \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ are the normalization constants of the generators $\{H_i, E_\beta\}$ in the matrix representation chosen for the Lie algebra of G namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{ij} &= tr(H_i H_j^\#) + tr(H_i H_j), \\ \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} &= tr(H_i E_\alpha^\#) + tr(E_\alpha H_i^\#) + tr(H_i E_\alpha) + tr(E_\alpha H_i), \\ \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} &= tr(E_\alpha E_\beta^\#) + tr(E_\alpha E_\beta).\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

α_j and β_i are the root vector components of the Cartan generators $\{H_i\}$. Their definitions may be referred in [33]. On the other hand (4.1) introduces the functions

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{\Omega}(\chi^\beta),\tag{4.3}$$

which are rigorously derived in the references [30, 31, 32, 43]. Briefly $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is a $\dim n \times \dim n$ matrix

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = (e^\omega - I) \omega^{-1},\tag{4.4}$$

where the matrix ω is

$$\omega_\beta^\gamma = \chi^\alpha K_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma.\tag{4.5}$$

The structure constants $K_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ are defined as

$$[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = K_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma E_\gamma.\tag{4.6}$$

In [33] it is discussed in detail that if one writes (4.4) as a series expansion then the series will terminate after a finite number of terms which eases the explicit calculation of (4.4). In (4.1) i, j run from 1 to r and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau$ run from 1 to n respectively. The field equations of the dilatons $\{\phi^i\}$ and the axions $\{\chi^\beta\}$ of the action (4.1) are already derived in [33]. They correspond to the field equations of $\{\varphi^b(x^A)\}$ which are the compositions of the bulk coordinates with the world volume ones of the freely moving p-brane whose equivalent action is given in (3.26). Since the cosmological term in (3.26) does not depend on the fields $\{\varphi^b(x^A)\}$ the field equations derived in [33] also correspond to the field equations of (3.26). In this section, our main perspective is to identify the G -invariant metric on the symmetric space bulk G/K which is a consequence of the gauge chosen in the last section, therefore for the field equations of the free brane motion we refer the reader

to [33]. Now following our discussion at the end of the previous section on the assumed coordinate chart $(C'(U), C'^{-1})$ if we compare the right hand side of (4.1) with the first term on the right hand side of (2.15) we may read the components of the bulk metric

$$g = g_{ab} d\varphi^a \otimes d\varphi^b, \quad (4.7)$$

on G/K . This metric characterizes the gauge fixed form (3.20) of the G-global and the K-local symmetric sigma model in (3.4) which takes part in (3.26) which is the Polyakov equivalent of the free brane action in symmetric space bulk. The existence of such a metric is due to the invariant construction of the sigma model [29] and the local equivalence of the minimal, lifted, viel-bein, and the internal metric constructions of the sigma model on the coordinate chart $(C'(U), C'^{-1})$ [27, 28, 29, 44, 45]. The components of g can now be given as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij}, \\ g_{i,r+\beta} &= -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \Omega_\beta^\alpha, \\ g_{r+\beta,i} &= -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \Omega_\beta^\alpha, \\ g_{r+\gamma,r+\tau} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i \phi^i} \Omega_\gamma^\alpha \Omega_\tau^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

In matrix form the metric can be written as⁴

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} C_{(r \times r)} & M_{(r \times n)} \\ M_{(n \times r)}^T & N_{(n \times n)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$C_{ij} = g_{ij} \quad , \quad M_{i\beta} = g_{i,r+\beta} \quad , \quad M_{\beta i} = g_{r+\beta,i} \quad , \quad N_{\gamma\tau} = g_{r+\gamma,r+\tau}. \quad (4.10)$$

⁴ C corresponds to Cartan directions, M corresponds to mixed directions, and N corresponds to the nilpotent directions on G/K .

We can explicitly write down the metric g on G/K in the solvable Lie algebra parametrization introduced in the gauge (3.15) as

$$g = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{A}_{ij}d\phi^i \otimes d\phi^j - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}d\phi^i \otimes d\chi^{\beta} - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}\Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha}d\chi^{\beta} \otimes d\phi^i - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i\phi^i}\Omega_{\gamma}^{\alpha}\Omega_{\tau}^{\beta}d\chi^{\gamma} \otimes d\chi^{\tau}. \quad (4.11)$$

By using the properties of the generalized transpose operator $\#$ which coincides with the ordinary matrix transpose in specially chosen representations as discussed in [33] one can easily verify that

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_{ji} \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{C}_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (4.12)$$

Also by rearranging indices and with the help of (4.12) one can prove that

$$g_{ij} = g_{ji} \quad , \quad g_{i,r+\beta} = g_{r+\beta,i} \quad , \quad g_{r+\gamma,r+\tau} = g_{r+\tau,r+\gamma}, \quad (4.13)$$

which justifies the symmetry of g

$$g_{ab} = g_{ba}. \quad (4.14)$$

The bi-linearity follows from the construction of g in (4.11). On the other hand as we have stated before if we assume that the axion-dilaton parametrization locally satisfies to be a coordinate chart $(C'(U), C'^{-1})$ then

$$\{d\varphi^a\} = \{d\phi^i, d\chi^{\beta}\}, \quad (4.15)$$

becomes a moving co-frame on the bulk. The dual moving frame being

$$\{\partial_{\varphi^a}\}, \quad (4.16)$$

satisfies

$$d\varphi^a(\partial_{\varphi^b}) = \delta_b^a, \quad (4.17)$$

and we have

$$g_{ab} = g(\partial_{\varphi^a}, \partial_{\varphi^b}). \quad (4.18)$$

Since the local construction of the sigma model via the coordinate chart $(C'(U), C'^{-1})$ guarantees the existence of the metric (4.11) the non-degeneracy of it namely

$$\text{If } g(X, Y) = 0, \quad \forall X \in E^1(C'(U)) \implies Y = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

assures that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} C_{(r \times r)} & M_{(r \times n)} \\ M_{(n \times r)}^T & N_{(n \times n)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (4.20)$$

on $C'(U)$ [46, 47]. Also as we have mentioned before the calculation of (4.4) drops to a finite number of terms and $\Omega(\chi^\beta)$ becomes a polynomial function. Consequently the metric components g_{ab} become smooth functions for the general parametrization in particular for the local coordinate chart we assume.

The metric (4.11) locally defines a pseudo-Riemannian structure on $C'(U)$. One may furthermore inspect the conditions (namely the particular brane motion types) which result in the positivity of the metric so that it defines a local Riemannian structure.

We will also briefly mention about the distinct symmetry property of the metric g in (4.11). The right action (3.21) of G on G/K generates induced vector fields on G/K which are in one to one correspondence with the tangent space $T_e G$ at the identity element or the right-invariant vector fields on G . These induced vector fields are defined as follows; the one parameter subgroups of G which are the integral curves of the right-invariant vector fields passing from the identity element of G generate one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms on G/K via the action of G on G/K , the vector fields whose integral curves coincide with the induced curves of these one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms are the induced vector fields on G/K . In other words induced vector fields are the ones whose flows coincide with these action-generated one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms [48]. Under Lie brackets the induced vector fields become a Lie algebra and they form a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of G . Now in order that the kinetic term on the right hand side of (3.26) is invariant under the global right action of G on G/K the induced vector fields on G/K must be the Killing vectors of the metric (4.11) defined on $C'(U)$ [29]. That is to say if $\{K_I\}$ is a basis for the induced vector fields then

$$\mathcal{L}_{K_I} g = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative on G/K .⁵ We may equivalently state this as follows; if $\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(G/K)$ is the right action of G on G/K then $\Phi(G) \subset I(g_{ab}) \subset \text{Diff}(G/K)$ where $I(g_{ab})$ is the isometry group of the bulk

⁵We can at most speak about the Lie derivative of the metric with respect to the restrictions of $\{K_I\}$ on $C'(U)$ where the metric is identified.

metric g_{ab} . Thus for the global invariance of the kinetic part of the action (3.26) we must have $\Phi(g')^*g = g \quad \forall g' \in G$. Following this observation we can conclude that the bulk metric (4.11) on G/K is dictated by the symmetry of the theory that is to say it is implicitly determined by the global right action of G on G/K .

5 The Levi-Civita Connection on the Bulk

In this section, we will calculate the Levi-Civita connection on the bulk which is compatible with (4.11) and which is the unique torsion-free connection on the tangent bundle $TM(G/K)$. To start with, by inspecting the metric (4.11) we will choose a moving co-frame on $TM(G/K)$. From (4.11) we easily see that if we choose a moving co-frame on G/K as

$$e^i = d\phi^i \quad , \quad e^\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j} \Omega_\beta^\alpha d\chi^\beta, \quad (5.1)$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$ then in this frame the bulk metric can be expressed as

$$g = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{A}_{ij}e^i \otimes e^j - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^i \otimes e^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{B}_{i\alpha}e^\alpha \otimes e^i - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta. \quad (5.2)$$

We should observe that in the moving co-frame (5.1) the metric components become constant

$$dg_{ab} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

This will bring a major simplification in the calculation of the corresponding pseudo-Riemannian connection. We realize that the elements of the moving co-frame in (5.1) are proportional to the coefficients of the Cartan form $\mathcal{G} = d\nu\nu^{-1}$ which is explicitly calculated in terms of the axions and the dilatons in [30, 31] as

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{2}d\phi^i H_i + e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i\phi^i} \Omega_\beta^\alpha d\chi^\beta E_\alpha. \quad (5.4)$$

Now an affine or a Kozsul connection [9, 36, 49, 50, 51] on G/K is a rule which assigns to each $X \in E^1(G/K)$ a linear map

$$\nabla_X : E^1(G/K) \longrightarrow E^1(G/K), \quad (5.5)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{(fX+gY)} &= f\nabla_X + g\nabla_Y, \\ \nabla_X(fY) &= f(\nabla_X Y) + X(f)Y,\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

$\forall f, g \in C^\infty(G/K)$ and $\forall X, Y \in E^1(G/K)$. Above $E^1(G/K)$ denotes the globally existing module of the vector fields on G/K which is composed of the sections of the tangent bundle $TM(G/K)$. Now locally if we consider the dual moving frame of (5.1) namely $\{b_c\}$ such that

$$(e^a, b_c) = \delta^a_c,\tag{5.7}$$

where $\{b_c\}$ form up a local basis for $E^1(G/K)$ then we can define the unique covariant exterior derivative D [9, 36, 49, 50, 51] associated with (5.5) as

$$D : S_p(TM(G/K)) \longrightarrow S_{p+1}(TM(G/K)),\tag{5.8}$$

where

$$S_p(TM(G/K)) \cong E^1(G/K) \otimes E_p(G/K),\tag{5.9}$$

with $E_p(G/K)$ denoting the module of p -forms on G/K . Now if we consider the action of D on the local moving frame $\{b_c\}$ we have

$$Db_a = b_c \otimes \omega_a^c,\tag{5.10}$$

where the one-forms $\{\omega_a^c\}$ are called the connection one-forms. Also we have

$$D(Db_a) = b_c \otimes R_a^c,\tag{5.11}$$

where the two-forms $\{R_a^c\}$ are called the Ricci curvature two-forms. In fact both ω_a^c and R_a^c are the components of the tangent space endomorphism-valued differential forms $\omega \in S_1(L(TM(G/K)))$ and $R \in S_2(L(TM(G/K)))$ respectively with

$$\begin{aligned}S_p(L(TM(G/K))) &\cong L(E^1(G/K) \longrightarrow E^1(G/K)) \otimes E_p(G/K) \\ &\cong E^1(G/K) \otimes E_p(G/K) \otimes E_1(G/K),\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

which is a local module isomorphism. From this definition we have

$$\omega = b_c \otimes \omega_a^c \otimes e^a \quad , \quad R = b_c \otimes R_a^c \otimes e^a.\tag{5.13}$$

One can show that the components of these two objects satisfy [9, 36, 49, 50, 51]

$$R_a^c = d\omega_a^c + \omega_a^c \wedge \omega_a^d. \quad (5.14)$$

If one introduces the soldering form

$$\theta = b_c \otimes e^c, \quad (5.15)$$

then the torsion $T \in S_2(TM(G/K))$ can be defined as

$$T = D\theta = b_c \otimes (de^c + \omega_a^c \wedge e^d). \quad (5.16)$$

Thus a torsion-free connection satisfies

$$de^c = -\omega_a^c \wedge e^d. \quad (5.17)$$

For a C^∞ -manifold M , a pseudo-Riemannian or an indefinite structure or metric on M is a tensor field $g \in T_2^0 M$ such that $g(X, Y) = g(Y, X)$, $\forall X, Y \in T^1 M$ and $\forall p \in M$, g_p is a non-degenerate bilinear form on $T_p M \times T_p M$. For a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ on M an affine or a Kozsul connection ∇ on $TM(G/K)$ is said to be metric compatible if it satisfies

$$X(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z), \quad (5.18)$$

$\forall X, Y, Z \in E^1(M)$. One can show that for a metric compatible connection⁶

$$dg_{ab} = \omega_{ab} + \omega_{ba}. \quad (5.19)$$

Thus for a moving co-frame which generates constant metric components likewise in (5.1) the metric compatibility reads

$$\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba}. \quad (5.20)$$

The fundamental theorem of Riemannian geometry [9, 36, 49, 50, 51] states that for a pseudo-Riemannian structure $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a C^∞ -manifold M there exists a unique torsion-free, metric compatible connection on the tangent bundle $TM(M)$ which is called the Levi-Civita connection. Our point of view in this section is to calculate the connection one-forms and the Ricci curvature two-forms of the Levi-Civita connection associated with the metric

⁶For the following analysis in this section we will raise or lower the indices by using the metric components g_{ab} .

(5.2) on G/K . The reason for the need of such an explicit calculation within the brane dynamics we study in this manuscript will be clear in the next two sections. Now if we consider the moving co-frame (5.1) following the review we have done above which covers the basics of the pseudo-Riemannian geometry, the connection one-forms of the Levi-Civita connection of (5.2) must satisfy the two Cartan structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} de^c &= -\omega_d^c \wedge e^d, \\ \omega_{ab} &= -\omega_{ba}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Owing to the gauge (3.15) and the resulting axion-dilaton coordinates we have specified for the bulk we will separate the indices for the Cartan and the nilpotent directions as

$$\{a\} \longrightarrow \{i, \alpha\}, \tag{5.22}$$

where $a = 1, \dots, \dim(G/K)$ also $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Their sum being $r + n = \dim(G/K)$. Now in computing the connection one-forms firstly after a direct calculation from (5.1) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} de^i &= 0, \\ de^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_m e^{\alpha m} + C_{\tau\rho}^\alpha e^{\rho\tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Here we define the coefficients

$$C_{\tau\rho}^\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j - \tau_j - \rho_j)\phi^j} D_\gamma^\alpha{}_\beta (\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau (\Omega^{-1})^\gamma{}_\rho, \tag{5.24}$$

where $D_\gamma^\alpha{}_\beta \equiv (\mathcal{D}_\gamma)^\alpha{}_\beta$ are the components of the $n \times n$ matrix

$$\mathcal{D}_\gamma = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \chi^\gamma}, \tag{5.25}$$

for which the definition and the computation is discussed in detail in [33]. Since we have constant metric components

$$de_a = g_{ab} de^b. \tag{5.26}$$

Thus lowering the indices in (5.23) yields⁷

$$\begin{aligned} de_i &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{i\alpha}\alpha_m e^{\alpha m} + g_{i\alpha}C_{\tau\rho}^\alpha e^{\rho\tau}, \\ de_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\beta_m e^{\beta m} + g_{\alpha\beta}C_{\tau\rho}^{\beta} e^{\rho\tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

⁷The reader should pay attention that if an index is free there is no sum on it however we use the Einstein summation convention for all the non-free indices.

For the viel-bein (5.1) and its dual defined in (5.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (de^a | b_c \otimes b_d) &= -(\omega_b^a \wedge e^b | b_c \otimes b_d) \\ &= -(\omega_d^a | b_c) + (\omega_c^a | b_d), \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

where the parentheses define the action of the differential-forms on the tensor fields and where we have made use of the torsion-free equation from (5.21) and the definition of the wedge product

$$A \wedge B = A \otimes B - B \otimes A. \quad (5.29)$$

By using (5.28) together with the metric compatibility equation from (5.21) one can show that

$$(\omega_{ab} | b_c) = \frac{1}{2} [(de_b | b_c \otimes b_a) + (de_a | b_b \otimes b_c) - (de_c | b_a \otimes b_b)], \quad (5.30)$$

where we have also referred to (5.26). Now due to the definition of the dual moving frame in (5.7) one can construct the connection one-forms which are introduced in (5.10) as

$$\omega_{ab} = (\omega_{ab} | b_c) e^c. \quad (5.31)$$

The components in the above expression can be calculated by direct insertion of (5.27) in (5.30). Doing so and bearing in mind our index separation convention after a straightforward calculation we find the following connection one-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{kj} &= \frac{1}{4} (g_{k\gamma} \gamma_j - g_{j\gamma} \gamma_k) e^\gamma, \\ \omega_{\gamma j} &= \frac{1}{4} (g_{j\gamma} \gamma_i + g_{i\gamma} \gamma_j) e^i + \frac{1}{2} [g_{j\alpha} (C_{\gamma\mu}^\alpha - C_{\mu\gamma}^\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\gamma\mu} (\mu_j + \gamma_j)] e^\mu, \\ \omega_{\gamma\sigma} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma\gamma} (\gamma_i - \sigma_i) - g_{i\alpha} (C_{\sigma\gamma}^\alpha - C_{\gamma\sigma}^\alpha) \right] e^i \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [g_{\sigma\beta} (C_{\gamma\mu}^\beta - C_{\mu\gamma}^\beta) + g_{\gamma\beta} (C_{\mu\sigma}^\beta - C_{\sigma\mu}^\beta) + g_{\mu\beta} (C_{\gamma\sigma}^\beta - C_{\sigma\gamma}^\beta)] e^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

By directly plugging into the Cartan structure equations (5.21) the reader may verify that the one-forms in (5.32) are the correct ones satisfying (5.21)⁸. If one lowers the free index in (5.14) due to the constancy of the metric components one gets

$$R_{ca} = d\omega_{ca} + \omega_{cd} \wedge \omega_a^d. \quad (5.33)$$

Once the connection one-forms are calculated in (5.32) it is a straightforward but a handy task to compute the curvature two-forms from (5.33) with also the help of (5.27). We should again refer to the index convention of (5.1). To calculate the curvature two-forms in (5.33) for three different couples of index generations we will take the exterior derivative of the connection one-forms in (5.32), then compute the appropriate wedge products in (5.33), then add the two, finally collect the factors within the two-form basis generated by (5.1). This calculation for the Cartan direction components of R yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_{kj} = & \left(-\frac{1}{8}\gamma_m(g_{k\gamma}\gamma_j - g_{j\gamma}\gamma_k) + \frac{g^{i\alpha}}{16}(g_{k\gamma}\gamma_i - g_{i\gamma}\gamma_k)(g_{j\alpha}\alpha_m + g_{m\alpha}\alpha_j) \right. \\ & + \frac{g^{\alpha n}}{16}(g_{k\alpha}\alpha_m + g_{m\alpha}\alpha_k)(g_{n\gamma}\gamma_j - g_{j\gamma}\gamma_n) + \frac{g^{\alpha\tau}}{8}(g_{k\alpha}\alpha_m + g_{m\alpha}\alpha_k) \\ & \times (g_{j\sigma}C_{\tau\gamma}^\sigma - g_{j\sigma}C_{\gamma\tau}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\gamma}\gamma_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\gamma\tau}\tau_j) - \frac{g^{\alpha\tau}}{8}(g_{j\tau}\tau_m + g_{m\tau}\tau_j) \\ & \left. \times (g_{k\sigma}C_{\alpha\gamma}^\sigma - g_{k\sigma}C_{\gamma\alpha}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2}g_{\gamma\alpha}\alpha_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\gamma}\gamma_k) \right) e^{\gamma m} \\ & + \left(\frac{C_{\kappa\rho}^\gamma}{4}(g_{k\gamma}\gamma_j - g_{j\gamma}\gamma_k) + \frac{g^{in}}{16}(g_{k\rho}\rho_i - g_{i\rho}\rho_k)(g_{n\kappa}\kappa_j - g_{j\kappa}\kappa_n) \right. \\ & + \frac{g^{i\alpha}}{8}(g_{k\rho}\rho_i - g_{i\rho}\rho_k)(g_{j\tau}C_{\alpha\kappa}^\tau - g_{j\tau}C_{\kappa\alpha}^\tau + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\kappa}\kappa_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\kappa\alpha}\alpha_j) \\ & - \frac{g^{\alpha n}}{8}(g_{n\kappa}\kappa_j - g_{j\kappa}\kappa_n)(g_{k\tau}C_{\alpha\rho}^\tau - g_{k\tau}C_{\rho\alpha}^\tau + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\rho}\rho_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\alpha}\alpha_k) \\ & - \frac{g^{\alpha\tau}}{4}(g_{k\theta}C_{\alpha\rho}^\theta - g_{k\theta}C_{\rho\alpha}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\rho}\rho_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\alpha}\alpha_k)(g_{j\sigma}C_{\tau\kappa}^\sigma - g_{j\sigma}C_{\kappa\tau}^\sigma \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\kappa}\kappa_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\kappa\tau}\tau_j) \right) e^{\rho\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

⁸We have indeed checked this, the antisymmetry of $\omega_{kj}, \omega_{\gamma\sigma}$ is straightforward, for $\omega_{\gamma j}$ one also has to calculate $\omega_{j\gamma}$, on the other hand for the torsion-free condition one has to construct $-\omega_{cd} \wedge e^d$ after a moderately lengthy computation for both species of free indices and then one can prove that the results are equal to the expressions in (5.27).

$$- \left(\frac{g^{\alpha\tau}}{16} (g_{k\alpha}\alpha_i + g_{i\alpha}\alpha_k)(g_{j\tau}\tau_l + g_{l\tau}\tau_j) \right) e^{il}. \quad (5.34)$$

On the other hand, since in nilpotent directions the expressions in (5.32) contain C -coefficients defined in (5.24) we have to consider their derivations. First of all we will define

$$d(D_\gamma^\alpha{}_\beta(\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau(\Omega^{-1})^\gamma{}_\rho) = Z'_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha e^\theta, \quad (5.35)$$

where

$$Z'_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha = U_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha + V_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha + Y_{\rho\tau\theta}{}^\alpha, \quad (5.36)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_i\phi^i} E_{\gamma\kappa}{}^\alpha{}_\beta(\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau(\Omega^{-1})^\gamma{}_\rho(\Omega^{-1})^\kappa{}_\theta, \\ V_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_i\phi^i} D_\gamma{}^\alpha{}_\beta F_\xi{}^\beta{}_\tau(\Omega^{-1})^\gamma{}_\rho(\Omega^{-1})^\xi{}_\theta, \\ Y_{\rho\tau\theta}{}^\alpha &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_i\phi^i} D_\gamma{}^\alpha{}_\beta F_\xi{}^\gamma{}_\rho(\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau(\Omega^{-1})^\xi{}_\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Here we have also introduced the derivations

$$E_{\gamma\kappa}{}^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{\partial(D_\gamma{}^\alpha{}_\beta)}{\partial\chi^\kappa}, \quad F_\xi{}^\beta{}_\tau = \frac{\partial((\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau)}{\partial\chi^\xi}. \quad (5.38)$$

Now if we further define

$$Z_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j - \tau_j - \rho_j)\phi^j} Z'_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha, \quad (5.39)$$

and

$$\bar{Z}_{\tau\rho n}{}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_n - \tau_n - \rho_n) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_j - \tau_j - \rho_j)\phi^j} D_\gamma{}^\alpha{}_\beta(\Omega^{-1})^\beta{}_\tau(\Omega^{-1})^\gamma{}_\rho, \quad (5.40)$$

we can eventually express the exterior derivative of the C -coefficients which play essential role in the derivation of the curvature two-forms in non-Cartan directions from connection one-forms via (5.33) as

$$dC_{\tau\rho}{}^\alpha = \bar{Z}_{\tau\rho n}{}^\alpha e^n + Z_{\tau\rho\theta}{}^\alpha e^\theta. \quad (5.41)$$

Now using the definitions in this computational result and following the method we have mentioned before one can systematically derive the components of the bundle-valued curvature form R in the nilpotent and the mixed directions. This rigorous derivation for the mixed directions yields

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha j} = & \left(-\frac{1}{4}\mu_m g_{j\tau}(C_{\alpha\mu}^\tau - C_{\mu\alpha}^\tau) - \frac{1}{8}\mu_m g_{\mu\alpha}(\mu_j + \alpha_j) - \frac{1}{2}g_{j\tau}(\overline{Z}_{\alpha\mu}^\tau - \overline{Z}_{\mu\alpha}^\tau) \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{4}(g_{i\alpha}\alpha_m + g_{m\alpha}\alpha_i)\left(\frac{g^{ik}}{4}(g_{k\mu}\mu_j - g_{j\mu}\mu_k) + \frac{g^{i\beta}}{2}(g_{j\sigma}C_{\beta\mu}^\sigma - g_{j\sigma}C_{\mu\beta}^\sigma \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\mu}\mu_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\beta}\beta_j)\right) + \frac{g^{i\beta}}{8}(g_{i\tau}C_{\alpha\mu}^\tau - g_{i\tau}C_{\mu\alpha}^\tau + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\mu}\mu_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\alpha}\alpha_i) \\
& \times (g_{j\beta}\beta_m + g_{m\beta}\beta_j) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\alpha}}{2}(\alpha_m - \beta_m) - g_{m\tau}(C_{\beta\alpha}^\tau - C_{\alpha\beta}^\tau)\right) \\
& \times \left(\frac{g^{\beta k}}{4}(g_{k\mu}\mu_j - g_{j\mu}\mu_k) + \frac{g^{\beta\sigma}}{2}(g_{j\kappa}C_{\sigma\mu}^\kappa - g_{j\kappa}C_{\mu\sigma}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\mu}\mu_j \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\sigma}\sigma_j)\right) + \frac{g^{\beta\sigma}}{8}(g_{\beta\tau}(C_{\alpha\mu}^\tau - C_{\mu\alpha}^\tau) + g_{\alpha\tau}(C_{\mu\beta}^\tau - C_{\beta\mu}^\tau) \\
& \left. + g_{\mu\tau}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\tau - C_{\beta\alpha}^\tau))(g_{j\sigma}\sigma_m + g_{m\sigma}\sigma_j)\right)e^{\mu m} \\
& + \left(\frac{g_{j\kappa}}{2}(Z_{\alpha\tau\rho}^\kappa - Z_{\tau\alpha\rho}^\kappa) + \frac{1}{2}g_{j\kappa}C_{\tau\rho}^\mu(C_{\alpha\mu}^\kappa - C_{\mu\alpha}^\kappa) + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\alpha}C_{\tau\rho}^\mu(\mu_j + \alpha_j) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(g_{i\kappa}C_{\alpha\rho}^\kappa - g_{i\kappa}C_{\rho\alpha}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\rho}\rho_i + \frac{1}{2}g_{\rho\alpha}\alpha_i)\left(\frac{g^{ik}}{4}(g_{k\tau}\tau_j - g_{j\tau}\tau_k) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{g^{i\beta}}{2}(g_{j\sigma}C_{\beta\tau}^\sigma - g_{j\sigma}C_{\tau\beta}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\tau}\tau_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\beta}\beta_j)\right) + \frac{1}{2}(g_{\beta\kappa}(C_{\alpha\rho}^\kappa - C_{\rho\alpha}^\kappa) \\
& + g_{\alpha\kappa}(C_{\rho\beta}^\kappa - C_{\beta\rho}^\kappa) + g_{\rho\kappa}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\kappa - C_{\beta\alpha}^\kappa))\left(\frac{g^{\beta k}}{4}(g_{k\tau}\tau_j - g_{j\tau}\tau_k) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{g^{\beta\sigma}}{2}(g_{j\theta}C_{\sigma\tau}^\theta - g_{j\theta}C_{\tau\sigma}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\tau}\tau_j + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\sigma}\sigma_j)\right)\right)e^{\rho\tau} \\
& + \left(\frac{g^{i\beta}}{16}(g_{i\alpha}\alpha_m + g_{m\alpha}\alpha_i)(g_{j\beta}\beta_l + g_{l\beta}\beta_j) + \frac{g^{\beta\sigma}}{8}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\alpha}}{2}(\alpha_m - \beta_m) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - g_{m\tau}(C_{\beta\alpha}^\tau - C_{\alpha\beta}^\tau)\right)(g_{j\sigma}\sigma_l + g_{l\sigma}\sigma_j)\right)e^{ml}, \tag{5.42}
\end{aligned}$$

which implicitly contains the derivation coefficients of C -terms introduced in (5.41). Furthermore following a longer computation the curvature two-forms

in pure nilpotent directions can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\gamma\beta} = & \left(-\frac{g_{m\theta}}{2}(Z_{\beta\gamma\mu}^\theta - Z_{\gamma\beta\mu}^\theta) - \frac{1}{4}\mu_m(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\gamma\mu}^\theta - C_{\mu\gamma}^\theta) + g_{\gamma\theta}(C_{\mu\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\mu}^\theta)) \right. \\
& + g_{\mu\theta}(C_{\gamma\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\gamma}^\theta) - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(\bar{Z}_{\gamma\mu m}^\theta - \bar{Z}_{\mu\gamma m}^\theta) + g_{\gamma\theta}(\bar{Z}_{\mu\beta m}^\theta - \bar{Z}_{\beta\mu m}^\theta)) \\
& + g_{\mu\theta}(\bar{Z}_{\gamma\beta m}^\theta - \bar{Z}_{\beta\gamma m}^\theta) - \frac{1}{4}(g_{j\gamma}\gamma_m + g_{m\gamma}\gamma_j)\left(-\frac{g^{jk}}{2}(g_{k\theta}C_{\beta\mu}^\theta \right. \\
& - g_{k\theta}C_{\mu\beta}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\mu}\mu_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{j\alpha}}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\alpha\mu}^\theta - C_{\mu\alpha}^\theta) \\
& + g_{\alpha\theta}(C_{\mu\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\mu}^\theta) + g_{\mu\theta}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\alpha}^\theta)) + \frac{1}{2}(g_{j\sigma}(C_{\gamma\mu}^\sigma - C_{\mu\gamma}^\sigma) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\gamma}(\mu_j + \gamma_j))\left(-\frac{g^{jk}}{4}(g_{k\beta}\beta_m + g_{m\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{j\alpha}}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\alpha}}{2}(\alpha_m - \beta_m) \right. \right. \\
& - g_{m\theta}(C_{\beta\alpha}^\theta - C_{\alpha\beta}^\theta)) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\sigma\gamma}}{2}(\gamma_m - \sigma_m) - g_{m\alpha}(C_{\sigma\gamma}^\alpha - C_{\gamma\sigma}^\alpha)\right) \\
& \times \left(-\frac{g^{\sigma k}}{2}(g_{k\theta}C_{\beta\mu}^\theta - g_{k\theta}C_{\mu\beta}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\mu}\mu_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\beta}\beta_k) \right. \\
& + \frac{g^{\sigma\kappa}}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\kappa\mu}^\theta - C_{\mu\kappa}^\theta) + g_{\kappa\theta}(C_{\mu\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\mu}^\theta) + g_{\mu\theta}(C_{\kappa\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\kappa}^\theta)) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}(g_{\sigma\alpha}(C_{\gamma\mu}^\alpha - C_{\mu\gamma}^\alpha) + g_{\gamma\alpha}(C_{\mu\sigma}^\alpha - C_{\sigma\mu}^\alpha) + g_{\mu\alpha}(C_{\gamma\sigma}^\alpha - C_{\sigma\gamma}^\alpha)) \\
& \times \left(-\frac{g^{\sigma k}}{4}(g_{k\beta}\beta_m + g_{m\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{\sigma\kappa}}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\kappa}}{2}(\kappa_m - \beta_m) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - g_{m\theta}(C_{\beta\kappa}^\theta - C_{\kappa\beta}^\theta)\right)\right) e^{\mu m} \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(Z_{\gamma\tau\rho}^\theta - Z_{\tau\gamma\rho}^\theta) + g_{\gamma\theta}(Z_{\tau\beta\rho}^\theta - Z_{\beta\tau\rho}^\theta) + g_{\tau\theta}(Z_{\gamma\beta\rho}^\theta - Z_{\beta\gamma\rho}^\theta)) \right. \\
& + \frac{C_{\tau\rho}^\mu}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\gamma\mu}^\theta - C_{\mu\gamma}^\theta) + g_{\gamma\theta}(C_{\mu\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\mu}^\theta) + g_{\mu\theta}(C_{\gamma\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\gamma}^\theta)) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\rho\gamma}}{2}(\rho_j + \gamma_j) + g_{j\sigma}(C_{\gamma\rho}^\sigma - C_{\rho\gamma}^\sigma)\right)\left(-\frac{g^{jk}}{2}(g_{k\theta}C_{\beta\tau}^\theta \right. \\
& - g_{k\theta}C_{\tau\beta}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\tau}\tau_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{j\alpha}}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\alpha\tau}^\theta - C_{\tau\alpha}^\theta) \\
& + g_{\alpha\theta}(C_{\tau\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\tau}^\theta) + g_{\tau\theta}(C_{\alpha\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\alpha}^\theta)) + \frac{1}{2}(g_{\sigma\alpha}(C_{\gamma\rho}^\alpha - C_{\rho\gamma}^\alpha) \\
& + g_{\gamma\alpha}(C_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha - C_{\sigma\rho}^\alpha) + g_{\rho\alpha}(C_{\gamma\sigma}^\alpha - C_{\sigma\gamma}^\alpha))\left(-\frac{g^{\sigma k}}{2}(g_{k\theta}C_{\beta\tau}^\theta \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -g_{k\theta}C_{\tau\beta}^\theta + \frac{1}{2}g_{\beta\tau}\tau_k + \frac{1}{2}g_{\tau\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{\sigma\kappa}}{2}(g_{\beta\theta}(C_{\kappa\tau}^\theta - C_{\tau\kappa}^\theta) \\
& + g_{\kappa\theta}(C_{\tau\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\tau}^\theta) + g_{\tau\theta}(C_{\kappa\beta}^\theta - C_{\beta\kappa}^\theta)))e^{\rho\tau} \\
& + \left(-\frac{1}{2}g_{l\alpha}(\overline{Z}_{\beta\gamma m}^\alpha - \overline{Z}_{\gamma\beta m}^\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}(g_{j\gamma}\gamma_m + g_{m\gamma}\gamma_j)\left(-\frac{g^{jk}}{4}(g_{k\beta}\beta_l \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + g_{l\beta}\beta_k) + \frac{g^{j\alpha}}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\alpha}}{2}(\alpha_l - \beta_l) - g_{l\theta}(C_{\beta\alpha}^\theta - C_{\alpha\beta}^\theta)\right)\right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\sigma\gamma}}{2}(\gamma_m - \sigma_m) - g_{m\alpha}(C_{\sigma\gamma}^\alpha - C_{\gamma\sigma}^\alpha)\right)\left(-\frac{g^{\sigma k}}{4}(g_{k\beta}\beta_l + g_{l\beta}\beta_k) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{g^{\sigma\kappa}}{2}\left(\frac{g_{\beta\kappa}}{2}(\kappa_l - \beta_l) - g_{l\theta}(C_{\beta\kappa}^\theta - C_{\kappa\beta}^\theta)\right)\right)\right)e^{ml}. \tag{5.43}
\end{aligned}$$

By carefully inspecting (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) one can verify that they satisfy

$$R_{kj} = -R_{jk} \quad , \quad R_{\alpha j} = -R_{j\alpha} \quad , \quad R_{\gamma\beta} = -R_{\beta\gamma}, \tag{5.44}$$

which is a direct consequence of the metric compatibility (5.20) when used in (5.33)⁹.

6 Gauss Equation

In this section, we will focus on the details of the geometry of the braneworld immersion in the bulk. In Section two, we have discussed that the metric (2.2) on the braneworld is induced by the bulk metric. In the Nambu-Goto action this fact is introduced as a definition so that the action defines a multiple of the pseudo-Riemannian volume of the braneworld in the bulk. Whereas for the equivalent Polyakov action (2.2) appears as a field equation of the braneworld metric which is made a dynamic field. Both of these approaches require that the braneworld and the bulk metrics are related by the push forward map of the inclusion map of the braneworld in the bulk. In this manuscript our main framework are the cases when the braneworld is an immersion [36] in the bulk so that the inclusion map is a C^∞ -map and its differential map is injective. If the immersion itself is injective then we will have an imbedding. A more restrictive case would be assuming the

⁹Again to show the second identity in (5.44) one has to calculate $R_{j\alpha}$ separately.

braneworld to be a submanifold of the bulk which would bring more restrictions on the submersion characteristics of the differential (atlas) structures of the braneworld and the bulk [36]. Therefore by requiring the relation (2.2) we geometrize the pseudo-Riemannian braneworld as an isometric immersion in the pseudo-Riemannian bulk. In this case (2.2) becomes the first fundamental form. Since the Nambu-Goto action is proportional to the volume of the braneworld in the bulk the variational principle leads to the minimality condition thus the braneworld becomes a minimal immersion or submanifold of the bulk. Our formulation in this section will follow the outlines of [37, 38, 39, 40]. We should first observe that

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^{r+n}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^n} \right\}, \quad (6.1)$$

is a local coordinate frame on the bulk¹⁰ the dual bulk moving co-frame being $\{d\varphi^a\} = \{d\phi^i, d\chi^\alpha\}$. By bearing in mind that on the braneworld $\varphi^a = \varphi^a(x^1, \dots, x^{p+1})$, for $A = 1, \dots, p+1$ if one considers the set

$$\{r_A\} = \left\{ \partial_A \varphi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^a} \right\}, \quad (6.2)$$

of vector fields at the bulk-braneworld intersection then their restriction to the world volume becomes a moving frame on the braneworld [37]. At this stage we will introduce the orthogonal tangent space decomposition of the bulk at any point $p \in W \subset G/K$

$$T_p(G/K) = T_p(W) \oplus [T_p(W)]^\perp, \quad (6.3)$$

where if $X \in T_p(W)$ and $Y \in [T_p(W)]^\perp$ then

$$g(X, Y) = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

This decomposition induces a local module decomposition of vector fields on the bulk¹¹

$$E^1(G/K) = H(W) \oplus V(W), \quad (6.5)$$

¹⁰The reader should take $\partial/\partial\varphi^a$ as the push-forward of the coordinate basis frame on $\mathbb{R}^{(r+n)}$ via the inverse of the coordinate chart map.

¹¹In fact, meaningfully bulk vector fields on the intersection of the bulk and the braneworld.

with horizontal vector fields $H(W)$ such that $H(W) \cong E^1(W)$ and their vertical complements $V(W)$ ¹². Therefore (6.2) becomes a local basis for $H(W)$. For our construction of the Gauss equation which relates the curvature elements of the bulk to the braneworld in addition to the coordinate frame (6.1) and the co-frame (5.1) which we made use of in the previous section we will consider a third frame for the bulk based on the decomposition (6.3) namely

$$\{e'_a\} = \{r_A, \xi_m\}, \quad (6.6)$$

where $m = 1, \dots, r + n - (p + 1)$, and $\{\xi_m\}$ is an arbitrary local frame for the vertical vector fields $V(W)$. Since $\varphi^a = \varphi^a(x^A)$ on the world volume we have

$$d\varphi^a = \partial_A \varphi^a dx^A. \quad (6.7)$$

If now we consider the moving co-frame $\{\tilde{e}^a\} = \{dx^A, \tilde{\xi}^m\}$ on the bulk we have the transformation

$$d\varphi^a = S^a_b \tilde{e}^b = \partial_A \varphi^a dx^A + S^a_m \tilde{\xi}^m, \quad (6.8)$$

which gives (6.7) when restricted onto the braneworld. From (6.8) we see that we have the dual moving frame transformation

$$\tilde{b}_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^b} S^b_a, \quad (6.9)$$

where $\{\tilde{b}_a\} = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}, \xi_m\}$. When we compare (6.2) with (6.9) we conclude that

$$r_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}, \quad (6.10)$$

which justifies that (6.2) is a moving frame for the braneworld W . Now let us introduce the orthogonal projection operators

$$\mathcal{H} : E^1(G/K) \longrightarrow H(W) \quad , \quad \mathcal{V} : E^1(G/K) \longrightarrow V(W). \quad (6.11)$$

We can introduce the \mathcal{T} -tensor by first defining

$$\mathcal{T}_E F = \mathcal{V}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}(\mathcal{H}F)) + \mathcal{H}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}(\mathcal{V}F)), \quad (6.12)$$

¹²This terminology switches for the submersions.

where $E, F \in E^1(G/K)$. Here ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the bulk metric (5.2) on the bulk. Now if we define

$$\mathcal{T}(X_1, X_2, \omega) = \langle \mathcal{T}_E F, \omega \rangle, \quad (6.13)$$

then $\mathcal{T} \in T^1_2(G/K)$ ¹³. When $X_1, X_2 \in H(W)$ then the second term in (6.12) drops and we are led to the definition of the second fundamental form or the extrinsic curvature [52] of the braneworld immersion

$$B(X_1, X_2) = \mathcal{V}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X_1}(\mathcal{H}X_2)) = \mathcal{V}(\nabla_{X_1}(X_2)) \equiv (\nabla_{X_1}(X_2))^\perp, \quad (6.14)$$

whose image is clearly an element of $V(W)$. One can show that

$$B(X_1, X_2) = \nabla_{X_1}(X_2) - \nabla_{X_1}^W(X_2), \quad (6.15)$$

where ∇^W is the Levi-Civita connection on the braneworld corresponding to the first-fundamental form or the induced metric defined in (2.2). We should state that for the second term in (6.15) which is an element of $H(W)$ we consider the restrictions of the bulk vector fields $X_1, X_2 \in H(W)$ on the braneworld which are full copies of the formers. Comparing (6.14) and (6.15) we see that

$$\nabla_{X_1}^W(X_2) = \mathcal{H}(\nabla_{X_1}(X_2)). \quad (6.16)$$

Since $\mathcal{T} \in T^1_2(G/K)$ and since $B(X_1, X_2) \in V(W)$ by using the bulk frame (6.6) we can introduce the components of the second fundamental form as

$$B = L_{AB}^m \tilde{r}^A \otimes \tilde{r}^B \otimes \xi_m. \quad (6.17)$$

Here \tilde{r}^A, \tilde{r}^B are the dual one-forms of r_A, r_B . We prefer using the notation of [37]. One can keep also the $\{r_A\}$ elements of (6.6) arbitrary likewise the vertical complements instead of specifying them in (6.2) and then define the second fundamental form components. However the practical essence of the frame (6.6) will show itself when we write the Gauss equation since it contains the $\{r_A\}$ part as a frame for the braneworld. Now if $X_1 = x_1^A r_A$ and $X_2 = x_2^B r_B$ then we have

$$B(X_1, X_2) = L_{AB}^m x_1^A x_2^B \xi_m. \quad (6.18)$$

Also

$$B(r_A, r_B) = L_{AB}^m \xi_m. \quad (6.19)$$

¹³With the help of the bulk metric (6.12) can also be used to define a $T_3(G/K)$ tensor.

Thus from (6.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{r_A}(r_B) &= \nabla_{r_A}^W(r_B) + L_{AB}^m \xi_m \\ &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{AB}^C r_C + L_{AB}^m \xi_m,\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{AB}^C$ are the Christoffel symbols with respect to the basis (6.2) of the induced metric on the braneworld. By using (6.4) from (6.20) we can derive that

$$g(\nabla_{r_A}(r_B), \xi_n) = L_{AB}^m g_{mn},\tag{6.21}$$

where $g_{mn} = g(\xi_m, \xi_n)$. At this point if we switch back to the coordinate basis on the bulk the connection entry above can be calculated as [37, 38]

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{r_A}(r_B) &= \nabla_{\partial_A \varphi^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^a}}(\partial_B \varphi^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^b}) = [\partial_A \varphi^b \frac{\partial(\partial_B \varphi^c)}{\partial \varphi^b} + \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b \Gamma_{ab}^c] \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^c} \\ &= [\partial_{AB}^2 \varphi^c + \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b \Gamma_{ab}^c] \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^c},\end{aligned}\tag{6.22}$$

where we define

$$\partial_{AB}^2 \varphi^c = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^c}{\partial x^A \partial x^B}.\tag{6.23}$$

In (6.22) the Cristoffel symbols belong to the bulk metric and they are with respect to the coordinate frame (6.1) namely

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^a}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^b}) = \Gamma_{ab}^c \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^c}.\tag{6.24}$$

One can calculate them from the bulk metric components in (4.8) as [49]

$$\Gamma_{ab}^c = \frac{1}{2} g^{cd} [\partial_a(g_{bd}) + \partial_b(g_{da}) - \partial_d(g_{ab})],\tag{6.25}$$

where we omit the torsion terms since we calculate the Levi-Civita connection coefficients, as well as the basis structure constant terms since our basis is a coordinate one. In (6.25) we use $\partial_a = \partial/\partial \varphi^a$. Now the second fundamental form coefficients in (6.21) can be expressed in terms of the bulk coordinates as

$$L_{AB}^m g_{mn} = \mathcal{F}_{ABn}(\varphi^a, \partial \varphi^a, \partial^2 \varphi^a),\tag{6.26}$$

where the functional \mathcal{F}_{ABn} is

$$\mathcal{F}_{ABn}(\varphi^a, \partial\varphi^a, \partial^2\varphi^a) = [\partial_{AB}^2\varphi^c + \partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b\Gamma_{ab}^c]\xi_n^e g_{ce}, \quad (6.27)$$

where we have defined the components of the vertical frame via $\xi_n = \xi_n^e \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi^e}$ which are subject to the conditions (which can be read in terms of the bulk coordinates from)

$$\partial_A\varphi^a\xi_m^b g_{ab} = 0, \quad (6.28)$$

resulting from (6.4) and for which $A = 1, \dots, p+1$ and $m = 1, \dots, n+r-(p+1)$. We should state that since the second fundamental form is symmetric $B(X_1, X_2) = B(X_2, X_1)$ we have $L_{AB}^m = L_{BA}^m$ which can easily be seen from (6.19). In addition to the above mentioned method on the other hand if one calculates the metric components and the corresponding Christoffel symbols Γ'^c_{ab} with respect to the basis (6.6) then with the help of (6.4) from (6.21) one can directly find the second fundamental form components as

$$L_{AB}^m = \Gamma'^m_{AB}. \quad (6.29)$$

Having defined the second fundamental form, to construct the Gauss equation we will now consider the metric dual of the bulk Riemann tensor whose action can be obtained via $R(X, Y, Z, T) = g(R(X, Y)Z, T)$ for $X, Y, Z, T \in E^1(G/K)$. Its components in a bulk moving frame $\{b_a\}$ can be found as $R_{abcd} = g(R(b_a, b_b)b_c, b_d)$. Here we have introduced

$$R(X, Y) = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}. \quad (6.30)$$

If $n = 0, \dots, 4$ denotes the number of horizontal vector fields in the set $X, Y, Z, T \in E^1(G/K)$ from the computation of $R(X, Y, Z, T)$ one can obtain the five fundamental equations of the braneworld immersion in the bulk including the Gauss ($n = 4$), Ricci ($n = 2$), and the Codazzi ($n = 3$) equations [37, 38, 39, 40]. We will focus only on the Gauss equation for our main objective of relating the bulk and the braneworld curvatures. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, T) &= G(R^W(X, Y)Z, T) + g(B(X, T), B(Y, Z)) \\ &\quad - g(B(Y, T), B(X, Z)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

where $G(R^W(X, Y)Z, T)$ is the action of the index lowered Riemann tensor of the braneworld¹⁴ on the horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z, T which have

¹⁴Corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric on the braneworld.

exact copies in $E^1(W)$. As we have stated before by using the special bulk frame (6.6) which contains a braneworld frame in it we can use the Gauss equation (6.31) to relate the Riemann tensor components of the bulk and the braneworld. Direct substitution of (6.6) in (6.31) gives

$$R_{ABCD}^W = R_{ABCD} + [L_{AC}^m L_{BD}^n - L_{BC}^m L_{AD}^n] g_{mn}. \quad (6.32)$$

We may express this equation in terms of the functionals defined in (6.27) as

$$R_{ABCD}^W = R_{ABCD} + \mathcal{F}_{AC}^m \mathcal{F}_{BDm} - \mathcal{F}_{BC}^m \mathcal{F}_{ADm}, \quad (6.33)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{AC}^m = \mathcal{F}_{ACn} g^{nm}$ with g^{nm} being the inverse of $g_{nm} = g(\xi_n, \xi_m)$.

7 Gravitating Dynamic Branes

In this section, we will consider the gravity sector of a dynamic brane coupled to world volume gravity and matter fields that is immersed in a symmetric space bulk. The gravity and the matter sectors will be coupled to the induced braneworld metric (2.2) which arise from the natural definition of the braneworld dynamics where the braneworld is an isometric immersion in the bulk. In Section two, we have considered the free brane motion. When one applies the least action principle to the Nambu-Goto action of (2.7) the field equations one derives correspond to a minimal isometric immersion since (2.7) is proportional to the volume of the braneworld in the bulk. These field equations are equivalent to the vanishing of the vertical mean curvature vector field [37, 38, 53, 54] which is defined as

$$H = \frac{1}{p+1} L_{AB}^m G^{AB} \xi_m. \quad (7.1)$$

Thus one may simply replace the field equations of (2.7) with $L_A^{m A} = L_{AB}^m G^{AB} = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, n+r-(p+1)$. Therefore from the second fundamental form point of view the free brane motion has well defined restrictions which relate it to the theory of analytical functions [53, 54]. However in this section due to the presence of accompanying fields variation of the total action will not result in a minimality condition for the Nambu-Goto term (its variation is not equal to zero). This means that the braneworld volume is not minimized any more and we do not have simply a minimal isometric immersion still an isometric immersion though. Thus the second

fundamental form geometry of the immersion, though restricted further has a more complicated role in the overall dynamics. Bearing in mind this fact our primary objective in this section will be to combine the ingredients from the previous sections in order to find a geometrical method of implementing into the Einstein equation the induced braneworld metric condition which may be considered as a constraint equation in an alternative approach which enables the reduction of the brane action term naturally to a new cosmological constant term in the overall action. By doing so one may derive the Einstein equations by means of the usual independent metric variation methods then one can express these equations solely in terms of the bulk coordinates of the braneworld by implementing the above mentioned constraint. In this manner, we may also be able to express the gravity dynamics explicitly in terms of the second fundamental form (the extrinsic curvature). Now as a start let us consider the gravity and the matter coupled dynamic braneworld action which can be given as

$$S_{Brane} = -T_p \int_W d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b)} + \int_W \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge *e^{AB} + *\Lambda \right) + \int_W \mathcal{L}_{Matter}, \quad (7.2)$$

where $G_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$ is the induced metric on the braneworld from the bulk which originates from the physical kinematics of the free brane dynamics¹⁵. Here the Hodge star operator is defined with respect to this induced braneworld metric (2.2). In the above action (W) stands for the braneworld fields. Also while the little Latin indices correspond to the bulk the capital ones correspond to the braneworld. We will take the moving co-frame on the braneworld as the coordinate co-frame $\{e^A\} = \{dx^A\} = \{\tilde{r}^A\}$ of the previous section. Thus the volume-form $d^{(p+1)}\sigma$ is also constructed from this co-frame and the braneworld metric components are calculated with respect to it. Both in (7.2) and in the following we prefer to use the notation $e^{ABC\dots} = e^A \wedge e^B \wedge e^C \wedge \dots$. In its most pure form in the above action apart from the matter fields the braneworld coordinates $\{\varphi^a(x^A)\}$ (as scalar fields on the braneworld) are the only independent fields. If the bulk does

¹⁵In order that the free brane mimics a relativistic particle its world volume must be minimized so that its metric must be the induced one and it must be an isometric immersion.

not admit any dynamics or if the braneworld dynamics do not bring any constraints on it then in the simplest case of (7.2) one may start with a bulk metric independently and derive the expression for the induced braneworld metric. On the other hand in the most general case if the bulk carries a dynamical structure on it which may or may not be induced by the braneworld then $G_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$ links the two dynamics. In either case not only the braneworld metric $G_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$ but also the related gravity structures become functionals of the braneworld coordinates. As the reader may quickly realize in its pure form in which (7.2) is considered solely in terms of $\{\varphi^a(x^A)\}$ the derivation of the variation of (7.2) in terms of $\{\varphi^a(x^A)\}$ becomes a highly non-standard and a cumbersome computation. This is due to the fact that as a result of the induced metric $G_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$

$$R_{AB}^{(W)} = R_{AB}^{(W)}(\varphi^a), \quad * = *(\varphi^a). \quad (7.3)$$

Due this complication we will follow a different track in which we will replace (7.2) with a constraint system. In (7.2) in addition to the matter fields and the braneworld coordinates $\{\varphi^a(x^A)\}$ we will take the braneworld metric G_{AB} as independent too. Therefore we see that in this case (2.2) namely $G_{AB} = g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b$ relates these independent fields to each other so it must be taken as a set of constraint equations in this new approach. Thus we have the equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Brane} = & -T_p \int_W d^{(p+1)}\sigma \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b)} \\ & + \int_W \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge *e^{AB} + *\Lambda \right) + \int_W \mathcal{L}_{Matter} \\ G_{AB} = & g_{ab}\partial_A\varphi^a\partial_B\varphi^b, \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Now in our new system through the action of the Hodge star operator on the volume-form one can express the variation of the metric in terms of the variations of the co-frame. Thus the variations of the co-frame are related to the variations of the brane world coordinates via the constraints. For this reason in this new approach where we also consider the brane world metric as a fundamental independent field one can not simply vary the action in (7.4) and equate the variation coefficients to zero to find the field equations since

they are not independent and they are related by the constraint equations. One way of overcoming this difficulty is to insert the constraint equation (2.2) a priori in the action of (7.4) then vary it abolishing the dependency of the variations. However still the resulting field equations must be solved together with the constraints (2.2). Now if we use the constraint equation of (7.4) in the action of (7.4) the braneworld kinetic term can be added to the cosmological constant term and we end up with the constraint system

$$S_{Brane} = \int_W \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge *e^{AB} + *\Lambda_{eff} \right) + \int_W \mathcal{L}_{Matter},$$

$$G_{AB} = g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b, \quad (7.5)$$

where $\Lambda_{eff} = \Lambda - T_p$ and the free fields are the $\{G_{AB}, \varphi^a\}$ and the matter fields. At this stage one may simply vary the action and derive the field equations without worrying about the constraints as we have mentioned above. Even, since (7.5) does not have any explicit $\{\varphi^a\}$ dependence if one suppresses and ignores the braneworld coordinate degrees of freedom as it is usual in the relative literature one may end up with an ordinary matter-gravity coupling problem on the braneworld. In this methodology one may follow two tracks after deriving the Einstein equations from (7.5) which do not have any explicit $\{\varphi^a\}$ dependence. Firstly if the bulk metric is not imposed one may study the braneworld gravity for various G_{AB} and its spin connections and then by using the constraint equations of (7.5) one may lift the results to the bulk. The second problem is the most general case which is therefore more involved. In this case the bulk metric may be assigned directly or it may emerge as a result of some dynamics in the bulk. Then although not explicitly appearing in the Einstein equations corresponding to (7.5), as hidden in the constraint part of (7.5) the braneworld coordinates $\{\varphi^a\}$ link the bulk dynamics to the brane dynamics. In this case since the bulk metric becomes a function of the braneworld coordinates at the brane-bulk interface one loses the freedom to start with an arbitrary braneworld metric G_{AB} to compute the relative spin connection. Therefore one must find means to express the Einstein equations obtained from (7.5) in terms of the true-original independent fields of (7.2) which are the braneworld coordinates. In this manner the braneworld dynamics can be directly related to the bulk one. The direct way of expressing the braneworld gravity sector in terms of the brane coordinates is to start with the constraint part of (7.5)

and then to derive the associated Levi-Civita connection on the bulk and to use the result in the Einstein equation. As the reader may realize this is technically a very non-linear method as we have mentioned before. The naturally preferred method is to make use of the Gauss equation of Section six, this is possible as the braneworld is intrinsically an isometric immersion in the bulk via the original definition of its dynamics. In this way following the usual gravity formulation of the braneworld which includes $R_{AB}^{(W)}$ one may directly implement the $\{\varphi^a\}$ dependence via the Gauss equation (6.33) whose right hand side is a function of $\{\varphi^a\}$. This will be the method we will use in this section. Up to now the formulation we have discussed remains in its most general form. Our major contribution within this general formalism is to specify the bulk. In this section we will specialize in the case where the bulk is a G/K symmetric space. In fact this is at the heart of the present work and although Section six presents the general formalism of relating the bulk and the braneworld, Sections four and five will provide the special bulk metric and its bulk spin connection which are required in the Gauss equation (6.33). Before deriving the field equations in the above mentioned direction we should remark one point. The Nambu-Goto action in (7.4) can freely be exchanged with the Polyakov one (2.8) without needing a further cosmological constant contribution. This is possible because the Polyakov version of (7.4) which is also a constraint system will produce a non-vanishing energy-momentum tensor as we have gravity and matter field couplings now. Thus the constraint equations in (7.4) are no more inconsistent with the Einstein equation of this equivalent Polyakov system. Thus they can be freely used to obtain the Nambu-Goto form. This justifies the equivalence of the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov versions of (7.4)¹⁶. We will not directly make use of the Polyakov version but this equivalence will enable us to assume the special form of the brane dynamics in the form of the symmetric space sigma model at the Polyakov level which is discussed in detail in Section three. Therefore we can state that our symmetry discussion of Section three keeps its validity for (7.2) and its equivalents (7.4) and (7.5). The bulk metric g_{ab} at the braneworld bulk intersection is again dictated by the symmetries of the brane theory which we will assume to be described by a symmetric space sigma model at the equivalent Polyakov formalism. We assume the symmetric space sigma model dynamics for the brane kinetic term so that in this way the brane dynamics may exhibit a rich class of conserved local and

¹⁶In this case we have $T_p = T(p+1)$.

global charges which can reflect itself as a rich structure of symmetries. The price one pays for this is the restrictions on the isometric immersion of the world volume in the symmetric space bulk. This is the major complication of this specific problem which we have already faced within the computation of the bulk curvature in Section five. As we have seen in Section four the symmetry requirements of the braneworld kinetics fix the form of the bulk metric at the braneworld intersection in terms of the braneworld coordinates as (4.11). Thus in this special case in (7.2) and its equivalents (7.4), (7.5) the bulk metric becomes (4.11). With this observation finally we can express the complete dynamics of the brane whose motion in a symmetric space bulk is governed by a symmetric space sigma model and which is coupled to the gravity and the matter fields as

$$S_{Brane} = \int_W \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge *e^{AB} + *\Lambda_{eff} \right) + \int_W \mathcal{L}_{Matter},$$

$$G_{AB} = g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b,$$

$$\begin{aligned} g = & -\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{A}_{ij} d\phi^i \otimes d\phi^j - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \Omega_\beta^\alpha d\phi^i \otimes d\chi^\beta \\ & - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{B}_{i\alpha} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} \Omega_\beta^\alpha d\chi^\beta \otimes d\phi^i - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j \phi^j} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_i \phi^i} \Omega_\gamma^\alpha \Omega_\tau^\beta d\chi^\gamma \otimes d\chi^\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

The later two are constraints on the independent fields $\{\varphi^a(x^A), G_{AB}\}$. The method we will follow to express the gravity sector of (7.6) in terms of the true independent fields which are the braneworld coordinates is in three steps. First we will derive the field equations by considering G_{AB} as an independent field in addition to the braneworld coordinates. This is possible due to the construction of the action (7.6) by introducing constraints as we have discussed above. Secondly one computes the Levi-Civita connection of the bulk metric given in (7.6). This is exactly what we have done in Section five. We will simply adopt the results from there. Thirdly in the Gauss equation we will use this bulk spin connection arising from the braneworld symmetries at the intersection of the bulk with the braneworld. When this form of the Gauss equation is used in the isometrically immersed braneworld curvature

which enters into the braneworld Einstein equation then it finally reformulates the dynamics in terms of the braneworld coordinates. In all of the following formulation as the reader may appreciate we will avoid using the explicit form of the bulk spin curvature components derived in (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) to save space and to prevent our results from looking excessively messy. For this reason in the following formulas the bulk spin curvature components will be left in their compact form. Therefore although the results are also applicable for the general bulk metric the expressions when the bulk curvature components are substituted from (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) explicitly computes the braneworld gravity sector in terms of the braneworld coordinates for the special case of the symmetric space bulk metric arising from the symmetric space sigma model kinetics of the brane. Apart from the complementing derivations of sections four and five these equations¹⁷ defining the braneworld gravity in symmetric space bulk is the main objective of this work. Now if we vary the action in (7.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_{Brane} &= \int_W \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} \delta R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge *e^{AB} + \frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R_{AB}^{(W)} \wedge \delta *e^{AB} + \Lambda_{eff} \delta *1 \right) \\
&\quad + \int_W \delta \mathcal{L}_{Matter} \\
&= \int_W \delta e^C \wedge \left(\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} *e_{CAB} \wedge R^{(W)AB} + \Lambda_{eff} *e_C + *t_C \right) \\
&\quad + \int_W F(\delta X_{other}), \tag{7.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where the first term on the RHS vanishes because it can be written in terms of $\delta\omega_B^A$ or $\delta\Gamma_{BC}^A$ which are functions of the variations of the torsion components which vanish as we assume that the connection is the Levi-Civita connection on the braneworld [52]. Alternatively [50] if one assumes that $\{e^A\}$ is an orthogonal frame that term can be shown to generate a surface term which vanishes again due to the assumption of the vanishing variations at the boundaries. In (7.7) $\{t_C\}$ are the braneworld energy-momentum one-forms which result from the metric related variation terms in $\delta\mathcal{L}_{Matter}$

¹⁷Though left in an implicit form.

and $\{\delta X_{other}\}$ are the non-metric related variations of the other fields in the theory. Now if we equate (7.7) to zero we get the Einstein equation for the braneworld as

$$-\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} *e_{CAB} \wedge R^{(W)AB} - \Lambda_{eff} *e_C = *t_C. \quad (7.8)$$

In terms of the braneworld Riemann tensor components this equation can be written as [50]

$$\left(-\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R^{(W)A}{}_{BA}{}^B G_{CD} + \frac{1}{8\kappa\pi} R^{(W)A}{}_{CAD} - \Lambda_{eff} G_{CD} \right) *e^D = T_{CD} *e^D, \quad (7.9)$$

where $T_{CD}e^D = t_C$ and T_{CD} is the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields on the braneworld. Thus the Einstein equation in its familiar form reads

$$-\frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} R^{(W)A}{}_{BA}{}^B G_{CD} + \frac{1}{8\kappa\pi} R^{(W)A}{}_{CAD} - \Lambda_{eff} G_{CD} = T_{CD}. \quad (7.10)$$

This equation together with the matter field equations must be simultaneously solved with the constraints appearing in (7.6). For this reason the constraint equations must be implemented into the Einstein equation (7.10). Although a direct substitution can be considered as a first guess it will algebraically be more involved and geometrically less apparent. Alternatively as we have discussed we will make use of the Gauss equation of the last section which reveals the immersion geometry characteristics by expressing the equations explicitly in terms of the extrinsic curvature. In this manner, one can also monitor the geometry of the braneworld inside the bulk, besides one can easily tune further restrictions on this geometry within such a form of Einstein equation. Especially this explicit form of the gravity dynamics can be directly coupled to the bulk dynamics via the braneworld coordinates. Now let us consider the straightforward substitution of the constraint equation in (7.10). Firstly [52, 55]

$$R^{(W)A}{}_{BCD} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{DB}^E \tilde{\Gamma}_{CE}^A - \tilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^E \tilde{\Gamma}_{DE}^A + \partial_C \tilde{\Gamma}_{DB}^A - \partial_D \tilde{\Gamma}_{CB}^A, \quad (7.11)$$

where we have used the fact that $\{r_A\} = \{\partial/\partial x^A\}$. The Christoffel coefficients can be calculated from the induced braneworld metric components as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Gamma}_{AB}^C &= \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \partial^C \varphi^a \partial^D \varphi^b [\partial_A (g_{ab} \partial_B \varphi^a \partial_D \varphi^b) + \partial_B (g_{ab} \partial_D \varphi^a \partial_A \varphi^b) \\ &\quad - \partial_D (g_{ab} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b)],\end{aligned}\tag{7.12}$$

where g_{ab} is the bulk metric in (7.6). Now if one substitutes (7.11) into (7.10) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}& - \frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} (g_{ab} \partial_C \varphi^a \partial_D \varphi^b) \left[\tilde{\Gamma}^{EB}{}_B \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{AE} - \tilde{\Gamma}^E{}_{AB} \tilde{\Gamma}^{AB}{}_E + \partial_A \tilde{\Gamma}^{AB}{}_B - \partial^B \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{AB} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{8\kappa\pi} \left[\tilde{\Gamma}^E{}_{DC} \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{AE} - \tilde{\Gamma}^E{}_{AC} \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{DE} + \partial_A \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{DC} - \partial_D \tilde{\Gamma}^A{}_{AC} \right] - \Lambda_{eff} (g_{ab} \partial_C \varphi^a \partial_D \varphi^b) \\ & = T_{CD}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.13}$$

It is obvious that though direct, this equation is insensitive to the geometrical characteristics of the immersion. For this reason beside (7.13) we will also present another formulation combining the constraint equation and the Einstein equation by means of the Gauss equation. By this way, one can explicitly monitor the bulk and the braneworld curvature relationship. Such a form may find more use when one also adds bulk gravity to the theory. The Bulk curvature on the braneworld is calculated in Section five with respect to a general bulk moving co-frame (5.1) which is obtained from the bulk coordinate frame by dressings. However the Gauss equation (6.33) which is certainly dependent on the bulk frame chosen (and thus a component equation) is constructed with respect to the basis (6.6) which is rather special and which enables one to derive (6.33) as it is based on the geometrical decomposition (6.3). Thus to be able to use the Riemann tensor components belonging to the bulk curvature components (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) in the Gauss equation (6.33) we must do two steps of bulk co-frame transformations from (5.1) to (6.6) and the associated bulk curvature transformations. Namely starting from the ones in (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) we must reach the ones used in (6.33) which correspond to a special moving co-frame. In (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43), we have computed the bulk curvature two-forms with respect to the moving co-frame $\{e^a\}$ which is related to the coordinate

co-frame $\{d\varphi^a\}$ as

$$e^a = L^a_b d\varphi^b, \quad (7.14)$$

where

$$L = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} i=1,2,\dots,r \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \end{array} \right) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \alpha=1,2,\dots,n \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) \end{matrix} \\ \hline \begin{matrix} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\Omega} \end{array} \right) \end{matrix} \end{array} \right) \begin{matrix} i \\ || \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ r \\ || \\ \alpha \\ || \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ n \end{matrix}. \quad (7.15)$$

Here

$$\tilde{\Omega}^\alpha_\beta = e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j\phi^j} \Omega^\alpha_\beta. \quad (7.16)$$

The dual frame transforms as

$$b_c = \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi^a} (L^{-1})^a_c. \quad (7.17)$$

By using the transformation (7.17) one can compute the curvature two-forms (the ones with the check below) with respect to the bulk coordinate frame $\{\partial/\partial\varphi^a\}$ as [50]¹⁸

$$\check{R}^a_b = (L^{-1})^a_d \check{R}^d_e L^e_b, \quad (7.18)$$

from which one can read the Riemann tensor components as

$$\check{R}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \check{R}_{abcd} d\varphi^c \wedge d\varphi^d. \quad (7.19)$$

In order to make use of the Riemann tensor components emerging from the computed curvature elements (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) in the Gauss equation (6.33) we have to do one more transformation on them to relate them to the ones appearing in (6.33). This time we have to transform the Riemann tensor components from the coordinate basis $\{\partial/\partial\varphi^a\}$ to the one $\{e'_a\}$ given in (6.6). These are related via (6.9) as

$$e'_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi^b} S^b_a, \quad (7.20)$$

¹⁸One should be careful when writing (7.18) as one needs to perform index raising in (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) to construct (7.18) and here or anywhere else in this manuscript one has to use the appropriate bulk or braneworld metric components which correspond to the frame being worked in.

where we know that $S^b{}_A = \partial_A \varphi^b$ thus

$$r_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^b} \partial_A \varphi^b. \quad (7.21)$$

By using this transformation now we can express the Riemann tensor components R_{ABCD} which are with respect to the frame $\{e'_a\}$ for the indices $a, b, \dots = 1, \dots, p+1$ ¹⁹ in terms of the ones with respect to the frame $\{\partial/\partial\varphi^a\}$. The former are the ones on which the Gauss equation is based on and the later are the explicitly computed ones and they can be read from (5.34), (5.42), and (5.43) via (7.18), (7.19). Thus from (7.20) and (7.21) we have

$$R_{ABCD} = \check{R}_{abcd} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b \partial_C \varphi^c \partial_D \varphi^d. \quad (7.22)$$

Finally we can write the Gauss equation (6.33)²⁰ explicitly in terms of the braneworld coordinates as

$$R_{ABCD}^W = \check{R}_{abcd} \partial_A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b \partial_C \varphi^c \partial_D \varphi^d + \mathcal{F}_{AC}{}^m \mathcal{F}_{BDm} - \mathcal{F}_{BC}{}^m \mathcal{F}_{ADm}. \quad (7.23)$$

Substitution of this relation into the braneworld Einstein equation (7.10) finalizes the process of implementing the induced braneworld metric constraint and deriving the gravity dynamics explicitly in terms of the braneworld coordinates which enter into the following equations through the explicitly computed bulk curvatures of Section five which we leave in compact form. Thus finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{16\kappa\pi} (g_{ef} \partial_C \varphi^e \partial_D \varphi^f) \left[\check{R}_{abcd} \partial^A \varphi^a \partial_B \varphi^b \partial_A \varphi^c \partial^B \varphi^d + \mathcal{F}_A{}^m \mathcal{F}_B{}^m \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{F}_{BA}{}^m \mathcal{F}^{AB}{}_m \right] + \frac{1}{8\kappa\pi} \left[\check{R}_{abcd} \partial^A \varphi^a \partial_C \varphi^b \partial_A \varphi^c \partial_D \varphi^d + \mathcal{F}_A{}^m \mathcal{F}_{CDm} \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{F}_{CA}{}^m \mathcal{F}^A{}_{Dm} \right] - \Lambda_{eff} (g_{ab} \partial_C \varphi^a \partial_D \varphi^b) = T_{CD}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

¹⁹That is to say the components for the subset of indices $a, b, \dots \equiv A, B, \dots$.

²⁰Though notationally implicit as we have discussed before the reader should consider the following equations together with the equations (5.34), (5.42), (5.43), (7.18), and (7.19) which lead to the explicit expressions of the Einstein equation in terms of the braneworld coordinates.

We remark that here the indices A, B, \dots are raised and lowered by the induced braneworld metric (2.2) whose components are computed with respect to the braneworld frame $\{r_A\} = \{\partial/\partial x^A\}$. In addition to being directly in terms of the true independent fields of the theory since expressed in terms of the second fundamental form or the extrinsic curvature components as tuning parameters of geometry it must be apparent to the reader that geometrically (7.24) is more eligible than (7.13). One straightforward application of managing the geometry of the braneworld immersion through (7.24) can be said to occur when the braneworld immersion is desired to be a totally geodesic one. In this case one demands that any geodesic in the braneworld is also a geodesic in the bulk with respect to the bulk metric connection. This requirement can simply be considered to emerge from a physical need of the completeness of the gravity theory; the geodesic motion must be valid both in the bulk and the braneworld. In this case to generate such solutions, in (7.24) one may simply equate the \mathcal{F} -terms to zero as for totally geodesic immersions the second fundamental form is identically zero sufficiently and necessarily [37, 38, 39, 40]. However to generate the entire solution space of such totally geodesic immersions one must introduce the vanishing of the second fundamental form as a constraint equation at the level of total action.

8 Conclusion

After discussing the low energy free Dp-brane dynamics in symmetric space G/K bulk and comparing the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov actions in Section two we introduced the symmetric space sigma model action with the global G and the local K symmetry in the solvable lie algebra gauge in Section three. By inspecting this action in Section four, we have read the bulk metric which is required at the symmetric space bulk-braneworld intersection for the symmetries to occur. We have also discussed that this bulk metric which we have explicitly constructed on a local coordinate chart must be G -invariant. In the following section, we have calculated the elements of the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to this metric on the bulk. Later, following the construction of the Gauss equation of the braneworld immersion of Section six, in Section seven we have discussed the implementation of the first fundamental form into the Einstein equation when the induced braneworld metric is coupled to gravity as the brane moves in the symmetric space bulk. Although the finalizing formulae of Section seven are left in their

most compact form as we have discussed several times when incorporated with the explicit curvature computations of Section five they lead us to the expressions of the brane dynamics purely in terms of the braneworld coordinates. This perspective which is aimed from the beginning sits at the core of the entire formulation.

In this work, we have presented the basics of the braneworld gravity when the brane motion takes place in a symmetric space. Due to the symmetry properties of the bulk which can be systematically constructed from Lie groups the problem of brane motion in symmetric space has restrictive and governing characteristics. In this manner, specifying the nature of the bulk has enabled us to refine the general braneworld gravity analysis to the particular case studied in this work. We have focussed on the symmetry characteristics of the problem which require a special form of a bulk metric in terms of the solvable lie algebra gauge parameters of the sigma model. In a series of sections our primary point of view was to compute the basic steps of the standard braneworld gravity [8] which lead to the substitution of the Gauss equation into the Einstein equation for our specially required bulk metric which is put on the scene by the symmetries of the brane action. On the contrary, we have not examined and discussed the details of the physical aspects of the problem [8, 56, 57]. We can say that, the main concern of this work is to study the technically somewhat involved immersion structure of the braneworld gravity when the background is a symmetric space. In this direction we have studied and shed light on the Gauss and Einstein equation correspondence of braneworld gravity and cosmology for symmetric space backgrounds. Therefore the results of this work are computational rather than being physical. However, starting from the results achieved here, by introducing also the bulk gravity one can study further the junction conditions, the initial value problem, the brane-observers etc. The details in these directions need the further manipulation of the relations of the bulk and the braneworld curvatures in the Einstein equations which may be obtained from the structural equations of the braneworld immersion likewise the Codazzi equation. Furthermore, the specification of the bulk and the braneworld matter fields may enable one to study the physical solutions and the braneworld geometries including the FRW braneworld cosmologies in symmetric space bulk. By this way one can link the connection to the RS braneworld models [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Although we have presented the basic equations of the braneworld immersion gravity, our formulation, in its general form is for an arbitrary dimensional braneworld in a generic symmetric space background.

Therefore on its own right the identification of the G -invariant bulk metric and the calculation of its Levi-Civita connection contributes new computational results to the geometry of symmetric spaces. On the other hand, the reader should appreciate the relevance of the special kind of bulk chosen here to the M-theoretical holography principle [58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63]. Studying braneworld gravity in symmetric space bulk can form a new computational region in which one can search for new realizations of the M-theoretical holography principle [58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63] within the context of classical and braneworld cosmologies [64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71].

References

- [1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “*An alternative to compactification*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690, hep-th/9906064.
- [2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “*A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370, hep-ph/9905221.
- [3] D. Langlois, “*Brane cosmology: An introduction*”, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **148** (2003) 181, hep-th/0209261.
- [4] J. E. Lidsey, “*Inflation and braneworlds*”, Lect. Notes Phys. **646** (2004) 357, astro-ph/0305528.
- [5] A. O. Barvinsky, “*Braneworld effective action and origin of inflation*”, Phys. Rev. **D65** (2002) 062003, hep-th/0107244.
- [6] G. Huey and J. E. Lidsey, “*Inflation, braneworlds and quintessence*”, Phys. Lett. **B514** (2001) 217, astro-ph/0104006.
- [7] N. T. Jones, H. Stoica and S. H. H. Tye, “*Brane interaction as the origin of inflation*”, JHEP **0207** (2002) 051, hep-th/0203163.
- [8] R. Maartens, “*Brane-world gravity*”, Living Rev. Rel. **7** (2004) 7, gr-qc/0312059.
- [9] S. Helgason, “**Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces**”, (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34, American Mathematical Society Providence R. I., 2001).

- [10] C. V. Johnson, “*D-brane primer*”, hep-th/0007170.
- [11] J. A. Garcia, R. Linares and J. D. Vergara, “*Weyl invariant p-brane and Dp-brane actions*”, Phys. Lett. **B503** (2001) 154, hep-th/0011085.
- [12] G. W. Gibbons, “*Born-Infeld particles and Dirichlet p-branes*”, Nucl. Phys. **B514** (1998) 603, hep-th/9709027.
- [13] M. J. Duff, “*Supermembranes*”, hep-th/9611203.
- [14] M. Cederwall, “*Aspects of D-brane actions*”, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **56B** (1997) 61, hep-th/9612153.
- [15] J. Polchinski, “*Lectures on D-branes*”, hep-th/9611050.
- [16] M. Abou Zeid and C. M. Hull, “*Intrinsic geometry of D-branes*”, Phys. Lett. **B404** (1997) 264, hep-th/9704021.
- [17] M. Abou Zeid and C. M. Hull, “*Geometric actions for D-branes and M-branes*”, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 277, hep-th/9802179.
- [18] P. S. Howe and R. W. Tucker, “*A locally supersymmetric and reparametrization invariant action for a spinning membrane*”, J. Phys. **A10** (1977) L155.
- [19] A. M. Polyakov, “*Quantum geometry of bosonic strings*”, Phys. Lett. **B103** (1981) 207.
- [20] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, “*On the dual symmetry of the nonlinear sigma models*”, Nucl. Phys. **B155** (1979) 381.
- [21] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, “*More about nonlinear sigma models on symmetric spaces*”, Nucl. Phys. **B164** (1980) 528.
- [22] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, “*Higher local conservation laws for nonlinear sigma models on symmetric spaces*”, Commun. Math. Phys. **82** (1981) 227.
- [23] H. Eichenherr, “*Geometric analysis of integrable nonlinear sigma models*”, Lect. Notes Phys. **151** (1982) 189.

- [24] V. E. Zakharov and A. V. Mikhailov, “*Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models of field theory which are integrable by means of the inverse scattering problem method*”, Sov. Phys. JETP **47** (1978) 1017; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **74** (1978) 1953.
- [25] K. Uhlenbeck, “*Harmonic maps into Lie groups: Classical solutions of the chiral model*”, J. Diff. Geo. **30** (1989) 1.
- [26] A. P. Fordy (Ed.) and J. C. Wood (Ed.), “**Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems**”, (Aspects of Mathematics, Vol. E23, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1994).
- [27] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, “*Dualisation of dualities. I.*”, Nucl. Phys. **B523** (1998) 73, hep-th/9710119.
- [28] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, “*Dualisation of dualities II : Twisted self-duality of doubled fields and superdualities*”, Nucl. Phys. **B535** (1998) 242, hep-th/9806106.
- [29] R. Percacci and E. Sezgin, “*Properties of gauged sigma models*”, (Published in College Station 1998, Relativity, particle physics and cosmology 255-278), hep-th/9810183.
- [30] N. T. Yilmaz, “*Dualisation of the general scalar coset in supergravity theories*”, Nucl. Phys. **B664** (2003) 357, hep-th/0301236.
- [31] N. T. Yilmaz, “*The non-split scalar coset in supergravity theories*”, Nucl. Phys. **B675** (2003) 122, hep-th/0407006.
- [32] T. Dereli and N. T. Yilmaz, “*Dualisation of the symmetric space sigma model with couplings*”, Nucl. Phys. **B705** (2005) 60, hep-th/0507007.
- [33] N. T. Yilmaz, “*Symmetric space sigma-model dynamics: Internal metric formalism*”, Phys. Lett. **B642** (2006) 270, hep-th/0611010.
- [34] M. Born and L. Infeld, “*Foundations of the new field theory*”, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A144** (1934) 425.
- [35] P. A. M. Dirac, “*An extensible model of the electron*”, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A268** (1962) 57.

- [36] R. W. R. Darling, “**Differential Forms and Connections**”, (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [37] Y. Aminov, “**The Geometry of Submanifolds**”, (Gordon and Breach Science Pub. Amsterdam, 2001).
- [38] M. P. Do Carmo, “**Riemannian Geometry**”, (Birkhauser, Boston, 1992).
- [39] S. Sternberg, “**Semi-Riemann Geometry and General Relativity**”, (Lecture Notes, Unpublished, 2003).
- [40] B. O’Neill, “**Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity**”, (Academic Press, San Diego, 1983).
- [41] J. H. Schwarz, “*Classical symmetries of some two-dimensional models*”, Nucl. Phys. **B447** (1995) 137, hep-th/9503078.
- [42] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré and M. Trigiante, “*RR scalars, U-duality and solvable Lie algebras*”, Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 617, hep-th/9611014.
- [43] N. T. Yilmaz, “*On the symmetric space sigma-model kinematics*”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A22** (2007) 2683, arXiv:0707.2150 [hep-th].
- [44] P. C. West, “*Supergravity, brane dynamics and string duality*”, hep-th/9811101.
- [45] Y. Tanii, “*Introduction to supergravities in diverse dimensions*”, hep-th/9802138.
- [46] J. F. Cornwell, “**Group Theory in Physics: An Introduction**”, (Academic Press, San Diego, 1997).
- [47] K. M. Hoffman, R. A. Kunze, “**Linear Algebra**”, (2nd Edition, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1971).
- [48] C. J. Isham, “**Modern Differential Geometry for Physicists**”, (World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore, 1989).
- [49] I. M. Benn, R. W. Tucker, “**An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry with Applications in Physics**”, (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1987).

- [50] W. Thirring, “**A Course in Mathematical Physics I and II: Classical Dynamical Systems and Classical Field Theory**”, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992).
- [51] M. Nakahara, “**Geometry, Topology and Physics**”, (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1991).
- [52] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, “**Gravitation**”, (W. H. Freeman, San Francisco 1973).
- [53] S. S. Chern, “**Minimal Submanifolds in a Riemannian Manifold**”, (Technical Report 19, University of Kansas, Kansas, 1968).
- [54] B. Lawson, “**Lectures on Minimal Submanifolds**”, (Vol. 1, Publish or Perish, Berkeley, 1980).
- [55] B. F. Schutz, “**A First Course in General Relativity**”, (Cambridge University Press, 1985).
- [56] T. Shiromizu, K. Maeda and M. Sasaki, “*The Einstein equations on the 3-brane world*”, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 024012, gr-qc/9910076.
- [57] R. M. Wald, “**General Relativity**”, (University Of Chicago Press, 1984).
- [58] L. Susskind, “*The world as a hologram*”, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 6377, hep-th/9409089.
- [59] C. R. Stephens, G. 't Hooft and B. F. Whiting, “*Black hole evaporation without information loss*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 621, gr-qc/9310006.
- [60] E. Witten, “*Anti-de Sitter space and holography*”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [61] L. Susskind and E. Witten, “*The holographic bound in anti-de Sitter space*”, hep-th/9805114.
- [62] J. M. Maldacena, “*The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231; Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113, hep-th/9711200.

- [63] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “*Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*”, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109.
- [64] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. Porrati and L. Randall, “*Holography and phenomenology*”, JHEP **0108** (2001) 017, hep-th/0012148.
- [65] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer and D. Minic, “*Exploring de Sitter space and holography*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 5655; Annals Phys. **303** (2003) 59, hep-th/0207245.
- [66] S. S. Gubser, “*AdS/CFT and gravity*”, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 084017, hep-th/9912001.
- [67] I. Savonije and E. P. Verlinde, “*CFT and entropy on the brane*”, Phys. Lett. **B507** (2001) 305, hep-th/0102042.
- [68] A. Padilla, “*Brane world cosmology and holography*”, hep-th/0210217.
- [69] W. Fischler and L. Susskind, “*Holography and cosmology*”, hep-th/9806039.
- [70] D. Bak and S. J. Rey, “*Cosmic holography*”, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) L83, hep-th/9902173.
- [71] R. Bousso, “*Holography in general space-times*”, JHEP **9906** (1999) 028, hep-th/9906022.