

DOMINO TILING CONGRUENCE MODULO 4

BRIDGET EILEEN TENNER

ABSTRACT. The number of domino tilings of a region with reflective symmetry across a line is combinatorially shown to depend on the number of domino tilings of particular subregions, modulo 4. This expands upon previous congruency results for domino tilings, modulo 2, and leads to a variety of corollaries, including that the number of domino tilings of a $k \times 2k$ rectangle is congruent to 1 mod 4.

1. INTRODUCTION

Much work has been done to enumerate the domino tilings of various regions, and related the number of perfect matchings of subgraphs of \mathbb{Z}^2 . When an exact enumeration has been elusive, or when considering more general types of regions, various aspects of the number of domino tilings have been studied. For example, in [5], Pachter studied 2-divisibility in tilings, and Cohn examined the number of domino tilings of a square under the 2-adic metric in [2]. It was recently shown by this author in [7] that the parity of the number of domino tilings of a region and of a subregion will be equal under certain conditions. This parity result leads to the question of whether there may be other moduli for which the number of domino tilings of a region and of a subregion will have the same residue. This article shows that for regions with reflective symmetry, there is such a result for the modulus 4. Several of the proofs in this article are reminiscent of those in [7], but the fourfold nature of this topic requires additional sophistication and technical aspects.

In [6], Propp suggested finding a combinatorial argument for the fact that the number of domino tilings of a $k \times 2k$ rectangle is congruent to 1 mod 4. There are analytic methods for enumerating the domino tilings of a rectangle, such as Kasteleyn's formula (see [4]). For example, if a and b are both even, this formula states that the number of domino tilings of an $a \times b$ rectangle is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{a/2} \prod_{j=1}^{b/2} \left(4 \cos^2 \frac{i\pi}{a+1} + 4 \cos^2 \frac{j\pi}{b+1} \right).$$

However, in general, the residue of this number, modulo 4, is not easy to compute analytically, and so a combinatorial method is desirable.

This paper shows combinatorially that the residue, modulo 4, of the number of domino tilings of a region with reflective symmetry can be computed by looking at the analogous residue for certain subregions. This will, among other things, answer Propp's question by showing inductively that the number of domino tilings of a $k \times 2k$ rectangle is 1 mod 4. This is not the first time that domino tilings for regions with reflective symmetry have been examined. In [1], Ciucu studies the number of perfect matchings of a graph with reflective symmetry (the dual of such an object is a domino tiling of a region with reflective symmetry), and derives a factorization

theorem for this number in the case where the axis of symmetry separates the graph. However, this does not answer the question for the $k \times 2k$ rectangle: one symmetry axis does not separate the graph, and the other will separate only if k is odd, but the domino tilings of the subgraphs required in the factorization theorem would themselves have to be enumerated as a separate problem. In this paper, a region with a local property and a reflective axis of symmetry, whether or not it is separating, is shown to have the same number of domino tilings, modulo 4, as particular subregions. This is similar to Ciucu’s work, in that it considers symmetric regions, but it is applicable in different circumstances, and describes a different aspect of this enumeration. It should also be emphasized that besides the symmetry and local property, no further assumptions are made about the region.

The main terminology and notation used throughout the paper will be introduced in Section 2. This section will also present the parity theorem of [7], which is the precursor to the main result in this paper, and which plays a prominent role in the proof of that result. Section 3 consists entirely of the main result of this article, together with its proof. The arguments in this proof are inductive and resemble those of the aforementioned parity theorem. Finally, Section 4 discusses a variety of applications of Theorem 4, one of which resolves Propp’s question about the $k \times 2k$ rectangle.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

The main terminology of the paper is described here. Some of these definitions and notation can be cumbersome, however, as in [7], they permit the results to be as general as possible. Much of this terminology is also used in [7], although the class of regions considered there is broader, and hence the result is not as specialized. The primary difference between the regions considered in [7] and the regions considered here is the additional requirement of reflective symmetry.

Definition. A *region* is the dual of a finite connected induced subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Definition. The number of domino tilings of a region R is denoted $\#R$.

The notation $a \stackrel{m}{\equiv} b$ will indicate that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

A basic lemma, which is key in the proof of the main theorem, is the following. The proof is straightforward, and thus is omitted here.

Lemma 1. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $r_m := r \pmod{m}$ to be an integer in the set $[0, m - 1]$. Then for all r ,

$$2r_4 \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 2r_2.$$

All tilings discussed in this article are domino tilings, thus the word “domino” may be omitted. As in [7], when the configuration of only part of a region is under consideration, a drawing of the region may only include this portion, while the undrawn part of the region is arbitrary. Shading is used to indicate when part of a region has been removed.

Definition. A region R has an $(\{s, t\}; 1)$ -*corner* if there is a convex corner in R where the segments bounding this corner have lengths s and t . For $p > 1$ and $\min\{s, t\} \geq 2$, an $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -*corner* is a $(\{1, s\}; 1)$ -corner, a $(\{1, t\}; 1)$ -corner, and $p - 2$ distinct $(\{1, 1\}; 1)$ -corners configured as in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. An $(\{s, t\}; 4)$ -corner.


Definition. If the segment of length s in an $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner forms an $(\{s, t'\}; p')$ -corner at its other endpoint, then each of these corners is *walled* at s .

Definition. An $(\{i, j\}; p)$ -strip is a subregion of $i + j + 2p - 3$ squares that has an $(\{i, j\}; p)$ -corner.

The local property required for the main theorem, Theorem 4, states that a particular subregion avoids holes in certain places. A notion of completion describes this property, made precise below. To simplify things, one could consider only regions without holes, although this would ignore a large class of regions for which the results are also true.

Definition. An $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner in a region R is *2-complete* if $2 \leq \min\{s, t\}$. For $2 < i \leq \min\{s, t\}$, the corner is *i -complete* if the following conditions are met:

- (a) Let C be the $(\{i, i\}; p)$ -strip in the $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner. Let x and y be the two squares adjacent to the ends of C but not along the edges forming the $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner. If either x or y is in R , then the $(\{i - 1, i - 1\}; p)$ -strip between x and y , inclusively, all of whose squares are adjacent to C , must also be a subregion of R .



- (b) Consider removing C from R . This leaves some $(\{s', t'\}; p)$ -corner in the resulting subregion. If $2 \leq i - 2 \leq \min\{s', t'\}$, then this corner must be j -complete for $j = 2, \dots, i - 2$.

To decide if an $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner is k -complete, the largest potential subregion of R that must be examined is depicted in Figure 2, where there are $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ strips: one $(\{k, k\}; p)$ -strip, one $(\{k - 1, k - 1\}; p)$ -strip, one $(\{k - 3, k - 3\}; p)$ -strip, one $(\{k - 5, k - 5\}; p)$ -strip, \dots , concluding with a $(\{3, 3\}; p)$ -strip if k is even, or a $(\{2, 2\}; p)$ -strip if k is odd.

Definition. For $2 \leq k \leq \min\{s, t\}$, an $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner is *complete up to k* if that corner is i -complete for $i = 2, \dots, k$.

Examples of corners that are complete up to 3 and 4 can be found in [7], and are not repeated here.




FIGURE 2. The largest subregion examined when determining if a $(\{s, t\}; 3)$ -corner is 9-complete.

The main result of [7], and a useful tool in the proof of the main theorem of this paper, is restated below in Theorem 2.

Throughout this article, if a region is depicted having a shaded subregion (as in equation (1)), then that shading is understood to indicate a subtiling. The figures in Theorems 2 and 4 depict $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corners with $p = 4$ to indicate the general result. When $p = 1$, the figures in equation (1), for example, would each consist of a single right-angle and a shaded $(\{k, k + 1\}; 1)$ -strip (once with each orientation).

Theorem 2 ([7]). *Suppose that a region R has an $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corner. For any $2 \leq k \leq \min\{s, t\}$, if this corner is complete up to k , then*

$$(1) \quad \#R \stackrel{2}{=} \# \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k+1} \\ \text{shaded subregion} \\ \downarrow k \end{array} + \# \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k} \\ \text{shaded subregion} \\ \downarrow k+1 \end{array} .$$

If $p = 1$, then (1) also holds for $k = 1$. Furthermore, for any p , if $s \leq t$, the corner is complete up to s , and the corner is walled at s , then

$$(2) \quad \#R \stackrel{2}{=} \# \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s+1} \\ \text{shaded subregion} \\ \downarrow s \end{array} .$$

This paper is concerned with regions that have reflective symmetry. This added assumption is what allows for the refined understanding of $\#R$.

Definition. A region R is *reflective* if it has a reflective axis of symmetry.

Definition. A pair of $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corners in a reflective region R is a *reflective pair* if the two corners are images of each other under reflection across the region's axis of symmetry. Moreover, when determining if a reflective pair of corners is complete up

to k , it is further assumed that the subregions examined to determine completeness, as depicted in Figure 2, are not overlapping. This means that the symmetry axis does not intersect any squares in the subregion depicted in Figure 2.

In all figures, reflective regions will be drawn so that the symmetry axis is vertical, and it will be depicted by a dashed line. Although the figures may suggest that the symmetry axis is parallel to one set of grid lines in the region, the exact orientation of the left and right sides of a reflective region are not restricted, unless they are shown to connect across the symmetry axis, as in equation (10).

Remark 3. It is helpful to observe that if two regions are reflections of each other across a line, they have the same number of tilings.

3. REFLECTIVE REGIONS, MODULO 4

The main result of this article resembles the parity theorem of [7] (Theorem 2 here), although the region R is additionally required to be reflective and there are more subregions on the right side of the equation. Some of the ideas fundamental to the proof of Theorem 4 are similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2, which explains the necessary overlap of terminology in the previous section.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that a region R is reflective, and that R has a reflective pair of $(\{s, t\}; p)$ -corners. For any $2 \leq k \leq \min\{s, t\}$, if these corners are complete up to k , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \#R \stackrel{4}{=} \# & \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Region 1} \\ \text{Region 2} \end{array} \right] + \# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Region 3} \\ \text{Region 4} \end{array} \right] \\
 (3) \quad & + 2\# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Region 5} \\ \text{Region 6} \end{array} \right] .
 \end{aligned}$$

If $p = 1$, then (3) also holds for $k = 1$. Furthermore, for any p , if $s \leq t$, the corners are complete up to s , and the corners are walled at s , then

$$\#R \stackrel{4}{=} \# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Region 7} \\ \text{Region 8} \end{array} \right] .$$

(Note that the exact orientation of the reflective pair of corners, with respect to the axis of symmetry, is not specified.)

Proof. The proof of this theorem is primarily inductive. First the theorem will be proved for $p = 1$, by induction on k . Subsequently, the proof will be completed by inducting on p . Note that for fixed k and p , equation (3) trivially implies equation (4), since two of the regions pictured in (3) are impossible if the corners are walled at s .

Suppose that $p = 1$. If $k = 1$, then (3) trivially holds, by Remark 3 and the fact that there are two ways to place a domino in each of the two $(\{s, t\}; 1)$ -corners under

consideration. Observe that (3) also holds for $k = 2$, because there are eight ways to tile the two $(\{2, 2\}; 1)$ -corners, and four of these eight tile the same subregion of R : a 2×2 block in each of the two corners.

Now assume that the theorem holds for all $1 \leq k < K \leq \min\{s, t\}$, and suppose that R has a reflective pair of $(\{s, t\}; 1)$ -corners complete up to K . These corners must also be complete up to $K - 1$, so (3) holds for $k = K - 1$, as indicated in equation (5).

$$(5) \quad \#R \stackrel{4}{=} \# \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} + \# \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \\ + 2\# \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$$

To extend a tiled region in (5) to cover the two $(\{K, K\}, 1)$ -corners, one tile must be added to each corner, and each added tile can have two orientations: horizontal or vertical. Each of the four configurations in (5) (counting the doubled third term twice) leads to four tilings, giving a total of sixteen possible tilings (counting repetitions).

At this point, it is helpful to introduce notation. In each figure in equation (5), one corner is depicted to the left of the symmetry axis and one corner is depicted to the right of the axis. The configuration of the left corner will be described in bold face, and the configuration of the right corner will be described with an underline. In the tilings of (5), if the longer leg of the tiled corner is horizontal (respectively, vertical), this will be called an H (respectively, V) tiling. If the tile added in order to cover the $(\{K, K\}, 1)$ -corner is horizontal (respectively, vertical), this will be called an h (respectively, v) tile. For example, the third figure in equation (5) is denoted $\mathbf{V}\underline{H}$.

Therefore, the sixteen tilings referred to above are described in the following table:

Tiling from (5)	Add:	\mathbf{h} and \underline{h}	\mathbf{h} and \underline{v}	\mathbf{v} and \underline{h}	\mathbf{v} and \underline{v}
$\mathbf{V}\underline{V}$		$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{V}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{V}\underline{v}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{V}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{V}\underline{v}$
$\mathbf{H}\underline{H}$		$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{v}$	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{v}$
(twice) $\mathbf{V}\underline{H}$		$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{v}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{h}$	$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{v}$

The reflective symmetry of R and Remark 3 indicate that (6) still includes duplications: $\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{V}\underline{v} = \#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{V}\underline{h}$ and $\#\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{v} = \#\mathbf{H}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{h}$.

Combining these facts yields the following identity:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \#R \stackrel{4}{=} & \#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{V}\underline{h} + \#\mathbf{H}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{v} + 2\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{v} \\ & + 2\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{V}\underline{v} + \#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{V}\underline{v} \\ & + \#\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{h} + 2\#\mathbf{H}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{v} \\ & + 2\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}\underline{H}\underline{h} + 2\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{h} + 2\#\mathbf{V}\mathbf{v}\underline{H}\underline{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if the only terms on the right side of equation (7) were the first three terms, the induction on K would be complete.

Observe that equation (2) of Theorem 2 applies to the left sides of \mathbf{HhHv} and \mathbf{VvHv} , as in Figure 3. Additionally, Lemma 1 permits the transition from working modulo 4 to working modulo 2, since the terms $\#\mathbf{HhHv}$ and $\#\mathbf{VvHv}$ each have coefficient 2 in (7).




FIGURE 3. Application of Theorem 2 to the left side of \mathbf{HhHv} .

After applying Theorem 2 to each of \mathbf{HhHv} and \mathbf{VvHv} , the resulting region is the same. Therefore, $2\#\mathbf{HhHv} + 2\#\mathbf{VvHv}$ is a multiple of 4, and thus these terms can be ignored in (7). Likewise $2\#\mathbf{VhVv} + 2\#\mathbf{VhHh}$ contributes a multiple of 4 to the right side of (7), and can be ignored as well.

All that remains in (7), besides the first three terms, is

$$\#\mathbf{VvVv} + \#\mathbf{HhHh} + 2\#\mathbf{VvHh}.$$

The regions \mathbf{VvVv} and \mathbf{HhHh} are both reflective with the same symmetry axis as R . Therefore, by induction, they obey equation (4). Similarly, equation (2) can be applied twice to \mathbf{VvHh} , again using Lemma 1. After applying these results as described, the three regions are identical. Therefore, since $\#\mathbf{VvHh}$ is multiplied by 2 in (7), these three terms contribute a multiple of 4 to the sum, and consequently can be ignored.

Therefore, equation (7) reduces to

$$\#R \stackrel{4}{=} \#\mathbf{VhVh} + \#\mathbf{HvHv} + 2\#\mathbf{VhHv},$$

which, by Remark 3, is the statement of the theorem for $p = 1$ and $k = K$. This completes the first part of the induction.

To complete the proof, assume that the theorem is true for all $1 \leq p < P$, and suppose that R has a reflective pair of $(\{s, t\}; P)$ -corners. Consider the pair of $(\{s, 1\}; 1)$ -corners in these $(\{s, t\}; P)$ -corners. A tile can be placed in each of these corners either horizontally or vertically. A horizontal tile forces $P - 1$ additionally horizontal tiles above it, and leaves a $(\{s - 1, 2\}; 1)$ -corner below it, while a vertical tile creates a $(\{3, t\}; P - 1)$ -corner to the right of the tile. If a horizontal tile is placed in one of the two $(\{s, 1\}; 1)$ -corners, and a vertical tile in the other, the resulting figure is the reflection across the symmetry axis of the configuration where the two tiles are switched. Therefore

$$(8) \quad \#R = \# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Figure 1} \\ \text{Figure 2} \end{array} \right] + \# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Figure 3} \\ \text{Figure 4} \end{array} \right] + 2\# \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Figure 5} \\ \text{Figure 6} \end{array} \right].$$

Note that the first and second figures in equation (8) are reflective. Additionally, by the induction hypothesis, the theorem holds for $(\{s - 1, 2\}; 1)$ -corners and for $(\{3, t\}; P - 1)$ -corners, so the theorem holds for these corners in the first and second figures of (8). Likewise, Theorem 2 applies to the left and right sides of the

Proof. This follows immediately from equation (3) of Theorem 4, since the second tiling in (3) is impossible, and the first tiling in (3) forces a vertical tile between the two pieces, and equation (4) applies to the resulting region. \square

As in [7], consider the following types of regions.

Definition. Let $T(i, j, p)$ be the region with $i + p - 1$ centered rows of lengths $j, j + 2, \dots, j + 2(p - 1), \dots, j + 2(p - 1)$ from top to bottom.

Definition. Let $D(i, j, p)$ be the region with $i + 2(p - 1)$ centered rows of lengths $j, j + 2, \dots, j + 2(p - 1), \dots, j + 2(p - 1), \dots, j + 2, j$ from top to bottom. That is, if i is even, $D(i, j, p)$ consists of two copies of $T(i/2, j, p)$ that have been glued together along the edge of length $j + 2(p - 1)$.

For example, the Aztec diamond of order p is the region $D(2, 2, p)$, and the regions $T(2, 5, 4)$ and $D(2, 5, 4)$ are depicted in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. (a) The region $T(2, 5, 4)$. (b) The region $D(2, 5, 4)$, which is two copies of $T(1, 5, 4)$ glued together along the edges of length 11.

The following results concerning the parities of $\#T(i, j, p)$ and of $\#D(i, j, p)$ appeared in [7], although Corollary 8(a) and Corollary 9(c) were incorrectly stated for $p = 1$.

Corollary 8 ([7]).

- (a) $\#T(k, 2k - 1, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 1 & : p = 1 \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (b) $\#T(k, 2k, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1$.
- (c) $\#T(k, 2k + 1, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 0$.
- (d) $\#T(k, 2k + 2, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1$.

Corollary 9 ([7]). (a) $\#D(k, k, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 0$.

- (b) $\#D(k, k + 1, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1$.
- (c) $\#D(k, k + 2, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 1 & : p = 1 \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

These results can be refined using Theorem 4. For example, Corollary 8(b) states that $\#T(k, 2k, p) \stackrel{2}{\equiv} 1$. Thus $\#T(k, 2k, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 1$ or 3 . In fact, the exact residue modulo 4 is easy to determine from previous results in this paper.

Corollary 10.

- (a) $\#T(k, 2k - 1, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 1 & : p = 1 \text{ and } k \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 0; \\ 3 & : p = 1 \text{ and } k \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 2; \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

- (b) $\#T(k, 2k, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 1$ for all $k, p \geq 1$.
- (c) $\#T(k, 2k + 1, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 0$ for all $k, p \geq 1$.
- (d) $\#T(k, 2k + 2, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 1$ for all $k, p \geq 1$.

Proof.

- (a) By Corollary 7 and Theorem 2,

$$\#T(k, 2k - 1, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \#3T(k - 2, 2(k - 2) - 1, p).$$

The base cases are as follows. The region $T(1, 1, p)$ has no domino tilings for any p . Similarly, iteratively applying Theorem 4 to $T(2, 3, p)$ for $p > 1$ shows that $\#T(2, 3, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} 0$ for $p > 1$. On the other hand, $\#T(2, 3, 1) = 3$.

- (b) By Corollary 6, $\#T(k, 2k, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \#T(k - 1, 2(k - 1), p)$. It is easy to see that $\#T(1, 2, p) = 1$ for all p .
- (c) This follows from Corollary 7, since both configurations in equation (12) are impossible.
- (d) Theorem 4, in particular equation (4), states that $\#T(k, 2k + 2, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \#T(k - 1, 2(k - 1) + 2, p)$. It is straightforward to see that $\#T(1, 4, p) = 1$ for all p . \square

By setting $p = 1$, Corollary 10(b) addresses Propp's request in [6] for a combinatorial explanation that the number of domino tilings of a $k \times 2k$ rectangle is always 1 mod 4.

Corollary 11. *The number of domino tilings of a $k \times 2k$ rectangle is congruent to 1 mod 4 for all k .*

Corollary 9 can also be refined to determine the residues modulo 4.

Corollary 12.

- (a) $\#D(k, k, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 2 & : k = 2 \text{ and } p = 1; \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- (b) $\#D(k, k + 1, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 1 & : p \text{ is odd and } \lfloor (k + 2)/4 \rfloor \text{ is even;} \\ 3 & : p \text{ is odd and } \lfloor (k + 2)/4 \rfloor \text{ is odd;} \\ 1 & : p \text{ is even and } \lfloor k/4 \rfloor \text{ is even;} \\ 3 & : p \text{ is even and } \lfloor k/4 \rfloor \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$
- (c) $\#D(k, k + 2, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 2 & : p = 2 \text{ and } k \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & : p = 1, k \text{ is even, and } \lceil k/4 \rceil \text{ is odd;} \\ 3 & : p = 1, k \text{ is even, and } \lceil k/4 \rceil \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof.

- (a) If k is odd, the region $D(k, k, p)$ contains an odd number of squares, so $\#D(k, k, p) = 0$. Thus it remains only to consider $D(2k, 2k, p)$. Applying Corollary 6 gives

$$(13) \quad \#D(2k, 2k, p) \stackrel{4}{\equiv} \# \text{ [Diagram 1] } + \# \text{ [Diagram 2]}$$

Now apply Corollary 6 to the first figure in equation (13) and Theorem 4 to the second figure in (13). This gives

$$\#D(2k, 2k, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 2\#D(2(k-1), 2(k-1), p).$$

Therefore it is only necessary to determine $\#D(2, 2, p)$, where the region is the Aztec diamond of order p . If $p \geq 2$, apply Corollary 5 to $D(2, 2, p)$, where the axis of symmetry is a diagonal of the region. This shows that $\#D(2, 2, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 0$. The remaining case is easy to enumerate: $D(2, 2, 1) = 2$.

Note that this last case must be treated separately because any axis of symmetry in the 2×2 square intersects the regions needed to determine completeness of the corners.

- (b) Using Theorems 2 and 4, together with Lemma 1 and Corollary 6, it is evident that

$$\#D(k, k+1, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 2\#D(k-2, k-1, p) + \#D(k-4, k-3, p)$$

for all p and $k > 4$. The cases when $k \in [1, 4]$ are straightforward to check, and give the following results.

$$\#D(1, 2, p) = 1$$

$$\#D(2, 3, p) \stackrel{4}{=} \begin{cases} 1 & : p \text{ is even;} \\ 3 & : p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$\#D(3, 4, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 2 + \#D(2, 3, p-1) \stackrel{4}{=} \#D(2, 3, p)$$

$$\#D(4, 5, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 1 + 2\#D(2, 3, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 3$$

Therefore the value of $\#D(k, k+1, p)$ modulo 4 is described in the following table, depending on the value of k and the parity of p .

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
p odd	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	3
p even	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	1

- (c) If k is odd, the region $D(k, k+2, p)$ has odd area, hence $\#D(k, k+2, p) = 0$. Thus it remains to consider $D(2k, 2k+2, p)$. Once again, Theorems 2 and 4 can be used together to show that

$$\#D(2k, 2k+2, p) \stackrel{4}{=} 2\#D(2k-2, 2k, p) + \#D(2k-4, 2k-2, p).$$

The base cases here can be computed as follows, for $p \geq 2$.

$$\#D(2, 4, p) \stackrel{4}{=} \#D(2, 2, p-1)$$

$$\#D(4, 6, p) \stackrel{4}{=} \#D(2, 2, p) + \#D(2, 2, p-1) + \#D(4, 4, p-1)$$

Previous results for $\#D(k, k, p)$ complete the proof. □

Observe that Corollary 12(a) shows that the number of domino tilings of the Aztec diamond of order p is a multiple of 4 for all $p > 1$. As mentioned above, the restriction on p comes from the fact that if $p = 1$, the regions checked to determine completeness of the reflective pair of corners would not be disjoint. In fact, Elkies, Kuperberg, Larsen, and Propp show in [3] that the exact number of domino tilings of this region is $2^{p(p+1)/2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ciucu, Enumeration of perfect matchings in graphs with reflective symmetry, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 77 (1997) 67–97.
- [2] H. Cohn, 2-adic behavior of numbers of domino tilings, *Electron. J. Combin.* 6 (1999) R14.
- [3] N. Elkies, G. Kuperberg, M. Larsen and J. Propp, Alternating sign matrices and domino tilings, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 1 (1992) 111–132 and 219–234.
- [4] P. W. Kasteleyn, The statistics of dimers on a lattice, I. The number of dimer arrangements on a quadratic lattice, *Physica* 27 (1961) 1209–1225.
- [5] L. Pachter, Combinatorial approaches and conjectures for 2-divisibility problems concerning domino tilings of polyominoes, *Electron. J. Combin.* 4 (1997) R29.
- [6] J. Propp, Enumeration of matchings: Problems and progress, in *New Perspectives in Algebraic Combinatorics*, L. Billera, A. Björner, C. Greene, R. Simion, and R. P. Stanley, eds., Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, 1999, pp. 255–291.
- [7] B. E. Tenner, Tiling parity results and the holey square solution, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11(2) (2005) R17.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DEPAUL UNIVERSITY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
E-mail address: bridget@math.depaul.edu