

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	5
Table of Contents	9
List of abbreviations	15
Introduction	21
1 Indigenous Peoples in International Law	31
1.1 The (Non-)Definition of Indigenous Peoples	32
1.2 The international protection of indigenous rights	39
1.3 Indigenous peoples' land dispossession and recent evolutionary jurisprudence	55
2 Self-determination and Indigenous Peoples	61
2.1 The debated concept of and right to self-determination	61
2.1.1 Brief overview of developments surrounding self-determination	63
2.1.2 United Nations and Self-Determination	67
2.1.3 The right to self-determination in the Covenants and the position of the Human Rights Committee	73
2.1.3.1 Article 1.1 and the link with the political rights	74
2.1.3.2 Article 1.2 and the right of peoples over natural wealth and resources	78
2.1.3.3 Article 1.3 and the full exercise of the right to self-determination	80
2.1.4 Legal conceptualizations of self-determination	81
2.1.5 Crucial aspects: Post-colonial self-determination; Self-determination as <i>jus cogens</i> ; Singularity; and the People referred in Article 1	94

Table of contents

2.1.6 Recent developments in international law: The Responsibility to Protect and Remedial Secession	101
2.1.7 Latest quests for self-determination: Scotland, Catalonia, and New Caledonia	104
2.1.8 Concluding remarks	117
2.2 The right to self-determination of Indigenous Peoples	119
2.2.1. Introduction	119
2.2.2 The right to self-determination of indigenous peoples in the UN standards	122
2.2.2.1 References to the right of self-determination of indigenous peoples in the “Study of the Problem of Discrimination against Indigenous Populations”	123
2.2.2.2 The UN Human Rights Committee, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the right to self-determination of indigenous peoples	126
2.2.2.3 Self-determination of indigenous peoples in the Draft Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples	135
2.2.2.4 The shaping of the right to self-determination of indigenous peoples from the Draft Declaration to the UNDRIP’s formula	144
2.2.2.5 The UNDRIP regime of self-determination and the right to autonomy of indigenous peoples	151
2.2.3 The right to self-determination of indigenous peoples in the two American and African regional human rights systems	154
2.2.4 The multifaceted right to self-determination of indigenous peoples	157
2.2.5 The implications of the use of the term “people”	169
2.2.6 Concluding remarks	172
3 One expression of the multi-faceted Right to Self-Determination of Indigenous Peoples: The Composite Right to Political Participation	175
3.1 “Political rights” in human rights law	175
3.1.1 Introduction	175
3.1.2 The “political rights”	176
3.1.3 Concluding remarks	182
3.2 The right to political participation of (national) minorities	185
3.2.1 Legal standards	185

3.2.1.1 Political rights of minorities in art.27 of the ICCPR	185
3.2.1.2 <i>Ad hoc</i> political rights of minorities	188
3.2.2 Theoretical debate	190
3.2.2.1 Participation within the State	191
3.2.2.2 Participation in the form of autonomy/self-government	195
3.2.3 Concluding remarks	202
3.3 The Composite Right to Political Participation of Indigenous Peoples	203
3.3.1 Participation of indigenous peoples in domestic decision-making processes	206
3.3.1.1 Introduction	206
3.3.1.2 The <i>ad hoc</i> rights of indigenous peoples to participation in domestic decision-making processes	209
3.3.1.3 Ways to implement the right participation of indigenous peoples in domestic decision-making processes	213
3.3.1.4 The Yatama case before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and Indigenous peoples' electoral rights	216
3.3.2 Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent	218
3.3.2.1 The recognition of the rights to Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent	218
3.3.2.2 The content and implications of the indigenous peoples' rights to Consultation and Free, Prior and Informed Consent	226
3.3.2.2.1 The core elements of the right to consultation	227
3.3.2.2.2 Free, Prior and Informed Consent	232
3.3.2.2.3 Consultation and FPIC	233
3.3.2.3 Jurisprudence vis-à-vis the right to consultation and FPIC of Indigenous peoples	235
3.3.3 Indigenous peoples' rights to autonomy/self-government and to preserve their traditional institutions	241
3.3.3.1 International provisions recognizing the right to autonomy/self-government of Indigenous peoples and to preserve and/or establish their traditional institutions	242
3.3.3.2 The debate on the right to autonomy of indigenous peoples	243
3.3.3.3 Forms of indigenous autonomies	246
3.3.3.4 Final considerations on indigenous peoples and autonomy	252

Table of contents

3.3.4 Final considerations on the recognition of the <i>composite right of political participation of indigenous peoples</i>	254
3.4 Concluding remarks	255
4 The peculiar Latin American context: Regional protection of indigenous rights and Indigenous movements	261
4.1 The protection of Indigenous peoples in the Latin American Constitutions	263
4.1.1 Introduction	263
4.1.2 An excursus on indigenous peoples' recognition in the Latin American constitutions and recent developments	267
4.2 The role of the Inter-American human rights bodies in protecting indigenous rights	277
4.3 The increasing negotiating power of indigenous movements in Latin America	284
4.3 Concluding remarks	292
5 The Bolivian case	295
5.1 Introduction to the Bolivian context	296
5.1.1 Socio-economic data	296
5.1.2 Marginalization of indigenous peoples, the 1990 reforms, and the new Constitution	299
5.2. The legal framework vis-à-vis indigenous peoples in Bolivia	311
5.2.1 International human rights law applicable to indigenous peoples in Bolivia	311
5.2.2 Domestic protection of indigenous peoples' rights	318
5.3 Indigenous peoples' participation in decision-making processes	322
5.3.1 Indigenous peoples' representation at national and local level	323
5.3.2 Consultation and FPIC of indigenous peoples in Bolivia	332
5.3.2.1 Legislative framework	332
5.3.2.2 Decision No.2003/2010-R of the Constitutional Court	338
5.3.2.3 Implementation of indigenous peoples' right to consultation and the controversial case of TIPNIS	339

5.4 Participation through autonomy/self-government arrangements and the preservation of indigenous institutions	352
5.4.1. The indigenous autonomy proposed by indigenous peoples in Bolivia	352
5.4.2 Looking for a Bolivian history of autonomy	353
5.4.3 The complex Bolivian (autonomous) administrative and territorial system	354
5.4.4 The AIOCs	359
5.4.4.1 Overall introduction to the AIOC and its legal framework	359
5.4.4.2 The existing AIOCs: Process of creation and rules	360
5.4.4.3 The regulation of new/future AIOCs	363
5.4.4.4 Common conversion procedure and other norms	365
5.4.4.5 The current status of the AIOCs	366
5.4.4.6 Final remarks on the AIOCs	372
5.5 Concluding remarks on the Bolivian case	377
6 The Chilean case	385
6.1 The Chilean context	386
6.1.1 Data on indigenous peoples in Chile	386
6.1.2 The “other” history of Chile, indigenous peoples’ land dispossession and recent social unrest	392
6.1.2.1 Introduction	393
6.1.2.2 Indigenous peoples’ historical land dispossession	397
6.1.2.3 The developments after the restoration of democracy	404
6.1.2.4 The 2011 students’ mobilization, the 2014 elections and the hope for a change of Chilean institutions	416
6.2. The legal protection of indigenous peoples in Chile	422
6.2.1 International human rights law applicable to indigenous peoples in Chile	422
6.2.2 Domestic protection of indigenous peoples’ rights	436
6.2.2.1 The lack of constitutional recognition	436
6.2.2.2 The Indigenous Law and other norms	443
6.3 Indigenous peoples’ participation in decision-making processes	448
6.3.1 Indigenous peoples’ representation at national and local level	449

Table of contents

6.3.1.1 The restrictive Chilean electoral system and the obstacles to indigenous peoples' participation	450
6.3.1.2 Initiatives to include indigenous peoples in decision-making processes	456
6.3.1.3 The Mapuche Wallmapuwen party	463
6.3.2 Consultation and FPIC of indigenous peoples in Chile	466
6.3.2.1 Legislative framework	466
6.3.2.2 Application of the indigenous peoples' right to consultation in Chile	471
6.3.2.3 The recent Amparo proceedings vis-à-vis the right to consultation	480
6.4 Participation through autonomy/self-government arrangements and the preservation of indigenous institutions -- indigenous autonomy claims in Chile	488
6.5 Concluding remarks on the Chilean case	494
Conclusions	507
Selected Bibliography	521