ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff



This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/130593/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Laikre, Linda, Hoban, Sean, Bruford, Michael W., Segelbacher, Gernot, Allendorf, Fred W., Gajardo, Gonzalo, Rodríguez, Antonio González, Hedrick, Philip W., Heuertz, Myriam, Hohenlohe, Paul A., Jaffé, Rodolfo, Johannesson, Kerstin, Liggins, Libby, MacDonald, Anna J., OrozcoterWengel, Pablo, Reusch, Thorsten B. H., Rodríguez-Correa, Hernando, Russo, Isa-Rita M., Ryman, Nils and Vernesi, Cristiano 2020. Post-2020 goals overlook genetic diversity. Science 367 (6482), 1083.2. 10.1126/science.abb2748

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2748

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Post-2020 goals overlook genetic diversity

In January, the secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) released the first draft of a post-2020 global biodiversity framework with goals and targets for biodiversity (1, 2). We are deeply concerned that the goal suggested for genetic diversity—the basic element for evolutionary processes and all biological diversity—is weak. Abundant scientific evidence recognizes the crucial role of intraspecific genetic diversity in ecosystem resilience, species survival, and adaptation, especially under increased threats of climate change, habitat loss, and diseases (3). The new goals should correct omissions in the previous strategy document.

The previous biodiversity strategy, CBD 2011-2020, includes Aichi Target 13 on genetic diversity, which focuses on "cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals" and their wild relatives. Indicators associated with Target 13 follow trends, number, and threat status of domestic animal breeds and crops (4). While the post-2020 CBD draft includes a much-needed goal to maintain genetic diversity it does not explicitly state that genetic diversity maintenance is crucial for all species, not just a few. Because no indicators to follow trends of genetic diversity of wild animals and plants are suggested in the draft, genetic diversity could continue to be considered only for domestic organisms, as it was under Target 13.

The newly proposed framework should incorporate several revisions before it is finalized. The post-2020 framework should explicitly commit to maintaining genetic diversity within all species and to implementing strategies to halt genetic erosion and preserve adaptive potential of populations of both wild and domesticated species. The framework should also define indicators of progress toward this goal (5). Such indicators could include collecting data on the number of species, populations, or metapopulations that are large enough to maintain genetic diversity as well as those that are not. A widely used measure in this context is the "genetically effective population size," which quantifies the rate at which a population loses genetic variation. When the effective size is measured as 500 "ideal individuals", the population is considered "genetically safe" (6, 7). We therefore suggest monitoring the number of populations above and below the genetically effective size of 500. The effective size is assessed from genetic or demographic data and is usually much lower - by about an order of magnitude - than the total number of mature individuals. Another indicator could be the number of species or populations in which genetic diversity is being monitored by national agencies or universities using DNA- markers. A third indicator could be measuring rates of loss of distinct populations within species.

It is encouraging that the CBD post-2020draft includes genetic diversity in one of five main goals. However, including explicit protection for genetic diversity in wild as well as domestic species, and strategies to measure the effectiveness of efforts toward that goal, will ensure that signatories prioritize this important aspect of biodiversity conservation.

Linda Laikre^{1,2,*}, Sean Hoban^{5,9}, Michael W. Bruford^{6,9}, Gernot Segelbacher^{5,9}, Fred W. Allendorf^{6,9}, Gonzalo Gajardo⁷, Antonio González Rodríguez^{6,8}, Philip W. Hedrick⁹, Myriam Heuertz^{10,11}, Paul A. Hohenlohe¹², Rodolfo Jaffé^{13,16,2}, Kerstin Johannesson¹⁵, Libby Liggins¹⁶, Anna J. MacDonald^{17,9}, Pablo Orozco-ter Wengel^{6,9}, Thorsten B. H. Reusch¹⁸, Hernando Rodríguez-Correa¹⁹, Isa-Rita M. Russo^{4,9}, Nils Ryman¹, Cristiano Vernesi^{20,2,21}

¹Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, SE 10691 Stockholm. 2Conservation Genetics Specialist Group, IUCN, 1196 Gland, Switzerland. ³Center for Tree Science, The Morton Arboretum, Lisle, IL 60532, USA. 4School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. 5Wildlife Ecology and Management, University Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. 6Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA. 7Universidad de Los Lagos, Lab Genetics, Aquaculture & Biodiversity, Osorno, Chile. 8Instituto de Investigaciones en Écosistemas y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico. 9School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA. 10INRAE, University of Bordeaux, BIOGECO, FR-33610 Cestas, France, ¹¹Faculté des Sciences, Évolution Biologique et Écologie, Université Libre de Bruxelles, BE-1050 Brussels, Belgium. 12Department of Biological Sciences, Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies. University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844-3051, USA. ¹³Instituto Tecnológico Vale, 66055–090 Belém PA, Brazil. 14Department of Ecology, University of São Paulo, 05508-090 São Paulo SP, Brazil. 15Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Tjärnö, Sweden. 16School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand. ¹⁷The John Curtin School of Medical Research/Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Acton, ACT 2601, Australia. ¹⁸GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany. 19Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores Unidad Morelia, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Morelia, Michoacán, México. ²⁰Department of Sustainable Agroecosystems and Bioresources, Research and Innovation Centre-Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele All'Adige, TN, Italy

²¹Genomic Biodiversity Knowledge for Resilient Ecosystems (G-BiKE) Action network of the European Cooperation in Science & Technology (COST CA18134) c/o Department of Sustainable Agroecosystems and Bioresources, Research and Innovation Centre–Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele All'Adige, TN, Italy. *Corresponding author. Email: linda.laikre@popgen.su.se

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1.CBD, "Zero draft of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework" (2020); www.cbd.int/doc/c/efb0/1f84/a892b98d2982a8299 62b6371/wg2020-02-03-en.pdf.

- 2. CBD. "Zero draft of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework. Appendix 1 (2020); https://www.cbd.int/doc/c/2c69/df5a/01ee87752c3 612d3ba7ec341/wg2020-02-03-add1-en.pdf
- The European Cooperation in Science & Technology (COST) Action Genomic Biodiversity Knowledge for Resilient Ecosystems (G-BiKE), "Genetic variation-key to adapting to environmental change" (2019); https://sites.google.com/fmach.it/g-bike-geneticseu/reports-publications?authuser=0.
- 4. D. P. Tittensor et al., Science 346, 241 (2014).
- M. E. Hunter, S. M. Hoban, M. W. Bruford, G. Segelbacher, L. Bernatchez, *Evol. Appl.* 11, 1029 (2018).
- F. W. Allendorf, N. Ryman, in *Population Viability Analyses*, S. R. Beissinger, D. R. McCullough (Eds.) (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2002).
- 7. I. G. Jamieson, F. W. Allendorf, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27, 578 (2012).

COMPETING INTERESTS

C.V. is Chair of the Conservation Genetics network G-BiKE funded by the European Cooperation in Science & Technology (COST) Action 18134.

1

10.1126/science.abb2748