the





abdus salam

international centre for theoretical physics



A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A GENERALIZATION OF THE LEVI PROBLEM

Alaoui Youssef

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization and
International Atomic Energy Agency
THE ABDUS SALAM INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A GENERALIZATION OF THE LEVI PROBLEM

Alaoui Youssef
Département de Mathématiques, Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire Hassan II,
Rabat, Morocco
and
The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

Abstract

We prove by means of a 5-dimensional counterexample that an open subset $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which is exhaustable by an increasing sequence $(X_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of q-complete open sets in \mathbb{C} is not necessarily q-complete.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE November 1998

1. Introduction

Let X be a Stein manifold and $D \subset X$ an open subset which is exhaustable by an increasing sequence $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \cdots \subset D_n \subset \cdots$ of q-complete domains in X. Does it follow that D itself is q-complete?

The answer to this problem is yes if q = 1 where the special case when $(D_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is a sequence of Stein domains in \mathbb{C}^n had been solved a long time ago by Behnke and Stein [2].

If X is arbitrary, the space D is not necessarily q-complete. Vajaitu [5], gave an example of such situation.

But it is unknown if an open subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which is the union of an increasing sequence of q-complete domains is itself q-complete [3].

In this paper, we give an example of an open subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}^5$ which is an increasing sequence $(D_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of 3-complete open subsets, but such that D is not 3-complete.

For this, we modify a counterexample of Fornaess [4] concerning a generalization of the Levi problem.

2. 5-dimensional counterexample to a generalization of the union problem

We consider pairwise disjoint closures discs

$$\Delta_n = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \frac{1}{n}| < r_n \}, n \ge 2.$$

There exist numbers $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that

$$\frac{-1}{2^n} < \varepsilon_n log(\frac{1}{2}|z - \frac{1}{n}|) < 0$$

in the set $\Delta - \Delta_n$, where $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

The function $h: \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{-\infty\}$ defined by, $h(z) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \varepsilon_n log(\frac{1}{2}|z - \frac{1}{n}|)$,

is subharmonic, and $h(z) > \frac{-1}{2}$ on $\Delta - \bigcup_{n>2} \Delta_n$.

Then, we modify the function h as follows:

Choose $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_n \geq 2$, such that $\frac{1}{k_n} < \varepsilon_n$, and put:

$$H(z) = \begin{cases} h(z) & on \ \Delta - \bigcup_{n \ge 2} \Delta_n \\ Max(h(z), \frac{1}{k_n} log(\frac{1}{2}|z - \frac{1}{n}|) - 1 & on \ \Delta_n \end{cases}$$

In [4] it is proved that J is subharmonic, and there exist pairwise disjoint discs $D_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \frac{1}{n}| < r'_n\}, n \geq 2$, relatively compact in Δ , such that H is bounded from below on $\Delta - \bigcup_{n \geq 2} D_n$, and in some neighborhood

of $\partial \Delta_n$, H = h while on D_n , we have $H(z) = \frac{1}{k_n} log(\frac{1}{2}|z - \frac{1}{n}|) - 1$.

Clearly the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^5$ defined by

$$D = \{(z, w) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\} : H(z) - \log|w| < 0\},\$$

satisfies the following conditions:

(i) If
$$(z, w) \in D$$
 and $z \in \Delta - \bigcup_{n>2} \Delta_n$, then $|w| > \frac{1}{2}$

(ii) If
$$z \in D_n$$
 then $(z, w) \in D$ if and only if $z \in D_n$ and $|(z - \frac{1}{n})||w|^{-k_n} < 2e^{k_n}$

Consider now the homeomorphic map Γ_n defined, on $\mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\})$, by $\Gamma_n(z, w) = ((z - \frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{(|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2)^{k_n}}, w)$; and put

$$B_n = \{(\eta, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = w_4 = 0\}) : |\eta| < 2e^{k_n}, (|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2) < l_n\}$$

We may choose, by (ii), $l_n > 0$ sufficiently small such that if $(\eta, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\})$ with $|\eta| < 2e^{k_n}, |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 < l_n$, then:

$$(z,w) = \Gamma_n^{-1}(\eta,w) = (\frac{1}{n} + \eta(|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2)^{k_n}, w) \in D_n \times (\mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\}) \cap D$$

Consider now the open set X in \mathbb{C}^5 defined by: $X = D \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 2} \Gamma_n^{-1}(B_n)$.

We claim that X is not cohomologically 3-complete.

Let f be the holomorphic function on X defined by

$$f(z, w) = z$$
, and let $X_0 = \{(z, w) \in X : f(z, w) = 0\}$. Then

$$X_0 \simeq \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^4 - \{ w_1 = w_2 = 0 \} : H(0) - \log|w| < 0 \}.$$

We first prove that $H^3(X_0, O) \mp 0$. Here O is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^4 .

We write
$$X_0 = Y_0 \cap Z_0$$
 with $Y_0 = \mathbb{C}^4 - \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\}$, and $Z_0 = \mathbb{C}^4 - \{w \in \mathbb{C}^4 : |w| \le e^{H(0)}\}$

From theorem 15, [1] page 254, We deduce that the restriction map:

$$H^r(\mathbb{C}^4, O) \longrightarrow H^r(Z_0, O)$$

is an isomorphism onto if r < dih(O) - 1. But since dih(O) = 4, and Z_0 is not a domain of holomorphy, it follows that $H^r(Z_0, O) = 0$ if r = 1, 2 and $H^3(Z_0, O) \mp 0$.

From the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of sheaves, we obtain the induced exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\longrightarrow H^3(Y_0 \cup Z_0, O) \longrightarrow H^3(Y_0, O) \oplus H^3(Z_0, 0) \longrightarrow H^3(X_0, O) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y_0 \cup Z_0 = \mathbb{C}^4 - \{|w| \le \exp(H(0)), w_1 = w_2 = 0\} = Y_0 \cup Z_0'$ with $Z_0' = \mathbb{C}^4 - \{|w_3|^2 + |w_4|^4 \le e^{2H(0)}\}$. Z_0' is clearly 2-complete, because the function $\phi(z) = |z|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 - e^{2H(0)}}$ is a strongly 2-convex exhaustion on Z'_0 .

From the exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$\to H^2(Y_0,O) \oplus H^2(Z_0',O) \to H^2(Y_0 \cap Z_0') \to H^3(Y_0 \cup Z_0') \to H^3(Y_0,O_0) \oplus H^3(Z_0',O_{Z_0'}) \to H^3(Y_0,O_0) \oplus H^3(Z_0',O_0) \to H^3(Z_0',O_0) \oplus H^3(Z_0',O_0) \oplus H^3(Z_0',O_0) \to H^3(Z_0',O_0) \oplus H^3(Z_0',O_0)$$

We deduce that: $H^2(Y_0 \cap Z_0', O) \simeq H^3(Y_0 \cup Z_0, O)$. But since $\psi(z) = Max(|z|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}, |z|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 - e^{2H(0)}})$ is a strongly 2-convex exhaustion function on:

 $Y_0 \cap Z_0' = \mathbb{C}^4 - (\{|w_3|^2 + |w_4|^2 \le e^{2H(0)}\} \cup \{w_1 = w_2 = 0\}),$

then $H^3(Y_0 \cup Z_0, O) = 0$. It follows, from the first exact sequence of cohomology, that $H^3(X_0, O_{X_0}) \mp 0$.

Consider now the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow O_X \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} O_X \longrightarrow O_X/fO_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

where (z, w) = z, and $\phi(g) = f_x g$ for any $g \in O_{X,x}, x \in X$. We get the induced exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$H^2(X_o, O_{X_o}) \longrightarrow H^3(X, O_X) \longrightarrow H^3(X, O_X) \longrightarrow H^3(X_o, O_{X_o}) \longrightarrow H^4(X, O_X)$$

If $H^p(X, O_X) = 0$ for $p \ge 3$, it follows from the exact sequence of cohomology groups that $H^3(X_o, O_{X_o}) = 0$ which is a contradiction. We conclude that X is not cohomologically 3-complete.

Theorem 1 -There exists a sequence of 3-complete open subsets $(X_j)_{j\geq 2}$ of $X \subset \mathbb{C}^5$ such that

$$X_2 \subset X_3 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j \geq 2} X_j = X$$

Proof

Define, for each $k \geq 2$,

$$X_k = X - \bigcup_{n \ge k} \{ (\frac{1}{n}, 0, 0) \} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

Denne, for each
$$k \geq 2$$
,
$$X_k = X - \bigcup_{n \geq k} \{(\frac{1}{n}, 0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$$
The subspaces X_k are strongly 3-complete. In fact, the function defined by:
$$|z|^2 + |w|^2 + exp(\frac{-1}{H(z) - log|w|}) + \frac{1}{1 - |z|} + \sum_{p < k} |z - \frac{1}{p}|(|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 + |w_4|^2)^{-k_p}$$

+ $\prod_{p < k} \frac{|z - \frac{1}{p}|^{k_p}}{|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2}$ is a strongly 3-convex exhaustion function on X_k . But X is not 3-complete.

References

- [1]. Andreotti, A., Grauert, H.: Theéorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 193-259
- [2]. Behnke, H; Stein, K.: Konvergente Folgen Von Regularitatsbereichen and die Meromorphiekonvexitat, Math Ann. 166, 204 216(1938)
- [3]. Coltoiu, M.: Q-convexity. A survey.
- [4]. Fornaess, J.E.: 2-Dimensional counterexamples to generalizations of the Levi problem. Math. Ann. 230, 169-173 (1977)
- [5]. Vajaitu, V.: An increasing sequence of q-complete domains whose limit is not q-complete. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 35 (3)(1995), 535-538.