

Abstract

 Biomass burning (BB) and coal combustion (CC) are important sources of brown carbon (BrC) in ambient aerosols. In this study, six biomass materials and five types of coal were combusted to generate fine smoke particles. The BrC fractions, including water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), humic-like substance-carbon (HULIS-C), and methanol-soluble organic carbon (MSOC), were subsequently fractionated, and their optical properties and chemical structures were then comprehensively investigated using UV-visible spectroscopy, 26 proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy $({}^{1}H\text{-NMR})$, and fluorescence extraction-emission matrix spectroscopy (EEM) combined with parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC). In addition, the oxidative potential (OP) of BB and CC BrC was measured with the dithiothreitol (DTT) method. The results showed that WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC accounted for 2.3%–22%, 0.5%–10%, and 6.4%–73% of the total mass of 31 combustion-derived smoke $PM_{2.5}$, respectively, with MSOC extracting the highest concentrations of organic compounds. The MSOC fractions had the highest light absorption 33 capacity (mass absorption efficiency at 365 nm ((MAE_{365}): 1.0–2.7 m²/gC) for both BB and CC smoke, indicating that MSOC contained more of the strong light-absorbing components. Therefore, MSOC may represent the total BrC better than the water-soluble fractions. Some significant differences were observed between the BrC fractions emitted from BB and CC 37 with more water-soluble BrC fractions with higher MAE_{365} and lower absorption Ångström exponent values detected in smoke emitted from BB than from CC. EEM-PARAFAC identified four fluorophores: two protein-like, one humic-like, and one polyphenol-like. The protein-like substances were the dominant components of WSOC (47%–80%), HULIS-C

 $\overline{2}$

1. Introduction

 Brown carbon (BrC) is an organic compound with strong light absorption at ultraviolet and short visible wavelengths and is abundant in ambient aerosols (Chen and Bond, 2010; Laskin et al., 2015; Alexander et al., 2008), rain, clouds, and fog water (Santos et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2012; Izhar et al., 2020). Due to its strong light absorption ability, BrC can affect the radiative balance of aerosol and photochemical reactions in the atmospheric 59 environment (Andreae and Gelencser, 2006; Kumar et al., 2018a; Nozière et al., 2011). Moreover, BrC has the ability to catalyze the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which potentially have an adverse impact on human health (Bates et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019).

 Brown carbon originates from various sources, including primary emission sources, such as biomass burning (BB), coal combustion (CC), and vehicular emissions (Fan et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2017); and secondary processes, such as reactions between carbonyls and ammonia or amines and the photochemical transformation of volatile organic compounds (Evangeliou et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2015). Among these sources, BB and CC are considered to make significant contributions to atmospheric BrC materials as indicated in both laboratory and field studies (Li et al., 2018; Park and Yu, 2016; van der Werf et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2015). For example, BrC fractions, such as water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), humic-like substance-carbon (HULIS-C), and methanol-soluble organic carbon (MSOC), have been found to be abundant in fresh emissions from the burning of crop straw, wood branches, and coals (Park and Yu, 2016; Fan et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2018). These studies have also demonstrated that the chemical properties of primary BrC

 are variable due to the inherent heterogeneity and complexity of fuel materials and combustion conditions (Huo et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Atwi et al., 2021). For example, the light absorption properties of primary HULIS-C produced by the combustion of three types of crop straw under different moisture contents and stacking modes are different. The absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) increased and the mass absorption efficiency at 365 nm (MAE365) decreased under high moisture or stacking conditions (Huo et al., 2018). The water-soluble BrC emitted from low maturity CC generally had relatively low 82 MAE₃₆₅ values (Li et al., 2018). However, most of these studies only focused on the relative abundances, chemical composition, and optical properties of water-soluble BrC (e.g., HULIS) emitted from the combustion of various fuels and different combustion conditions (e.g., smoldering and flaming) (Huo et al., 2018; Park et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2016). It is noted that water-insoluble BrC even exhibits a higher light absorption than water-soluble BrC in ambient aerosols (Chen et al., 2016, 2017; Bai et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019). However, knowledge on the chemical and optical properties of water-insoluble BrC from combustion sources is still lacking. Moreover, the association of chemical compositions responsible for light absorption of BrC from combustion sources is still constrained. Therefore, to gain more detailed information on BrC from combustion sources, a comprehensive characterization, including the chemical and optical characteristics of the BrC fractions (including both water-soluble and water-insoluble BrC) from the combustion of biomass materials and coals, is required.

 In addition, the oxidative potential (OP) of water-soluble organic fractions (WSOC and HULIS) and the water-insoluble organic fraction in ambient aerosols have been investigated,

 and all are known to be significant redox-active organic compounds associated with ROS generation, which can adversely affect human health (Moufarrej et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2019; Verma et al., 2012; Kramer et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2019). As important contributors to ambient BrC, combustion-derived BrC is expected to have a strong ROS generation capacity and be harmful to human health. For example, the oxidative potential of the water-soluble fraction of atmospheric fine aerosols were analyzed and revealed that biomass burning dominates the ROS-generation potential in winter, contributing more than 46% to DTT activities in the southeastern United State (Verma et al., 2014) and 41% in Milan, Italy (Hakimzadeh et al., 2020). In addition, study on the oxidative potential of water-soluble HULIS in fine aerosols in Beijing also indicated that combustion sources contributed a high proportion to the oxidative stress of water-soluble HULIS fractions (Ma et al., 2018). However, these results were mainly obtained based on the source apportionment receptor model (positive matrix factorization (PMF) and chemical mass balances (CMB)). Recently, the water extracts and HULIS from biomass burning were directly investigated and presented significant oxidative potential to generate ROS (e.g., 6.6-55 pmol/min/μg for WSOC and HULIS extracted from biomass burning smoke) (Fan et al., 2018; Pietrogrande et al.,2021; Seo et al., 2020). In addition, high oxidative potentials (2.04-15.5 pmol/min/ug) were also observed for water extracts in soots generated from the combustion of fossil fuels (Li et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019). However, this limited studies only focused on the water-soluble BrC fraction from biomass burning; and knowledge on the oxidative potential of the water-insoluble BB BrC and BrC fractions emitted from other combustion processes, such as coal combustion, is still lacking. In addition, the DTT activities of BrC from different combustion sources were generally different, but the key components or functional groups that responsible for the ROS generation capacity of combustion-derived BrC are unclear.

 Biomass fuels and coals are two traditional sources of energy in residential properties in some developing countries, especially China and India (Sun et al., 2017; Huo et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2021). Due to incomplete combustion and poor pollution control, BB and CC release various pollutants, including particulate matter (PM), elemental carbon (EC), and BrC. In this study, we investigated the optical properties, chemical composition, and oxidative potential of BrC fractions in smokes emitted from BB and CC. Six biomass materials (three types of crop straw and three types of wood branches) and five coals with different maturities were combusted, and the resulting smoke particles were collected in a laboratory combustion chamber. The water soluble (WSOC and HULIS-C) and methanol soluble (MSOC) fractions in smoke were fractionated using pure water combined with solid-phase extraction (SPE) and methanol extraction. Subsequently, their chemical and optical properties were measured using a total organic carbon analyzer, UV-visible spectroscopy, fluorescence extraction-emission matrix spectroscopy (EEM) combined with parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC), and proton 134 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy $({}^{1}H\text{-NMR})$. Moreover, the oxidative potential of the BrC fractions was determined by a dithiothreitol (DTT) assay. This is a comprehensive study of the chemical and optical properties of BrC fractions, including both water-soluble and water-insoluble fractions from BB and CC. The OP of different BrC fractions from BB and CC were directly determined, and the key components or properties associated with the OP of BrC were further discussed. The information obtained will enhance our understanding of the chemical composition, light absorption, fluorophores, and DTT activity of the primary BrC

 from BB and CC and could be used to estimate the environmental and climate impacts of different types of combustion-derived BrC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. The BB and CC smoke samples

 In this study, six biomass materials and five types of coal were collected and used to generate smoke samples. The biomass materials consisted of three types of crop straw (wheat straw (WS), rice straw (RS), and corn straw (CS)) and three types of wood branches (pine wood (PW), Chinese fir (CF), and white poplar (WP)). These materials are usually used as fuels for heating and cooking in rural areas and are also occasionally burned in the field (Fan et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018b). The combustion of these crop straws and woody fuels is reported to make a significant contribution to atmospheric aerosols in China (Shen et al., 2013). Five types of coal were used for the collection of CC smoke samples. They consisted of four types of bituminous coal (B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4) and one anthracite coal (AN), representing the major types of coal used for residential CC in China. The details of these samples are provided in the supporting information (SI).

 Samples of the smoke emitted from BB and CC were collected in a combustion and sampling system. The system consisted of a combustion hood, clean background air dilution 159 and injection ports, smoke pipe, mixing fan, mixing chamber, $PM_{2.5}$ sampler (JCH-120F, Juchuang Environmental Protection Group Co., Ltd., Shandong, China), and an exhaust port. The details of the sampling procedure are described in our previous study (Fan et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018) and the SI file.

 Blank quartz filters were collected before each group of combustion experiments prior to the fuels being ignited. Blank filters were used to correct the mass of smoke, the optical signals and DTT consumption by BrC. To prevent contamination of the following sample, the collection system was cleaned before each new combustion experiment.

2.2. Extraction and isolation of BrC fractions

 In this study, the WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC fractions were obtained using the solvent extraction method, as described in our previous studies (Fan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Initially, the filter samples were cut into small pieces and ultrasonically extracted three times with 20 mL ultrapure water for 30 min. The extract was filtered through a 0.22 μm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) syringe filter (Jinteng, Tianjin, China), which collected the WSOC fraction. The HULIS-C fraction in WSOC was further isolated using the SPE (Oasis HLB, 200 mg, Waters, Milford, MA, USA) method. The detailed procedure is provided in S3 of SI file.

 The MSOC fraction was obtained using a method developed by Cheng et al. (2016). Briefly, a portion of the filter was immersed in methanol (Macklin, >99.9%, Shanghai, China) for 2 h and then filtered through a 0.22 μm PTFE syringe filter. Static digestion without ultrasonic treatment can avoid the loss of PM and facilitate the determination of the dissolved organic matter (DOM) content. Finally, the residual filters were dried in a vacuum dryer. The OC content of MSOC was obtained by subtracting the OC concentration of the extracted filters from untreated filters.

2.3. UV-visible spectroscopy

 The UV-visible absorption spectra of the BrC solutions were analyzed using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2600, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The BrC solution was placed in a 0.01 m quartz cuvette, and the UV-vis spectra were recorded from 200 to 700 nm at 1 nm intervals. Milli-Q water was used as a blank reference for the WSOC and HULIS-C solutions while pure methanol was used as the blank for the MSOC fraction. The corresponding background was used to determine the interference from the instrument and operational blank sample.

193 To describe the optical properties of BrC fractions, the AAE and MAE₃₆₅ were calculated in this study. The AAE is a measure of the spectral dependence of chromophores in BrC while 195 the MAE₃₆₅ can indicate the light absorbing capacity of BrC (Fan et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2016). The detailed calculations are described in the SI file.

2.4. Fluorescence EEM spectroscopy and the PARAFAC model

 The EEM fluorescence spectra of BrC fractions were recorded by an F-4600 fluorescence spectrometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) using a 0.01 m width quartz cuvette with a 400 V xenon lamp at room temperature and a 2400 nm/min scanning speed. The scanning 202 ranges for excitation (E_X) and emission (E_M) were 200–400 nm and 290–520 nm, respectively. 203 The slit width and intervals for E_X and E_M were both set to 5 nm. According to the different solvents used for sample extraction (water and methanol), all EEM spectra were divided into two groups for analysis (66 samples for water-soluble WSOC and HULIS-C and 33 samples for MSOC). The PARAFAC modeling procedure was conducted in EFC v1.2, which is an

 application software based on MATLAB that has the functions of conversion, correction, cognition, comparison, and calculation for processing the fluorescence spectra (He and Hur, 2015; Murphy et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2013). The PARAFAC analysis method that was included in the software was consistent with the calculation made by the drEEM toolkit when using MATLAB (Murphy et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2013). The PARAFAC was computed using two to seven component models, with nonnegativity constraints and a residual analysis; and split half analysis was used to validate the number of fluorescence components. According to the results of the split-half and core consistency analysis, four component models were chosen for both the WSOC and HULIS-C fractions and the MSOC. The EEM 216 was normalized to the area under the ultrapure water Raman peak ($E_X = 350$ nm, $E_M = 365-$ 430 nm) collected before the measurement of samples to produce corrected fluorescence intensities in Raman units (Lawaetz and Stedmon, 2009). The relative contribution of individual chromophores was estimated by calculating the maximum fluorescence intensities $(F_{\text{max}}:$ maximum fluorescence intensity of identified fluorescence components, relative 221 content % = $F_{\text{max}}/\Sigma F_{\text{max}}$ (Matos et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016).

2.5. Proton-NMR spectroscopy

 Approximately 5 mg of the BrC fractions (i.e., HULIS-C, WSOC, and MSOC) derived 225 from BB and CC were used for ${}^{1}H$ NMR measurements. The water-soluble BrC fractions (WSOC and HULIS-C) were redissolved in 500 μL deuterium oxide, and MSOC was redissolved in 500 μL deuterated methanol and then transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube. 228 ¹H-NMR spectra were obtained at a frequency of 400 MHz using a spectrometer (Avance III

 400, Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). Data were acquired from 100 scans, with a 230 recycling time of 2 s for a condensed water sample. The length of the proton 90° pulse was 8.87 μs. A 1.0 Hz line-broadening weighting function and baseline correction were applied. The identification of the functional groups in the NMR spectra was based on their chemical shift (δH) relative to that of tetramethylsilane (0 ppm), which was applied as an internal standard (Zou et al., 2020).

2.6. Oxidative potential

 The oxidative potential of BrC emitted from the BB and CC processes (i.e., WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC) was measured by a DTT assay. This protocol was mainly followed the methods introduced by Fan et al (2018) and Gao et al (2020), and also with some minor modifications. Briefly, 3 mL of extracted sample solution (MSOC was a mixture of 100 μL sample and 2.9 mL of 18.2 MΩ Milli-Q water, and the corresponding blank was the same solution as that of the water blank) and 3 mL of 1 mM DTT were mixed in a 20 mL brown 243 vial and then placed in a 37 $\mathcal C$ water bath to maintain the samples at a constant temperature. At specific time intervals (0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 min), 1 mL of the well-mixed sample was 245 transferred to another 4 mL brown vial, and 1 ml trichloroacetic acid (TCA 1% w/v) was 246 added to stop the reaction. Then, 0.5 mL 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, 1 mM) was added to react with the remaining DTT to produce 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid (TNB). After 5 min, 1 mL of tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl aminomethane buffer (0.4 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.9 in 4 mM) containing diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) was added, and the yellow color of TNB was visible in the mixed samples. The absorbance was measured at 412

 nm with a UV-vis spectrometer (UV2600, Shimadzu). The DTT, TCA, and DTNB were all dissolved in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 1 mM DTPA. and the corresponding filter blank was analyzed to correct the DTT activity of the sample fractions. The DTT consumption rate after subtracting the field blank was determined using the absorbance and 255 normalized by the particulate mass $(DTT_m, pmol/min/\mu g)$ (Verma et al., 2012; Fan et al., 2018). In this study, 1.4-phenanthraquinone was used to conduct a positive control, of which 257 the DTT consumption rate was 0.46 ± 0.03 μ M DTT/min (n=10). The rate was similar to those reported in the previous studies (Fan et al., 2018; Lin and Yu, 2019).

 Finally, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to investigate the key factors that may affect the DTT activities from a series of characteristic of BrC fraction. The details are described in S6 of SI file.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Abundance of WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC in BB and CC smoke samples

 Table 1 summarizes the abundance of BrC fractions, including WSOC, HULIS-C, and 266 MSOC, in BB and CC smoke $PM_{2.5}$ samples. As shown in Table 1, the average contribution 267 of WSOC to smoke $PM_{2.5}$ was 2.9%–12% and 2.3%–22% for BB and CC, respectively. These results were comparable to the results obtained for smoke samples from the combustion of cherry leaves (16%), gingko tree leaves (6.0%) (Park et al., 2013), corn straw (5.9%), pine branches (6.4%) (Fan et al., 2016), and residential coals (4%–11%) (Li et al., 2018) and in the 271 ambient $PM_{2.5}$ from rural and urban sites $(4-13%)$ (Matos et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020). This suggests that both BB and CC can release substantial amounts of water-soluble BrC into atmospheric aerosols. As the hydrophobic fraction of WSOC, the carbon content of HULIS (HULIS-C) accounted for 1.0%–7.8% and 0.5%–10% of BB and 275 CC smoke $PM_{2.5}$, respectively. These values are comparable to the results obtained for BB smoke (5.9%–15.2%) (Fan et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2018), CC smoke (1.9%–4.8%) (Li et al., 2018), and atmospheric aerosols in Beijing (4.8%–9.4%) (Li et al., 2019), with an average 278 value of 7.2% \pm 3.3%, therefore confirming the important contributions made by BB and CC 279 to atmospheric HULIS. As a comparison, the contribution of MSOC to smoke $PM_{2.5}$ was 6.4%–47% and 9.4%–73% for BB and CC, respectively, with both values being much higher than the contributions of the water-soluble fractions (WSOC and HULIS-C) in the same smoke samples. Similar results have been reported in previous studies (Li et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2016), which suggest that there are more organic compounds that could be extracted by methanol than by water, and it could therefore be a better indicator of total BrC. This result also indicated that BB and CC both released large amounts of water-insoluble BrC compounds, including hydrophobic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nitrogen/sulfur-containing heteroatomic PAHs (Geng et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2020).

 Some differences were observed among the different types of smoke samples. As shown in Figure 1, the average contributions of the WSOC and HULIS-C fractions to the total 291 carbon (TC) were $22\% \pm 7.3\%$ and $11\% \pm 3.8\%$, respectively, for BB smoke, which were 292 higher than the corresponding values of 19% \pm 9.4% and 8.2% \pm 4.0% for CC smoke. The 293 contribution of MSOC to OC was $69\% \pm 19\%$ for BB, which was significantly lower than the 294 value of 97% \pm 1.8% for CC. These results suggested that BB generally released the more

 water-soluble OC fraction whereas more water-insoluble OC fraction was contained in the smoke particles emitted from CC. These differences can be explained by the fact that biomass fuels generally contain large amounts of biopolymers, such as carbohydrates (cellulose, hemicellulose, etc.); the burning of biomass fuels produces more highly polar compounds, such as phenols, polyols, and polysaccharides; and CC emits more relatively hydrophobic and less polar components, such as coal tar and polycyclic aromatic species (Wu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2020).

3.2 Light absorption

 AAE and MAE³⁶⁵ are important optical indicators of the light absorption properties of atmospheric BrC and were investigated for BB- and CC-derived BrC in this study. As shown in Figures 2a and c, the AAE values of the WSOC and HULIS-C fractions were 6.1–9.9 307 (mean 7.8 \pm 1.6) and 7.2–9.6 (mean 8.5 \pm 0.8), respectively, for BB smoke and 8.5–16 (mean 308 13 \pm 2.9) and 10–16 (mean 14 \pm 2.3), respectively, for CC smoke. These results were comparable to those measured for combustion-emitted aerosols with reported AAE values for HULIS of 7.4–8.3 (Park and Yu, 2016) and 6.2–8.1 (Fan et al., 2016, 2018) for BB smoke and 5.2–14 for CC smoke (Li et al., 2019). Moreover, the AAE values of BB WSOC and HULIS 312 were also comparable to those reported for WSOC in urban aerosols in Beijing (mean 7.28 \pm 0.24) (Cheng et al., 2016), HULIS in Amazon BB aerosols (~7.10) (Hoffer et al., 2006), urban aerosols in Beijing (5.3–5.8) (Yan et al., 2015), and aerosols in the Tibetan Plateau (7.14–9.35) (Wu et al., 2020) but higher than that (1.2–5.4, mean of 3.2) of water-soluble BrC in Los Angeles (Zhang et al., 2013). However, the AAE values of the water-soluble BrC fraction from CC were almost higher than those in ambient aerosols, as described above. The AAE values for MSOC were 5.62–6.95 for BB smoke and 8.46–10.0 for CC smoke. It was obvious that the AAE value of BB MSOC was comparable to that of urban aerosols (average 320 7.10 \pm 0.45) in Beijing (Cheng et al., 2016) and the reported value (5.0–6.5) for urban aerosols in India (Mukherjee et al., 2020), but the AAE values of CC MSOC were likely higher than those for urban aerosols. It is obvious that CC-derived BrC fractions (WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC) generally have relatively higher AAE values than ambient BrC, thereby suggesting that the contribution of CC may improve the AAE values of BrC in the atmosphere and should not be ignored.

 As shown in Figures 2a and c, the average AAE values of the WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC fractions in BB smoke were all lower than those for the same BrC fraction in CC smoke, indicating that BB-derived BrC had a weaker wavelength dependence than 329 CC-derived BrC. This finding agreed with the results reported in a previous study (Fan et al., 2016). The AAE values of the BrC fraction also varied according to the type of BrC fraction. HULIS-C had the highest AAE values, which were slightly higher than those for WSOC but much higher than those for MSOC (Figures 2a and 2c), indicating that water-soluble BrC fractions had a greater wavelength dependency than the corresponding MSOC. This was similar to the results of previous studies that found higher AAE values for WSOC than MSOC in ambient aerosols (Cheng et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2016) and can be explained by the fact that the strongly light-absorbing organic molecules are generally comprised of aromatic structures with a high degree of conjugation and low solubility in water.

338 MAE₃₆₅ is an important parameter that characterizes the light absorption ability of

339 atmospheric BrC. As shown in Figures 2b and d, the MAE₃₆₅ values of WSOC and HULIS-C 340 were 0.9–1.5 (mean 1.2 \pm 0.3) and 1.1–1.6 (mean 1.3 \pm 0.2) m²/gC, respectively, for BB 341 smoke and 0.2–0.8 (mean 0.3 \pm 0.2) and 0.3–1.1 (mean 0.4 \pm 0.3) m²/gC, respectively, for CC 342 smoke. As the hydrophobic fraction of WSOC, the MAE³⁶⁵ values of HULIS-C in BB and CC 343 smoke were slightly higher than that of the corresponding WSOC, suggesting that HULIS-C 344 had a stronger light absorbing ability. Moreover, the MAE₃₆₅ values of WSOC and HULIS-C 345 in BB smoke were comparable with the results of previous studies of the WSOC and 346 HULIS-C fractions in combustion-released smokes and ambient aerosols. For example, the 347 reported MAE₃₆₅ values of WSOC and HULIS-C were 0.8–1.6 and 1.0–1.5 m²/gC, 348 respectively, in BB smoke $PM_{2.5}$ (Park and Yu, 2016; Huo et al., 2018); 0.3–1.0 and 0.5–1.4 349 m²/gC, respectively, in CC smoke particles (Li et al., 2018); and 0.1–1.5 m²/gC in ambient 350 aerosols (Cheng et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2015; Zou et al., 2020). In contrast, the MAE₃₆₅ 351 values for MSOC were 1.9–2.7 m^2/gC for BB smoke and 1.0–2.7 m^2/gC for CC smoke, 352 which were 1.3–8.5 times higher than the corresponding values for HULIS-C and WSOC and 353 suggest that MSOC had the strongest light absorption capacity. The $MAE₃₆₅$ values of BB and 354 CC MSOC were comparable to the MAE³⁶⁵ values of urban aerosols in Beijing winter 355 (average 1.45 \pm 0.26 m²/gC) (Yan et al., 2015) and the water-insoluble BrC (0.85–2.45 m²/gC) 356 in summer and winter ambient aerosols in Xi'an, Northwest China (Li et al., 2020b). 357 However, the values were higher than the MAE₃₆₅ value of aerosol MSOC in the Central 358 Tibetan Plateau (0.27–0.86 m²/gC) (Wu et al., 2020), which may be due to the relatively low 359 combustion source contribution in this region.

360 As shown in Figures 2b and d, some differences were observed among the BrC fractions.

361 WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC in BB smoke all had relatively higher MAE₃₆₅ values than the same BrC fractions from CC, which suggested that BrC components emitted from BB had a relatively higher light absorption ability than those from CC and may therefore have a higher radiative force (Alexander et al., 2008). This finding is important for accurately assessing the climate effects of BrC from different combustion sources.

3.3. Spectral EEM features and identification of PARAFAC components

3.3.1. The EEM fluorescence properties

 Fluorescence spectroscopy is a highly sensitive analytical technique for the identification of the sources and types of fluorophores in natural organic matter. In recent decades, fluorescence spectroscopy has been widely used to characterize the fluorophores of atmospheric BrC in field and laboratory studies (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2020). The typical EEM spectra of WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC fractions from BB and CC are shown in Figure S2. To avoid concentration effects, the fluorescence spectra were normalized by the OC content of WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC; 376 and the specific fluorescence intensities (a.u. $L/(gC)$) are shown.

 In general, the different regions in the fluorescence spectra can be associated with organic fractions with different chemical characteristics (Table S1) (Chen et al., 2003; Cui et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2018). As shown in Figure S2, the EEM spectra were divided into five 380 regions: protein-like amino acid (I), protein-like UV region (II, peak T_1), fulvic-like (III), 381 tryptophan-like or microbial byproducts (IV, peak T_2), and humic-like (V) fluorophores (Qin et al., 2018; Cui et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016). It was observed that the WSOC and

383 HULIS-C fractions exhibited two types of fluorescence peaks at $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} \approx (220-240)/(350-$ 384 390) nm (peak T₁) and $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} \approx (260-300)/(240-380)$ nm (peak T₂) (as marked in Figure S2), which were mainly located in regions II and IV, respectively. These bands in the same range 386 as peaks T_1 and T_2 have previously been identified in the EEM fluorescence spectra of water-soluble organic matter from rainwater/fog water (Santos et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2012) 388 and $PM_{2.5}$ in an industrial city in Northwest China (Qin et al., 2018). As shown in Figure S2, 389 the fluorescence peaks T_1 and/or T_2 were the dominant peaks for WSOC and HULIS-C in BB- and CC-derived smoke samples, which were consistent with previous observations of the WSOC and HULIS-C fractions from BB (Huo et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2020). In general, peak T₁ mainly corresponded to the protein-like UV region, with a minor contribution from 393 fulvic-like substances; whereas peak T_2 was assigned as tryptophan-like or microbial byproduct fluorophores. However, as reported in recent studies, non-nitrogen-containing species, such as naphthalene and phenol-derived compounds, may also contribute to the 396 fluorophores with peak T_2 in atmospheric aerosols (Chen et al., 2017, 2020). In addition, the 397 intensity of peak T_1 for BB- and CC-derived HULIS-C fractions was clearly stronger than the peak in ambient HULIS described in previous studies (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2018), indicating that these BB- and CC-derived HULIS-C might consist of more protein-like and/or aromatic amino acids than atmospheric HULIS. However, these protein-like fluorescence peaks were observed to gradually decrease during the aging process (e.g., hydroxyl radicals or ozone oxidation) in previous studies (Fan et al., 2019, 2020). This implied that most protein-like fluorophores in BB or CC BrC fractions may have high reactivity.

3.3.2. Identification of PARAFAC components

 PARAFAC analysis further determined the fluorescent components of the water-soluble BrC fraction (WSOC and HULIS-C) and MSOC. As shown in Figure 3a, WSOC and 425 HULIS-C generally contained four types of fluorophores (C_W1-C_W4) . Based on previous studies of BrC EEM in combustion aerosols and ambient aerosols (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2018; Qin et al., 2018), these four fluorophores could be assigned to two 428 protein-like substances (C_w1 and C_w2), one polyphenol-like component (C_w3), and one 429 humic-like compound (C_w4). The E_x/E_m maximum of C_w1 was located at 230/365 nm in 430 region II and was confirmed to be protein-like UV fluorophores. C_W2 ($E_X = 270$ nm, $E_M =$ 350 nm) was placed in region IV and was determined to be tryptophan-like or microbial byproduct compounds (Chen et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020a), which have been identified in aerosol WSOM (Chen et al., 2016; Matos et al., 2015) and BB-derived primary and secondary 434 WSOM (Huo et al., 2018). C_W3 ($E_X = 205/275$ nm, $E_M = 330$ nm) was located in regions I and IV and had the characteristics of aromatic protein-like fluorophores or polyphenol-like components, most likely representing the fluorescence properties of polyphenol-like 437 components or compounds containing phenoxy groups (Mostofa et al., 2011). C_w4 (E_x = 438 215–320 nm, $E_M = 415$ nm) was located in the area where regions III and V overlap. These overlapping peaks were assigned to strong humic-like species fluorescence with an excitation wavelength = 245 nm and two weaker shoulder peaks (Chen et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020a; Qin 441 et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2020); therefore, C_w4 was associated with typical humic-like fluorophores. In summary, the fluorescence components identified in the WSOC and HULIS-C fractions suggested that protein-like and humic-like substances were the two major backbone components in water-soluble BrC fractions.

 As shown in Figure 3b, four independent fluorescence components were also identified 446 by PARAFAC analysis of MSOC (C_M1-C_M4) . These components were similar to those of WSOC and HULIS-C, especially the positioning of the main peaks of the four fluorescent 448 fluorophores. However, some small differences for component 2 (C_W2 and C_M2) and

449 component 4 (C_W4 and C_M4) fluorophores were also observed. Unlike C_W2 in WSOC and 450 HULIS-C, C_M 2 in MSOC had its Ex/Em maximum at 285/360 nm, which was assigned to tryptophan-like compounds (Fan et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2018). In addition, two lower intensities of peaks at a lower excitation wavelength were also detected. The position of this fluorescence was closer to that of the typical tryptophan-like chromophores in aquatic DOM 454 (Murphy et al., 2010). C_M 4 in MSOC had a strong peak (EX = 255 nm, EM = 295 nm) but 455 without the shoulder peaks observed for C_w4 in WSOC (Chen et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2018). The relative contributions of individual chromophores identified by PARAFAC analysis were calculated to express the relative contribution of each independent chromophore to the overall fluorescence properties and are shown in Figure 4. The protein-like fluorescence group (components 1 and 2), which were located at low emission wavelengths, dominated the fluorophores of the BrC fractions in most BB and CC smoke samples. As shown in Figure 4, the contributions of protein-like substances in WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC were 47%–80%, 44%–87%, and 42%–70% (except CS MSOC), respectively, which were higher than the contributions of polyphenol-like or humic-like substances in the same BrC fraction. These results are similar to the results reported for BrC from biomass combustion emissions in previous studies (Huo et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2020). However, they were significantly different from the EEM-PARAFAC properties of BrC in ambient aerosols, in which component 4 was the most abundant chromophore (Chen et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020a). However, component 4 accounted for only 13%–33% (except CS MSOC) and 3.8%–31% of the BB and CC BrC fluorescence intensities, respectively, which were significantly lower than those reported previously in ambient aerosols (30%–38%) (Li et al., 2020a). Moreover,

471 the contribution of polyphenol-like chromophores was 4.0%–39% and was comparable to that of ambient aerosols (18%–26%) (Li et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2016). It is obvious that the four fluorescent components were all detected in the BrC fractions in combustion-derived smokes and atmospheric aerosols; however, the protein-like compounds were the dominant fluorophores in combustion-derived BrC whereas a relatively higher content of humic-like fluorophores was identified in ambient aerosol BrC. These differences may be due to the 477 influence of various sources and atmospheric chemical processes on fluorophores (Li et al., 2020a; Fan et al., 2020).

 Furthermore, some differences were also observed among the BrC fractions derived from different sources. As shown in Figure 4, the water-soluble BrC (WSOC and HULIS-C) from wood burning had a relatively higher content of component 3 than the water-soluble BrC from crop straw burning, which may be associated with the relatively large amount of lignin components in wood materials. In addition, even though their maturity was very different, there was no regular trend in the relative content of the fluorescent groups.

3.4. ¹ H-NMR spectroscopy

487 $\mathrm{H}\text{-NMR}$ is an important analytical tool for the investigation of the functional groups of WSOC and HULIS in rural/urban aerosols (Fan et al., 2016; Zou et al., 2020) and rainwater 489 (Santos et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2012). The typical ¹H-NMR spectra of the WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC fractions in smoke emitted from BB crop straw (e.g., WS) and CC 491 (e.g., B-1) are shown in Figure 5, and the 1 H-NMR spectra of other BB and CC BrC fractions 492 are shown in Figure S3. These BrC fractions had ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$ spectra similar to those derived

 from atmospheric HULIS and/or WSOC in rainwater (Santos et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2012), BB aerosols (Fan et al., 2016), and ambient aerosols in urban and rural regions (Zou et al., 2020).

496 • As shown in Figure 5, the 1 H-NMR spectra were mainly composed of several distinct sharp peaks superimposed on an unresolved broad band. According to previous studies and reference NMR spectra (Zou et al., 2020; Chalbot et al., 2014; Chalbot et al., 2016), these sharp peaks can be ascribed to low molecular weight organic compounds, such as levoglucosan (3.52, 3.67, 4.08, and 5.45 ppm), glucose (3.88–3.91 and 3.81–3.85 501 ppm), and fructose (δ 3.79– δ 3.84 ppm) associated with BB emissions; phthalic acid (δ 7.45– δ 7.47 and δ 7.58 ppm) and terephthalic acid (δ 8.01 ppm) associated with anthropogenic 503 activity; and the CH₃ in trimethylamine (δ 2.71 and δ 2.89 ppm), dimethylamine (δ 2.72 ppm), 504 and monomethylamine (δ 2.55 ppm) coemitted with ammonia. The relatively few and/or weak 505 sharp peaks in the 1 H-NMR spectra of HULIS-C compared with those of WSOC may be the result of low molecular weight organic compounds that have been removed from HULIS-C through SPE isolation. In addition, all BB-derived WSOC had a high intensity of sharp peaks associated with carbohydrates, such as levoglucosan, glucose, and fructose resonances, which may be released from the thermal reactions of biopolymers, such as celluloses. As a 510 comparison, several peaks $(\delta 0.90$ and $\delta 1.35$ ppm) were observed in MSOC and were mainly located in the aliphatic region. These peaks were weaker in WSOC and HULIS-C, suggesting that more less polar aliphatic compounds were present in the MSOC fraction.

 513 Despite some sharp peaks being identified, most of the signals in the ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$ spectra of the BrC fractions presented a continuous unresolved distribution, suggesting that BrC consists

 As shown in Table 2, the relative contents of the four functional groups (i.e., R-H, H-C-C=, H-C-O, and Ar-H) varied with the type of BrC. For example, BB WSOC was always characterized by a relatively high level of oxygenated H-C-O groups and a relatively low level of aliphatic R-H groups compared with the corresponding MSOC extracted with methanol. As shown in Figure 5, several strong signals in aliphatic R-H were also identified in MSOC, but they were weaker in the WSOC fraction. This was considered reasonable because the less polar aliphatic compounds were difficult to dissolve in water but could be extracted by methanol. As the hydrophobic fraction of WSOC, HULIS-C contained a relatively higher content of the Ar-H group and a relatively lower content of the oxygenated H-C-O group than the original WSOC for all BB and CC smoke samples. This was due to most of the low molecular oxygenated compounds not being retained by the hydrophilic-lipophilic balance cartridges and the enrichment of aromatic species (Fan et al., 2016; Zou et al., 2020).

 Some distinct differences in the distribution of functional groups were also observed among the BrC fractions from BB and CC. As shown in Figure 5, several oxygenated compounds (e.g., levoglucosan) were identified, with higher intensity signals in the BB WSOC fraction, but they were weaker in the WSOC fraction from CC. The relative content of the H-C-O group was in the range of 34%–54% for the six BB WSOCs, which was higher than the values (9.0–34%) for the five CC WSOCs. These oxygenated aliphatic compounds were mainly assigned to carbohydrates and polyols that may be caused by the degradation of biomass polymers such as cellulose (Fan et al., 2012; Fan et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016). In contrast, the BrC fractions from CC indicated a relatively higher level of unsaturated

3.5 Oxidative potential

 The oxidative potential of the BB- and CC-derived BrC fractions (i.e., WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC) was investigated through a DTT assay, and the results are shown in Table S2 and 567 Figure 6. The DTT_m value of WSOC ranged from 0.5 pmol/min/μg (B-3) to 7.4 pmol/min/μg 568 (CS) with a mean of 3.8 pmol/min/μg. These DTT_m values are comparable with those for the 569 water soluble fractions of BB, CC, and diesel soot $(1.4 \pm 0.6, 2.1 \pm 2.3 \text{ and } 1.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ pmol/min/µg})$ (Li et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019) but were much lower than the ranges of 14–25 pmol/min/μg 571 in Los Angeles wildfire aerosol samples, 22–68 pmol/min/μg in Atlanta PM_{2.5} samples, and 0.13±0.10 nmol/min/μg in Beijing PM2.5 samples (Verma et al., 2012; Bates et al., 2019, Yu et al., 2019). These results suggested that the water-soluble fraction from BB and CC in this study had a weaker ROS generation capacity than ambient aerosols, which was likely due to the differences in the chemical composition of water-soluble fractions in BB and CC smoke particles and ambient aerosols (Lin and Yu, 2011; Dou et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2019; Lin and Yu, 2019). In general, ambient aerosols contain various sources; and the contribution of other sources, such as vehicle emissions or anthropogenic emissions, and transition metals (e.g., Fe, Cu) could increase the ability of atmospheric water-soluble fractions to produce ROS species (Ma et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). In addition, because of the evaporative loss of non- or

 less-DTT active semivolatile organic compounds, the DTT activities of BB-derived water-soluble fractions were enhanced during the aging process (Wong et al., 2019).

583 The DTT_m values of BB- and CC-derived HULIS-C ranged from 0.5 pmol/min/ μ g (B-3) to 5.5 pmol/min/μg (RS) with a mean of 2.3 pmol/min/μg. These values were lower than the range (15–45 pmol/min/μg) previously reported for ambient HULIS measured with the same DTT assay (Lin and Yu, 2011; Ma et al., 2018; Verma et al., 2012). As an important 587 component of WSOC, the DTT activity of HULIS-C accounted 63.1% \pm 15.5% (41.4%–90.6%) 588 for that of WSOC in the BB and CC samples. These values of $DDT_{m,HULIS}/DTT_{m,WSOC}$ were always higher than the organic carbon contribution of HULIS-C to WSOC for the same sample (Table 1), therefore indicating that hydrophobic HULIS-C was an important redox-active fraction in the BB- and CC-derived WSOC compounds. This result was comparable with the higher oxidative contribution (64%) of HULIS-C following water extracts from ambient aerosols in Atlanta (Verma et al., 2012). As reviewed by Win et al. (2018), this phenomenon can be explained by the specific organic species and functional groups with DTT activity in HULIS-C. As described in previous studies and in this study, the hydrophobic organic fractions isolated by the SPE column are mainly comprised of aromatic compounds (Sannigrahi et al., 2006; Fan et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2018). These compounds most likely include some of the redox-active species such as nitro-PAHs and quinones (Verma et al., 2012), which can catalyze the oxidation of cellular antioxidants and generate ROS species (Verma et al., 2012; Lin and Yu, 2011). In addition, as the charge transfer intermediate, the reversible redox sites in HULIS lead to continuous ROS production (Ma et al., 2018; Lin and Yu, 2011).

612 The DTT_m values of the BrC fractions varied with the type of fuel. As shown in Table S2, 613 the DTT_m values of BB WSOC were 4.5–7.4 pmol/min/μg, which was significantly higher than the range of 0.5–2.1 pmol/min/μg for CC WSOC. Similar results were also observed for the HULIS and MSOC fractions (Figure 6). These results indicated that the BrC fractions from BB had higher oxidative potential values than those from CC and therefore more readily catalyzed the generation of ROS. Furthermore, no regular variations were observed for the oxidative potential of water-soluble BrC (e.g., WSOC and HULIS-C) in BB or CC smoke 619 samples, but the MSOC in crop straw smoke had a much higher DTT_{mass} value than the MSOC in smoke samples from wood burning and CC. These differences were associated with the differences in the amounts of redox-active compounds in each BrC fraction. There is a need for more studies to investigate the relationship between the molecular structures in BB smoke BrC and their DTT activities.

3.6 Correlation between oxidative potential and chemical compositions of BrCs

 The BrCs produced by the BB and CC processes generally have different oxidative potentials. The oxidative potential values of water-soluble BrC (WSOC and HULIS-C) were much lower than those in MSOC, and the BB BrC fractions had higher oxidative potential values than CC BrC fractions. These results suggested that BrC from different sources exhibited distinct redox properties (Lin and Yu, 2011). To elucidate the association of chemical characteristics with the oxidative potential of BB and CC, principal component analysis (PCA) and Pearson correlation coefficients were conducted. Because the optical and chemical properties were all obtained based on organic matter rather than PM, the oxidative 634 potential value normalized by the organic carbon mass (DTT_{OC}) of each fraction was used here to present DTT activities, as well as the capacity to produce ROS species. In addition, considering the statistical significance and quantity, the WSOC, HULIS-C and MSOC data were analyzed together.

638 The results are shown in Figure 7 and Table 3. It is obvious that DTT_{OC} showed a positive loading for both principal component 1 (PC1) and principal component 2 (PC2), and 640 DTT_{OC} was grouped with fluorophores C4 and MAE₃₆₅. These results are also given by the 641 Pearson correlation coefficient analysis in which the DTT_{OC} values showed significant 642 positive correlations with the parameters MAE_{365} (R=0.697, p<0.01) and C4 proportion 643 (R=0.560, $p<0.01$). These results suggested that fluorophore C4 and high light-absorbing components may significantly contribute to the DDT activities of BrC compounds.

 645 Moreover, a significant positive relationship was also observed for C4 and MAE₃₆₅ 646 (R=0.531, p<0.01), which indicated that C4 may be the main substance leading to the light 647 absorption of BrC. As reported previously, MAE₃₆₅ is related to the aromatic structure of the conjugated system (Andrade-Eiroa et al., 2013, Fan et al., 2018), and fluorophore C4 was considered to be a highly oxygenated species containing more carbonyl and carboxyl groups (Chen et al., 2016, Li et al., 2020a). Therefore, the C4 component may mainly comprise chemical species with a conjugated system and highly oxygenated species, such as quinones or aromatic acids, which were believed to be the key components for the enhancement of the ability of BrC to produce ROS species (Lin and Yu, 2011, Jiang et al., 2016, Verma et al., 2012). These results also explained that the water-soluble BrC fractions in BB and CC smoke showed relatively lower DTT consumption rate than those in ambient aerosols, in which distinctly higher contents of fluorophore C4 were observed in the water-soluble fraction (Matos et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016).

658 We note that a positive correlation was observed between DTT_{OC} and R-H and a 659 negative correlation was observed between DTT_{OC} and Ar-H; however, it is scientifically 660 unreasonable. The main reason is that ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy only measures the concentrations of nonexchangeable hydrogen functional groups in BrC compounds. Some organic compounds not carrying nonexchangeable hydrogen atoms, such as carbonyl or 663 carboxylic groups in BrC, cannot be detected by ${}^{1}H$ NMR (Chalbot and Kavouras 2014; Paglione et al., 2014). However, some of these oxygenated functional groups likely have the ability to catalyze the generation of ROS species (Lin and Yu, 2011; Verma et al., 2015). In addition, the H/C ratios of different hydrogen functional groups (i.e., R-H, H-C-C=, H-C-O, and Ar-H) are very different; thus, the relative abundances of hydrogen functional groups are difficult to compare with the carbon functional groups in BrC compounds (Decesari et al.,

669 2007). Therefore, it is necessary that other NMR techniques such as solution-state 13 C NMR 670 and two-dimensional heteronuclear $({}^{1}H^{-13}C)$ NMR be used to explore the chemical functional groups associated with the oxidative potential of BrC in future studies.

4. Conclusions

 In this study, the primary BrC fractions (i.e., WSOC, HULIS-C, and MSOC) emitted from BB and CC were comprehensively investigated to determine their content, light absorption, fluorophores, chemical properties, and oxidative potential. The results indicated that both BB and CC were important sources of atmospheric BrC. It was found that BB generated more of the water-soluble BrC fraction whereas CC released more of the 679 methanol-soluble BrC fraction in smoke PM_2 . The results also enhanced our understanding of the optical characteristics, chemical composition, and oxidative potential of the water- and methanol-soluble BrC fractions. The MSOC fraction had higher MAE³⁶⁵ values than HULIS-C and WSOC, suggesting that water-insoluble BrC possessed a stronger light 683 absorbing capacity. In addition, BB BrC generally had higher MAE_{365} and lower AAE values than the corresponding CC BrC fractions, suggesting that the former had a higher light absorption capacity and weaker wavelength dependence. The EEM-PARAFAC analysis identified two protein-like compounds, one polyphenol-like component, and one humic-like compound for all BrC fractions, among which the protein-like compounds were the dominant 688 components. The ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis showed that the BB and CC BrC fractions contained R-H, H-C-C=, H-C-O, and Ar-H groups, among which WSOC and HULIS-C were always characterized by more oxygenated H-C-O groups and fewer aliphatic R-H groups than MSOC. In addition, water-soluble BB BrC contained more highly oxygenated groups, suggesting that they may have a stronger influence on the binding of metals by organic aerosols. Our study 693 also indicated that MSOC had higher DTT_m values than WSOC and HULIS-C, suggesting a higher ROS generation capacity. In addition, relatively higher oxidative contribution $(63.1\% \pm 15.5\%)$ of HULIS-C in WSOC were observed for all BB and CC smoke samples, highlighted that HULIS was a major contributor of ROS production in WSOC. The BB BrC fractions generally had a higher oxidative potential than CC BrC, which may suggest that BB BrC was more readily able to catalyze the generation of ROS and therefore lead to more severe harm to human health. More importantly, the PCA and Pearson correlation analysis indicated that highly oxygenated humic-like fluorophore C4 may be an important DTT active substance in BrC.

 It should be noted that the BB and CC BrC fractions would experience a series of chemical reactions once they are emitted into the atmosphere, resulting in changes to their optical properties and DTT activities. Thus, future studies should focus on the chemical, optical, and oxidative potential characteristics of BrC during the aging processes with smoke particles in the tropospheric environment (Fan et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2019).

 Data availability. The research data can be accessed upon request to the corresponding author [\(songjzh@gig.ac.cn\)](mailto:songjzh@gig.ac.cn).

 Author contributions. J. Song and P. Peng designed the research together. T. Cao, M. Li, and C. Zou conducted the combustion experiments. T. Cao, M. Li, and C Yu extracted and

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

 Acknowledgments. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41977188 and 41673177), the State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry, GIGCAS (SKLOG2020-3), and Guangdong Foundation for Program of Science and Technology Research (2019B121205006). We greatly appreciate the assistance of two anonymous reviewers for the helpful comments that greatly improved the quality of this manuscript.

References

 Alexander, D. T. L., Crozier, P. A., and Anderson, J. R.: Brown carbon spheres in East Asian outflow and their optical properties, Science, 321, 833-836, 10.1126/science.1155296, 2008.

- Andrade-Eiroa, Á., Canle, M., and Cerdá, V.: Environmental Applications of Excitation-Emission Spectrofluorimetry: An In-Depth Review I, Applied Spectroscopy Reviews, 48, 1-49, 10.1080/05704928.2012.692104, 2013.
- Andreae, M. O., and Gelencser, A.: Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6,
- 3131-3148, DOI 10.5194/acp-6-3131-2006, 2006.

Chalbot, M. G., Brown, J., Chitranshi, P., da Costa, G. G., Pollock, E. D., and Kavouras, I. G.:

 Functional characterization of the water-soluble organic carbon of size-fractionated aerosol in the southern Mississippi Valley, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 6075-6088, 10.5194/acp-14-6075-2014, 2014.

- Chalbot, M. C., and Kavouras, I. G.: Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy for determining the functional content of organic aerosols: a review, Environmental pollution, 191, 232-249, 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.04.034, 2014.
- Chalbot, M. G., Chitranshi, P., da Costa, G. G., Pollock, E., and Kavouras, I. G.:

 Song, J., Wang, Y., Guan, D., and Du, L.: Identification of species and sources of atmospheric chromophores by fluorescence excitation-emission matrix with parallel factor analysis, The Science of the total environment, 718, 137322, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137322, 2020.

- Environment, 127, 355-364, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.035, 2016.
- Cui, X., Zhou, D., Fan, W., Huo, M., Crittenden, J. C., Yu, Z., Ju, P., and Wang, Y.: The effectiveness of coagulation for water reclamation from a wastewater treatment plant that has a long hydraulic and sludge retention times: A case study, Chemosphere, 157, 224-231, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.05.009, 2016.
- Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Cavalli, F., Fuzzi, S., Moretti, F., Tagliavini, E., and Facchini, M. C.: Source attribution of water-soluble organic aerosol by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, Environmental science & technology, 41, 2479-2484, 10.1021/es061711l, 2007.
- Dong, Z., Jiang, N., Zhang, R., Xu, Q., Ying, Q., Li, Q., and Li, S.: Molecular characteristics, source contributions, and exposure risks of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the core city of Central Plains Economic Region, China: Insights from the variation of haze levels, The Science of the total environment, 757, 143885, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143885, 2021.

- 1393-1411, 10.5194/acp-19-1393-2019, 2019.
- Fan, X., Li, M., Cao, T., Cheng, C., Li, F., Xie, Y., Wei, S., Song, J., and Peng, P. a.: Optical properties and oxidative potential of water-and alkaline-soluble brown carbon in smoke particles emitted from laboratory simulated biomass burning, Atmospheric Environment, 194, 48-57, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.09.025, 2018.
- Fan, X., Yu, X., Wang, Y., Xiao, X., Li, F., Xie, Y., Wei, S., Song, J., and Peng, P. a.: The aging behaviors of chromophoric biomass burning brown carbon during dark aqueous hydroxyl radical oxidation processes in laboratory studies, Atmospheric Environment,
- 205, 9-18, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.039, 2019.
- Fan, X., Cao, T., Yu, X., Wang, Y., Xiao, X., Li, F., Xie, Y., Ji, W., Song, J., Peng, P., amp,
- apos, and an: The evolutionary behavior of chromophoric brown carbon during ozone
- aging of fine particles from biomass burning, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20,
- 4593-4605, 10.5194/acp-20-4593-2020, 2020.
- Fan, X. J., Song, J. Z., and Peng, P. A.: Comparison of isolation and quantification methods to
- measure humic-like substances (HULIS) in atmospheric particles, Atmospheric

Environment, 60, 366-374, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.06.063, 2012.

- Fan, X. J., Wei, S. Y., Zhu, M. B., Song, J. Z., and Peng, P. A.: Comprehensive characterization of humic-like substances in smoke PM2.5 emitted from the combustion of biomass materials and fossil fuels, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 13321-13340, 10.5194/acp-16-13321-2016, 2016.
- Gao, D., Mulholland, J. A., Russell, A. G., and Weber, R. J.: Characterization of water-insoluble oxidative potential of PM2.5 using the dithiothreitol assay, Atmospheric Environment, 224, 117327, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117327, 2020.
- Geng, C., Chen, J., Yang, X., Ren, L., Yin, B., Liu, X., and Bai, Z.: Emission factors of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from domestic coal combustion in China, Journal of environmental sciences, 26, 160-166, 10.1016/s1001-0742(13)60393-9, 2014.
- Hakimzadeh, M., Soleimanian, E., Mousavi, A., Borgini, A., De Marco, C., Ruprecht, A. A.,
- and Sioutas, C.: The impact of biomass burning on the oxidative potential of PM2.5 in
- the metropolitan area of Milan, Atmospheric Environment, 224, 117328, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117328, 2020.
- He, W., and Hur, J.: Conservative behavior of fluorescence EEM-PARAFAC components in resin fractionation processes and its applicability for characterizing dissolved organic matter, Water research, 83, 217-226, 10.1016/j.watres.2015.06.044, 2015.
- Hoffer, A., Gelencser, A., Guyon, P., Kiss, G., Schmid, O., Frank, G. P., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Optical properties of humic-like substances (HULIS) in biomass-burning aerosols, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 3563-3570, DOI 10.5194/acp-6-3563-2006, 2006.

- Huang, R. J., Yang, L., Shen, J., Yuan, W., Gong, Y., Guo, J., Cao, W., Duan, J., Ni, H., Zhu,
- C., Dai, W., Li, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, Q., Wu, Y., Zhang, R., Dusek, U., O'Dowd, C., and
- Hoffmann, T.: Water-Insoluble Organics Dominate Brown Carbon in Wintertime Urban
- Aerosol of China: Chemical Characteristics and Optical Properties, Environmental science & technology, 54, 7836-7847, 10.1021/acs.est.0c01149, 2020.
- Huo, Y. Q., Li, M., Jiang, M. H., and Qi, W. M.: Light absorption properties of HULIS in primary particulate matter produced by crop straw combustion under different moisture contents and stacking modes, Atmospheric Environment, 191, 490-499, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.08.038, 2018.
- Izhar, S., Gupta, T., and Panday, A. K.: Improved method to apportion optical absorption by black and brown carbon under the influence of haze and fog at Lumbini, Nepal, on the Indo-Gangetic Plains, Environmental pollution, 263, 114640, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114640, 2020.
- Jiang, H., Jang, M., Sabo-Attwood, T., and Robinson, S. E.: Oxidative potential of secondary organic aerosols produced from photooxidation of different hydrocarbons using outdoor chamber under ambient sunlight, Atmospheric Environment, 131, 382-389, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.02.016, 2016.
- Kim, H., Kim, J. Y., Jin, H. C., Lee, J. Y., and Lee, S. P.: Seasonal variations in the

- Cao, J.: Optical properties and molecular compositions of water-soluble and water-insoluble brown carbon (BrC) aerosols in northwest China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 4889-4904, 10.5194/acp-20-4889-2020, 2020b.
- Li, M., Fan, X., Zhu, M., Zou, C., Song, J., Wei, S., Jia, W., and Peng, P.: Abundances and
- light absorption properties of brown carbon emitted from residential coal combustion in China, Environmental science & technology, 10.1021/acs.est.8b05630, 2019.
- Li, R., Han, Y., Wang, L., Shang, Y., and Chen, Y.: Differences in oxidative potential of black
- carbon from three combustion emission sources in China, Journal of environmental management, 240, 57-65, 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.03.070, 2019.
- Li, X., Han, J., Hopke, P. K., Hu, J., Shu, Q., Chang, Q., and Ying, Q.: Quantifying primary and secondary humic-like substances in urban aerosol based on emission source characterization and a source-oriented air quality model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 2327-2341, 10.5194/acp-19-2327-2019, 2019.
- Lin, M., and Yu, J. Z.: Dithiothreitol (DTT) concentration effect and its implications on the applicability of DTT assay to evaluate the oxidative potential of atmospheric aerosol samples, Environmental pollution, 251, 938-944, 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.074, 2019.
- Lin, P., and Yu, J. Z.: Generation of reactive oxygen species mediated by humic-like substances in atmospheric aerosols, Environmental science & technology, 45, 10362-10368, 10.1021/es2028229, 2011.
- Lin, P., Laskin, J., Nizkorodov, S. A., and Laskin, A.: Revealing Brown Carbon Chromophores Produced in Reactions of Methylglyoxal with Ammonium Sulfate,
- Environmental science & technology, 49, 14257-14266, 10.1021/acs.est.5b03608, 2015.

- Molecular Characterization of Brown Carbon in Biomass Burning Aerosol Particles, Environmental science & technology, 50, 11815-11824, 10.1021/acs.est.6b03024, 2016.
- Ma, Y., Cheng, Y., Qiu, X., Cao, G., Fang, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, T., Yu, J., and Hu, D.: Sources
- 915 and oxidative potential of water-soluble humic-like substances $(HULIS_{WS})$ in fine particulate matter (PM2.5) in Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 5607-5617, 10.5194/acp-18-5607-2018, 2018.
- Matos, J. T. V., Freire, S. M. S. C., Duarte, R. M. B. O., and Duarte, A. C.: Natural organic
- matter in urban aerosols: Comparison between water and alkaline soluble components using excitation-emission matrix fluorescence spectroscopy and multiway data analysis, Atmospheric Environment, 102, 1-10, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.042, 2015.
- Mostofa, K. M. G., Wu, F. C., Liu, C. Q., Vione, D., Yoshioka, T., Sakugawa, H., and Tanoue,
- E.: Photochemical, microbial and metal complexation behavior of fluorescent dissolved organic matter in the aquatic environments, Geochem. J., 45, 235-254, 2011.
- Moufarrej, L., Courcot, D., and Ledoux, F.: Assessment of the PM2.5 oxidative potential in a
- coastal industrial city in Northern France: Relationships with chemical composition,
- local emissions and long range sources, The Science of the total environment, 748,
- 141448, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141448, 2020.
- Mukherjee, A., Dey, S., Rana, A., Jia, S., Banerjee, S., and Sarkar, S.: Sources and atmospheric processing of brown carbon and HULIS in the Indo-Gangetic Plain: Insights from compositional analysis, Environmental pollution, 267, 115440, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115440, 2020.
- Murphy, K. R., Butler, K. D., Spencer, R. G. M., Stedmon, C. A., Boehme, J. R., and Aiken, G. R.: Measurement of Dissolved Organic Matter Fluorescence in Aquatic Environments: An Interlaboratory Comparison, Environmental science & technology, 44, 9405-9412, 936 10.1021/es102362t, 2010.
- Murphy, K. R., Hambly, A., Singh, S., Henderson, R. K., Baker, A., Stuetz, R., and Khan, S.
- J.: Organic matter fluorescence in municipal water recycling schemes: toward a unified PARAFAC model, Environmental science & technology, 45, 2909-2916,
- 10.1021/es103015e, 2011.
- Murphy, K. R., Stedmon, C. A., Graeber, D., and Bro, R.: Fluorescence spectroscopy and multi-way techniques. PARAFAC, Analytical Methods, 5, 6557, 10.1039/c3ay41160e, 2013.
- Nozière, B., González, N. J. D., Borg-Karlson, A.-K., Pei, Y., Redeby, J. P., Krejci, R.,
- Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H., and Anthonsen, T.: Atmospheric chemistry in stereo: A
- new look at secondary organic aerosols from isoprene, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2011gl047323, 2011.
- Paglione, M., Saarikoski, S., Carbone, S., Hillamo, R., Facchini, M. C., Finessi, E.,
- Giulianelli, L., Carbone, C., Fuzzi, S., Moretti, F., Tagliavini, E., Swietlicki, E., Eriksson
- Stenström, K., Prévôt, A. S. H., Massoli, P., Canaragatna, M., Worsnop, D., and Decesari,
- S.: Primary and secondary biomass burning aerosols determined by proton nuclear
- 952 magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) spectroscopy during the 2008
- EUCAARI campaign in the Po Valley (Italy), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14,
- 5089-5110, 10.5194/acp-14-5089-2014, 2014.

 Santos, P. S., Santos, E. B., and Duarte, A. C.: First spectroscopic study on the structural

 features of dissolved organic matter isolated from rainwater in different seasons, The Science of the total environment, 426, 172-179, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.03.023, 2012.

Seo, I., Lee, K., Bae, M. S., Park, M., Maskey, S., Seo, A., Borlaza, L. J. S., Cosep, E. M. R.,

- and Park, K.: Comparison of physical and chemical characteristics and oxidative potential of fine particles emitted from rice straw and pine stem burning, Environmental pollution, 267, 115599, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115599, 2020.
- Shen, G., Chen, Y., Wei, S., Fu, X., Zhu, Y., and Tao, S.: Mass absorption efficiency of

elemental carbon for source samples from residential biomass and coal combustions,

Atmospheric Environment, 79, 79-84, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.082, 2013.

 Singh, G. K., Choudhary, V., Rajeev, P., Paul, D., and Gupta, T.: Understanding the origin of carbonaceous aerosols during periods of extensive biomass burning in northern India, Environmental pollution, 270, 116082, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116082, 2021.

Sun, J., Zhi, G., Hitzenberger, R., Chen, Y., Tian, C., Zhang, Y., Feng, Y., Cheng, M., Zhang,

- Y., Cai, J., Chen, F., Qiu, Y., Jiang, Z., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Mo, Y.: Emission factors and light absorption properties of brown carbon from household coal combustion in
- China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 4769-4780, 10.5194/acp-17-4769-2017, 2017.

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S.,

- Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and
- the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009),
- Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 11707-11735, 10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010,
- 2010.

 Verma, V., Rico-Martinez, R., Kotra, N., King, L., Liu, J., Snell, T. W., and Weber, R. J.: Contribution of water-soluble and insoluble components and their hydrophobic/hydrophilic subfractions to the reactive oxygen species-generating potential of fine ambient aerosols, Environmental science & technology, 46, 11384-11392, 1003 10.1021/es302484r, 2012.

- Verma, V., Fang, T., Guo, H., King, L., Bates, J. T., Peltier, R. E., Edgerton, E., Russell, A. G., and Weber, R. J.: Reactive oxygen species associated with water-soluble 1006 PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the southeastern United States: spatiotemporal trends and source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 12915-12930, 10.5194/acp-14-12915-2014, 2014.
- Verma, V., Wang, Y., El-Afifi, R., Fang, T., Rowland, J., Russell, A. G., and Weber, R. J.: Fractionating ambient humic-like substances (HULIS) for their reactive oxygen species activity – Assessing the importance of quinones and atmospheric aging, Atmospheric Environment, 120, 351-359, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.010, 2015.
- Win, M. S., Tian, Z., Zhao, H., Xiao, K., Peng, J., Shang, Y., Wu, M., Xiu, G., Lu, S., Yonemochi, S., and Wang, Q.: Atmospheric HULIS and its ability to mediate the reactive oxygen species (ROS): A review, Journal of environmental sciences, 71, 13-31,
- 1016 10.1016/j.jes.2017.12.004, 2018.
- Wong, J. P. S., Tsagkaraki, M., Tsiodra, I., Mihalopoulos, N., Violaki, K., Kanakidou, M.,
- Sciare, J., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Effects of Atmospheric Processing on the
- Oxidative Potential of Biomass Burning Organic Aerosols, Environmental science &
- technology, 53, 6747-6756, 10.1021/acs.est.9b01034, 2019.

- Wu, D., Wang, Z., Chen, J., Kong, S., Fu, X., Deng, H., Shao, G., and Wu, G.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in atmospheric PM2.5 and PM10 at a coal-based industrial city: Implication for PAH control at industrial agglomeration regions, China, Atmospheric Research, 149, 217-229, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.06.012, 2014.
- Wu, G., Wan, X., Ram, K., Li, P., Liu, B., Yin, Y., Fu, P., Loewen, M., Gao, S., Kang, S.,
- Kawamura, K., Wang, Y., and Cong, Z.: Light absorption, fluorescence properties and sources of brown carbon aerosols in the Southeast Tibetan Plateau, Environmental pollution, 257, 113616, 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113616, 2020.
- Wu, X., Liu, W., Gao, H., Alfaro, D., Sun, S., Lei, R., Jia, T., and Zheng, M.: Coordinated effects of air pollution control devices on PAH emissions in coal-fired power plants and industrial boilers, The Science of the total environment, 756, 144063, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144063, 2021.
- Yan, C., Zheng, M., Sullivan, A. P., Bosch, C., Desyaterik, Y., Andersson, A., Li, X., Guo, X.,
- Zhou, T., Gustafsson, Ö., and Collett, J. L.: Chemical characteristics and light-absorbing
- property of water-soluble organic carbon in Beijing: Biomass burning contributions,
- Atmospheric Environment, 121, 4-12, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.005, 2015.
- Yu, S., Liu, W., Xu, Y., Yi, K., Zhou, M., Tao, S., and Liu, W.: Characteristics and oxidative
- potential of atmospheric PM2.5 in Beijing: Source apportionment and seasonal variation,
- The Science of the total environment, 650, 277-287, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.021, 2019.
- Zhang, X., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., and Weber, R. J.: Sources, composition and absorption
- Angstrom exponent of light-absorbing organic components in aerosol extracts from the
- Los Angeles Basin, Environmental science & technology, 47, 3685-3693, 1044 10.1021/es305047b, 2013.
- Zhu, J., Chen, Y., Shang, J., and Zhu, T.: Effects of air/fuel ratio and ozone aging on physicochemical properties and oxidative potential of soot particles, Chemosphere, 220,
- 883-891, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.107, 2019.
- Zou, C., Li, M., Cao, T., Zhu, M., Fan, X., Peng, S., Song, J., Jiang, B., Jia, W., Yu, C., Song,
- H., Yu, Z., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Peng, P. a.: Comparison of solid phase extraction
- methods for the measurement of humic-like substances (HULIS) in atmospheric
- particles, Atmospheric Environment, 225, 117370, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117370,
- 2020.

				Biomass burning					Coal combustion		
Contents $(\%)$	WS	RS	CS	PW	CR	WP	$B-1$	$B-2$	$B-3$	$B-4$	AN
OC	$44 + 5.6$	$41 + 12$	$24 + 6.4$	$19 + 3.8$	$26 + 8.7$	$23 + 13$	$61 + 5.4$	$64 + 11$	$68 + 7.6$	$69 + 6.9$	9.5 ± 5.0
EC	$2.5 + 0.9$	1.3 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 2.8	10 ± 3.4	$5.0 + 3.3$	13 ± 7.6	$0.2 + 0.1$	1.1 ± 0.8	$0.3 + 0.1$	$0.8 + 0.6$	$0.1 + 0.0$
TC^a	$46 + 5.5$	$42 + 12$	$28 + 8.2$	$29 + 4.0$	$32 + 9.6$	$36 + 19$	$61 + 5.4$	$65 + 11$	$69 + 6.7$	69 ± 6.8	9.5 ± 5.0
WSOC/PM ^b	$11 + 2.7$	$12 + 1.6$	9.7 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 1.1	$7.6 + 0.3$	$2.9 + 0.7$	$15 + 0.4$	$22 + 4.1$	9.2 ± 1.5	4.7 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 1.1
HULIS-C/PM ^b	6.7 ± 1.3	$7.8 + 0.2$	$4.0 - 0.5$	1.7 ± 0.3	$3.1 + 0.6$	$1.0 - 0.4$	$6.0 + 0.6$	$10-0.8$	$4.2 + 0.4$	$2.0 - 0.2$	0.5 ± 0.1
MSOC/PM ^b	$40 + 0.9$	$47 + 0.8$	$20 + 1.4$	$12 + 1.2$	$15 + 0.9$	$6.4 + 0.7$	$57 + 5.4$	$73 + 2.9$	65 ± 6.8	$71 + 0.7$	$9.4 + 5.7$
WSOC/TC ^c	$22 + 6.0$	$23 + 3.0$	$25 + 3.0$	$14 + 3.1$	$32 + 3.0$	$21 + 9.4$	$25 + 2.9$	$29 + 4.3$	$14 + 3.2$	6.4 ± 0.5	$22 + 8.5$
HULIS-C/TC ^c	$14 + 2.8$	$14 + 0.4$	$11 + 2.7$	$5.9 + 0.8$	$13 + 1.6$	9.8 ± 1.1	$10 + 0.3$	$13 + 1.7$	6.3 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.3	$6.9 + 2.9$
MSOC/TC ^c	$82 + 2.2$	$88 + 1.5$	$57 + 11$	$53 + 7.5$	$78 + 16$	$52 + 27$	$99 + 0.2$	$95 + 1.9$	$98 + 0.1$	$96 + 0.1$	$95 + 1.8$
HULIS-C/WSOC ^c	$64 + 6.9$	65 ± 8.0	$42 + 6.2$	$43 + 5.4$	$41 + 6.6$	$32 + 6.3$	$41 + 4.9$	$46 + 9.4$	$46 + 9.6$	$43 + 6.0$	$33 + 7.8$
WSOC/OC ^c	$23 + 5.9$	$23 + 3.1$	$33 + 0.9$	$24 + 4.0$	$36 + 2.6$	$35 + 3.2$	$25 + 2.9$	$30 + 4.5$	$14 + 3.3$	6.4 ± 0.5	$26 + 3.9$
HULIS-C/OC ^c	$15 + 2.9$	$15 + 0.4$	$14 + 1.7$	$10 + 0.7$	$15 + 1.9$	$11 + 3.2$	$10 + 0.3$	$13 + 1.6$	6.4 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.3	$6.9 + 3.0$
MSOC/OC ^c	$88 + 1.9$	91 ± 1.2	$70 + 4.5$	$76 + 2.5$	$72 + 6.7$	$77 + 4.5$	$99 + 0.1$	$96 + 0.5$	$98 + 0.1$	$98 + 0.5$	$96 + 1.6$

1054 **Table 1.** The contributions of BrC fraction (WSOC, HULIS, and MSOC) in smoke samples (%).

1055 ^a Total Carbon: sum of OC and EC

1056 \blacksquare ^b The ratios of the mass of carbon (μ gC) to the mass of PM (μ g) for each sample.

1057 ^c The ratios of the mass of carbon (μ gC) to the mass of carbon (μ gC) for each sample.

1058

1059

			WSOC				HULIS MSOC						
	Samples	$R-H$	$H-C=C=$	$H-C-O$	Ar-H	$R-H$	$H-C-C=$	$H-C-O$	$Ar-H$	$R-H$	$H-C-C=$	$H-C-O$	$Ar-H$
		$0.6 - 2.0a$	$2.0 - 3.2$	$3.4 - 4.4$	$6.5 - 8.5$	$0.6 - 2.0$	$2.0 - 3.2$	$3.4 - 4.4$	$6.5 - 8.5$	$0.6 - 2.0$	$2.0 - 3.2$	$3.4 - 4.4$	$6.5 - 8.5$
Biomass	WS	16 ^b	27	42	14	19	32	21	27	44	26	16	14
burning	RS	24	27	34	14	26	31	14	29	46	30	13	11
	CS	15	22	46	17	18	28	31	24	47	29	15	9
	PW	14	22	48	17	15	25	42	18	40	30	19	11
	CF	11	17	54	18	14	26	36	23	41	28	18	13
	WP	12	22	48	19	14	21	31	34	44	29	17	10
Coal	$B-1$	18	41	9.0	32	17	40	5.0	37	40	28	2.0	30
combustion	$B-2$	17	35	22	25	26	39	5.0	30	33	30	3.0	33
	$B-3$	17	39	14	30	22	34	8.0	35	34	30	2.0	33
	$B-4$	13	27	34	25	20	36	13	30	32	27	3.0	39
	AN	15	33	20	32	18	37	12	33	38	28	2.0	32

1061 **Table 2**. The proton species in the BrC fractions (WSOC, HULIS, and MSOC) of smoke samples.

1062 ^a chemical shift: ppm. ^b percentage of each type of protons (%).

1063

1064

	DTT_{OC}^a			
	R	p		
MAE ₃₆₅	$0.697**$	0.000		
Fluorescence component 1 $(\%)^b$	-0.078	0.668		
Fluorescence component 2 $(\%)^b$	-0.330	0.061		
Fluorescence component 3 $(\%)^b$	0.151	0.402		
Fluorescence component 4 $(\%)^b$	$0.560**$	0.001		
$R-H$ (%)	$0.697**$	0.000		
$H-C=C$ (%)	-0.247	0.166		
$H-C-O$ $%$)	-0.223	0.213		
Ar-H $(%)$	$-0.345*$	0.049		

1066 **Table 3.** Pearson correlation coefficient analysis between oxidation potential and chemical characteristics of BrC

1067 a: DTT_{OC} were calculated using the DTT consumption rate divided by the mass of organic carbon.

1068 b: fluorescence component 1-4 poresent fluorophores 1-4 (C_W 1-4 and C_M 1-4) identified by PARAFAC method

1069 ** There was significant correlation in 99% confidence interval (bilateral) (p value no more than 0.01).

1070 * There was significant correlation in 95% confidence interval (bilateral) (p value no more than 0.05).

1071

Figure 1. The abundances of BrC fraction in the smoke samples from biomass burning (BB) and coal combustion (CC)

Figure 2. The AAE and MAE₃₆₅ values of WSOC, HULIS, and MSOC in smoke samples from biomass burning (BB) and coal combustion (CC)

Figure 3. Four fluorescence components identified by PARAFAC analysis of a) WSOC, HULIS ($C_W1:C_W4$); b) MSOC ($C_M1:C_M4$) extracted from BB and CC smoke PM_{2.5} (normalized in Raman unit, R.U.)

Figure 4. Relative contribution calculated by F_{max} of individual chromophores analyzed by PARAFAC. Component 1-4 represent C_W1-4 for water-soluble BrC (WSOC and HULIS) and and C_M 1-4 for methanol-soluble BrC (MSOC), respectively.

Figure 5. ¹H NMR spectra of WSOC, HULIS, and MSOC in typical biomass burning and coal combustion smoke samples (BB: wheat straw; CC: B-1 coal). The segment from 4.40 to 5.60 ppm was removed for NMR spectra due to MeOH and H2O residues. The peaks were assigned to specific compounds as follows: Levoglucosan (L), Phthlic acid (PA).

Figure 6. Results of DTT assay conducted on the WSOC, HULIS and MSOC of smoke PM_{2.5}, the values were normalized by the mass of smoke $PM_{2.5}$. Above the blue triangle symbol is the result coordinates of WSOC and HULIS to be enlarged.

