

Table of Contents

List of Figures	13
List of Tables	15
List of acronyms and abbreviations	17
1. Introduction	23
1.1 Three perspectives on DI	25
1.2 Research objective	27
1.3 Book structure	29
2. State of research on DI	31
2.1 Conceptual studies: Categorizing DI	31
2.2 Normative studies: Designing optimal jurisdictions	33
2.3 Empirical studies: Describing and explaining DI	34
2.4 Conclusion	41
3. Meta-theoretical foundations of empirical theory comparisons	43
3.1 The applicability of empirical theory comparisons: philosophical perspectives	45
3.1.1 Popper's logical perspective on scientific change and theory comparison	48
3.1.2 Kuhn's historicist perspective on scientific change and theory comparison	54
3.1.3 Lakatos' historicist perspective on scientific change and theory comparison	59
3.1.4 Discussion	62

Table of Contents

3.2 The methodology of empirical theory comparisons: empirical vs. logical theory comparisons	66
3.2.1 Existing typologies of logical relationships and integration possibilities	68
3.2.1.1 Typology of logical relationships #1: Opp and Wippler (1990c)	69
3.2.1.2 Typology of logical relationships #2: Wagner and Berger (1985)	69
3.2.1.3 Typology of logical relationships #3: Nauck (1988)	72
3.2.1.4 Typology of integration possibilities: Hirschi (1979) and Liska <i>et al.</i> (1989)	72
3.2.1.5 Integrative typology #1: Seipel (1999)	74
3.2.1.6 Integrative typology #2: Jupille <i>et al.</i> (2003)	75
3.2.2 An integrated conceptualization of logical relationships and comparison outcomes	78
3.2.3 Further criteria for empirical theory comparisons	83
3.2.3.1 Selection of the theories	83
3.2.3.2 Explication of the theories	84
3.2.3.3 Operationalization and empirical examination of the theories	85
3.3 An empirical comparison of three major European integration theories	88
4. Level 1: General assumptions of the three European integration theories	89
4.1 Mapping the area of European Integration studies	89
4.2 Intergovernmentalism: realist and liberal intergovernmentalism	93
4.3 Supranationalism: neofunctionalism and supranational institutionalism	97
4.4 Social constructivism	100
4.5 Logical comparison between the respective general assumptions	101
4.5.1 Epistemological and methodological assumptions	102

4.5.2 Ontological assumptions	106
4.5.3 Discussion	115
5. Level 2: Specific hypotheses on DI	117
5.1 Conceptualization of DI	117
5.2 Intergovernmentalism and DI	122
5.3 Supranationalism and DI	125
5.4 Social constructivism and DI	126
5.5 Logical comparison between the respective DI-specific hypotheses	127
6. Level 3: Operationalization, measurement, and data gathering	129
6.1 Dependent variable	129
6.1.1 Units of analysis in EU primary law	131
6.1.2 Units of analysis in EU secondary law	134
6.2 Independent variables	138
6.2.1 Intergovernmentalism: realist and liberal intergovernmentalism	138
6.2.2 Supranationalism: neofunctionalism and supranational institutionalism	142
6.2.3 Social constructivism	143
7. Level 4: Empirical examination	155
7.1 Research design: characteristics and advantages of the panel design	155
7.2 Methodology: Introduction to and specification of the count data regressions	157
7.3 Descriptive analysis	164
7.3.1 DI arrangements in EU primary law	164
7.3.2 DI arrangements in EU secondary law	172
7.4 Explanatory analysis	181
7.4.1 Isolated testing of the intergovernmentalist hypotheses	182
7.4.2 Isolated testing of the supranationalist hypotheses	188

Table of Contents

7.4.3 Isolated testing of the constructivist hypotheses	192
7.4.4 Empirical comparison: Simultaneous testing of the European Integration theories	196
7.4.4.1 Sign test and t-test	200
7.4.4.2 Goodness-of-fit test	202
7.4.4.3 Explained variation	205
7.4.5 Horizontal integration	209
8. Conclusion	213
8.1 Meta-theoretical and theoretical insights	213
8.2 Empirical insights	215
8.3 Outlook	218
9. Bibliography	219
Annex: Coding of the government position variables	239