

Small scale energy cascade of the solar wind turbulence

O. Alexandrova

LESIA/CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, 5 pl. J. Janssen, 92195 Meudon, France

V. Carbone, P. Veltri

Dip. Fisica/CNISM, Ponte P. Bucci, Cubo 31C, 87036 Rende (CS), Italy

and

L. Sorriso-Valvo

LICRYL/CNR, Ponte P. Bucci, Cubo 33B, 87036 Rende (CS), Italy

ABSTRACT

Magnetic fluctuations in the solar wind are distributed according to Kolmogorov's power law $f^{-5/3}$ below the ion cyclotron frequency f_{ci} . Above this frequency, the observed steeper power law is usually interpreted in two different ways: a dissipative range of the solar wind turbulence or another turbulent cascade, the nature of which is still an open question. Using the Cluster magnetic data we show that after the spectral break the intermittency increases toward higher frequencies, indicating the presence of non-linear interactions inherent to a new inertial range and not to the dissipative range. At the same time the level of compressible fluctuations raises. We show that the energy transfer rate and intermittency are sensitive to the level of compressibility of the magnetic fluctuations within the small scale inertial range. We conjecture that the time needed to establish this inertial range is shorter than the eddy-turnover time, and is related to dispersive effects. A simple phenomenological model, based on the compressible Hall MHD, predicts the magnetic spectrum $\sim k^{-7/3+2\alpha}$, which depends on the degree of plasma compression α .

Subject headings: solar wind turbulence, Hall MHD

1. Introduction

Solar wind, which is highly turbulent, represents a unique opportunity to investigate turbulence in natural plasmas via *in situ* measurements (Tu and Marsch 1995; Bruno and Carbone 2005).

In non-magnetized fluids, where the energy injection scale is far from the dissipation one, the intermediate scales (inertial range) are described by the universal power law Kolmogorov spectrum k^{-s} with $s = 5/3$. This law depends neither on the energy injection nor on the energy dissipation processes.

In the solar wind, and in the interplanetary space in general, the mean free path roughly corresponds to the Sun-Earth distance and the usual dissipation via collisions is negligible. At the same time, in a magnetized plasma there is a number of characteristic space and temporal scales. Investigating solar wind turbulence at these scales is then a challenging topic from the point of view of basic plasma physics. Here we will focus our discussion on the ion scales, namely the ion inertial length $\lambda_i = c/\omega_{pi}$ and the ion cyclotron frequency $f_{ci} = eB/m_i$. At these scales the fluid-like approximation of plasma dynamics, the usual Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) description, breaks down in favor of a more complex description of plasma.

Solar wind turbulent spectrum of magnetic field fluctuations follows a $\sim f^{-5/3}$ power law below the ion cyclotron frequency f_{ci} . For $f > f_{ci}$ the spectrum steepens significantly, but is still described by a power law f^{-s} , with $s \in (2 - 4)$ (Leamon et al. 1998; Smith et al. 2006).

In situ solar wind measurements provide time series data, i.e. information on frequency in Fourier space. How to get any information on wave vectors? For fluctuations with velocities much smaller than the plasma bulk velocity V , the Taylor hypothesis is valid: the observed variations on a time scale δt correspond to variations on the spatial scale $\delta r = V\delta t$. Therefore there is a direct correspondence between f and k spectra. If the solar wind turbulence was a mixture of linear wave modes, Taylor hypothesis would be well verified only below the spectral break: the bulk velocity is superalfvénic ($V > V_A$, where V_A being the Alfvén speed) and so the low frequency fluctuations can be considered as frozen in plasma. However, whistler waves (with $f > f_{ci}$ and phase speed $V_\varphi > V_A$) do not satisfy the Taylor hypothesis assumption. In this study we assume that in the solar wind there are no whistler waves above the spectral break frequency. This last assumption is supported by results recently obtained in the Earth’s magnetosheath (Mangeney et al. 2006).

Using the Taylor hypothesis the observed solar wind spectrum below the break is usually attributed to the Kolmogorov’s spectrum $\sim k^{-5/3}$. Above the spectral break, the spectral steepening, $\sim k^{-3}$, can be interpreted in two different ways. Some authors associate it to the dissipation range (Leamon et al. 1998; Leamon et al. 1999; Leamon et al. 2000; Bale et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2006). Others suggest that after the spectral break another turbulent cascade takes place (Biskamp et al. 1996; Ghosh et al. 1996; Stawicki et al. 2001; Li et al. 2001; Galtier 2006).

In ordinary fluid flows the dissipation range is described by an exponential function (Frisch 1995). While in the solar wind, a well-defined power law is observed after the break point and not an exponential. Note that power spectra, i.e. the second order statistics, completely describe Gaussian, or statistically independent, fluctuations. However, as is well known, fluctuations cannot be described by a Gaussian statistics in the low-frequency part of the solar wind turbulence (Bruno and Carbone 2005). Deviations from Gaussianity, i.e. intermittency (Frisch 1995), may be quantified by the flatness, the forth-order moment of fluctuations.

In this paper we investigate the nature of magnetic fluctuations in the high frequency range of the solar wind turbulence, that is usually called dissipation range. We find that in this range the flatness increase with frequency. This is similar to what is going on in the low-frequency range. The presence of intermittency together with the well defined power law in the high-frequency part of the spectrum suggests another turbulent cascade rather than a dissipation range. This small scale cascade is observed to be much more compressible than the Kolmogorov-like inertial range, in agreement with the previous observations by the Wind spacecraft (Leamon et al. 1998). We show that the energy transfer rate and intermittency are sensitive to the level of compressibility of the turbulent fluctuations in this range. Finally, we propose a simple phenomenological model, based on the compressible Hall MHD, which allows to explain the observed range of the spectral indices in the high frequency part of the solar wind spectrum.

2. Turbulent spectra and intermittency

In the present study we analyze the Cluster magnetic field data up to 12.5 Hz (or $100f_{ci}$). We use 57 minutes of data during the interval 22:35:00–23:32:00 UT on 5 April 2001, when Cluster was in the solar wind not connected to the bow-shock. During this period the interplanetary magnetic field is $B \simeq 7$ nT, the ion density is $n_i \simeq 3$ cm⁻³, the plasma bulk velocity is $V \simeq 540$ km/s, the ion temperature is $T_i \simeq 33$ eV, the Alfvén speed is $V_A = B/\sqrt{\mu_0 m_i n_i} \simeq 90$ km/s, the ion skin depth λ_i is about 130 km, the ion Larmor radius is $\rho_i \simeq 80$ km and the ion plasma beta is $\beta_i = 2\mu_0 p_i/B^2 \simeq 0.8$, p_i being the pressure of the ions. All the data are from Cluster spacecraft one, B is determined from the fluxgate magnetometer (FGM) (Balogh et al. 2001), the other plasma parameters are provided by CIS/HIA instrument (Réme et al. 2001). Magnetic field fluctuations are measured by the search coils (SC) of the STAFF experiment with 0.04 s time resolution

(Cornilleau-Wehrin et al. 2003). This instrument¹ operates in the frequency domain (0.35 – 12.5) Hz. We use FGM data to resolve the frequencies below 0.35 Hz. Results from Cluster have been compared with data from the Helios 2 satellite within the range $(0.001 - 1)f_{ci}$. These data are selected within a high-speed stream $V \simeq 600$ km/s when the satellite orbited at 0.96 AU (Bruno et al. 2003).

In order to analyze the magnetic field fluctuations in the solar wind we use the Morlet wavelet transform, defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_i(\tau, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_i(t_j) \psi[(t_j - t)/\tau] \quad (1)$$

which represents the transform of the i -th component of the magnetic field $B_i(t_j)$, a data time series with equal time spacing δt and $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$. Here τ is a time scale (the corresponding frequency is $f = 1/\tau$), while $\psi(u) = 2^{1/2}\pi^{-1/4} \cos(\omega_0 u) \exp[-u^2/2]$ is the Morlet wavelet, where $\omega_0 = 6$. The square of a wavelet coefficient gives a “quantum of energy” of magnetic fluctuations on a scale τ at a time t . Thus we define the power spectral density of B_i as

$$\mathcal{S}_i[\text{nT}^2/\text{Hz}] = \frac{2\delta t}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |\mathcal{W}_i(\tau, t_j)|^2. \quad (2)$$

Fig. 1 shows the total power spectrum density of the magnetic fluctuations $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=x,y,z} \mathcal{S}_i$ (solid lines) and the spectrum of magnetic field modulus fluctuations $\delta|\mathbf{B}|$ (dashed-dotted lines), which corresponds to the spectrum of parallel fluctuations of magnetic field \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} . In agreement with previous observations, the solar wind spectra follow well defined power laws with a break around $f_b \simeq 0.3$ Hz, in the vicinity of $f_{ci} \simeq 0.1$ Hz. Taking into account the Taylor hypothesis, f_b corresponds to a spatial scale $V/f_b \simeq 1800$ km $\simeq 15\lambda_i$ and to the normalized angular wavenumber $k\lambda_i \simeq 0.4$ ($k\rho_i \simeq 0.3$). Below the break, the Helios spectrum $\mathcal{S} \sim f^{-1.65}$ is fitted roughly by Kolmogorov’s law. The Cluster FGM spectrum covers a (0.02 – 0.5) Hz frequency range, the lower resolved frequency here is determined by the length of the considered time period, 57 minutes, and by a cone of influence of the Morlet wavelet transform (Torrence and Compo 1998). Even if the frequency range is not that large, this spectrum can be described by a power law $\mathcal{S} \sim f^{-1.75}$. Above the break, the spectrum follows a $\mathcal{S} \sim f^{-2.6}$ law: the spectral index 2.6 lies in the usual observed range, between 2 and 4 (Smith et al. 2006). As regards the spectrum \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} , it is remarkable, that

¹STAFF-SC measures magnetic fluctuations above 0.1 Hz. As the Cluster spin frequency is 0.25 Hz, there are artefacts at 0.15 and 0.35 Hz in the solar wind. We note that in the magnetosheath, where the level of magnetic fluctuations is much higher than in the solar wind, this problem is almost unnoticeable.

after the spectral break, the compressible magnetic fluctuations become much more important than in the low frequency part of the spectrum. This can be quantified by the ratio $\langle \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} \rangle / \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$, that is 0.02 – 0.05 in the low frequency part and 0.26 in the high frequency part of the spectrum. This means that nearly 30% of the magnetic fluctuations above the spectral break are compressible.

Let us now consider statistical properties of turbulent fluctuations in the high-frequency part of the spectrum. Fig. 2 shows the probability distribution functions (PDFs) of the normalized variables $b_x(\tau) = \mathcal{W}_x(\tau, t) / \sigma_x$, with the standard deviation $\sigma_x = \langle \mathcal{W}_x(\tau, t)^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, at three different time scales τ ; the dashed lines indicate the corresponding Gaussian fits. Apparently, the smaller the scale, the more the PDFs deviate from Gaussian. The PDFs of the other components of the magnetic field present a similar behaviour. The dependence of normalized PDFs on scale is a signature of intermittency (Frisch 1995; Bruno and Carbone 2005).

Intermittency may be quantified by the flatness. Fig. 3 shows the spectrum of flatness $F(f) = \langle \mathcal{W}_x^4 \rangle / \langle \mathcal{W}_x^2 \rangle^2$ of B_x fluctuations. We can see that in the low-frequency part F increases from the large-scale Gaussian value $F = 3$. This means that the usual Alfvénic cascade (Bruno and Carbone 2005) proceeds towards smaller scales building up phase correlations among fluctuations. In the vicinity of f_{ci} , Helios indicates an increase of the flatness and Cluster/FGM shows a slight decrease. This disagreement can be due to (i) aliasing at the highest frequencies of Helios; (ii) artifacts on FGM at frequencies around the satellite spin frequency 0.25 Hz; or to some other physical reasons which are outside of the scope of the present paper. Here we will focus our discussion on the higher frequencies, resolved by Cluster/STAFF-SC, where the flatness again displays a power-law dependence on f . This scaling behavior is inherent to non-linear dynamics and refers to a new kind of "inertial region".

In non-magnetized fluid, a rapid exponential increase of the flatness is observed in the near-dissipation range since only the strongest fluctuations survive while the others are destroyed by viscosity (Chevallard et al. 2005). After such increase the flatness saturates. In our case, the saturation is not observed and there is a power law increase of flatness. At the same time strong coherent structures which give an important increase of intermittency represent only (2 – 6)% of the fluctuations at each scale (see the insert of Fig. 3). This estimation has been made using a method proposed by Farge (1992), named *local intermittency measure* analysis. It consists in separating the intermittent events which participate to the non-Gaussian tails of the PDF's from the Gaussian fluctuations. At each scale τ we chose a threshold ζ for the energy of magnetic fluctuations and we select the wavelet coefficients which verify $|\mathcal{W}(\tau, t)|^2 < \zeta$. With the new set of coefficients we recalculate the flatness; if it is larger than 3 we decrease the threshold until the flatness reaches the Gaussian value.

At the end of the procedure, the fraction of the non-Gaussian coefficients gives an estimate of the percentage of the intermittent structures at each scale. Note, that this analysis only gives the upper limit of the structures because one intermittent event is described normally by more than one wavelet coefficient.

3. Role of compressible fluctuations

The results described in the previous section suggest that above the spectral break frequency f_b there is a non-linear compressible cascade and not a dissipation range. In this section we show that the level of the plasma compressibility in this range can be at the origin of a *non-universality* of statistics of the magnetic fluctuations. To show this we consider two 7 min time periods on April 5, 2001, with different ion plasma β_i .

Fig. 4(a) shows the total power spectrum density of the magnetic fluctuations within the high frequency range \mathcal{S} (solid line), and the spectrum of parallel fluctuations of magnetic field \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} (dashed-dotted line) for a time period which starts at 23:03:02 UT, when $\beta_i \simeq 0.5$. Fig. 4(b) shows \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} for a period starting at 22:35:02 UT, when $\beta_i \simeq 1.5$. The straight lines indicate power law fits: $\mathcal{S} \sim f^{-2.33}$ for the first time period and $\mathcal{S} \sim f^{-2.50}$ for the second. Fig. 4(c) shows the ratio $\mathcal{S}_{\parallel}/\mathcal{S}$ for the two considered time periods. One can see that during the first time period (with $\beta_i \simeq 0.5$) the energy of compressible fluctuations is less than 20% of the total energy of the fluctuations (see the solid line), while for the second, it is around 30% (see the dashed line). Fig. 4(d) shows the flatness $F(f)$ calculated within the two time periods (here again the solid line corresponds to the period with smaller β_i and dashed line, to the period with higher β_i).

These observations indicate that for higher β_i , the level of compressibility increases, leading to a steepening of the magnetic power law and an increase of intermittency. To confirm these results more intervals for wider plasma parameters should be analyzed.

4. Nature of the small scale cascade

How can we explain the small scale energy cascade in the solar wind turbulence, sensitive to the compressibility of the fluctuations? There are several interpretations. Following (Schekochihin et al. 2007), at spatial scales between the ion and electron characteristic scales, there is a superposition of (undamped) Kinetic Alfvén Wave (KAW) and entropy cascades. KAW waves are expected to have low frequencies $f \ll f_{ci}$ and nearly perpendicular wave vectors with respect to the mean field, $k_{\perp} \gg k_{\parallel}$.

Another possible explanation of the small scale cascade is a superposition of non-linear fluctuations (not waves), which exchange energy on times smaller than the usual eddy-turnover (or non-linear) time. At spatial scales at the order of λ_i and at frequencies of the order of f_{ci} one should take into account the Hall effect in Faraday's equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left[\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{m_i}{e\rho} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \mathbf{J} \right] \quad (3)$$

$\mathbf{J} = c\nabla \times \mathbf{B}/4\pi$ being the current density and η a scalar dissipation coefficient. This equation contains three different physical processes, with three associated characteristic times. By introducing for each length-scale ℓ the mean value of density ρ_ℓ , magnetic intensity B_ℓ and velocity u_ℓ , we can define an eddy-turnover time $T_{NL} \sim \ell/u_\ell$, a characteristic time associated to the Hall effect $T_H \sim \rho_\ell \ell^2/B_\ell$ and a dissipative time $T_D \sim \ell^2/\eta$. At large scales the main effect is played by the term $\nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})$, which describes the low-frequency Alfvénic cascade (Bruno and Carbone 2005) realized in a time T_{NL} . We conjecture that approaching the scale of the spectral break, T_H appears to be of the order of T_{NL} and beyond the break, the nonlinear energy transfer is realized in a time $\sim T_H < T_{NL}, T_D$.

In a compressible fluid the energy balance equation must be expressed in terms of energy densities (i.e., energy per unit volume) and not in terms of specific energy (i.e., per unit mass), in order to take into account density fluctuations (see (Fleck 1996) for example). The mean volume rate of energy transfer on Hall times in a compressible fluid is therefore

$$\varepsilon_V \sim \frac{\rho_\ell u_\ell^2}{T_H}. \quad (4)$$

Assuming equipartition between kinetic and magnetic energies $\rho_\ell u_\ell^2 \sim B_\ell^2$, the energy-transfer rate results to be proportional to

$$\varepsilon_V \sim \frac{B_\ell^2}{T_H} \sim \frac{B_\ell^3}{\ell^2 \rho_\ell}. \quad (5)$$

Following von Weizsäcker (1951), the ratio of the mass density ρ at two successive levels of the hierarchy is related to the corresponding scale size ℓ by the following equation

$$\frac{\rho_{\ell_\nu}}{\rho_{\ell_{\nu+1}}} = \left(\frac{\ell_\nu}{\ell_{\nu+1}} \right)^{-3\alpha} \quad (6)$$

where $|\alpha|$ is a measure of the degree of compression at each level ν (larger ν meaning larger length-scale), and ranges from $\alpha = 0$ for no compression up to $|\alpha| = 1$ for isotropic compression ($3|\alpha|$ is a number of dimensions in which the compression takes place). So,

using this density scaling, $\rho_\ell \sim \ell^{-3\alpha}$, and assuming a constant spectrum energy transfer rate we have

$$B_\ell \sim \rho^{1/3} \ell^{2/3} \sim \ell^{2/3-\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the spectral energy function is

$$E(k) \sim \frac{B_\ell^2}{k} \sim k^{-7/3+2\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

In the incompressible plasma limit ($\alpha = 0$), this phenomenology predicts a $\sim k^{-7/3}$ spectrum. Such a spectrum has been observed both in direct numerical simulations of an incompressible Electron MHD turbulent system (Biskamp et al. 1996) and in the EMHD limit of the incompressible Hall MHD shell model (Galtier and Buchlin 2007).

In the case of isotropic compression toward smaller scales ($\alpha = 1$) which can take place in the interstellar medium, the spectrum is $E(k) \sim k^{-1/3}$. If the isotropic compression is going on toward larger scales ($\alpha = -1$) the spectrum will be $E(k) \sim k^{-11/3}$. In the case, analyzed here, the power law spectrum of magnetic fluctuations follows the $\sim k^{-2.6}$ -law, that corresponds to $\alpha \simeq -0.14$, i.e., the scaling relation for the density is $\rho_\ell \sim \ell^{0.4}$.

This simple phenomenological model allows to explain the variations of the spectral index of the high frequency part of the solar wind spectrum from -4 to -2 by different degree of plasma compression with α between $-5/6$ and $1/6$.

However, the described model have to be improved in order to take into account (i) the space anisotropy ($k_\perp \neq k_\parallel$) that appears in a plasma with a mean field and (ii) possible different scaling for velocity and magnetic field which can be found in the compressible Hall MHD (Servidio et al. 2007). Besides, the interpretation of the small scale energy cascade in the Hall MHD frame is supported by the observations of a clear correlation between the spectral break frequency f_b and the Doppler-shifted wavevector of the ion inertia length f_{λ_i} (Leamon et al., 2000).

Note that the solar wind spectral break frequency f_b is usually observed to be lower than f_{λ_i} (Leamon et al. 1998). It can be explained by the fact that the Hall effect starts acting at scales larger than λ_i . In our case, for example, $f_b/f_{\lambda_i} \simeq 0.1$ and the scale of the spectral break is $\sim 10\lambda_i$.

Introducing the Hall Reynolds number as the ratio of the non-linear term over the Hall term

$$R_H \sim \frac{e}{cm_i} \frac{\rho_\ell u_\ell \ell}{B_\ell} \quad (9)$$

one can see that $R_H \simeq 1$ (i.e. $T_{NL} \simeq T_H$) at a scale ℓ , that is not necessarily equal to λ_i ,

$$\frac{\ell}{\lambda_{i\ell}} = 4\pi \frac{V_{A\ell}}{u_\ell}$$

here $V_{A\ell}$ and $\lambda_{i\ell}$ are the mean values of the Alfvén speed and the ion inertial length at scale ℓ respectively.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we investigate small scales turbulent fluctuations in the solar wind (i.e. at frequencies above the spectral break at the vicinity of f_{ci}). Taking into account the Taylor hypothesis ($\ell = V/f$) the frequency domain above the spectral break covers $\sim (40-2000)$ km space scales that corresponds to $(0.3 - 15)\lambda_i$. In this range we found evidences for strong departure from Gaussian statistics and the presence of intermittency while the spectrum presents a well-defined power law. Both the presence of a power-law spectrum and the absence of global self-similarity, seems to be quite in contrast with the role of “dissipative range”.

In usual fluid turbulence, the dissipative range (Frisch 1995) starts with a rough exponential cutoff; in the near dissipation range the intermittency increases as far as the Gaussian fluctuations dissipate faster than the coherent structures (Chevillard et al. 2005); then the fluctuations become self-similar, the singularities being smoothed by dissipation. In the solar wind turbulence we observe a completely different picture. After the spectral break in the vicinity of f_{ci} the flatness increases as a power law indicating that non-linear interactions are at work to build up a new inertial range.

This small scale cascade is much more compressible than the lower frequency Alfvénic cascade. This sudden change in nature of the turbulent fluctuations can happen due to a partial dissipation of magnetic fluctuations at the spectral break: the left-hand Alfvénic fluctuations with $k_{\parallel} \gg k_{\perp}$ are damped by the ion-cyclotron damping (Ghosh et al. 1996). Above the break, however, a new *magnetosonic cascade* takes place up to the electron characteristic scales. This energy cascade is seems to be dominated by the fluctuations with $k_{\perp} \gg k_{\parallel}$ (Sahraoui et al. 2006; Mangeney et al. 2006).

We found, as well, that the plasma compressibility controls the statistics of the magnetic field fluctuations. Preliminary results show that the increase of the level of the plasma compressibility leads to the spectrum steepening and increase of the intermittency.

To explain this small scale compressible cascade we introduce here for the first time a simple phenomenological model based on the compressible Hall MHD: we find that the

magnetic energy spectrum follows a $E(k) \sim k^{-7/3+2\alpha}$ law, depending on the degree of plasma compressibility α . While far from a complete model of small scale turbulence, this simple model can explain observed variations of the spectral index within the high frequency part of the solar wind turbulent spectrum (Leamon et al. 1998; Smith et al. 2006) by different degree of plasma compressibility.

We acknowledge discussions with A. Mangeney, R. Grappin, R. Bruno and S. Servidio. We thank N. Cornilleau, E. Lucek and I. Dandouras for providing Cluster data. OA acknowledges the support of CNES and European network TOSTISP.

REFERENCES

- Bale, S. D., Kellogg, P. J., Mozer, F. S., Horbury, T. S., and Reme, H. 2005, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94, 215002
- Balogh, A. *et al.* 2001, *Ann. Geophys.*, 19, 1270
- Biskamp, D., Schwarz, E., and Drake, J. F. 1996, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76, 1264
- Boyd, T. J. M., and Sanderson, J. J. 2003, *The Physics of Plasmas*, Cambridge Univ. Press
- Bruno, R., and Carbone, V. 2005, *Living Rev. Solar Phys.*, 2, 4
- Bruno, R., Carbone, V., Sorriso-Valvo, L. and Bavassano, B. 2003, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8
- Chevillard, L., Castaing, B., and Lévêque, E. 2005, *Eur. Phys. J. B*, 45, 561
- Cornilleau-Wehrin, N. *et al.* 2003, *Ann. Geophys.*, 21, 437
- Farge, M. 1992, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 24, 395
- Fleck, R. C., Jr. 1996, *ApJ*, 458, 739
- Frisch, U. 1995, Cambridge Univ. Press
- Galtier, S. 2006, *J. Plasma Phys.*, 72, 721
- Galtier, S., and Buchlin, E. 2007, *ApJ*, 656, 560
- Ghosh, S. *et al.* 1996, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 2493
- Leamon, R. J., Smith, C. W., Ness, N. F., Matthaeus, W. H., and Wong, H. K. 1998, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 4775

- Leamon, R. J. *et al.* 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 22331
- Leamon, R. J. *et al.* 2000, ApJ, 537, 1054
- Li, H., Gary, S. P., and Stawicki, O. 2001, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1347
- Mangeney, A. *et al.* 2006, Ann. Geophys., 24, 3507
- Réme, H. *et al.* 2001, Ann. Geophys., 19, 1303
- Sahraoui, F. *et al.* 2006, Phys. Rev. Lett., 96, 075 002
- Servidio, S. *et al.* 2007, Planet. and Space Sci., in press
- Schekochihin, A. A., Cowley, S. C., Dorland, W. 2007, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 49
A195-A209
- Smith, C. W., Hamilton, K., Vasquez, B. J., and Leamon, R. J. 2006, ApJ, 645, L85
- Stawicki, O., Gary, S. P., and Li, H. 2001, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 8273
- Torrence, C. and Compo, G. P., 1998, A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis, Bulletin of
the American Meteorological Society, vol. 79, Issue 1, pp.61-78
- Tu, C. -Y., and Marsch, E. 1995, Kluwer Academic Pub.
- von Weizsäcker, C. F. 1951, ApJ, 114, 2, 165




Fig. 1.— The total magnetic field power spectral density \mathcal{S} and the spectrum of compressible magnetic fluctuations \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} (dashed-dotted line) measured by Helios 2 (up to 0.08 Hz) and by Cluster (up to 12.5 Hz). Straight lines refer to power law fits. Vertical dotted lines indicate the ion cyclotron frequency f_{ci} and the Doppler-shifted ion inertial length f_{λ_i} .




Fig. 2.— The probability distribution functions (PDFs) of the normalized variable $b_x(\tau)$ at three time scales: $\tau = 2$ s (upper panel), $\tau = 0.7$ s (middle panel), and $\tau = 0.2$ s (bottom panel). For each panel a Gaussian function is plotted for reference as a dashed curve.




Fig. 3.— The flatness of the magnetic field component B_x calculated using Cluster data (solid line) and Helios 2 data (dashed-dotted line); the horizontal solid line indicates the Gaussian value $F = 3$. The insert gives the percentage of intermittent events which participate to the flatness in the high frequency part of the spectrum.




Fig. 4.— Properties of magnetic fluctuations above the spectral break for different plasma β_i ; (a) \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_{\parallel} for a 7 minutes time period with $\beta_i \simeq 0.5$; (b) the same as (a) but for a 7 minutes time period with $\beta_i \simeq 1.5$; (c) level of compressible fluctuations for period (a), solid line, and (b), dashed line; (d) flatness of the fluctuations of B_x component calculated for the period (a), solid line, and for the period (b), dashed line.