

A Proof of Theorem 1

Because P is a bistochastic matrix, and we know $P^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbb{1}$, we can lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq P(w)^T P^* = \sum_i w_i \frac{P_i^T}{\|P_i\|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i \frac{w_i}{\|P_i\|} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $C(S) \leq C_{max}^k$. Now given $\lambda \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{C_{max}^k \min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n}}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \max_w P(w)^T P^* - \lambda C(S) &\geq \max_w P(w)^T P^* - \frac{1-\kappa}{\min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n}} \\ &\geq \max_w P(w)^T P^* \left(1 - \frac{1-\kappa}{\min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{P(w)^T P^*} \right) \\ &\geq \max_w P(w)^T P^* \left(1 - \frac{1-\kappa}{\min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n}} \min \|P_i\| \sqrt{n} \right) \\ &\geq \kappa \max_w P(w)^T P^*. \end{aligned}$$

B Auxiliary Lemmas

First, we make a few statements related to initialization of the process. Lemma 3.4 from [6] directly applies to this problem, and thus $\delta_k \in [0, 1] \forall k$.

Lemma 4. $\langle Pw_1, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{1} \rangle \geq \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{n} \sum_i \|P_i\|}$

Proof follows equivalently to Lemma 3.1 from [6], with added caveat that our choice of weights is within κ of maximum value.

Lemma 5. *The cost aware geodesic alignment $\langle a_t, a_{t,v_k} \rangle$ satisfies*

$$\langle a_k, a_{k,v_k} \rangle \geq \kappa \tau \sqrt{J_t} \vee f(t),$$

for

$$f(x) = \kappa \frac{\sqrt{1-x} \sqrt{1-\beta^2 \epsilon} + \sqrt{x} \beta}{\sqrt{1 - (\sqrt{x} \sqrt{1-\beta^2 \epsilon} - \sqrt{1-x} \beta)^2}}$$

and

$$\beta = 0 \wedge \min \langle \ell_n, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{1} \rangle \text{ s.t. } \langle \ell_n, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{1} \rangle > -1.$$

Proof. The lemma is equivalent to proving Lemma 3.6 in [6] with one caveat. Here our choice of node is v_k , which comes from choosing the cheapest cost node location from the set $S = \{v \in V | \langle a_k, a_{kv} \rangle \geq \kappa \langle a_k, a_{kv_k} \rangle\}$. Because of this, we can recover all results from $\langle a_k, a_{kv_k} \rangle$ with only a constant κ in front, as our choice satisfies $\langle a_k, a_{kv_k} \rangle \geq \kappa \langle a_k, a_{kv_k} \rangle$. \square

We apply Lemma 5 to prove the following Theorem that is needed, and mirrors the results from [6].

Theorem 6. *Assume a cost of sensor placement $C(v) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a slack parameter κ . If we choose the set of points W and weights a_w using Algorithm 1 such that $|W| = K$, then*

$$\|Pw - \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}\| \leq \frac{\eta v_K}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $v_K = O((1 - \kappa^2 \epsilon^2)^{K/2})$ for some ϵ and $\eta = \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2 \max_{i \in V} \left\langle \frac{P_i}{\|P_i\|}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{1} \right\rangle^2}$.

Proof. We mimic the results from [6], incorporating the additional cost parameter. We denote $J_k := 1 - \langle \frac{Pw_k}{\|Pw_k\|}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1} \rangle$. If we substitute this into the formula for δ_t , we get

$$J_{k+1} = J_k(1 - \langle a_t, a_{kvk} \rangle^2).$$

Applying our bound from Lemma 5, we get

$$J_{k+1} \leq J_k(1 - \kappa^2 \tau^2 J_k).$$

By applying the standard induction argument used in [6], we get

$$J_k \leq B(k) := \frac{J_1}{1 + \kappa^2 \tau^2 (k-1)}.$$

Because $B(k)$ still goes to 0, and $f(B(k)) \rightarrow \kappa\epsilon$, there exists a k^* such that $f(B(k)) \geq \kappa\tau\sqrt{B(k)}$, and since f is monotonic decreasing, $f(J_t) > f(B(k))$. Using Lemma 5, we finish with

$$J_k \leq B(k \wedge k^*) \prod_{s=k^*+1}^k (1 - f^2(B(s)))$$

We note that $\frac{1}{n} J_k = \|\beta^* P(w) - P^*\|^2$, so this means

$$\|\beta^* Pw - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\| \leq \frac{\eta C_K}{\sqrt{n}},$$

for constant C_K combining the denominator in $B(k)$ and the product of $\prod_{s=k^*+1}^k (1 - f^2(B(s)))$, and $\sqrt{J_1} = \eta$. Notice that $f(B(k)) \rightarrow \kappa\epsilon$ shows a rate of decay of $v = \sqrt{1 - \kappa^2 \epsilon^2}$. \square

C Proof of Theorem 2

We note that [27] proves multiple bounds on $\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} f(v) - \sum_{s \in S} w_s f(s) \right|$. The main bound in the paper comes from using the fact that they assume $\sum_s w_s = 1$, which allows them to break up the inner product $\|P \sum_s w_s \delta_s - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\|$ into its subsequent terms $(\|P \sum_s w_s \delta_s\|^2 - \frac{1}{n})^{1/2}$. We step away from this assumption and will instead work directly with the norm $\left\| P \sum_s w_s \delta_s - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \right\| = \|Pw - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\|$.

By the same logic as in [27], we know

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V} f(v) - \sum_{s \in S} w_s f(s) \right| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{P_\lambda}}{\lambda^\ell} \min_{\beta, w} \|\beta P^\ell w - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\|.$$

We can simply replace $\tilde{P} = P^\ell$ and inherit on \tilde{P} in Theorem 6, in particular that we still have $\sum_i \frac{1}{n} \tilde{P}_i = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}$. Thus, we can apply the guarantees of Algorithm 1 and Theorem 6 to bound $\|\beta P^\ell w - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\| \leq \frac{\eta v_K}{\sqrt{n}}$ and attain the desired result.