

1 **Influence of Photobioreactor Set-up on the Survival of Microalgae**

2 **Inoculum**

3 Alessia Bani^{1,2}, Francisco Gabriel Acién Fernandez³, Giuliana D'Imporzano¹, Katia
4 Parati^{2*}, Fabrizio Adani¹

5 ¹Gruppo Ricicla labs., Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Ambientali -

6 Produzione, Territorio, Agroenergia (DiSAA), Università degli studi di Milano,

7 Via Celoria 2, 20133, Italy

8 ²Istituto Sperimentale Lazzaro Spallanzani, loc La Quercia 2602 Rivolta d'Adda

9 (CR), Italy

10 ³Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Almeria, Cañada San
11 Urbano, s/n, 04120 Almeria, Spain

12

13 *Corresponding authors: Katia Parati: katia.parati@istitutospallanzani.it,

14 Tel. +39 0363 78883

15

16

17 **Keywords**

18 Bioreactors; Metagenomic; Microalgae; Microbial community; *S. almeriensis*;

19 Wastewater

20

21

22 **ABSTRACT**

23

24 Cultivation of specific microalgae is still difficult in an industrial setup as contamination
25 and balancing the economic cost are not always possible. Understanding the ecology of
26 cultivation of microalgae is therefore necessary to implement stable production. The aim
27 of the study was to understand how different types of photobioreactors and types of
28 culture medium influenced the survival of a specific microalgae inoculum, *S. almeriensis*.
29 The bacterial and microalgae community were studied using Illumina sequencing. Only
30 the closed configuration was able to maintain the inoculated species while all the other
31 systems developed a different eukaryotic community due to contamination and the higher
32 fitness of contaminants. Photobioreactor configuration was more important than medium
33 in shaping the eukaryotes community, while the bacterial community was influenced
34 strongly by both. Results showed that even a well-adapted strain is maintained only in the
35 closed reactor while the open reactors are colonized by a multispecies consortium.

36

37 1. INTRODUCTION

38 Although a large number of microalgae-related applications have been previously
39 reported, only a few of them are effectively used at a commercial scale, and less than ten
40 microalgae strains are commercially available (Raja et al., 2008). One of the reasons for
41 that is the high production cost and limited production capacity when using closed
42 photobioreactors and also the contamination problems which occur by the utilization of
43 open reactors. Moreover, to increase the sustainability of microalgae production, the
44 integration of wastewater treatment processes has been recommended, and in this case
45 control of contamination becomes more difficult. For example, high amounts of N-rich
46 and P-rich wastewaters are produced by the agriculture and livestock sectors
47 (D'Imporzano et al., 2018), and these can provide the nutrients to produce microalgae
48 biomass (Monlau et al., 2015). Additionally, algae biomass holds an intrinsic value for
49 its potential use for feedstock production in aquaculture or as biofertilizer (Acién et al.,
50 2012; del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015). This strategy is not merely convenient
51 economically because it leads to a cost reduction, but is also beneficial at the
52 environmental scale due to a lowering of CO₂ emissions (D'Imporzano et al., 2018).
53 Often, in a biorefinery process, bioreactors are limited to open pond raceways, due their
54 relatively low cost, easy maintenance and large volume (Acién et al., 2012). Nevertheless,
55 thin layer reactors could give equivalent results or perform more optimally than raceway
56 reactors and as a result thin layer reactors would potentially be more economically viable
57 (del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015).

58 Open reactors are easily contaminated from the surrounding environment, including by
59 other algae species (Fulbright et al., 2014), bacteria (Ganuza et al., 2016) or predators
60 (Deruyck et al., 2019) that could outcompete the desired target species.

61 To overcome the contamination problem, or at least limit it so that the production is not
62 depressed, while keeping the associated costs within the limits for economic success,
63 several strategies have been implemented. Extreme environmental conditions were
64 applied to the production of different strains of economic value, this included a high level
65 of salinity for *Dunaliella* sp. or alkalinity for *Spirulina* (Lee, 2001). High pH has been
66 demonstrated to reduce the contamination in open ponds where *Chlorella* sp remain the
67 main microalga (above 90% of the species present) over a 16 day period (Bell et al.,
68 2016). Modulation of pH has also been applied to prevent complete loss of a *Chlorella*
69 culture due to bacterial contamination, using a pulse change in the pH that strongly
70 affected the contaminant but not the microalga which was better able to regulate the
71 internal pH (Ganuza et al., 2016). However the solutions mentioned are not always
72 feasible, since many microalgae would not be able to survive or to produce the optimal
73 biomass under such stressful conditions and thereby meet the industrial goals.

74 Interactions between the different organisms and their modulations could help in
75 maintaining species purity and the microalgae production even in environments prone to
76 contamination such as open reactors. Furthermore, the study of the interactive
77 mechanisms between bacteria and microalgae should contribute to the improvement of
78 production by allowing the selection of optimal combinations of microalgae and bacteria
79 for different applications such as the production of fatty acids for biodiesel production
80 (Mooij et al., 2015). Bacterial cells could easily outnumber algae cells and biological
81 relationships range from positive to negative (Lian et al., 2018). Bacteria could be
82 harmful, as some species could release toxins (Lian et al., 2018), although it should not
83 be disregarded that they are also essential for algal growth as they provide vitamin B12
84 to algae that are not able to synthesise B12 intrinsically or they may help in the mediation

85 of nutrient solubilisation (Krohn-Molt et al., 2017). To monitor this system, classical
86 microbiological/morphological techniques are time consuming, laborious and incapable
87 of identifying a high percentage of bacterial genera. Molecular techniques can overcome
88 some of these limitations, providing quick monitoring solutions for pathogens (Ganuza et
89 al., 2016), and also help in identifying a higher number of taxa, including many
90 unculturable bacteria, and can shed light on the interactions between the different
91 microorganisms present in the community. Results from previous trials to compare the
92 influence of different types of bioreactors on the microalgae/bacterial composition of the
93 culture, cultivated under the same conditions (such as using the same algae species
94 inoculum) remain limited. Pilot scale volume studies (De Vree et al., 2015) are especially
95 underexplored, in contrast to the lab scale, in which studies are well established (A. M.
96 Lakaniemi et al., 2012; Zevin et al., 2016).

97 Selection of the desired strain should be a key point especially if open bioreactors are
98 going to be used. Two approaches can lead to a successful operating system: using a
99 consortium in which different microalgae occupy slightly different niches and do not
100 compete for the same nutrients and have different optima for temperature and light; or to
101 use a microalga that is known to be well adapted to the local environment so that in theory
102 it should be able to colonize and maintain dominance over possible contaminants (Mooij
103 et al., 2015; Narala et al., 2016).

104 This study aims to test the performance and composition of the microbial community of
105 microalgae cultures, cultivated in different types of photobioreactors and different growth
106 media, inoculated with conspecific algal species that should be able to be maintained as
107 pure cultures due to their high adaptation to the local environments. The starting
108 hypotheses were that i) the microalgae inoculum would survive and grow in all of the

109 photobioreactors as the strain fits the different conditions set up, while ii) the bacterial
110 communities would be more susceptible to the changes in composition in relation to the
111 different growth conditions and bioreactors' configuration.

112 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

113 **2.1 Experimental design**

114 The microalgae production was established at the research centre of “Estación
115 Experimental Las Palmerillas”, property of Fundación CAJAMAR (Almería, Spain).
116 Three different pilot scale outdoor photobioreactors were developed and subsequently
117 used to grow the microalgae: a tubular reactor (closed system, referred to as T samples);
118 a raceway reactor; and a thin layer reactor (open systems, referred to as RW and RH for
119 raceway, and RI for thin layer). All the reactors were inoculated with the same algal
120 specie, *S. almeriensis*, with 20% of their volume, and then operated in batch mode for one
121 week, and were later operated in continuous mode for five weeks at 0.3 day⁻¹ dilution rate,
122 to achieve a stable steady state.

123 Experiments were performed in summertime, from May to July, using different culture
124 media prepared with tap water plus fertilizers, pig manure, and wastewater.

125 In detail, T samples derived from a culture grown in a tubular reactor (3 m³) operated in
126 continuous mode using fertilizers and clean water as culture medium; sample RW comes
127 from a raceway reactor (20 m³) also operated in continuous mode using fertilizers and
128 clean water as culture medium. Fertilizers used in the trials were: NaNO₃, MgSO₄ and
129 KH₂PO₄, in order to have a concentration of 200 mg L⁻¹ N and 50 mg L⁻¹ of P
130 approximately.

131 Sample RH comes from a raceway reactor (4 m³) on which microalgae are produced in
132 continuous mode using wastewater as culture medium, thus supplying an average

133 concentration of N as NH₃ and P equal to 60 mg⁻¹ and 10 mg L⁻¹ respectively. Sample RI
134 comes from a culture grown in continuous mode using a thin-layer reactor (1.5 m³) using
135 clean water plus manure (10%) to provide nutrients, with average concentrations of NH₄
136 and P at 100 and 20 mg L⁻¹. All the culture media supplied had no limiting amount of N
137 and P for algae growth in the specific irradiance conditions.

138 Whatever the bioreactor, the cultures were regulated at pH8 by on-demand injection of
139 CO₂. Samples were taken at a one-off sampling event on one single day for all the
140 configurations, after more than three weeks in steady state. Samples were freeze-dried
141 and stored at -80°C until extraction.

142 The specific growth rate μ (day⁻¹) was calculated from the Equation (1):

143
$$\mu = 1/t \ln(Xf/Xo) \quad [1]$$

144 in which Xo and Xf are the concentrations of cells (g L⁻¹) at the beginning and at the end
145 of the batch run, respectively, and t (days) is the duration of the run.

146 Daily biomass productivity (Dp as mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹) during the culture period was calculated
147 by the Equation (2):

148
$$Dp = (Xf - Xo)/t \quad [2]$$

149

150 **2.2 Sampling and DNA extraction**

151 All samples were collected on the same day after three weeks of steady state and
152 immediately freeze-dried. The DNA was extracted from ~ 20 mg of lyophilized algae per
153 sample by using the Biosprint 96 One-For-All Vet Kit (QIAGEN), in association with the
154 semiautomatic extractor BioSprint 96 (Qiagen) and MagAttract technology, following the
155 user manual. DNA quantity was measured with Qubit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies,

156 Monza, Italy) and the 260/280 ratio evaluated with Nanodrop (Invitrogen, Life
157 Technologies, Monza, Italy). For each reactor, three technical replicates were obtained.
158 Illumina sequencing was performed on all samples for bacteria and eukaryotic
159 communities. For bacteria the V3-V4 hypervariable region on the 16S gene was selected
160 and amplified with the following primers: 341F-805R (Ferris et al., 1996), while for
161 eukaryotes the ITS region with ITS1-ITS4 primers (White et al., 1990) was targeted for
162 amplicon sequencing. Illumina sequencing was performed by IGATech company (IGA
163 Technology Services s.r.l., Udine, Italy).
164 Sequences were submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)
165 Short Read Archive (SRA) with the following accession numbers: PRJNA666427 and
166 PRJNA666428.

167 **2.3 Bioinformatics analysis**

168 Amplicons were processed following the same protocol as in Bani et al. (2019) for 16s
169 while for the ITS a slightly modified protocol was used. For 16s and ITS sequences were
170 trimmed ($q < 20$) with Sickle (Joshi and Fass, 2011) and error corrected using SPAdes
171 (Bankevich et al., 2012) implemented within BayesHammer (Nikolenko et al., 2013). For
172 16S only sequences were paired-end aligned using the PEAR algorithm (Zhang et al.,
173 2013). VSEARCH (Rognes et al., 2016) was used for chimera checks and picking
174 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on 97% similarity via the QIIME2 pipeline
175 (Bolyen et al., 2019). The resultant OTU sequences were assigned taxonomy using the
176 Naïve Bayesian Classifier (Wang et al., 2007) against the RDP database. ITS sequences
177 were not paired-end because no overlap was possible between the two reads and only the
178 forward reads were retrieved for the following analysis. Sequences were clustered in OTU
179 on 97% of similarity using the VSEARCH algorithm inside QIIME2 pipeline (Bolyen et

180 al., 2019). The taxonomic annotation was performed using blastn (version 2.8.1) (Zhang
181 et al., 2000) against the NCBI nucleotide database (Morgulis et al., 2008) and taxonomy
182 retrieved using taxdump repository (version 11 March 2019).

183 **2.4 Quantitative Real Time PCR**

184 qPCR was used to quantify 16S rRNA gene copy numbers for bacteria. Alongside general
185 quantification of the microbial community, the study focused on the quantification of
186 specific groups of interest such as *S. almeriensis* and the ammonia oxidizing bacteria
187 (AOB). qPCR was performed with 1× POWRUP SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied
188 Biosystems, Life Technologies, Monza, Italy) on Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real
189 Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Monza, Italy), used in
190 combination with Applied Biosystems software. Each reaction took place in a 20 µl final
191 volume containing 1× POWRUP SYBR Green Master Mix, forward and reverse primers
192 (200 nM each primer, see below), 0.4 mg mL⁻¹ Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), distilled
193 water (RNase/DNase free, Life Technologies, Monza, Italy) and 2 µL of DNA-extracts,
194 and ten-fold diluted standard DNA. Primers, thermal condition and source of standards
195 are described in Table 1, when the standard was a plasmid with the interesting gene insert
196 it was produced by GeneArt Synthesis services (Life Technologies, Monza, Italy). Qubit
197 was used to determine the stock concentration (gene copies µL⁻¹) and standard curves
198 were freshly prepared with ten-fold dilutions ranging from 10² to 10⁹ copies µL⁻¹. All
199 standards and samples were run in triplicate. To check for product specificity and
200 potential primer dimer formation, all runs were completed with a melting analysis starting
201 from 65°C to 95°C with temperature increments of 0.25°C and a transition rate of 5 s.

202 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

203 All statistical analyses were performed on R studio. Gene copy numbers from qPCR were
204 tested to check the assumption of ANOVA with Levene test (Car package) (Fox et al.,
205 2012) and Shapiro test. The assumptions were respected if data were log-transformed and
206 ANOVA was applied to test the importance of the reactor type on the abundance of
207 bacteria. When ANOVA results showed a statistically significant effect of the type of
208 reactor on data, an HSD test was applied to determine the groups. When data did not
209 follow a normal distribution a Kruskal-Wallis test was applied (agricolae package) (de
210 Mendiburu, 2017). Taxonomic summaries were performed using the phyloseq library
211 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). Ordination plots were created applying Constrained
212 Analysis of Principal Coordinates (CAP) based on Bray Curtis distance at genus level for
213 both bacteria and fungi (capscale function) (vegan) and to test the effect of the reactor
214 factor PERMANOVA was used on an OTU table clustered at 97% similarity (Oksanen et
215 al., 2007).

216 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

217 The aim of the study was to understand how different photobioreactors in contrasting
218 configuration systems (open vs. closed) and different growth media (fertilizers and
219 wastewaters), could influence the microbiota of a culture started with the same inoculum
220 over time. To provide clear evidence of how the differential operation conditions affected
221 the microbial communities, it was necessary to use exactly the same inoculum, as even
222 the same species grown in different laboratories could harbour different microbial
223 communities that would compromise the comparison between different cultivation
224 systems (Zevin et al., 2016). The inoculated microalgae *S. almeriensis* had been isolated
225 in the surrounding area of the experimental set-up under high levels of irradiance and
226 temperature. The strain was also selected since it was expected to be well adapted for

227 cultivation in open reactors (raceways and thin layer), thus providing it with a possible
228 ecological advantage over other non-desired microalgae that could enter the open systems
229 as contaminants. This algal species has an important economic value as it holds the ability
230 to accumulate lutein, an important antioxidant (Sánchez et al., 2008). In order to prevent
231 an initial potential contamination of the culture that would alter the experiment, the
232 inoculum used for the trials was identical for all the photobioreactors and it had been
233 growing in the tubular system of the experiment.

234 **3.1 Reactor performance and chemical parameters**

235 Reported in Table 2 are the growth performances of the four trials, data were in line with
236 productivity reported in the literature (Barceló-Villalobos et al., 2019; del Mar Morales-
237 Amaral et al., 2015). RI (thin layer and 10% of pig manure) showed the best performance
238 while the T (tubular and fertiliser) resulted in the lowest daily productivity (YD). Thin
239 layer reactors usually have the highest productivity (del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015)
240 compared to the other reactors. High levels of productivity are also achieved when using
241 wastewater as medium and not only fertiliser, reducing the overall cost of the system.
242 Productivity for *S. almeriensis* in thin layer reactors is reported to vary between 45 g m^{-2}
243 d^{-1} and $11.7 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in summer and winter, respectively (Barceló-Villalobos et al., 2019;
244 del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015) (RI productivity is $38.4 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) (Table 2). In line
245 with other productivity data for *S. almeriensis* (del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015),
246 productivity for raceway photoreactors was lower ($31.5 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ at RW (raceway and
247 fertiliser) and $24 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ at RH (raceway and wastewater) (Table 2). The best
248 performance, exhibited by thin layer reactors, is usually obtained as a result of the
249 shallower depth of the culture vs the greater depths in raceways, that allowed a better
250 penetration of the light (del Mar Morales-Amaral et al., 2015). In addition, the use of thin

251 layer reactors appeared to offer further advantages such as a relatively minor loss of
252 biomass. It has been estimated that there is a loss of 30% of algae biomass in thin layer
253 against a complete culture collapse in open ponds in case of rotifer contamination, which
254 is relatively common in open set-ups (Deruyck et al., 2019). However, recent studies have
255 highlighted that thin layer reactors are still far from providing the optimal running
256 parameters. The net photosynthesis rate could be increased to more than 60% if
257 differential fluxes of pH and oxygen are corrected within the system (Barceló-Villalobos
258 et al., 2019).

259 **3.2 Bioinformatics results**

260 Sequencing of the 16S amplicon library resulted in $299,186 \pm 121,384$ sequences for
261 samples. After trimming, an average of $295,031 \pm 120,048$ sequences were retained and
262 $131,450 \pm 49,524$ assembled (Table 3). For the ITS library, a total of $131,188 \pm 78,449$
263 sequences for samples were found. After trimming, $119,320 \pm 79,002$ sequences were
264 retained for samples (Table 3). The 16S amplicon library resulted in a total of 6,693 OTUs
265 already excluding singletons and OTUs that were assigned to Chloroplast [is that the right
266 word?] at the order levels while retained the OTUs assigned to Cyanobacteria (Table 3).
267 For ITS, the total number of OTUs was 3056 without singletons (total including
268 singletons was 10,579 OTUs, Table3).

269 **3.3 Community composition and structure**

270 The main phyla and genera found in this study (Figure 1A,C) have been reported in other
271 studies on microalgae consortia in wastewater treatment processes or high rate algae
272 ponds (Ibekwe et al., 2017; Lian et al., 2018). The main phylum was Proteobacteria (42%
273 ± 9), this phylum includes many generalist bacteria that are known to colonise different
274 environments including wastewater or microalgae cultivation. Within this phylum are

275 the genera *Roseicyclus*, which accounted for 8% of the bacterial community composition
276 (Figure 1C), genera which are usually found connected with microalgae (Tang et al.,
277 2018). Proteobacteria was followed by Bacteroidetes ($25\% \pm 22$), Planctomyces ($8\% \pm$
278 6), Actinobacteria ($5\% \pm 3$) and Verrucomicrobia ($4\% \pm 2$) (Figure 1A). At the genus
279 level, differences were more marked between the closed reactor and the other open
280 reactors with the first dominated by *Flavobacterium* ($12\% \pm 21$ across the 4 treatments,
281 but in T samples this genus accounted for 45% of the reads) which is usually found in
282 association with many microalgae (Lian et al., 2018). The open configurations have a
283 more complex composition without a clear bacterial dominance (Figure 1C). The
284 presence of a complex community could be due to the species arriving in the outside
285 environment due to the movement of air/rain and also to their presence in the wastewater
286 or in the pig slurry that were being used as sources of nutrients. Complex bacterial
287 communities could also be the results of strict species-specific interactions. For example,
288 Eigemann et al. (2013) reported that the same inoculum of *Desmodesmus sp.*, exposed to
289 different environmental conditions, had the same bacterial community (> 80 and 90%
290 similarity). This finding leads to a supposition that microalgae may determine or, at least,
291 affect the microbial community present. Similar findings, that a microalgae community
292 can determine the composition of a bacterial community, are reported by Krohn Molt et
293 al. (2017), highlighting a mechanism of innate immunity of some microbes that are thus
294 selected in the phycosphere of specific algae. Then, it is not surprising then to find the
295 high relative abundance of the Planctomycetes phylum, found in all the open reactors
296 (Figure 1C, *Rhodopirellula* ($6\% \pm 5$)) as this phylum is able to establish a positive
297 feedback between bacteria and algae. Higher presence of harmful bacteria will result in a
298 negative effect on the microalgae fitness therefore microalgae could have recruited this

299 phylum to help in regulating the associated microbiota as it could produce small
300 antibiotics molecules while obtaining carbon compounds from the algae (Lage and
301 Bondoso, 2014; Wiegand et al., 2018).

302 In our case, both the algal and bacterial community were affected by environmental
303 conditions (open vs closed reactors) and, even if it is possible that algae community
304 influenced the composition of a bacterial community, data from this work cannot be
305 conclusive on this point.

306 *Gemmatimonas*, the second most abundant genus ($7\% \pm 5$), could result in a positive
307 impact on N₂O emission, usually a by-product of incomplete ammonia oxidation or an
308 intermediate in denitrification (Park et al., 2017) in many microalgae production system,
309 as this genus is able to reduce nitrous oxide even in anoxic conditions (Park et al., 2017).

310 Other common genera found in this study were: *Roseicyclus* ($8\% \pm 1$), *Rhodopirellula*
311 ($6\% \pm 5$), *Porphyrobacter* ($5\% \pm 4$), *Hydrogenophaga* ($4\% \pm 2$) and *Oligoflexus* ($3\% \pm$
312 5).

313 For Eukaryote communities, Chlorophyta dominated all the reactors (>90% of the
314 sequences were assigned to this phylum), while on the species level, different mixtures
315 of species could be found based on the photobioreactor set up (Figure 1D).

316 This study provides evidence that after 5 weeks of continuous mode-culturing the
317 inoculum was retrieved only in a closed reactor (Figure 1D and 3B). The tubular reactor
318 was dominated by the inoculated species *S. almerienis* ($95\% \pm 1.3$), while in the other
319 three reactors this alga could be found only at a low percentage ($3.6\% \pm 2.3$) as it was
320 almost exclusively replaced by the genus *Desmodesmus* sp. In particular, *D. armatus*
321 ($24\% \pm 23$), *D. opoliensis* ($35\% \pm 33$) and *D. communis* ($8\% \pm 9$) were found as the
322 dominant species in the three open bioreactors. Each of the species dominated a different

323 reactor: *D. armatus* was the dominant specie in RH reactor ($44\% \pm 27$) while *D. opoliensis*
324 dominated in RI reactor ($76\% \pm 7$). RW configuration did not show a clear dominance as
325 *D. armatus*, *D. opoliensis* and *D. communis* were all present in similar concentrations
326 ($17\% \pm 15$, $26\% \pm 11$ and $18\% \pm 14$ respectively) (Figure 1D). It is well known that open
327 outdoor photobioreactors are prone to contamination, but the presence of a native species
328 inoculated in the culture at high concentrations should hold competitive ecological
329 advantages then enable survival even in open reactors; however, this was not the case
330 (Narala et al., 2016). Typically, the use of native species is more robust as they are
331 acclimated to operational conditions and environmental variables and this should be
332 enough to maintain the monoculture (García et al., 2018), in alignment with other studies
333 reported in the literature (Petrini et al., 2020). The results found corroborated the
334 difficulties of maintaining a monoculture within economically advantageous conditions
335 (open configuration systems) that is possible only with a few species of microalgae that
336 require specific environmental conditions such as high pH or salinity such as *D. salina* or
337 *A. platensis* (Mooij et al., 2015). .

338 The origin of the contaminant microalgae could be tracked to a low abundance in the
339 inoculum and then a proliferation in a complex community in the open reactor; however,
340 it is more probable that contaminant algae were present in the growth media or were
341 recruited by dispersal from the surrounding environment and then by ecological
342 advantages, replaced the selected strain (Bohutskyi et al., 2016). Species such as *D.*
343 *opoliensis* or *D. armatus* replaced *S. almeriensis* in all the reactors as they have higher
344 fitness, better ability to retrieve nutrients and differential growth, and also an ability to
345 tolerate high organic pollution, as in the case of the wastewater growth medium (Palmer,
346 1969).

347 Eukaryotic alpha diversity indexes (Figure 2E-H) were lower when compared to
348 measures for bacterial alpha diversity (Figure 2A-D). Eukaryotic communities in all
349 reactors usually include a few species that dominate the community while bacterial
350 communities are more diverse and a single dominant species does not become
351 established. For all diversity indices, the RW reactor had the highest alpha diversity
352 followed by the other two open reactors, RH and RI, while the tubular reactor had the
353 lowest alpha diversity (Figure 2E, F). T samples showed the lowest Shannon diversity
354 index as they were clearly dominated by a single species (Figure 2G), in this case the
355 inoculum.

356 All alpha diversity indices for bacterial communities showed the same trend, with the RH
357 configuration having the highest diversity, followed by RI, RW and, finally by T with a
358 lower diversity bacterial community (Figure 2A, B). Shannon's index (Figure 2C)
359 showed that the bacterial communities in T samples were more uneven, as T samples
360 were clearly dominated by *Flavobacterium* (Figure 1C) unlike the other samples.

361 The lower diversity and low Shannon index in T samples for both communities could be
362 the results of a more stable system in which contamination from the environment was
363 reduced to zero and the number of microniches available was limited, due to the smaller
364 fluctuations in temperature and light than those which the open systems experienced
365 daily. The higher diversity in the bacterial communities could also be explained, since
366 different phyla have been shown to use different compounds released by the microalgae
367 in the environment. For example, *Flavobacterium* only uses high molecular weight
368 carbon sources, while *Rhodobacterales* (*Roseicyclus*) prefer low molecular weight
369 metabolites (Ferrer-González et al., 2020).

370 **3.4 Community structure and interaction**

371 Bacterial community structure for the four configurations tested was clearly different as
372 shown in Figure 3A. All samples clustered based on the reactor type (PERMANOVA p-
373 value 0.001, $df= 3$, $F= 17.131$, $R^2= 0.865$) with no overlap; T samples were the most
374 dissimilar as they were the most distant from all the other reactors (Figure 3A). In
375 particular, the large distance between T and RW (different bioreactor and same growth
376 media), revealed that the bacterial community would be strongly influenced by the
377 configuration system of the bioreactors (open system vs. closed system) rather than
378 culture medium composition (clean medium vs. wastewater, PERMANOVA p-value
379 0.002, $df= 2$, $F= 5.3067$, $R^2= 0.54113$). For the eukaryotic community, reactor set-up also
380 had a strong influence on composition (PERMANOVA p-value 0.01, $df=3$, $F= 18.169$,
381 $R^2=0.872$) (Figure 3B). However, for eukaryotic data, there were only three distinct
382 clusters as samples from RW and RH exhibited overlap. It is not possible to completely
383 understand the relative importance of the growth medium and the reactor type, as the
384 experimental design does not allow a full comparison between the treatments. Based on
385 the results of the raceway reactors, it is clear that reactors set-up seems more important
386 than the growth medium, as the samples from raceways were very similar not only in
387 community structure but also composition, while microalgae communities, which shared
388 the same growth medium but different set-ups, were clearly different (T vs RW). If it
389 makes sense that the use of clean medium in a contamination-prone set-up would result
390 in a different community, it is less intuitive to explain why similar communities should
391 be detected in clean medium and wastewater raceways. Probably both systems resulted
392 in a highly competitive environment due to, on one hand, the high resource availability,
393 and on the other hand, an already complex community present in the medium and high

394 complexity in the substrate available, resulting in similar microalgae communities with
395 higher fitness/ability to establish themselves as dominant (Bohutskyi et al., 2016).
396 Differently from the eukaryotic communities, bacterial communities were completely
397 distinct in all the set-ups. That closed photobioreactors have limited bacterial diversity is
398 due to the impossibility of spatial dispersion and further limited by the use of clean
399 fertilizer. Variability within the bacterial community is, in the closed photobioreactor,
400 connected with the microalga inoculated, as each microalgae harbors a different
401 microbiota that is host specific and different from the surrounding environment (Jackrel
402 et al., 2020). However, multiple microalgae could be present at the same time, as in all
403 the open systems, and a trade-off between host selection and environmental effects is in
404 action, with growth media providing multiple types of carbon source and other nutrient
405 forms. In this study, for bacterial communities, environmental filtering and dispersal from
406 outside sources are stronger than selection from the host, so if the host selection had been
407 the primary source of the diversity, the resulting bacterial communities in the raceways
408 should have been similar, as for the microalgae communities.

409 As the two communities of eukaryotes and bacteria were not confined in two different
410 environments, their interactions between each other could provide information of
411 ecological importance (Figure 3C). Co-occurrences, based on the Spearman index, were
412 investigated for the most common genera (abundance above 5% threshold) and the most
413 common eukaryotic species (above 1% threshold). *Gemmatimonas* had the highest
414 numbers of positive interactions (4) while *Chrysolinea* had the highest number of
415 negative interactions with *Oligoflexus* (3 each). *Flavobacterium* was the genus with most
416 interactions in general (6 in total). *Flavobacterium* is commonly found in many
417 microalgal environments both as a free-living organism but also closely associated in the

418 phycosphere. *Chlorella* sp. have been demonstrated to actively recruit this genus if an
419 axenic culture is exposed to environmental bacteria (Jackrel et al., 2020). Bacterial cells
420 could help with *Chlorella* fitness by providing additional CO₂, as this is often a limiting
421 factor in photobioreactors, as by-products of the degradation of organic compounds that
422 the *Chlorella* itself could be providing (Lian et al., 2018). The interaction between
423 *Flavobacterium* and *Chlorella* sp. could also result in other economic advantages for
424 microalgae production as not only the bacteria could help the fitness of the algae but also
425 help in flocculation of the culture. Even if not all the species within the genera have the
426 same properties, it has been shown that when *Flavobacterium* sp. were removed from a
427 *Chlorella* culture the flocculation activity was reduced by 3% (Lee et al., 2013). This has
428 important economic implications, as harvesting of the culture represents one of the most
429 expensive steps. Further study should clarify the active role of the bacteria.

430 **3.5 Community quantifications**

431 Each different reactor type exhibited different bacterial abundances as shown in Figure
432 4A and C. The tubular reactor (T) had the highest abundance of bacteria followed by the
433 thin layer (RI) reactor and the two raceway reactors with waste medium and synthetic
434 medium (RH and RW), respectively. The differences were also supported by the result of
435 ANOVA and the following HSD test. When focusing on the specific group of ammonia
436 oxidizing bacteria (AOB), similar results were obtained to the total quantification (Figure
437 4C). T reactor had the highest proportion of AOB followed by RI and finally RH and RW,
438 respectively. Results were also confirmed by the Kruskal-Wallis analysis. To support the
439 metabarcoding data, *S. almerienis*, the inoculated species, was also quantified across the
440 different samples. As Figure 4B clearly shows, it could be found in abundance in T

441 samples and, in lower quantity, also in RW samples. In the other two reactors, RI and RH,
442 no amplification was detected for any sample.

443 **4. CONCLUSION**

444 This study demonstrated how photobioreactors' set-up and growth media have effected
445 microalgae inoculum dynamics. The inoculum was only retrieved in the closed
446 photobioreactor. Algal community was strongly influenced by the bioreactors
447 configurations (open vs. closed systems) rather than by growth medium composition.
448 Microalgae communities cultivated in the same configuration system using different
449 growth media were similar, while microalgae sharing the same medium, but grown in
450 different configurations were clearly different. Possibly shifts in algal communities
451 influenced the bacterial composition and productivity, data from this work cannot be
452 conclusive although, beneficial in implementing the planning and large production of
453 microalgae.

454 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

455 This study is part of the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and innovation
456 Program under Grant Agreement No. 727874 (SABANA). The authors are deeply
457 grateful to Kirsty J Matthews Nicholass for her English checking of the manuscript

458

459 **REFERENCES**

- 460 1. Acién, F.G., Fernández, J.M., Magán, J.J., Molina, E., 2012. Production cost of a real
461 microalgae production plant and strategies to reduce it. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 30, 1344–
462 1353.
- 463 2. Bani, A., Borruso, L., Matthews Nicholass, K.J., Bardelli, T., Polo, A., Pioli, S.,
464 Gómez-Brandón, M., Insam, H., Dumbrell, A.J., Brusetti, L., 2019. Site-specific
465 microbial decomposer communities do not imply faster decomposition: Results from a
466 litter transplantation experiment. *Microorganisms* 7.
467 <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7090349>
- 468 3. Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S.,
469 Lesin, V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., 2012. SPAdes: a new genome
470 assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19,
471 455–477.
- 472 4. Barceló-Villalobos, M., Serrano, C.G., Zurano, A.S., García, L.A., Maldonado, S.E.,
473 Peña, J., Fernández, F.G.A., 2019. Variations of culture parameters in a pilot-scale thin-
474 layer reactor and their influence on the performance of *Scenedesmus almeriensis*
475 culture. *Bioresour. Technol. Reports* 6, 190–197.
- 476 5. Beatrice-Lindner, P., Garrido-Cardenas, J.A., Sepulveda, C., Acien-Fernandez, F.G.,
477 2018. A new approach for detection and quantification of microalgae in industrial-scale
478 microalgal cultures. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 8429–8436.
- 479 6. Bell, T.A.S., Prithiviraj, B., Wahlen, B.D., Fields, M.W., Peyton, B.M., 2016. A Lipid-
480 Accumulating Alga Maintains Growth in Outdoor, Alkaliphilic Raceway Pond with
481 Mixed Microbial Communities . *Front. Microbiol.* .
- 482 7. Bellucci, M., Curtis, T.P., 2011. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in wastewater, in:
483 *Methods in Enzymology*. Elsevier, pp. 269–286. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386489-5.00011-7)
484 [386489-5.00011-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386489-5.00011-7)

- 485 8. Bohutskyi, P., Kligerman, D.C., Byers, N., Nasr, L.K., Cua, C., Chow, S., Su, C., Tang,
486 Y., Betenbaugh, M.J., Bouwer, E.J., 2016. Effects of inoculum size, light intensity, and
487 dose of anaerobic digestion centrate on growth and productivity of *Chlorella* and
488 *Scenedesmus* microalgae and their poly-culture in primary and secondary wastewater.
489 *Algal Res.* 19, 278–290.
- 490 9. Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A.,
491 Alexander, H., Alm, E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., 2019. Reproducible, interactive,
492 scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37,
493 852–857.
- 494 10. D’Imporzano, G., Veronesi, D., Salati, S., Adani, F., 2018. Carbon and nutrient
495 recovery in the cultivation of *Chlorella vulgaris*: A life cycle assessment approach to
496 comparing environmental performance. *J. Clean. Prod.* 194, 685–694.
- 497 11. de Mendiburu, F., 2017. Package ‘agricolae.’ *Stat. Proced. Agric. Res.*
- 498 12. De Vree, J.H., Bosma, R., Janssen, M., Barbosa, M.J., Wijffels, R.H., 2015.
499 Comparison of four outdoor pilot-scale photobioreactors. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 8, 215.
- 500 13. del Mar Morales-Amaral, M., Gómez-Serrano, C., Acién, F.G., Fernández-Sevilla, J.M.,
501 Molina-Grima, E., 2015. Outdoor production of *Scenedesmus* sp. in thin-layer and
502 raceway reactors using centrate from anaerobic digestion as the sole nutrient source.
503 *Algal Res.* 12, 99–108.
- 504 14. Deruyck, B., Nguyen, K.H.T., Decaestecker, E., Muylaert, K., 2019. Modeling the
505 impact of rotifer contamination on microalgal production in open pond, photobioreactor
506 and thin layer cultivation systems. *Algal Res.* 38, 101398.
- 507 15. Eigemann, F., Hilt, S., Salka, I., Grossart, H.-P., 2013. Bacterial community
508 composition associated with freshwater algae: species specificity vs. dependency on
509 environmental conditions and source community. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 83, 650–663.
- 510 16. Ferrer-González, F.X., Widner, B., Holderman, N.R., Glushka, J., Edison, A.S.,
511 Kujawinski, E.B., Moran, M.A., 2020. Resource partitioning of phytoplankton

- 512 metabolites that support bacterial heterotrophy. *ISME J.* 1–12.
- 513 17. Ferris, M.J., Muyzer, G., Ward, A.D.M., 1996. Denaturing Gradient Gel
514 Electrophoresis Profiles of 16S rRNA-Defined Populations Inhabiting a Hot Spring
515 Microbial Mat Community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 340–346.
- 516 18. Fox, J., Weisberg, S., Adler, D., Bates, D., Baud-Bovy, G., Ellison, S., Firth, D.,
517 Friendly, M., Gorjanc, G., Graves, S., 2012. Package ‘car.’ Vienna R Found. Stat.
518 Comput.
- 519 19. Fulbright, S.P., Dean, M.K., Wardle, G., Lammers, P.J., Chisholm, S., 2014. Molecular
520 diagnostics for monitoring contaminants in algal cultivation. *Algal Res.* 4, 41–51.
521 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2013.11.008>
- 522 20. Ganuza, E., Sellers, C.E., Bennett, B.W., Lyons, E.M., Carney, L.T., 2016. A novel
523 treatment protects *Chlorella* at commercial scale from the predatory bacterium
524 *Vampirovibrio chlorellavorus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 848.
525 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00848>
- 526 21. García, D., Posadas, E., Blanco, S., Acién, G., García-Encina, P., Bolado, S., Muñoz,
527 R., 2018. Evaluation of the dynamics of microalgae population structure and process
528 performance during piggery wastewater treatment in algal-bacterial photobioreactors.
529 *Bioresour. Technol.* 248, 120–126.
- 530 22. Ibekwe, A.M., Murinda, S.E., Murry, M.A., Schwartz, G., Lundquist, T., Mark Ibekwe,
531 A., Murinda, S.E., Murry, M.A., Schwartz, G., Lundquist, T., 2017. Microbial
532 community structures in high rate algae ponds for bioconversion of agricultural wastes
533 from livestock industry for feed production. *Sci. Total Environ.* 580, 1185–1196.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.076>
- 535 23. Jackrel, S.L., Yang, J.W., Schmidt, K.C., Deneff, V.J., 2020. Host specificity of
536 microbiome assembly and its fitness effects in phytoplankton. *ISME J.* 1–15.
- 537 24. Joshi, N.A., Fass, J.N., 2011. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based
538 trimming tool for FastQ files (Version 1.33)[Software].

- 539 25. Krohn-Molt, I., Alawi, M., Förstner, K.U., Wiegandt, A., Burkhardt, L., Indenbirken,
540 D., Thieß, M., Grundhoff, A., Kehr, J., Tholey, A., Streit, W.R., 2017. Insights into
541 Microalga and bacteria interactions of selected phycosphere biofilms using
542 metagenomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic approaches. *Front. Microbiol.*
543 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01941>
- 544 26. Lage, O.M., Bondoso, J., 2014. Planctomycetes and macroalgae, a striking association.
545 *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 267.
- 546 27. Lakaniemi, A.M., Hulatt, C.J., Wakeman, K.D., Thomas, D.N., Puhakka, J.A., 2012.
547 Eukaryotic and prokaryotic microbial communities during microalgal biomass
548 production. *Bioresour. Technol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.08.048>
- 549 28. Lee, J., Cho, D.-H., Ramanan, R., Kim, B.-H., Oh, H.-M., Kim, H.-S., 2013.
550 Microalgae-associated bacteria play a key role in the flocculation of *Chlorella vulgaris*.
551 *Bioresour. Technol.* 131, 195–201.
- 552 29. Lee, Y.-K., 2001. Microalgal mass culture systems and methods: their limitation and
553 potential. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 13, 307–315.
- 554 30. Lian, J., Wijffels, R.H., Smidt, H., Sipkema, D., 2018. The effect of the algal
555 microbiome on industrial production of microalgae. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 11, 806–818.
- 556 31. McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive
557 analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8, e61217.
- 558 32. Monlau, F., Sambusiti, C., Ficara, E., Aboulkas, A., Barakat, A., Carrère, H., Carrere,
559 H., 2015. New opportunities for agricultural digestate valorization: current situation and
560 perspectives. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8, 2600–2621. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE01633A>
- 561 33. Mooij, P.R., Stouten, G.R., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M.M., Kleerebezem, R., 2015.
562 Ecology-based selective environments as solution to contamination in microalgal
563 cultivation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 33, 46–51.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2014.11.001>
- 565 34. Morgulis, A., Coulouris, G., Raytselis, Y., Madden, T.L., Agarwala, R., Schäffer, A.A.,

- 566 2008. Database indexing for production MegaBLAST searches. *Bioinformatics* 24,
567 1757–1764.
- 568 35. Narala, R.R., Garg, S., Sharma, K.K., Thomas-Hall, S.R., Deme, M., Li, Y., Schenk,
569 P.M., 2016. Comparison of microalgae cultivation in photobioreactor, open raceway
570 pond, and a two-stage hybrid system. *Front. Energy Res.* 4, 29.
- 571 36. Nikolenko, S.I., Korobeynikov, A.I., Alekseyev, M.A., 2013. BayesHammer: Bayesian
572 clustering for error correction in single-cell sequencing. *BMC Genomics* 14, S7.
- 573 37. Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O’Hara, B., Stevens, M.H.H., Oksanen, M.J.,
574 Suggests, M., 2007. The vegan package. *Community Ecol. Packag.* 10, 631–637.
- 575 38. Palmer, C.M., 1969. A COMPOSITE RATING OF ALGAE TOLERATING
576 ORGANIC POLLUTION². *J. Phycol.* 5, 78–82. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1969.tb02581.x)
577 [8817.1969.tb02581.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1969.tb02581.x)
- 578 39. Park, D., Kim, H., Yoon, S., 2017. Nitrous oxide reduction by an obligate aerobic
579 bacterium, *Gemmatimonas aurantiaca* strain T-27. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83,
580 e00502-17.
- 581 40. Petrini, S., Foladori, P., Beghini, F., Armanini, F., Segata, N., Andreottola, G., 2020.
582 How inoculation affects the development and the performances of microalgal-bacterial
583 consortia treating real municipal wastewater. *J. Environ. Manage.* 263, 110427.
- 584 41. Raja, R., Hemaiswarya, S., Kumar, N.A.A., Sridhar, S., Rengasamy, R., 2008. A
585 perspective on the biotechnological potential of microalgae. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 34,
586 77–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410802086783>
- 587 42. Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile
588 open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4, e2584.
- 589 43. Rotthauwe, J.-H., Witzel, K.-P., Liesack, W., 1997. The ammonia monooxygenase
590 structural gene *amoA* as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural
591 ammonia-oxidizing populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 4704–4712.
- 592 44. Sánchez, J.F., Fernández-Sevilla, J.M., Acién, F.G., Cerón, M.C., Pérez-Parra, J.,

- 593 Molina-Grima, E., 2008. Biomass and lutein productivity of *Scenedesmus almeriensis*:
594 influence of irradiance, dilution rate and temperature. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 79,
595 719–729.
- 596 45. Tang, L., Zhang, Z., Zhou, C., Cui, R., Tian, Y., Zhang, Y., 2018. *Roseicyclus marinus*
597 sp. nov., isolated from a *Synechococcus* culture, and emended description of the genus
598 *Roseicyclus*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 68, 1781–1786.
- 599 46. Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., Cole, J.R., 2007. Naive Bayesian classifier for
600 rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ.*
601 *Microbiol.* 73, 5261–7. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00062-07>
- 602 47. White, TJ, Bruns, T, Lee, S, Taylor, J, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of
603 fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: (Innis, MA, Gelfand, DH, Sninsky,
604 JJ, White, TJ (Eds.), *PCR Protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. Academic
605 Press, New York, USA, pp. 315–322.
- 606 48. Wiegand, S., Jogler, M., Jogler, C., 2018. On the maverick Planctomycetes. *FEMS*
607 *Microbiol. Rev.* 42, 739–760.
- 608 49. Zevin, A.S., Rittmann, B.E., Krajmalnik-Brown, R., 2016. The source of inoculum
609 drives bacterial community structure in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803-based
610 photobioreactors. *Algal Res.* 13, 109–115.
- 611 50. Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A., 2013. PEAR: a fast and accurate
612 Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics* 30, 614–620.
- 613 51. Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L., Miller, W., 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning
614 DNA sequences. *J. Comput. Biol.* 7, 203–214.

615
616

617 **Figure 1.** Taxonomic composition of the bacterial and eukaryotic community in each of
618 the photobioreactor configurations. Bacterial community composition at Phylum level
619 (A) and Genus level (most common above 1% abundance threshold) (C). Eukaryote
620 community composition at Phylum level (B) and Species level (D). Each barplot is the
621 average of the three replicates.

622

623 **Figure 2.** Diversity index bacterial community. A) Richness, B) Chao1, C) Shannon
624 index and D) Simpson index. Each boxplot is the results of the three replicates. Diversity
625 indices for eukaryotes community. E) Richness, F) Chao1, G) Shannon index and H)
626 Simpson index. Each boxplot is the results of the three replicates. Different letters are for
627 different groups based on Kruskal-Wallis (non normal distributed samples, Shannon and
628 Simpson index) or LSD post hoc analysis (normal distributed samples, Richness and
629 Chao1).

630

631 **Figure 3.** Ordination plot CAP scale and Spearman correlation. A) Bacterial community
632 and B) eukaryotic community. Different colours are used for the different experimental
633 set-up. For each treatment are presented the three technical replicates. C) Co-occurrence
634 based on Spearman rank correlation index. Interactions are shown only if statistically
635 significant (p-value < 0.05). The blue dots are for positive interaction while red dots are
636 for negative interactions. Only most abundant.

637

638 **Figure 4.** A) 16S gene copy number, B) *S. almerienis* gene copy number and C) AOB
639 gene copy number. Different colours are used for each experimental set up. Each box plot
640 is representing the three technical samples.

641 Table 1. qPCR details for each target gene is specified: the standard origins, primers,
 642 thermal protocol and references

Target group	Standard origin	Primers	Thermal protocol	Reference
Bacteria	<i>N. Communis</i> (DSMZ number 28436)	1055f/1392r (Ferris et al., 1996)	95 C-10 m/95 C-20 s/58 C-15 s/72 C-30 s product	(Bani et al., 2019)
Ammonia oxidising bacteria	plasmid with fragment of <i>N.</i>	AmoA1F/Amom A2R (Rotthauwe et al., 1997)	95 C-2m/94 C-45 s/56 C-30 s/72 C-60s	(Bellucci and Curtis, 2011)
AOB	<i>Eutropha</i> (GenBank KU747123.1)			
<i>S. almeriensis</i>	plasmid with fragment of <i>S. Almeriensis</i> (GenBank MF977406.1)	SalmF/SalmR (Beatrice-Lindner et al., 2018)	95 C-10 m/95 C-15 s/63 C-1m/72 C-15s	(Beatrice-Lindner et al., 2018)

643

644

645

646 Table 2. Performance of the different reactor set-ups. Cb: biomass concentration, D
647 dilution rate for continuous culturing, YD daily productivity, N and P supplied to each
648 reactor.

	Cb ^a (g L ⁻¹)	D ^a (d ⁻¹)	YD ^a (g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	YD ^a (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	N mg L ⁻¹	P mg L ⁻¹
T	2.1±0.1	0.3	0.63±0.05	23.6±0.5	200	50
RW	0.7±0.1	0.3	0.21±0.05	31.5±0.5	200	50
RI	3.2±0.1	0.3	0.96±0.05	38.4±0.5	100	20
RH	0.6±0.1	0.3	0.18±0.05	24.0±0.5	60	10

649 ^aCb: biomass concentration; D dilution rate for continuous culturing; YD daily
650 productivity

651

652 Table 3. Information on the number of sequences retained after the trimming (first two rows) and on the number of assembled sequences. Only
653 bacterial sequences were assembled but was not possible for eukaryotic sequences (see Material and Methods section)

	Bacteria				Eukaryotes			
	T	RW	RI	RH	T	RW	RI	RH
Total input	282,791 ±	258,099 ±	290,915 ±	364,938 ±	108,164 ±	141,638 ±	123,814 ±	126,474 ±
	45,178	47,701	89,202	243,395	31,378	108,280	55,753	112,116
Total	277,249 ±	253,604 ±	288,003 ±	361,268 ±	107,967 ±	141,085 ±	123,501 ±	126,162 ±
trimmed	44,366	47,195	87,503	240,223	31,147	107,608	55,575	111,994
Total	123,879 ±	114,432 ±	127,194 ±	160,296 ±	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
assembled	15,148	19,744	32,414	100,118				
Not	13,894 ±	11,664 ±	16,265 ±	19,680 ±	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
assembled	7,720	7,203	11,079	19,495				

