CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA

PH III-71/22 28 April 1971

#### PHYSICS III COMMITTEE

CM-P00043192

PROPOSAL FOR A STUDY OF PARTICLE EMISSION

INDUCED IN THE ABSORPTION OF STOPPED  $\pi^-$  IN  $^{16}$ O

E. Boschitz, D. Engelhardt, E. Haase and H. Ullrich, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik der Universität und des Kernforschungszentrums Karlsruhe

F. Calligaris, C. Cernigoi and I. Gabrielli,
Istituto di Fisica dell'Università — Trieste,
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare — Sottosezione di Trieste

# INTRODUCTION

The absorption of pions in nuclei has received considerable experimental and theoretical interest for many years because of its potential for obtaining nuclear structure information \*).

Two kinds of experiments on pion absorption with particle emission have been carried out with  $\pi^-$  at rest and  $\pi^{\pm}$  in flight with energies below 200 MeV preferentially in light nuclei . Experiments with nuclear emulsion and with bubble chambers have yielded information about the emission of charged particles into a solid angle of  $4\pi$ , but obviously nothing can be learnt about the emission of neutrons . Counter experiments have produced information about neutrons as well as charged particles but are limited to certain geometries which prejudice the information to be gained. Most experiments of the latter kind have been concerned with the  $(\pi, 2N)$  process, the more recent ones being the  $(\pi, 2N)$ measurements of Favier et al.  $^{2}$  on a large number of nuclei, the  $^{6}$  Li  $(\pi$  , 2p) measurement of Burman and Nordberg $^3$ , the  $(\pi^-, 2n)$  experiments of Calligaris et al.  $^{4)}$ , Davis et al.  $^{5)}$  and Cheshire and Sobottka  $^{6)}$  and the  $(\pi^{-},2n)$  and  $(\pi, np)$  measurements of Nordberg et al. 7) on several nuclei. These measurements have yielded information about the excitation spectra of the residual nuclei (the best energy resolution has been E = 6 MeV), about the angular correlation between the emitted nucleon pairs, about the recoil momenta and the single

<sup>\*)</sup> A detailed review of this subject has been given recently by Koltun<sup>1)</sup>.

nucleon energy spectra. This information, however, has been of limited value: for lack of statistical accuracy the data have been presented as a function of one variable only, integrating over the others in a geometry-dependent way. When integrating possible existing structure may be smeared out and the results will be different for each individual set-up.

This could explain some apparent discrepancies in some data, like: a factor of 750 between the  $^{12}\text{C}(\pi^+,2\text{p})$  cross-section as measured in a counter experiment  $^2$  and in a bubble chamber  $^8$ , different excitation spectra in the same residual nuclei produced by  $(\pi^-,2\text{n})^{-6}$  and  $(\pi^+,2\text{p})$  reactions  $^2$ , and different single-nucleon spectra  $^9$  (observed in coincidence measurements) for the same nucleus. There is also little or no information about  $(\pi^-,\text{np})^{-7,9}$  and  $(\pi^+,\text{np})$  reactions and scanty information about angular correlation of nn pairs  $^7$ . The ratio W( $\pi^-,2\text{n}$ ) / W( $\pi^-,\text{np}$ ) is uncertain  $^9$ . Little is known about processes like  $(\pi^-,\text{nd})$  and  $(\pi^-,\text{nt})^{9,10}$ .

There are several theoretical approaches to describe the absorption of pions in nuclei but due to inherent conceptual difficulties the results from various calculations differ considerably.

The microscopic treatment <sup>12)</sup> of the pion absorption on two nucleons requires the knowledge of the following parts of the calculation: wavefunction of a pion in the nucleus, pion-nucleon interaction, rescattering, wave function of the nucleons including short-range behaviour, final-state interactions between the emitted nucleons and the interaction of these nucleons with the residual nucleus.

An alternate, semiphenomenological approach  $^{1)}$  avoids the introduction of a short-range correlation function and reduces the uncertainties of using the  $(\pi,N)$  Hamiltonian in such a complicated process. It assumes the capture to proceed through the elementary reaction  $\pi + 2N \rightleftharpoons N + N$  for which experimental information exists  $^{11)}$ .

Besides the absorption by a nucleon pair, the absorption by an  $\alpha$  cluster has been studied theoretically, but has not yet been tested in detail.

From a survey of the present experimental and theoretical situation we conclude that further experiments with greatly improved statistics, better energy resolution and reliable particle identification are certainly needed in order to provide better data and to stimulate further theoretical invest-

igations. Such experiments are feasible with the improved SC.

### PROPOSED EXPERIMENT

We propose to study the absorption of  $\pi^-$  at rest in  $^{16}$ D, i.e. to measure the  $(\pi^-, nx)$  reactions where x can be n, p, d or t.  $^{16}$ D was selected because a number of theoretical predictions on pion absorption exist for this nucleus.  $\pi^-$  at rest was chosen to avoid additional experimental and theoretical complications connected with the pions in flight.

The  $(\pi^-, nx)$  reactions will depend on 3 kinematical variables only, namely  $|K_1|$ ,  $|K_2|$  and 9.  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  are the momenta of the outgoing particles, and 9 their relative angle. We intend to study:

- 1) The excitation-energy spectrum of the  $(\pi^-,2n)$  reaction for various ranges of the recoil momentum  $\vec{p}_r = -(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2)$ . These spectra should give information about two-hole states in the residual nucleus e.g. their position, decay width, spreading and relative strength. They will be compared with some theoretical predictions 12,14, and with experimental data on other knock-out or direct particle-transfer reactions like (p,2p), (p,dp),  $(d,\alpha)$  etc.
- 2) The distribution of recoil momenta for relevant parts (peaks) in the excitation-energy spectrum. This is related to the momentum sum of the absorbing nucleon pairs before the absorption, and can be compared with theoretical predictions 15,16).
- 3) The energy sharing between the neutrons of a pair for fixed values of excitation energy. Theoretical predictions 16,17,18) indicate that the distribution of the energy shared is sensitive to the short-range correlations and to final-state interactions of the nucleon pair.
- 4) A repetition of 1) to 3) for the reaction  $(\pi^-, np)$ . In addition, the ratio  $W(\pi^-, 2n)/W(\pi^-, np)$  will be determined. The results will be compared with a series of theoretical calculations 12,17,18,19).
- 5) The energy spectra of deuterons and tritons in coincidence with neutrons, the nd- and nt-angular correlations and the ratio  $W(\pi^-, np)/W(\pi^-, nd)/W(\pi^-, nt)$ . These measurements are of particular interest in view of the mechanism of  $\pi$  absorption on a  $\alpha$  cluster and the existing theoretical calculations 13).

6) Information about single-nucleon emission  $(\pi^-, n)$ ,  $(\pi^-, p)$  would be obtained by studying the high-energy end of the non-coincident particle spectra.

# EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

For a complete kinematical determination of a two-particle-emitting process i.e. for the measurement of  $|K_1|$ ,  $|K_2|$  and 9 we put down the following specificiations:

- 1) determination of  $\sqrt{|K_1|}$  and  $|K_2|$  with 1% resolution.
- 2) determination of  $\theta$  within 2%.
- 3) solid angle subtended by the counters  $10^{-2}$  sr.
- 4) efficiency of the neutron counter about 10%.
- 5) reliable particle identification.

For practical reasons we shall perform the experiment in two parts. In the first set-up (Fig. 1) only neutron pairs will be detected. We intend to use the 70-MeV  $\pi^-$  beam of the CERN SC. The  $\pi^-$  beam, incident on the D<sub>2</sub>D target, will be monitored in conventional way by the counter telescope: C1, C2, C3, C4. A start signal for the TOF system is obtained from C3. In order to discriminate against neutrons which are produced in C3, a thin  $(5\times10^{-2} \text{ g/cm}^2)$  counter C5 will be placed in front of the target. When using a thick target an additional thin counter, C6, will be inserted in the target in order to define the depth of the interaction point within 1cm. The two neutron counters will have the total dimensions 120  $\times$  72  $\times$  8 cm  $^3$  each. The required momentum resolution of the neutrons will be achieved with a TOF system of 0.6 ns resolution and a flight path of 6m between the target and each neutron counter. To obtain this timing resolution the counters will be divided into subunits of the dimensions 120  $\times$  6  $\times$  2 cm  $^3$ , consisting of Pilot M plastic scintillator. Light from their ends is brought to fast bialkali photomultipliers via adiabatic light pipes. 8 subunits have one multiplier on each side. The localization of a neutron within one subunit is achieved by a time difference measurement between the two ends. To distinguish among different subunits inexpensive photomultipliersare coupled to individual subunits.

All counters will be connected with a Nuclear Data 50/50 system which includes a PDP 8/L and a magnetic tape unit. A  $D_2$ 0 target will be used for the measurements, thus providing a permanent calibration and test of the counters by the  $D(\pi, nn)$  reaction. The efficiency of the neutron counters will be measured at the Karlsruhe Isochronous Cyclotron.

The coincidence rate for the set-up of Fig. 1 will be about 1 per  $3 \times 10^6$  stopped pions.

For the study of  $(\pi^-, np)$ ,  $(\pi^-, nd)$  and  $(\pi^-, nt)$  reactions the set—up is shown in Fig. 2.

The neutrons will be detected by the two neutron counters described above, but placed on the same side with respect to the target. On the opposite side a range telescope will be used. It consists of 40 plastic scintillators (40 cm x 40 cm area), viewed by 40 inexpensive XP 1110 photomultipliers. The varying thickness of the scintillators is chosen in such a manner as to keep a constant  $\simeq$  2 MeV energy resolution in the range from 20 to 100 MeV protons. The first of these scintillators gives also the TOF of the particles. A system of 5 wire proportional counters  $^{10}$  in front of the range telescope yields  $\Delta E/\Delta x$  information. The charged particles will be identified by a combined information about range, a TOF and a  $\Delta E/\Delta x$ . A vacuum pipe (not shown in the figure) will be inserted between the target and this device in order to reduce energy losses in air.

To determine the ratio  $W(\pi^-,nn)/W(\pi^-,np)$  within a definite energy range the range telescope will be used to detect neutrons as well. The neutron energy will be measured by a TDF system. For this purpose all plastic scintillators are viewed by fast photomultipliers.

Fig.s 1 and 2 show the arrangements for  $\theta$  around  $180^{\circ}$ . By moving one counter we can measure the full angular distribution.

The experiment is intended to be done after the SC improvement. The approximate required number of shifts will be 100.

We ask for about 20 parasitic shifts at the 70-MeV channel, before the 50 shut-down, for preliminary testing of various components. This is essential for the design of the final system.

## REFERENCES

- 1) D.S. Koltun in Advances in Nuclear Physics 3, Plenum Press, N.Y.(1969).
- 2) J. Favier et al., Nuclear Physics, in press.
- 3) R.L. Burmann and M.E. Nordberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 229 (1968).
- 4) F. Calligaris et al., Nucl. Phys. <u>A126</u>, 2B9 (1969).
- 5) H. Davies et al., Nucl. Phys. 78, 673 (1966).
- 6) D.L. Cheshire and S.E. Sobottka, Nucl. Phys. A146, 129 (1970).
- 7) M.E. Nordberg et al., Phys. Rev. <u>165</u>, 1096 (1968).
- 8) E. Bellotti et al., to be published in Proc. Second Problem Symposium on Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk (1970).
- 9) F. Calligaris et al. in High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure, Plenum Press, N.Y. (1970).
- 10) K. Ziock et al., Phys. Letters 33B, 471 (1970).
- 11) K.A. Brueckner et al., Phys. Rev. 84, 258 (1951).
- 12) K.N. Chung et al., Preprint Institut f. Theoretische Physik, Universität Erlangen (1970).
- 13) V.M. Kolybasov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. <u>3</u>, 704 (1966).
- 14) T.I. Kopaleishvilli et al., Phys. Letters 22, 181 (1966).
- 15) B.K. Jain and B. Banerjee, Nuovo Cimento <u>69A</u>, 419 (1970).
- 16) Reed Guy et al., Nucl. Phys. <u>A112</u>, 689 (1968).
- 17) T.I. Kopaleishvilli, Nucl. Phys. <u>B1</u>, 335 (1967).
- 18) R.I. Jibuti and T.I. Kopaleishvilli, Nucl. Phys. <u>55</u>, 337 (1964).
- 19) J.M. Eisenberg et al., Nucl. Phys. <u>B3</u>, 47 (1967).



