

Performance, intestinal microflora, and blood constituents in finishing turkeys fed diets supplemented with dietary mannan oligosaccharide and live yeast

Y. Konca¹, F. Kirkpınar², S. Mert² and B. Kayhan³

Ege University,

¹*Odemis Vocational Training School,*

²*Agricultural Faculty, Department of Animal Science*

35040 Izmir, Turkey

³*Odemis Governmental Hospital*

35750 Izmir, Turkey

(Received 7 August 2008; revised version 31 March 2009; accepted 24 June 2009)

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to evaluate the effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) in finishing turkeys' diets on performance, intestinal microflora, duodenal viscosity and pH, and blood constituents. A total of seventy-two, Big6, 10-week-old, male poulters were divided into three dietary treatments and eight replicate pens per treatment. Treatments including the following: 1. basal diet (control - C, no additive), 2. addition of MOS 1 g per kg basal diet, and 3. SC 1 g per kg (strain SC47, 300×10^{10} CFU/kg) basal diet. The treatments did not affect body weight, duodenal viscosity, and pH. The daily feed intake ($P < 0.01$) and feed conversion ratio ($P < 0.05$) in the MOS group were higher than those of the control and SC groups. The ileum total bacteria, gram negative bacteria and *E. coli* counts in the SC group were lower than those of the control and MOS groups ($P < 0.05$). The serum triacylglycerols, cholesterol, HDL, LDL, glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin, and creatine concentration of turkey toms were not influenced by the dietary MOS and SC supplementation ($P > 0.05$).

KEY WORDS: turkey, mannan oligosaccharide, live yeast, performance, microflora, blood traits

¹ Corresponding author: e-mail: yusufkonca@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

The use of antibiotics for growth promotion in poultry has been banned in many countries. For this reason, the addition of prebiotics and probiotics to a diet for poultry (considered as factors potentially beneficial to the health status and performance resulting from their consumption) has been growing in recent years (Juśkiewicz et al., 2006). The ban of antibiotic usage as feed additives in poultry diets has led to an increase in research carried out on alternative feed additives including prebiotics, for example, mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) and probiotics like *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC).

As a feed supplement source, SC is living yeast and MOS derived from the cell wall of the SC yeast. Prebiotics and probiotics are two of the different approaches that have the potential to reduce enteric disease in poultry and subsequent contamination of poultry products. They can alter the intestinal microbiota and immune system to reduce colonization by pathogens in certain conditions (Patterson and Burkholder, 2003). Enteric conditioners, such as MOS and antibiotic growth promoters, ultimately enhance the efficiency of nutrient utilization by reducing the competition between the host and its intestinal microbial inhabitants. Without the microbial competition for energy and other nutrients, the host retains a greater amount of nutrients available for absorption and metabolism. Therefore, probiotics (Patterson and Burkholder, 2003) and MOS have the potential to enhance growth rate, feed efficiency, and livability in poultry species (Shane, 1999; Patterson and Burkholder, 2003). On the other hand, some of the reports failed to observe a positive effect of feeding with yeast on growth performance in turkeys (Bradley and Savage, 1995) and broilers (Batista et al., 2007).

Some up-to-date experiments have been conducted with MOS and live yeast; they revealed some contradictory performance results in poultry. The aim of the current study was to determine the effects of MOS and SC on the performance, intestinal microflora, and blood parameters in finishing turkey toms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals and diets

A total of seventy-two, Big6, male turkeys were obtained from a local commercial hatchery, and they were grown until 10 weeks of age without any treatment. The turkeys were fed diets with a nutrient composition according to NRC (1994) recommendations, relevant to poultry aged 0 to 10 weeks. The turkey toms were individually weighed, then ranked for minimal differences, and selected according to their weights at 10 weeks old. There were three treatment groups,

including 8 replicates per treatment with 3 birds in each replicate. The treatments included the following: 1. basal diet (control - C, no additive), 2. basal diet + prebiotic 1 g per kg feed (mannan oligosaccharide - MOS, Bio-Mos®; Alltech Inc., Finland), and 3. basal diet + live yeast 1 g per kg feed (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* 47 - SC, Kavimix Biosaf®, 300 × 10¹⁰ CFU per kg, Kartal Kimya San. Tic. A.Ş., Istanbul). The basal diet was formulated to meet nutrient requirements (NRC, 1994) for 10 to 12, 12 to 16, and 16 to 18 week periods. The MOS and SC were homogeneously mixed with the basal diet. The experimental diets used in this study are given in Table 1.

The experiment lasted from 10 to 20 weeks of age. The turkeys were housed in wire-separated pens (2 birds/m²) with floors covered with dry wood shavings. The

Table 1. Composition of experimental diets¹

Item	Age, week		
	10 to 12	12 to 16	16 to 20
<i>Ingredients, g</i>			
yellow maize	460.00	500.00	550.00
wheat	148.30	186.37	199.54
soyabean meal	345.07	264.12	198.31
vegetable oil	12.39	18.55	25.35
limestone	11.56	13.05	11.66
monocalcium phosphate	14.63	10.82	8.76
anticoccidial (cocistac)	1.00	1.00	1.00
methionine	0.62	0.50	0.00
lysine	1.43	0.59	0.38
sodium chloride	2.50	2.50	2.50
vit.-min. Premix ²	2.50	2.50	2.50
<i>Analysed composition, %</i>			
dry matter	89.23	89.48	90.54
crude protein	21.32	18.33	15.91
crude fibre	3.39	3.08	2.78
crude ash	5.50	4.92	4.27
<i>Calculated composition³, %</i>			
ME, MJ/kg	12.20	12.69	13.17
lysine	1.12	0.92	0.76
methionine	0.38	0.33	0.26
Ca	0.89	0.81	0.72
available P	0.40	0.34	0.29

¹ diets of the experiment was consisted of periodical contained without and with 1 g/kg mannan oligosaccharide (Bio-MOS®) and live yeast (Biosaf®, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*);

² supplied per kg of the feed, IU: vit. A 15000, vit. D₃ 2000, mg: vit. E 40.0, vit. K 5.0, vit. B₁ (thiamin) 3.0, vit. B₂ (riboflavin) 6.0, vit. B₆ 5.0, vit. B₁₂ 0.03, niacin 30.0, biotin 0.1, calcium D-pantothenate 12, folic acid 1.0, choline chloride 400, Mn 80.0, Fe 35.0, Zn 50.0, Cu 5.0, I 2.0, Co 0.4, Se 0.15; ³ the ME, lysine, methionine, Ca and available P contents of feeds were calculated according to NRC (1994)

lighting schedule was 16 L:8 D (darkness from 10.30 p.m. to 6.30 a.m.). Feed and water were provided *ad libitum*. The individual body weight and feed intake (FI) by pen bases were obtained at 10, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20 weeks. Feed conversion ratio and body weight gain at each stage were calculated. Mortality did not occur.

Dry matter, crude protein and ether extract of feeds were determined according to AOAC (1980), and crude fibre content was determined according to Nauman and Bassler (1993).

Determination of microbial counts

Two toms per pen (16 each, total of 48) were randomly chosen and killed by cervical dislocation at 20 weeks of age, and the gastrointestinal tract was excised. From jejunum and ileum, 1 g of the digesta samples were collected, diluted with 1 ml serum physiologic (0.85% NaCl), and homogenized for 3 min. Tenfold dilutions with the sterile solution of physiological salt were prepared from the initial water samples. Then, 5 μ l of the examined liquid was taken from each dilution, evenly spread on the surface of the agar media in 2 parallel repetitions, and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After the incubation colonies were counted, the concentration of the bacterial microflora in the samples were determined with appropriate agar media; 5% sheep blood agar (bioMérieux, France) was used to determine the total number of bacteria (TB), and eosine methylene blue agar (EMB, bioMérieux, France) was used to identify *E. coli* (EC) and gram negative bacteria (GNB) counts. The microbial counts were determined as colony-forming units (CFU) per gram of samples (Gunal et al., 2006).

Determination of duodenal pH and viscosity

The pH value of the duodenal digesta was determined with a pH meter (Hanna Instruments-8413). The duodenum (pancreatic loop) was carefully excised. Several eppendorf tubes were filled from each sample, labeled, and centrifuged (4000 g for 10 min). The supernatant was withdrawn, and the viscosity of a 0.5 ml aliquot was measured using a Brookfield Digital Viscometer (Model DV-II+PRO, Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Stoughton, MA, USA) maintained at 40°C and expressed in cP.

Blood biochemical analysis

Blood samples were obtained by vena puncture of the left wing vein from two toms in each pen (total 48 toms) at 20 weeks of age for blood chemical analysis. Then, blood samples were kept on ice, transferred to the laboratory, and centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min; the serums were removed and stored at

-20°C until analysed. The serum triacylglycerols, cholesterol, HDL, LDL, glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin and creatine concentrations were measured by using the kits from the same manufacturer with an auto-analyzer (BT 3000 plus, Biotechnica, Rome, Italy).

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to ANOVA using General Linear Models (SAS, 1996). The model included the type of dietary control MOS and SC present. The differences among means were tested using Duncan's multiple-range tests.

RESULTS

Performance traits. The body weight (BW), daily feed intake (DFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) values of toms were presented in Table 2. The dietary MOS and SC supplementation did not significantly improve the BW of toms.

Table 2. Effect of the dietary treatments on body weight (BW), daily feed intake (DFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) in turkey toms

Age, week	Dietary treatment			P	SEM
	control	MOS	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>		
<i>Body weight, kg</i>					
10	7.01	7.12	7.04	NS	0.11
12	8.03	8.24	8.07	NS	0.12
14	10.12	10.32	10.17	NS	0.13
16	11.92	12.15	11.88	NS	0.14
18	13.69	13.91	13.72	NS	0.19
20	15.67	16.02	15.78	NS	0.23
<i>DFI, g/d</i>					
10 to 12	352.4 ^b	487.5 ^a	407.7 ^{ab}	**	19.14
12 to 14	401.4	414.8	400.6	NS	9.86
14 to 16	415.4	420.7	406.2	NS	18.46
16 to 18	471.9	512.9	467.0	NS	20.70
18 to 20	508.1	494.8	495.5	NS	14.53
10 to 20	427.2 ^b	471.8 ^a	435.4 ^b	**	9.20
<i>FCR, feed/gain</i>					
10 to 12	1.54	2.02	1.75	NS	0.09
12 to 14	2.70	2.80	2.68	NS	0.09
14 to 16	3.25	3.22	3.36	NS	0.18
16 to 18	3.73	4.43	3.54	NS	0.28
18 to 20	3.90	3.56	3.47	NS	0.37
10 to 20	3.03 ^b	3.21 ^a	2.96 ^b	*	0.10

MOS - mannan oligosaccharide; P - probability; SEM - standard error of the means (Pooled); * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; NS - non-significant; ^{a,b} - means within the same row with different superscript are significant

The DFI of the toms fed with MOS was higher than those of the control group at 10 to 12 weeks and the control and SC groups at the 10-to-20-wk period ($P<0.01$). Also, the FCR in the MOS group was higher than those of the control and SC groups at the overall period ($P<0.05$).

Microbial count and viscosity. The total bacteria (TB), gram negative bacteria (GNB) and *E. coli* (EC) counts of the ileum and jejunum of the toms were presented in Table 3. The TB count in the SC group was lower than those of the control and MOS groups, while the GNB and EC counts were lower than that of the control group in the ileum ($P<0.05$). However, these microorganism counts were not statistically affected in the jejunum ($P>0.05$). Also, duodenal viscosity and pH were not affected by the treatments.

Table 3. Effect of the dietary treatments on gastrointestinal system microflora count ($\times 10^3$ CFU/ml/g) and duodenal viscosity and pH in turkey toms

Item, $\times 10^3$ CFU/ml/g	Dietary treatment			P	SEM
	control	MOS	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>		
<i>Ileum</i>					
total bacteria	264.3 ^a	235.0 ^a	95.6 ^b	*	5.2
gram negative bacteria	196.7 ^a	155.0 ^{ab}	59.4 ^b	*	36.2
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	166.7 ^a	121.7 ^{ab}	46.5 ^b	*	31.7
<i>Jejunum</i>					
total bacteria	457.1	388.6	493.7	NS	51.7
gram negative bacteria	357.6	342.5	362.5	NS	65.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	271.4	247.5	267.5	NS	51.3
Duodenal viscosity (cP)	1.33	1.33	1.25	NS	0.10
Duodenal pH	6.19	6.10	6.13	NS	0.06

MOS - mannan oligosaccharide; P - probability; SEM - standard error of the means (Pooled); * - $P<0.05$; ** - $P<0.01$; NS - non-significant; ^{a,b} - means within the same row with different superscript are significant

Blood traits. The blood constituent of the toms was presented in Table 4. The dietary MOS and SC supplementation did not affect the serum triacylglycerols, cholesterol; HDL, LDL, glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin and creatine concentration of turkey toms ($P>0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Dietary SC and MOS supplementation did not significantly affect the BW of the turkey toms compared with the control. In agreement with our results, in a comparative study, Cömert (2004) reported that the BW and gain were not affected by the dietary MOS and probiotic addition in bronze turkeys which advanced in

Table 4. Effect of the dietary treatments on blood constituent in turkey toms, mg/l

Blood constituents	Dietary treatment			P	SEM
	control	MOS	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>		
Triacylglycerols	445.0	331.3	348.8	NS	75.8
Cholesterol	1242.5	1078.7	1076.3	NS	69.8
HDL	566.3	495	501.3	NS	34.0
LDL	587.5	521.3	516.3	NS	83.4
Glucose	2571.2	2642.5	2827.5	NS	37.0
Total protein	34.2	34.4	34.8	NS	1.4
Albumin	15.8	15.4	15.0	NS	0.6
Globulin	18.4	19.0	19.8	NS	1.0
Creatine	1.6	1.8	1.8	NS	0.2

MOS - mannan oligosaccharide; P - probability; SEM - standard error of the means (Pooled); NS - non-significant; HDL - high density lipoprotein; LDL - low density lipoprotein

weeks (from 7 to 21 weeks). Similarly, Batista et al. (2007) reported that the BW and gain were not affected by both prebiotic and probiotic supplementation in broilers. In contrast to other investigations with MOS, improvements in the BW or gain have been reported (Stanley et al., 2000; Sims et al., 2004). In the current study, the DFI and FCR of the toms fed with MOS were higher than those of the control and SC groups at the end of the experimental period. The high DFI in the MOS group may be the result of the changing status of the digestive system. There is substantial evidence that dietary MOS modifies the morphology and structure of the intestinal mucosa (Shane, 1999), the digestive enzyme activities, and the amino acid transport in digestive system (Iji et al., 2001). Hence, in the initial period of the experiment (10 to 12 weeks), most likely, the toms may experience an adaptation process. In this period, feed consumption in the MOS and SC groups were higher than that of the control group (about 38 and 15%, respectively). However, in the subsequent periods, these differences disappeared. Cömert (2004) reported that the DFI and FCR were not affected by the dietary MOS and probiotic addition in bronze turkeys from 7 to 21 weeks. Similarly, Zduńczyk et al. (2004) noted that dietary MOS supplementation had no significant influence on the DFI and FCR of turkeys at 0 to 8 weeks. However, these results contradict the findings of Sims et al. (2004), who reported that dietary MOS supplementation (0.1% to 6 week and 0.05% thereafter) led to an improvement in the body weight gain and feed conversion ratio in male turkeys.

In this experiment, turkeys were fed a diet supplemented with MOS and SC at the level (1 g per kg of diet) recommended by the companies. The recommended level of MOS in the diet for turkeys was estimated at 0.5-1.0 g/kg to 6 weeks then 0.5 g/kg, according to the producer company (Bio-MOS®, Alltech Inc., Finland). On the other hand, Juśkiewicz et al. (2006) found that the addition of MOS to a

diet was most effective when MOS was applied for a long-term feeding period (16 weeks of feeding) and at a higher dose than 0.1%. Therefore, the level of MOS and SC generally recommended by the companies could be too low to be efficient in the finishing turkeys' diets.

In the present study, neither MOS nor SC supplementations affected jejunal microorganism populations. A comparative study by Ceylan et al. (2003), who reported on MOS and probiotics, did not affect the caecal microflora in broilers. Sims et al. (2004) noted that no effect of MOS was determined on coliform bacteria concentrations in turkeys. In contrast to our results, Zduńczyk et al. (2005) and Juśkiewicz et al. (2006) reported that MOS supplementation reduced caecal EC counts in turkeys. However, in the current study, dietary SC supplementation reduced the ileum concentration of TB, GNB and EC populations, but MOS did not affect these microorganism counts. It is reported that GNB strains could be inhibited with mannose (Spring et al., 2000) and probiotics (Gunal et al., 2006). It is generally accepted that the effectiveness of prebiotics and probiotics depends on the presence of undesirable microorganisms in house or animal. Therefore, enhanced growth performance of birds receiving dietary probiotics or prebiotics depends largely on the consequent diminishing of the undesirable microbial concentration of the gastrointestinal tract, which competes with the host for nutrients (Brzóska et al., 1999).

In the current study, the viscosity of duodenum was not significantly affected by the dietary treatments. MOS is derived from the cell walls of certain strains of SC, and therefore, the product contains a high level of β -glucan. It is known that β -glucan increases gut viscosity and affects nutrient digestion and absorption in poultry. As seen in our results, McCan et al. (2006) reported that the viscosity values of the MOS group was similar to those of the other diets, and it is probable that the level of β -glucan present in MOS was not sufficient to affect *in vitro* viscosity. It can, therefore, be concluded that the β -glucan present in MOS did not reveal an anti-nutritive effect and viscosity as shown by McCan et al. (2006). Duodenal pH was not affected by the treatments. Spring et al. (2000) and Zduńczyk et al. (2005) found that the pH of ileal and caecal contents were unaffected by dietary MOS supplementation.

The serum components of the turkey toms were not influenced by dietary MOS and SC supplementation. Stanley et al. (2000) observed that serum components were not influenced by the dietary oligosaccharide. In contrast to our findings, it is reported that serum total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were reduced by dietary MOS (Kannan et al., 2005) and probiotics (Kalavathy et al., 2003). Prebiotic supplementation could have enhanced the *Lactobacillus* count; therefore, the decrease in cholesterol concentration may be caused by the assimilation made by the probiotics. Probiotics, such as *Lactobacillus*, have the ability to synthesize

bile salt hydrolase enzymes, which deconjugates bile salts, and since cholesterol is the precursor of bile salts, more cholesterol is taken out of circulation (Kalavathy et al., 2003).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the effectiveness of mannan oligosaccharide and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) on the performance traits of finishing turkeys' diets is questionable as potential growth promoters. However, there is a potential to decrease pathogenic microorganism in the gastrointestinal system by SC supplementation.

REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1980. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Official Methods of Analysis. 13th Edition. Washington, DC
- Batista L.S., Garcia E.A., Faitarone A.B.G., 2007. Flavonoids and mannanoligosaccharides in broiler diets. *Braz. J. Poultry Sci.* 9, 33-37
- Bradley G.L., Savage T.F., 1995. The effect of autoclaving a yeast culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on turkey poultry performance and the retention of gross energy, and selected minerals. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 55, 1-7
- Brzóska F., Grzybowski R., Steck K., Pieszka M., 1999. Nutritive efficiency of selected probiotic microorganisms in chicken broiler. *Rocz. Nauk. Zoot.* 26, 291-301
- Ceylan N., Çiftçi İ., İlhan Z., 2003. The effects of some alternative feed additives for antibiotic growth promoters on the performance and gut microflora of broiler chicks. *Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* 27, 727-733
- Cömert N., 2004. The effects of avilamycin, bio-moss, cyclactin and yucca schidigera extract added to the corn-soybean meal based diets on fattening performance, slaughter results and some blood and intestinal parameters of male broiler turkey. PhD. Thesis, Ankara University, Natural and Applied Sciences Department of Animal Science, pp. 57
- Gunal M., Yayli G., Kaya O., Karahan N., Sulak O., 2006. The effects of antibiotic growth promoter, probiotic or organic acid supplementation on performance, intestinal microflora and tissue of broilers. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 5, 149-155
- Iji P.A., Saki A.A., Tivey D.R., 2001. Intestinal structure and function of broiler chickens on diets supplemented with a mannan oligosaccharide. *J. Sci. Food Agr.* 81, 1186-1192
- Juśkiewicz J., Zduńczyk Z.J., Jankowski J., 2006. Growth performance and metabolic response of the gastrointestinal tract of turkeys to diets with different levels of mannan-oligosaccharide. *World Poultry Sci. J.* 62, 612-625
- Kalavathy R., Abdullah N., Jalaludin S., Ho Y.W., 2003. Effects of *Lactobacillus* cultures on growth performance, abdominal fat deposition, serum lipids and weight of organs of broiler chickens. *Brit. Poultry Sci.* 44, 139-144
- Kannan M., Karunakaran R., Balakrishnan V., Prabhakar T.G., 2005. Influence of prebiotics supplementation on lipid profile of broilers. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 4, 994-997
- McCann M.E.E., Newell E., Preston C., Forbes K., 2006. The use of mannan-oligosaccharides and/or tannin in broiler diets. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 5, 873-879

- Naumann C., Bassler R., 1993. Methodenbuch, Band III: Die Chemische Untersuchung von Futtermitteln. VDLUFA-Verlag, Darmstadt (Germany)
- NRC, 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. National Research Council. 9th revised Edition. National Academy Press. Washington, DC
- Patterson J.A., Burkholder K.M., 2003. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. *Poultry Sci.* 82, 627-631
- SAS, 1996. SAS User's Guide: Statistics. SAS Institute. Cary, NC
- Shane S.M., 1999. Global climatic effects on livestock and human health. In: T.P. Lyons, K.A. Jacques (Editors). *Biotechnology in the Feed Industry. Proceedings of Alltech's 15th Annual Symposium.* Nottingham University Press, Nottingham (UK), pp. 357-368
- Sims M.D., Dawson K.A., Newman K.E., Spring P., Hooge D.M., 2004. Effects of dietary mannan oligosaccharide, bacitracin methylene disalicylate, or both on the live performance and intestinal microbiology of turkeys. *Poultry Sci.* 83, 1148-1154
- Spring P., Wenk C., Dawson K.A., Newman K.E., 2000. The effects of dietary mannan-oligosaccharides on cecal parameters and the concentrations of enteric bacteria in the ceca of salmonella-challenged broiler chicks. *Poultry Sci.* 79, 205-211
- Stanley V.G., Brown C., Sefton A.E., 2000. Comparative evaluation of a yeast culture, mannan oligosaccharide and an antibiotic on performance of turkeys. *Poultry Sci.* 79, Supp.1, 117 (Abstr.)
- Zduńczyk Z., Juskiewicz J., Jankowski J., Biedrzycka E., Koncicki A., 2005. Metabolic response of the gastrointestinal tract of turkeys to diets with different levels of mannan-oligosaccharide. *Poultry Sci.* 84, 903-909
- Zduńczyk Z., Juśkiewicz J., Jankowski J., Koncicki A., 2004. Performance and caecal adaptation of turkeys to diets without or with antibiotic and with different levels of mannan-oligosaccharide. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 58, 367-378