

Prenatal exposure to mixtures of xenoestrogens and genome-wide DNA methylation in human placenta.

Vilahur Nadia^{1,2,3,4}, Bustamante Mariona^{1,2,3,4}, Morales Eva^{1,3,5}, Motta Valeria⁶, Fernandez Mariana Fátima^{3,7,8}, Salas Lucas Andrés^{1,2,3}, Escaramis Georgia^{3,4}, Ballester Ferran^{3,9,10}, Murcia Mario^{3,9}, Tardon Adonina^{3,11}, Riaño Isolina^{3,12}, Santa-Marina Loreto^{3,13,14}, Ibarluzea Jesús^{3,13,14}, Arrebola Juan Pedro^{3,7,8}, Estivill Xavier^{2,3,4,15}, Bollati Valentina⁶, Sunyer Jordi^{1,2,3,15}, Olea Nicolás^{3,7,8}.

¹Center for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL), Barcelona, Spain; ²Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain; ³CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain; ⁴Genomics and Disease Group, Bioinformatics and Genomics Program, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona, Spain; ⁵IMIB-Arrixaca Research Institute, Virgen de la Arrixaca University Hospital, Murcia, Spain; ⁶EPIGET - Epidemiology, Epigenetics and Toxicology Lab - Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; ⁷Department of Radiology, University of Granada, Spain; ⁸Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria, ibs.GRANADA, Spain; ⁹FISABIO–Universitat de València - Universitat Jaume I Joint Research Unit of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, Valencia, Spain; ¹⁰University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain; ¹¹University of Oviedo, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain; ¹²Hospital San Agustín, SESPA, Asturias, Spain; ¹³Biodonostia, Health Research Institute, San Sebastián, Spain; ¹⁴Sub-Directorate for Public Health of Gipuzkoa, Department of Health, Government of the Basque Country, San Sebastian, Spain; ¹⁵Hospital del Mar Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, Spain.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

This work was supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Health (FIS-PI042018; FIS-PI060867; FIS-PI081151; FIS-PI09/02311; FIS-PI09/02647; FIS-PI11/00610; FIS-PI13/02429); Instituto de Salud Carlos III [Red INMA G03/176 and CB06/02/0041]; the EU Commission (QLK4-1999-01422, QLK4-2002-00603 and CONTAMED FP7-ENV-212502), the Generalitat de Catalunya-CIRIT [1999SGR 00241]; the Fundació La Marató de TV3; the Consejería de Salud de la Junta de Andalucía (grant number 183/07 and 0675/10), the Diputación Foral de Gipuzkoa (DFG06/004), the Department of Health of the Basque Government (2005111093), the University of Oviedo, the Fundación Liberbank, and the Fundación Roger Torné. NV was supported by an FPI Grant from the Spanish Ministry of Health (BES-2009-023933) and a Formación de Personal Investigador Grant for Short Research Stays in Foreign Institutions (BES-2009-023933). The HUSC BioBank, integrated in the Andalusia Public Health System (SSPA) and the National Biobank Network, is financed by the Institute of Health Carlos III, (project RD09/0076/00148) and the Regional Government of Andalusia. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict

with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed. No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to acknowledge all the study participants for their generous collaboration. A full list of INMA Study Investigators can be found at:

<http://www.proyectoinma.org/presentacion-inma/listado-investigadores/listado-investigadores.html>

Ethical conduct of research

The authors state that they have obtained appropriate institutional review board approval or have followed the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki for all human or animal experimental investigations. In addition, for investigations involving human subjects, informed consent has been obtained from the participants involved and/or their legal tutors.

Keywords:

Xenoestrogens, placenta, DNA methylation, prenatal, programming, endocrine disruptors, TEXB, epigenome.

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** *In utero* exposure to xenoestrogens may modify the epigenome. We explored the
3 association of prenatal exposure to mixtures of xenoestrogens and genome-wide placental DNA
4 methylation.

5 **Materials & methods:** Sex-specific associations between methylation changes in placental DNA
6 by doubling the concentration of TEXB-alpha exposure were evaluated by robust multiple linear
7 regression. Two CpG sites were selected for validation and replication in additional male born
8 placentas.

9 **Results:** No significant associations were found, although the top significant CpGs in boys were
10 located in the LRPAP1, HAGH, PPARGC1B, KCNQ1 and KCNQ1DN genes, previously
11 associated to birth weight, type 2 diabetes, obesity or steroid hormone signaling. Neither technical
12 validation nor biological replication of the results was found in boys for LRPAP and PPARGC1B.

13 **Conclusions:** Some suggestive genes were differentially methylated in boys in relation to prenatal
14 xenoestrogen exposure, but our initial findings could not be validated or replicated.

15

16

17 **Background**

18 Xenooestrogens are a group of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) that specifically interfere with
19 the endogenous estrogen hormone signaling pathways and/or metabolism [1]. Exposure to
20 xenoestrogens during susceptible developmental stages like the prenatal period has been related to a
21 number of adverse health outcomes in the offspring, both in humans and in animals, including
22 alterations in birth weight, growth and body mass index, male and female reproductive
23 abnormalities, infant neurodevelopment or increased risk for diabetes and several types of cancer
24 among others [2-10]; with evidences for sex-specific associations [10-15].

25 The environmental epigenetic hypothesis suggests that the fetal epigenome may be affected by *in*
26 *utero* environmental exposures, and this may play a role in later disease phenotypes [16]. The adverse
27 effects of environmental exposures are especially relevant in the context of early exposure to EDCs,
28 since endogenous hormones, active at extremely low concentrations, play critical developmental
29 roles during the prenatal period [17, 18]. Mice models have revealed that *in utero* exposure to
30 xenoestrogens may disrupt DNA methylation. Bisphenol A and diethylstilbestrol, compounds with
31 known xenoestrogenic properties, induced higher expression of the Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2
32 gene, a histone methyltransferase which resulted in increased mammary histone H3 trimethylation
33 and triggered methylation changes in several estrogen-responsive genes [19, 20]. In another study,
34 dietary exposure to soy phytoestrogens in pregnant rats advanced sexual maturation and induced
35 aberrant promoter methylation of *skeletal a-actin*, *estrogen receptor-a* and *c-fos* genes in the offspring [21,
36 22]. In addition, the pesticide methoxychlor induced changes in DNA methylation at a number of
37 imprinted genes, accompanied by a substantial decrease in mice sperm count [23].

38 In humans, significant associations have been reported between prenatal exposure to single
39 xenoestrogens like the persistent organic chemicals dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT),
40 dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE) or polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and global DNA
41 hypomethylation, measured as DNA methylation in retrotransposon elements (LINEs and SINEs)
42 [14, 24-26], as well as at gene-specific level [27]. On the other hand, a recent study has shown that
43 prenatal exposure to phthalates and phenols was related to methylation changes in placenta in the
44 imprinted *H19* gene and in the *IGF2* differentially methylated region 2 (DMR2) only in boys, two
45 regions known to play a major role in fetal and placental growth, although a further relation with
46 birth weight could not be demonstrated in this study [28]. Epigenetic dysregulation of the placenta,
47 which can be caused by several environmental factors, may lead to abnormal placental development
48 and function [29]. Even if this organ does not form part of an adult, the human placenta plays a key
49 role in ensuring optimal fetal development and growth, with implications for newborns disease
50 predisposition in later life.

51 Exposure during pregnancy to arsenic and cadmium, metals which have been suggested to interfere
52 with estrogen signaling [30-33], has been associated to methylation changes in cord blood DNA,

53 both globally and in specific genomic sites as revealed by epigenome-wide association studies
54 (EWAS)[34], with stronger associations often observed in males [15, 35-37].

55 However, exposure to single chemicals is often an unrealistic scenario, since environmental
56 contamination, including EDCs, is rarely due to a single compound but to mixtures to which
57 populations are exposed that may produce additive or even synergistic effects [38-40]. The use of
58 biomarkers of cumulative exposure, such as the Total Effective Xenoestrogen Burden (TEXB) is
59 therefore a more realistic approach to study the impact of co-exposure to mixtures of chemicals
60 with estrogenic disrupting properties in a real world scenario [41, 42].

61

62 We previously reported male specific associations between placenta TEXB-alpha and children birth
63 weight, early growth and motor development at age 1-2 [43, 44], accompanied by DNA
64 hypomethylation in *Alu* retrotransposons in placenta [45]. The aim of the present study is to
65 perform an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) analyzing boys and girls separately to
66 identify differentially methylated genomic *loci* in placenta in relation to prenatal TEXB-alpha
67 exposure.

68

69 **Material and methods**

70 **Study population**

71 The INMA- Infancia y Medio Ambiente- (Environment and Childhood) Project is a Spanish multi
72 center birth cohort study exploring the role of environmental pollutants on children development
73 and health [46]. All participants involved in the study provided written consent prior to
74 participation, and the research protocol was approved by the Ethical Committees of the Institutions
75 and Centers from the different Spanish regions.

76 Two subsets of samples were analyzed in the current study, one for the discovery step and the
77 other for the replication step. The discovery study included 181 women of Caucasian origin
78 enrolled from November 2003 to January 2008 from four different areas of Spain: Asturias (18%),
79 Basque Country (34%), Catalonia (37%) and Valencia (11%), who had not followed any program of
80 assisted reproduction, gave singleton birth at the reference hospitals and had placenta collected at
81 delivery. In the replication step, 126 women from the same cohort which had male deliveries were
82 selected, enrolled in the study in the same period and following the same inclusion criteria from
83 Asturias (4%), Basque Country (39%), Catalonia (37%) and Valencia (20%).

84

85 **Exposure assessment**

86 The Total Effective Xenoestrogen Burden (TEXB) is a biomarker of the combined estrogenic
87 effect of environmental estrogens [47]. The detailed procedure has previously been published
88 elsewhere [42, 48]. Briefly, half of each placenta was homogenized, in order to obtain a sample
89 representative of the maternal-fetal unit. Thereafter, an hexane-based solid-liquid extraction method

90 was used to separate less lipophilic chemicals including endogenous hormones (beta fraction) from
91 more lipophilic environmental compounds, i.e. persistent organic pollutants with xenoestrogenic
92 potential (alpha fraction). Then, the estrogenicity of the alpha fraction (i.e. TEXB-alpha) for each
93 placenta sample was quantified using the E-Screen bioassay, a cell proliferation assay using MCF7
94 breast cancer cells, at the Biomedical Research Center from the University of Granada (Spain).
95 TEXB-alpha was expressed in picomolar (pM) estradiol equivalent units (Eeq) per gram of placenta
96 tissue (pM Eeq/g placenta).

97 **DNA isolation and methylation genome-wide data generation**

98 INMA placentas were stored at -80°C at the IUSC Biobank of the San Cecilio University Hospital
99 (Granada). Later, half of each sample was homogenized for exposure assessment as described
100 previously, and the other half was partially defrosted and biopsies of 5 cm³ from the inner region of
101 the placenta were conducted, approximately at a distance of 1.0–1.5 cm below the fetal membranes,
102 which were previously removed, and at a distance of ~5 cm from the site of cord insertion, in order
103 to obtain biopsies from the placental villous parenchyma as homogeneous as possible across
104 samples. Twenty five mg of tissue were used for DNA extraction, previously rinsed twice during 5
105 minutes in 0.8 mL of 0.5X PBS in order to remove traces of maternal blood. Genomic DNA from
106 placenta was isolated using the DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA) in narrow time
107 windows and by the same person, in order to minimize technical and operator variations.

108 DNA quality was evaluated using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham,
109 MA, USA) and additionally 100 ng of DNA were run on 1.3% agarose gels to confirm that samples
110 did not present visual signs of degradation (smears or bands below 10,000 bp). Isolated genomic
111 DNA was stored at -20°C until further processing.

112 Genome-wide DNA methylation was measured in 202 placenta samples (including ten duplicates)
113 using the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip, a panel which roughly spans
114 486,000 CpG sites in the human genome. Samples were plated on each chip, experimentally
115 randomized with regard to sex distribution and processed blind to sample identification at the
116 Genome Analysis Facility of the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) in Holland,
117 where 500 ng of good quality DNA was used to perform bisulfite conversion followed by
118 methylation profiling following Illumina's protocol.

119 BeadChips were scanned with an Illumina iScan and image data was uploaded into the Methylation
120 Module of Illumina's analysis software GenomeStudio (Illumina, San Diego, CA USA), and
121 converted in β -values, that range from 0 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated) and represent the
122 fraction of methylation at a given CpG locus.

123

124 **Methylation data quality control and normalization**

125 Methylation data quality control (QC) was performed in several steps to exclude low quality
126 samples and probes.

127 First, using the Genome Studio software, we removed samples that did not reach a call rate of 95%
128 at a p-value below 0.05. Then, following Illumina recommendations we verified the intensities of
129 several control probes provided by Illumina in order to: i) assess the quality of the experiment
130 (sample-dependent controls) and ii) identify problems in specific experimental steps (sample-
131 independent controls). If a given sample failed in 3 or more Illumina controls, it was excluded from
132 further analyses. Altogether, 2 samples were excluded that did not meet these two criteria.

133 Ten biological duplicates, distributed either in the same or in different bisulfite plates and
134 BeadChips, were used to estimate the discrimination threshold of the Infinium450K Array using
135 the total deviation index (TDI) [49], which was 0.059 in duplicates from different bisulfite and
136 hybridation arrays, 0.057 in duplicates from the same bisulfite but different hybridation arrays, and
137 0.066 in duplicates both from the same bisulfite and hybridation array. Additionally, the 450K
138 BeadChip features 65 control probes which assay highly-polymorphic single nucleotide
139 polymorphisms (SNPs). Consistent results were observed when we performed pairwise correlations
140 of these genotypes in our duplicates (See Supplementary Figure 1). After these steps, one of the
141 biological duplicate samples (randomly selected) as well as the 65 SNP probes were removed from
142 the dataset.

143 Methylation patterns in chromosome X probes were used to cluster subjects according to sex by
144 principal component analysis, and 8 mismatched samples were detected in relation to the
145 information on sex contained in our database. These samples were also excluded from further
146 analyses.

147 An additional QC step was performed in R environment using the WaterMelon package [50], and 1
148 sample presenting more than 1% of sites with a detection p-value greater than 0.05 was excluded, in
149 addition to 1,859 probes, either because they occurred in more than 1% of samples with a p-value
150 greater than 0.05 or because they presented a bead count below 3 in more than >5% of samples.

151 Finally, probes that ambiguously mapped to the human genome with at least 47 base pairs or more
152 (N=29.233) were excluded, and probes containing a SNP with a minor allele frequency (MAF) in
153 HapMap European population (CEU) > 4%, either at the extension site or in the 10 nucleotides
154 immediately before (N=14.122), as suggested by Chen et al. [51]. Probes corresponding to CpG
155 sites located in chromosomes X and Y were also excluded (n=8.537).

156 A total of 433.131 CpG sites on autosomes were tested with regard to TEXB-alpha exposure in the
157 remaining 181 samples, representing 93 boys and 88 girls. Raw methylation beta values were then
158 normalized to reduce technical variability and four different normalization methods were compared
159 (dasen, BMIQ, quantile normalization and Swan) using three performance metrics as proposed by

160 Pidsley et al [52]. Dasen normalization was used for further analyses as it resulted the best ranked
161 method in our data (Additional information in Supplemental Material and Methods).

162 **Epigenome-Wide Association Study**

163 A robust linear regression model was employed using MASS (R package), to test the association
164 between doubling of TEXB-alpha concentration and methylation at each CpG site in boys and in
165 girls separately. Analyses were adjusted for area of study and two technical factors: chip and
166 bisulfite plate. Covariates included in the adjusted model were selected by testing the difference of
167 the correlation of p values before and after correction using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Since
168 crude and adjusted robust regression models produced very similar results, only adjusted models are
169 presented. The False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction for multiple testing was calculated with the
170 Benjamini and Hochberg (B&H) method.

171 **CpG sites annotation**

172 In order to obtain information on the top differentially methylated CpG sites in our study the
173 University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser interface was used, which in
174 addition contains ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) detailed information on regulatory
175 elements, including chromatin accessibility and epigenetic marks across the genome both in DNA
176 and in histones [53, 54]. The human gene database GeneCards (<http://www.genecards.org/>)[55]
177 was used to obtain information on the genomic location and gene (or nearest gene) function and
178 reported disease associations, while toxicological interactions of these genes with chemical
179 compounds were explored with the Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (www.ctdbase.org)
180 [56].

181 **Validation and replication by pyrosequencing**

182 Two CpGs were selected for further DNA methylation validation in the same samples as in the
183 discovery study, and replication was conducted in 126 independent placenta samples (boys only)
184 from the INMA cohort. For that purpose, bisulfite pyrosequencing, a highly quantitative PCR-
185 based analysis was used. A total of 500 ng of extracted DNA was bisulfite converted using the EZ
186 DNA Methylation-Gold™ Kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA), and 1 µl of converted DNA was
187 further PCR amplified and sequenced. Additional information on primer design and PCR assay
188 conditions can be found in Supplementary Material and Methods, Table 1. Samples were run in
189 duplicate on a PyroMark Q96 ID pyrosequencing system (Qiagen) and the pairwise correlation
190 between technical duplicates was 0.94 for cg05342136 and 0.80 for cg15809858 (See Supplementary,
191 Figure 2).

192 The association between doubling the concentration of TEXB-alpha and changes in DNA
193 methylation was tested using adjusted linear mixed regression models including repeated
194 measurements (pyrosequencing duplicates) as random intercept.

195 **Results**

196 **Study population characteristics**

197 Overall, our discovery study population did not differ from the rest of INMA cohort participants
198 for the main pregnancy related and socio-demographic characteristics. Women in the discovery
199 study were on average 32 years old, with a pre-pregnancy BMI of 23.45 kg/m². Only 3 children
200 were born preterm, which represented a slightly lower (non-significant) percentage than what we
201 observed in the rest of the INMA cohort participants and, concordantly, we saw less small for
202 gestational age (SGA) children in our discovery study group when compared to the rest of INMA
203 cohort (p-value<0.02) (Supplementary Material and Methods, Table 2). Additionally, no significant
204 differences were observed for any of the maternal and infant sociodemographic and pregnancy
205 related characteristics when comparing the discovery and replication populations used in our study,
206 as shown in Table 1.

207 TEXB-alpha exposure among the 181 participants in the discovery sample did not differ by
208 newborn sex (Kruskal-Wallis Test p-value<0.624), and was also similar between the discovery and
209 the replication samples (discovery: median=0.75, iqr=0.28 to 1.28 pM Eeq/g placenta and
210 replication: median=0.76, iqr: 0.40 to 1.41 pM Eeq/g placenta; Kruskal-Wallis Test p-value<0.438).

Table 1. Main characteristics and comparison between discovery and replication study mother-child pairs enrolled in the INMA Project from the four participating INMA cohorts*.

Variables	Discovery study sample (n=181)	Replication study sample (n=126)	<i>P-value</i>
	N (%), mean (SD) or Median (IQR)	N (%), mean (SD) or Median (IQR)	
<i>Maternal characteristics</i>			
Maternal age (years)	32 (3.97)	31.70 (4.04)	0.437
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	23.45 (4.10)	24.01(5.30)	0.705
Type of delivery			0.539
	Vaginal	80 (63.49)	
	Instrumental	28 (22.40)	
	Cesarean	17 (13.60)	
Parity			0.497
	Primiparous	69 (54.76)	
	Multiparous (2+)	57 (45.24)	
Smoking during pregnancy (yes)	49 (27.37)	31 (24.60)	0.777
Maternal educational level			0.131
	Below Secondary School	40 (31.75)	
	Secondary School	33 (26.19)	
	University Degree	53 (42.06)	
<i>Infant characteristics</i>			

Sex (male)	93 (51.38)	126 (100) ⁴	-
Gestational age (weeks)	39.80 (1.35)	39.85 (1.33)	<i>0.674</i>
Preterm (<37 weeks)	3 (1.67)	4 (3.17)	0.574
Birth weight	3299.07 (419.04)	3305.75 (447)	<i>0.396</i>
Small for gestational age (SGA) ¹	10 (5.65)	11 (8.73)	<i>0.385</i>
Large for gestational age (LGA) ²	14 (7.91)	12 (9.52)	<i>0.259</i>

* Asturias, Gipuzkoa, Sabadell and Valencia. ¹SGA: below the 10th percentile of birth weight, adjusted for sex and gestational age; ²LGA: above the 90th percentile of birth weight, adjusted for sex and gestational age. ⁴Only boys were included in the replication study.

1 **Association of TEXB-alpha and genome-wide DNA methylation in placenta**

2 No epigenome-wide significant associations were found either in boys or in girls between each
 3 doubling of TEXB-alpha (pM Eeq/g placenta) and methylation at CpG sites in placenta after
 4 correcting for multiple testing. Results for the 12 most significant CpG sites in boys (n=93) and in
 5 girls (n=88) are presented in Table 2. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots showing the observed versus
 6 expected $-\log_{10}$ (P-values) under the null hypothesis of no association are shown in Supplementary
 7 Figure 3. Among the top CpG sites differentially methylated in boys we found some genes
 8 previously related to growth and steroid hormone signaling, while none of these genes were
 9 observed in girls (See Supplementary Materials and Methods, Table 3 for additional information).

10 **Table 2.** Top CpG sites differentially methylated in placenta in relation to prenatal TEXB-alpha
 11 exposure, ranked by nominal p-value.

<i>CpG name</i>	<i>% Mean methylation (SD)</i>	<i>Regression β^{**} (% methylation)</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>FDR*</i>	<i>Chr</i>	<i>UCSC GeneName</i>
Boys (N=93)						
cg05342136	89.07 (1.41)	0.29	4.72 E-07	0.20	4	<i>LRPAP1</i>
cg08983490	6.63 (0.71)	-0.12	2.25 E-06	0.49	16	<i>HAGH</i>
cg00698124	9.73 (1.07)	0.21	7.84 E-06	0.70	18	<i>SETBP1</i>
cg23261491	11.52 (1.10)	0.19	7.88 E-06	0.70	12	<i>OSBPL8</i>
cg15809858	10.55 (1.29)	0.23	8.87 E-06	0.70	5	<i>PPARGC1B</i>
cg14218861	29.81 (4.91)	-0.77	9.73 E-06	0.70	10	
cg16172549	61.33 (7.01)	-1.25	1.52 E-05	0.72	1	<i>PCP4L1</i>
cg10447095	55.83 (8.40)	-1.55	1.75 E-05	0.72	16	
cg19584136	87.49 (2.38)	-0.40	1.82 E-05	0.72	10	<i>MXI1</i>
cg00836964	91.08 (1.17)	0.22	2.22 E-05	0.72	5	
cg00957580	84.65 (2.30)	0.37	2.27 E-05	0.72	14	<i>NDRG2</i>
cg23903244	55.29 (2.82)	0.48	2.61 E-05	0.72	11	<i>KCNQ1</i>
Girls(N=88)						
cg21877656	4.88 (0.45)	0.08	1.66 E-06	0.54	19	<i>ZNF329</i>
cg19743820	14.26 (3.82)	-0.87	3.15 E-06	0.54	5	<i>COX7C</i>
cg21690627	6.19 (0.56)	-0.13	3.73 E-06	0.54	17	<i>C17orf59</i>
cg04919579	7.60 (0.89)	-0.19	9.85 E-06	0.88	3	<i>RNF168</i>
cg23313650	12.04 (1.62)	-0.28	1.24 E-05	0.88	7	<i>WASL</i>
cg01648887	94.32 (0.84)	-0.16	2.19 E-05	0.88	16	<i>SPG7</i>
cg11804334	5.31 (0.48)	-0.10	2.96 E-05	0.88	11	<i>CCDC34</i>
cg25248213	88.40 (1.55)	0.30	3.14 E-05	0.88	11	
cg01374565	8.97 (0.95)	-0.20	3.15 E-05	0.88	11	<i>GDPD5</i>
cg16705665	8.02 (0.70)	-0.13	3.35 E-05	0.88	11	<i>RCOR2</i>
cg27489994	8.48 (1.57)	0.31	3.36 E-05	0.88	13	<i>TPT1</i>
cg10424681	83.85 (2.98)	-0.62	3.98 E-05	0.88	6	<i>C6orf201</i>

12 *FDR: False Discovery Rate; all models were adjusted for area of study, bead chip and bisulfite plate.
 13 **Estimates per doubling TEXB-alpha concentration.

14
 15 **Validation and replication of selected top CpGs in boys**

16 Two CpGs differentially methylated in relation to TEXB-alpha in boys were selected for further
 17 validation by pyrosequencing in the same samples (n=92) and replication was conducted in
 18 additional 126 placenta samples from male deliveries.

19 The first CpG, the top differentially methylated cg05342136 in boys, is located in the exon 1 of the
 20 low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein associated protein 1 (*LRPAP1*), a lipid-metabolism
 21 gene highly expressed in placenta that in turn interacts with TGFb1, an angiogenic factor mediating
 22 successful placentation and fetal growth via regulation of trophoblast invasion, cell differentiation,
 23 immunosuppression and apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells, which has also been associated with
 24 susceptibility to degenerative dementia [57-60]. The second selected CpG site, cg15809858, is
 25 located in the first intron of Homo Sapiens peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma,
 26 coactivator 1 beta (*PPARGC1B*), a gene expressed in human placenta that stimulates the activity of
 27 several transcription factors and nuclear receptors, including estrogen receptor alpha, nuclear
 28 respiratory factor 1, and glucocorticoid receptor, shown to be down regulated in pre-diabetic and
 29 type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and previously related to an increased risk of developing obesity
 30 [61-63].

31 Results from the discovery EWAS could not be neither validated nor replicated for the two CpG
 32 sites analyzed (Table 3), although a trend for technical validation (i.e. we were able to confirm the
 33 magnitude and the direction of the effect) was observed for cg05342136 ($\beta=0.29$ in the discovery *vs*
 34 $\beta=0.25$ in the validation study). Scatter plots showing the correlation between DNA methylation
 35 values measured using the Illumina 450K array platform and by bisulfite pyrosequencing in the
 36 same samples (discovery) are shown for cg05342136 and cg15809858 in Supplementary Material
 37 and Methods, Figure 4.

38 **Table 3.** Technical validation and biological replication in boys of placenta DNA methylation in
 39 selected CpG sites in relation to prenatal TEXB-alpha exposure.

CpG name	UCSC Gene name	% Mean methylation (SD)	Technical validation (discovery samples)				Biological replication (independent samples)			
			n	β^{**}	95 % CI	P-value	n	β^{**}	95 % CI	P-value
cg05342136	<i>LRPAP1</i>	89.77 (2.6)	92	0.25	-0.23 to 0.76	0.299	125	-0.07	-0.28 to 0.15	0.546
cg15809858	<i>PPARGC1B</i>	1.87 (1.52)	92	0.06	-0.08 to 0.19	0.405	126	0.01	-0.04 to 0.06	0.652

40 *Adjusted for area of study, gestational age, maternal age during pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy and
 41 bisulfite plate. Pyrosequencing duplicate was included as a random intercept. **Estimates per doubling
 42 TEXB-alpha concentration.

43

44 Discussion

45 This is the first genome-wide study analyzing site-specific DNA methylation changes in placenta
46 tissue in relation to a biomarker of exposure to mixtures of environmental estrogens. At the
47 interface between mother and child, the human placenta is an organ involved in the regulation of
48 fetal growth and development and represents a gateway for substances, including xenoestrogens, to
49 enter fetal circulation [64, 65].

50 In the INMA cohort we previously reported sex-specific associations between the TEXB-alpha
51 biomarker measured in placenta and birth weight changes in boys only [48], along with lower
52 *AluYb8* retrotransposon methylation in placental DNA in the same group, used as a surrogate to
53 study global genomic DNA methylation [45]. In order to gain further mechanistic insight into these
54 associations, we have performed an EWAS study in placenta, stratifying data by sex, to identify
55 differentially methylated genes as a result of prenatal exposure to xenoestrogens. No genome-wide
56 significant associations were found between each doubling of TEXB-alpha and DNA methylation
57 after correcting for multiple testing in either group. However, among the top significant CpG sites
58 differentially methylated in relation to each doubling of TEXB-alpha in boys, several were located
59 in genes that have been related to birth weight regulation, type 2 diabetes and obesity risk or steroid
60 hormone metabolism, and are known to be expressed in human placenta tissue. The top significant
61 one, cg05342136, is located in the first exon of *LRPAP1*, a gene involved in cholesterol
62 metabolism, the primary metabolite of steroid hormone synthesis [66]. We also found an increase in
63 DNA methylation in cg15809858, located in exon 1 of *PPARGC1B*. The protein encoded by this
64 ubiquitously expressed gene stimulates the activity of several transcription factors and nuclear
65 receptors, including estrogen receptor alpha (*ERα*), and may be involved in fat oxidation, non-
66 oxidative glucose metabolism, and the regulation of energy expenditure. This protein is down-
67 expressed in pre-diabetic and type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and certain allelic variations in this
68 gene increase the risk of the development of obesity, type 2 diabetes and breast cancer [61, 67].
69 Moreover, it has been shown to be downregulated by the hormonally active compound
70 benzo(a)pyrene in mice [68]. Other suggestive genes appeared among the top hits in boys, such as
71 *HAGH*, encoding for an hydroxylase enzyme involved in the pyruvate metabolism, or *KCNQ1*, a
72 paternally imprinted gene that although relatively low expressed in placenta, contains genetic
73 polymorphisms related to birth weight and type 2 diabetes [69] and is located within a cluster of
74 imprinted genes in the chromosomal region 11p15.5, that includes *KCNQ1DN*, *H19*, *IGF2* and
75 *KCNQ1OT1* among others, previously associated with fetal and placental growth [28]. Mutations
76 and epimutations in these genes have been associated to the Beckwith-Wiedemann Syndrome
77 (BWS), an overgrowth imprinting disorder that causes large body size and large organs in addition
78 to other clinical manifestations present from birth [28, 70, 71].

79 Overall, although statistically non-significant, these findings seem to go in line with our previous
80 results, showing that higher levels of xenoestrogens (TEXB-alpha) measured in placenta were
81 associated with higher birth weight in boys (on average 148 grams when comparing high versus low
82 exposed children), while no effects were found in girls [48]. However, we could not validate neither
83 replicate our initial findings for two selected CpGs, and only for cg05342136, technical verification
84 showed a coefficient (β value) of the same magnitude and direction as in the discovery study. In our
85 data, we observe a poor reproducibility between DNA methylation values measured using the 450K
86 Illumina array platform and by bisulfite pyrosequencing in the same samples, especially when CpGs
87 are hypomethylated (as for cg15809858). Whether this lack of technical replication and biological
88 validation of the results in our study reflects differences in the reproducibility, specificity and/or
89 measurement sensitivity across different platforms used to measure DNA methylation (i.e.
90 hybridization array *vs* bisulfite pyrosequencing), as previously demonstrated for miRNA quantitative
91 expression data [72], a lack of statistical power to reach statistical significance (especially when the
92 magnitude of changes might be small), or truly negative findings remains to be addressed with
93 additional larger studies.

94 Lack of statistical power is a problem when analyzing *-omics* data, and we are likely underpowered in
95 our study, where stratified analyses were conducted. Moreover, by using an array based approach
96 such as the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip, covering with probes roughly a
97 2% of the ~28 million CpG sites described in the human genome [73], we might have missed
98 potentially important genomic regions in our study.

99 Our study has two main methodological strengths: first, exposure to mixtures of xenoestrogens was
100 measured in placenta tissue using a biomarker, and second DNA methylation changes were
101 analyzed in the same tissue, which is relevant considering the tissue specificity of epigenetic marks,
102 the role of this organ during prenatal development and its sensitivity to the effects of hormones
103 [74].

104 The magnitude of the differences in DNA methylation that we observed was small, although
105 similar to what has been previously shown in other EWAS in relation to prenatal exposure to other
106 environmental chemicals, including potential xenoestrogens like cadmium [75]. To some extent, our
107 results may have been confounded by cell type mixtures in placenta samples, or by the possible
108 maternal cell contamination, which in both cases could have led to a possible underestimation of
109 the effects, while we do not know whether the observed changes in DNA methylation have
110 functional effects on gene expression, since RNA was degraded due to placenta collection
111 conditions in our cohort. Only one biopsy for DNA extraction was conducted per sample, which
112 could have introduced additional noise due to regional variations in DNA methylation, although
113 some authors have suggested that this is not a major source of DNA methylation variation in
114 human placenta [76]. Finally, some uncertainty exists on whether the TEXB-alpha biomarker, based

115 on a lipophilic extraction of compounds (excluding endogenous steroid hormones and more polar
116 xenoestrogens) followed by a quantification of MCF7 cell proliferation assay, is exclusively a
117 biomarker of xenoestrogenicity, or also a biomarker of other lipophilic compounds present in the
118 placenta that activate growth, and that might not necessarily (or uniquely) act through binding or
119 interacting with the estrogen receptor.

120 **Conclusion**

121 We conducted a genome-wide methylation study in placental tissue in relation to prenatal exposure
122 to mixtures of xenoestrogens using the TEXB-alpha biomarker, and although we identified some
123 suggesting genes differentially methylated in boys, we were not able to validate neither replicate our
124 initial findings by pyrosequencing. Future studies are warranted to confirm the observed
125 associations and their potential to mediate the effect of prenatal exposure to mixtures of endocrine
126 disruptors on the offspring's health.

127

129 **Bibliography**

130

- 131 1. Bulzomi P, Marino M. Environmental endocrine disruptors: does a sex-related
132 susceptibility exist? *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* 16 2478-2498 (2011).
- 133 2. Alavanja MC, Samanic C, Dosemeci M *et al.* Use of agricultural pesticides and prostate
134 cancer risk in the Agricultural Health Study cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* 157(9), 800-814
135 (2003).
- 136 3. Crinnion WJ. Toxic effects of the easily avoidable phthalates and parabens. *Alternative
137 medicine review : a journal of clinical therapeutic* 15(3), 190-196 (2010).
- 138 4. Govarts E, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Schoeters G *et al.* Birth Weight and Prenatal Exposure
139 to Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE): A
140 Meta-analysis within 12 European Birth Cohorts. *Environmental health perspectives*
141 120(2), 162-170 (2012).
- 142 5. Toppari J, Larsen JC, Christiansen P *et al.* Male reproductive health and environmental
143 xenoestrogens. *Environmental health perspectives* 104 Suppl 4 741-803 (1996).
- 144 6. Torres-Sanchez L, Rothenberg SJ, Schnaas L *et al.* In utero p,p'-DDE exposure and
145 infant neurodevelopment: a perinatal cohort in Mexico. *Environmental health
146 perspectives* 115(3), 435-439 (2007).
- 147 7. Valvi D, Mendez MA, Garcia-Esteban R *et al.* Prenatal exposure to persistent organic
148 pollutants and rapid weight gain and overweight in infancy. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*
149 22(2), 488-496 (2013).
- 150 8. Valvi D, Mendez MA, Martinez D *et al.* Prenatal Concentrations of Polychlorinated
151 Biphenyls, DDE, and DDT and Overweight in Children: A Prospective Birth Cohort
152 Study. *Environmental health perspectives* 120(3), 451-457 (2012).
- 153 9. Nadal A, Alonso-Magdalena P, Soriano S, Quesada I, Ropero AB. The pancreatic beta-
154 cell as a target of estrogens and xenoestrogens: Implications for blood glucose
155 homeostasis and diabetes. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology* 304(1-2), 63-68
156 (2009).
- 157 10. Reed CE, Fenton SE. Exposure to diethylstilbestrol during sensitive life stages: a legacy
158 of heritable health effects. *Birth defects research. Part C, Embryo today : reviews* 99(2),
159 134-146 (2013).
- 160 11. Philippat C, Mortamais M, Chevrier C *et al.* Exposure to phthalates and phenols during
161 pregnancy and offspring size at birth. *Environmental health perspectives* 120(3), 464-
162 470 (2012).
- 163 12. Vafeiadi M, Agramunt S, Papadopoulou E *et al.* In utero exposure to dioxins and dioxin-
164 like compounds and anogenital distance in newborns and infants. *Environmental
165 health perspectives* 121(1), 125-130 (2013).
- 166 13. Llop S, Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Rebagliato M, Ballester F. Gender differences in the
167 neurotoxicity of metals in children. *Toxicology* 311(1-2), 3-12 (2013).
- 168 14. Rusiecki JA, Baccarelli A, Bollati V, Tarantini L, Moore LE, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC.
169 Global DNA hypomethylation is associated with high serum-persistent organic
170 pollutants in Greenlandic Inuit. *Environmental health perspectives* 116(11), 1547-1552
171 (2008).
- 172 15. Kippler M, Wagatsuma Y, Rahman A *et al.* Environmental exposure to arsenic and
173 cadmium during pregnancy and fetal size: a longitudinal study in rural Bangladesh.
174 *Reprod Toxicol* 34(4), 504-511 (2012).
- 175 16. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Buklijas T, Low FM, Beedle AS. Epigenetic mechanisms that
176 underpin metabolic and cardiovascular diseases. *Nature reviews. Endocrinology* 5(7),
177 401-408 (2009).

- 178 17. Zoeller RT, Brown TR, Doan LL *et al.* Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and public health
179 protection: a statement of principles from The Endocrine Society. *Endocrinology*
180 153(9), 4097-4110 (2012).
- 181 18. Schug TT, Janesick A, Blumberg B, Heindel JJ. Endocrine disrupting chemicals and
182 disease susceptibility. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology* 127(3-
183 5), 204-215 (2011).
- 184 19. Bromer JG, Wu J, Zhou Y, Taylor HS. Hypermethylation of homeobox A10 by in utero
185 diethylstilbestrol exposure: an epigenetic mechanism for altered developmental
186 programming. *Endocrinology* 150(7), 3376-3382 (2009).
- 187 20. Doherty LF, Bromer JG, Zhou Y, Aldad TS, Taylor HS. In utero exposure to
188 diethylstilbestrol (DES) or bisphenol-A (BPA) increases EZH2 expression in the
189 mammary gland: an epigenetic mechanism linking endocrine disruptors to breast
190 cancer. *Horm Cancer* 1(3), 146-155 (2010).
- 191 21. Guerrero-Bosagna CM, Sabat P, Valdovinos FS, Valladares LE, Clark SJ. Epigenetic and
192 phenotypic changes result from a continuous pre and post natal dietary exposure to
193 phytoestrogens in an experimental population of mice. *BMC physiology* 8 17 (2008).
- 194 22. Ho SM, Johnson A, Tarapore P, Janakiram V, Zhang X, Leung YK. Environmental
195 epigenetics and its implication on disease risk and health outcomes. *ILAR journal /*
196 *National Research Council, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources* 53(3-4), 289-305
197 (2012).
- 198 23. Stouder C, Paoloni-Giacobino A. Specific transgenerational imprinting effects of the
199 endocrine disruptor methoxychlor on male gametes. *Reproduction* 141(2), 207-216
200 (2011).
- 201 24. Kang SC, Lee BM. DNA methylation of estrogen receptor alpha gene by phthalates.
202 *Journal of toxicology and environmental health. Part A* 68(23-24), 1995-2003 (2005).
- 203 25. Kile ML, Baccarelli A, Hoffman E *et al.* Prenatal arsenic exposure and DNA methylation
204 in maternal and umbilical cord blood leukocytes. *Environmental health perspectives*
205 120(7), 1061-1066 (2012).
- 206 26. Kim KY, Kim DS, Lee SK *et al.* Association of low-dose exposure to persistent organic
207 pollutants with global DNA hypomethylation in healthy Koreans. *Environmental health*
208 *perspectives* 118(3), 370-374 (2010).
- 209 27. Morales E, Bustamante M, Vilahur N *et al.* DNA hypomethylation at ALOX12 is
210 associated with persistent wheezing in childhood. *American journal of respiratory and*
211 *critical care medicine* 185(9), 937-943 (2012).
- 212 28. Larocca J, Binder AM, Mcelrath TF, Michels KB. The impact of first trimester phthalate
213 and phenol exposure on IGF2/H19 genomic imprinting and birth outcomes.
214 *Environmental research* doi:10.1016/j.envres.2014.04.032 (2014).
- 215 29. Nelissen EC, Van Montfoort AP, Dumoulin JC, Evers JL. Epigenetics and the placenta.
216 *Human reproduction update* 17(3), 397-417 (2011).
- 217 30. Davey JC, Bodwell JE, Gosse JA, Hamilton JW. Arsenic as an endocrine disruptor:
218 effects of arsenic on estrogen receptor-mediated gene expression in vivo and in cell
219 culture. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 98(1), 75-
220 86 (2007).
- 221 31. Watson WH, Yager JD. Arsenic: extension of its endocrine disruption potential to
222 interference with estrogen receptor-mediated signaling. *Toxicological sciences : an*
223 *official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 98(1), 1-4 (2007).
- 224 32. Ali I, Penttinen-Damdimopoulou PE, Makela SI *et al.* Estrogen-like effects of cadmium
225 in vivo do not appear to be mediated via the classical estrogen receptor transcriptional
226 pathway. *Environmental health perspectives* 118(10), 1389-1394 (2010).
- 227 33. Johnson MD, Kenney N, Stoica A *et al.* Cadmium mimics the in vivo effects of estrogen
228 in the uterus and mammary gland. *Nature medicine* 9(8), 1081-1084 (2003).

- 229 34. Liu X, Zheng Y, Zhang W *et al.* Blood methylomics in response to arsenic exposure in a
230 low-exposed US population. *Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology*
231 24(2), 145-149 (2014).
- 232 35. Kippler M, Engstrom K, Mlakar SJ *et al.* Sex-specific effects of early life cadmium
233 exposure on DNA methylation and implications for birth weight. *Epigenetics : official*
234 *journal of the DNA Methylation Society* 8(5), 494-503 (2013).
- 235 36. Pilsner JR, Hall MN, Liu X *et al.* Influence of prenatal arsenic exposure and newborn sex
236 on global methylation of cord blood DNA. *PloS one* 7(5), e37147 (2012).
- 237 37. Broberg K, Ahmed S, Engström K *et al.* Arsenic exposure in early pregnancy alters
238 genome-wide DNA methylation in cord blood, particularly in boys. *Journal of*
239 *Developmental Origins of Health and Disease* doi:10.1017/S2040174414000221. 1-11
240 (2014).
- 241 38. Rajapakse N, Silva E, Kortenkamp A. Combining xenoestrogens at levels below
242 individual no-observed-effect concentrations dramatically enhances steroid hormone
243 action. *Environmental health perspectives* 110(9), 917-921 (2002).
- 244 39. Silva E, Rajapakse N, Kortenkamp A. Something from "nothing"--eight weak estrogenic
245 chemicals combined at concentrations below NOECs produce significant mixture
246 effects. *Environmental science & technology* 36(8), 1751-1756 (2002).
- 247 40. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Bourguignon JP, Giudice LC *et al.* Endocrine-disrupting
248 chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. *Endocrine reviews* 30(4), 293-342
249 (2009).
- 250 41. Soto AM, Sonnenschein C, Chung KL, Fernandez MF, Olea N, Serrano FO. The E-SCREEN
251 assay as a tool to identify estrogens: an update on estrogenic environmental
252 pollutants. *Environmental health perspectives* 103 Suppl 7 113-122 (1995).
- 253 42. Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Silva E, Granada A *et al.* Assessment of the total effective
254 xenoestrogen burden in extracts of human placentas. *Biomarkers : biochemical*
255 *indicators of exposure, response, and susceptibility to chemicals* 14(5), 271-277 (2009).
- 256 43. Vilahur N, Baccarelli AA, Bustamante M *et al.* Storage conditions and stability of global
257 DNA methylation in placental tissue. *Epigenomics* 5(3), 341-348 (2013).
- 258 44. Vilahur N, Fernandez MF, Bustamante M *et al.* In utero exposure to mixtures of
259 xenoestrogens and child neuropsychological development. *Environmental research*
260 134 98-104 (2014).
- 261 45. Vilahur N, Bustamante M, Byun HM *et al.* Prenatal exposure to mixtures of
262 xenoestrogens and repetitive element DNA methylation changes in human placenta.
263 *Environment international* 71 81-87 (2014).
- 264 46. Guxens M, Ballester F, Espada M *et al.* Cohort Profile: the INMA--INfancia y Medio
265 Ambiente--(Environment and Childhood) Project. *International journal of epidemiology*
266 41(4), 930-940 (2012).
- 267 47. Fernandez MF, Rivas A, Olea-Serrano F *et al.* Assessment of total effective
268 xenoestrogen burden in adipose tissue and identification of chemicals responsible for
269 the combined estrogenic effect. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry* 379(1), 163-170
270 (2004).
- 271 48. Vilahur N, Molina-Molina JM, Bustamante M *et al.* Male specific association between
272 xenoestrogen levels in placenta and birthweight. *Environment international* 51 174-
273 181 (2013).
- 274 49. Escaramis G, Ascaso C, Carrasco JL. The total deviation index estimated by tolerance
275 intervals to evaluate the concordance of measurement devices. *BMC medical research*
276 *methodology* 10 31 (2010).
- 277 50. Schalkwyk LC, Pidsley R, Cc W *et al.* waterMelon: Illumina 450 methylation array
278 normalization and metrics. R package version 1.4.0. . (2013).

- 279 51. Chen YA, Lemire M, Choufani S *et al.* Discovery of cross-reactive probes and
280 polymorphic CpGs in the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 microarray.
281 *Epigenetics : official journal of the DNA Methylation Society* 8(2), 203-209 (2013).
- 282 52. Pidsley R, Cc YW, Volta M, Lunnon K, Mill J, Schalkwyk LC. A data-driven approach to
283 preprocessing Illumina 450K methylation array data. *BMC genomics* 14 293 (2013).
- 284 53. Kellis M, Wold B, Snyder MP *et al.* Defining functional DNA elements in the human
285 genome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
286 *America* 111(17), 6131-6138 (2014).
- 287 54. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS *et al.* The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome*
288 *research* 12(6), 996-1006 (2002).
- 289 55. Rebhan M, Chalifa-Caspi V, Prilusky J, Lancet D. GeneCards: integrating information
290 about genes, proteins and diseases. *Trends in genetics : TIG* 13(4), 163 (1997).
- 291 56. Davis AP, King BL, Mockus S *et al.* The Comparative Toxicogenomics Database: update
292 2011. *Nucleic acids research* 39(Database issue), D1067-1072 (2011).
- 293 57. Aldahmesh MA, Khan AO, Alkuraya H *et al.* Mutations in LRPAP1 are associated with
294 severe myopia in humans. *American journal of human genetics* 93(2), 313-320 (2013).
- 295 58. Briana DD, Liosi S, Gourgiotis D *et al.* Fetal concentrations of the growth factors TGF-
296 alpha and TGF-beta1 in relation to normal and restricted fetal growth at term.
297 *Cytokine* 60(1), 157-161 (2012).
- 298 59. Pandey P, Pradhan S, Mittal B. LRP-associated protein gene (LRPAP1) and susceptibility
299 to degenerative dementia. *Genes, brain, and behavior* 7(8), 943-950 (2008).
- 300 60. Relf M, Lejeune S, Scott PA *et al.* Expression of the angiogenic factors vascular
301 endothelial cell growth factor, acidic and basic fibroblast growth factor, tumor growth
302 factor beta-1, platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor, placenta growth factor,
303 and pleiotrophin in human primary breast cancer and its relation to angiogenesis.
304 *Cancer research* 57(5), 963-969 (1997).
- 305 61. Andersen G, Wegner L, Yanagisawa K *et al.* Evidence of an association between genetic
306 variation of the coactivator PGC-1beta and obesity. *Journal of medical genetics* 42(5),
307 402-407 (2005).
- 308 62. Esterbauer H, Oberkofler H, Krempler F, Patsch W. Human peroxisome proliferator
309 activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 (PPARGC1) gene: cDNA sequence, genomic
310 organization, chromosomal localization, and tissue expression. *Genomics* 62(1), 98-102
311 (1999).
- 312 63. Patti ME, Butte AJ, Crunkhorn S *et al.* Coordinated reduction of genes of oxidative
313 metabolism in humans with insulin resistance and diabetes: Potential role of PGC1 and
314 NRF1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
315 100(14), 8466-8471 (2003).
- 316 64. Barr DB, Bishop A, Needham LL. Concentrations of xenobiotic chemicals in the
317 maternal-fetal unit. *Reprod Toxicol* 23(3), 260-266 (2007).
- 318 65. Leino O, Kiviranta H, Karjalainen AK *et al.* Pollutant concentrations in placenta. *Food*
319 *and chemical toxicology : an international journal published for the British Industrial*
320 *Biological Research Association* 54 59-69 (2013).
- 321 66. Dixit M, Choudhuri G, Keshri LJ, Mittal B. Association of low density lipoprotein
322 receptor related protein-associated protein (LRPAP1) gene insertion/deletion
323 polymorphism with gallstone disease. *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*
324 21(5), 847-849 (2006).
- 325 67. Wirtenberger M, Tchatchou S, Hemminki K *et al.* Associations of genetic variants in the
326 estrogen receptor coactivators PPARGC1A, PPARGC1B and EP300 with familial breast
327 cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 27(11), 2201-2208 (2006).
- 328 68. Kerley-Hamilton JS, Trask HW, Ridley CJ *et al.* Inherent and benzo[a]pyrene-induced
329 differential aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling greatly affects life span,
330 atherosclerosis, cardiac gene expression, and body and heart growth in mice.

331 *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 126(2), 391-404
332 (2012).

333 69. Horikoshi M, Yaghootkar H, Mook-Kanamori DO *et al.* New loci associated with birth
334 weight identify genetic links between intrauterine growth and adult height and
335 metabolism. *Nature genetics* 45(1), 76-82 (2013).

336 70. Weksberg R, Shuman C, Caluseriu O *et al.* Discordant KCNQ1OT1 imprinting in sets of
337 monozygotic twins discordant for Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Human molecular*
338 *genetics* 11(11), 1317-1325 (2002).

339 71. Pettenati MJ, Haines JL, Higgins RR, Wappner RS, Palmer CG, Weaver DD. Wiedemann-
340 Beckwith syndrome: presentation of clinical and cytogenetic data on 22 new cases and
341 review of the literature. *Human genetics* 74(2), 143-154 (1986).

342 72. Mestdagh P, Hartmann N, Baeriswyl L *et al.* Evaluation of quantitative miRNA
343 expression platforms in the microRNA quality control (miRQC) study. *Nature methods*
344 11(8), 809-815 (2014).

345 73. Mill J, Heijmans BT. From promises to practical strategies in epigenetic epidemiology.
346 *Nature reviews. Genetics* 14(8), 585-594 (2013).

347 74. Armstrong DA, Lesueur C, Conradt E, Lester BM, Marsit CJ. Global and gene-specific
348 DNA methylation across multiple tissues in early infancy: implications for children's
349 health research. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American*
350 *Societies for Experimental Biology* 28(5), 2088-2097 (2014).

351 75. Kippler M, Hossain MB, Lindh C *et al.* Early life low-level cadmium exposure is
352 positively associated with increased oxidative stress. *Environmental research* 112 164-
353 170 (2012).

354 76. Avila L, Yuen RK, Diego-Alvarez D, Penaherrera MS, Jiang R, Robinson WP. Evaluating
355 DNA methylation and gene expression variability in the human term placenta. *Placenta*
356 31(12), 1070-1077 (2010).

357

358