EPS95 Ref. EPS-0400 Submitted to Pa-08 Pa-14 Pl-04 Pl-11

Measurement of the Λ_b polarisation in ^Z decays

The ALEPH Collaboration

Abstract

The Λ_b polarisation in hadronic Z decays is measured using the average energies of the charged lepton and the neutrino in Λ_b semileptonic decays. In a data sample of approximately 3 million hadronic ^Z decays collected by the ALEPH detector at LEP, 462 \pm 20 Λ_b candidates are selected using a ($\Lambda \pi^+$) lepton correlation technique. From this event sample, the Λ_b polarisation is measured to be:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} = -0.26^{+0.23}_{-0.20}(stat.)^{+0.13}_{-0.12}(sys.)
$$

Contribution to the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Brussels, Belgium, July 27 - August 2, 1995

1 Introduction

In the standard model of electroweak interaction, quarks are produced in Z decays with high longitudinal polarisation due to parity violation in the decay. At the Z peak, the quark mean longitudinal polarisation is given by:

$$
\mathcal{P}_q = \frac{-2v_q a_q}{v_q^2 + a_q^2} \tag{1}
$$

where vq and aquatively the respectively the vectorial and the axial coupling constants.

For $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$, the b-quark mean longitudinal polarisation is $\mathcal{F}_b = -0.936$ which is 6.5 times that α is α than the α polarization (P α). In the α and α α α absence of hard gluon emission, the initial b-quark polarisation is expected to be present at the time of hadron formation. However in the hadronisation process part or whole of the initial b -quark polarisation might be lost in the final hadron state due to spin-spin forces within the b-hadron.

In Hadronisation to b-mesons, the meson always cascade down to spin zero pseudoscalar states which do not retain any polarisation information [2, 3]. Hadronisation to b-baryons might preserve a large fraction of the initial b-quark polarisation in the lowest lying b-baryon state (b state) which carries entirely the b-quark spin and where the light quarks are arranged in a spin-0, isospin-0 singlet. Higher mass baryonic states $(\varSigma_b$ and \varSigma_b) are expected to lose most of their polarisation in their hadronic decays into a Λ_b ((Δ_b , Δ_b) \rightarrow $\Lambda_b\pi$), implying that the Λ_b het polarisation in the full b sample in \mathcal{S} decay is reduced. From the prediction of reference $[3,3]$, reference $[3,$ Λ_b polarisation is expected to be 72% of the initial 0-quark polarisation $\tilde{\ }$.

The measurement of the b polarisation sign will determine unambiguously the chirality of the b-quark coupling to the weak charged current [4]. Furthermore the measurement of the magnitude of the b polarisation will provide an indirect measurement of the fraction of b-baryon states where a b is produced directly.

The measurement of the b polarisation is based on approximately 3 million is hadronic Z decays recorded with the ALEPH detector from 1991 to 1994. The selection of hadronic events is based on charged tracks and has been described in reference [5].

2 The ALEPH detector

The ALEPH detector is described in detail in reference [6]. Only a sketch of its main components is presented here. Surrounding the beam pipe, two concentric layers of a double sided silicon vertex detector (VDET), positioned at average radii of 6.5 and 11.3 cm, cover respectively 85% and 69% of the solid angle. The intrinsic spatial resolution of the VDET is 12μ m for the $r\phi$ coordinate and between 11 and 22μ m for the z coordinate, depending on the polar angle of the track. The VDET

⁻this prediction relies on the assumption that $\Sigma_b, \, \Sigma_b$ mass spliting due to spin-spin interaction is greater than the width of their hadronic decay to Λ_b and that their proportion is 30% of the non-strange v-baryons. In the heavy quark limit $m_b \rightarrow \infty, \, \varSigma_b$ and \varSigma_b are degenerate and the initial v -quark polarisation should be completely transfered to the final Λ_b state.

is surrounded by two cylindrical drift chambers, the Inner Tracking Chamber (ITC) and the Time Projection Chamber (TPC), which measure charged tracks over the range $\cos\theta$ < 0.95, where θ is the polar angle. The ITC, at radii from 16 to 26 cm, provides up to 8 coordinates per track in the $r\phi$ view. The TPC measures up to 21 three-dimentional points per track at radii between 30 and 180 cm and provides up to 338 measurements of the specific ionization (dE/dx) of the track. The three detectors are immersed in an axial magnetic field of 1.5 Tesla and together provide a transverse momentum resolution of $\sigma_p/p=$ 6 \times 10 $^{-p}$ (p in GeV/c) at 45 GeV/c. The TPC is surrounded by an electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) with energy resolution of $\sigma_E = 0.18/\sqrt{E} + 0.009$ (E in GeV). It is made of lead and proportional chambers into 200 mrad and state to 15 mrad - 15 m sections in depth. Arround the coil of the superconducting solenoid, the hadron calorimeter (HCAL) with a thickness of over 7 interaction lengths, is composed of the iron return yoke of the magnet interleaved with 23 layers of streamer tubes. Two additional double layers of streamer tubes for muon identification surround the HCAL. Combining the measurements from the different detector components, an algorithm provides a determination of the visible energy in the detector with a precision of $\sigma_E = 0.59\sqrt{E} + 0.6$ (E in GeV).

$3 \Delta_b$ selection and analysis procedure

The fraction of the initial b-quark polarisation that survives b hadronisation into a Λ_b state, can be estimated from the inclusive semileptonic decay $\Lambda_b\to \Lambda_c\iota^-\nu_l,$ where Xc is any charmed hadron state. This decay channel, sensitive to b polarisation, has the advantage of being copiously produced and easy to tag. From the theoretical point of view, the inclusive b semileptonic decay, is remarkably simple and can be seen because and can be seen treated in the neavy-quark limit as a free quark decay $\theta \to c \iota / \nu_l / \iota$. The lepton and neutrino energy spectra contain information on the b polarisation. Figure 1 shows the dependence of the average lepton energy and the average neutrino energy \mathcal{D} and the b polarisation in the laboratory frame. The neutrino energy is much more energy is m sensitive to b polarisation than the charged lepton energy and unlike the latter its average energy decreases with polarisation.

To study b polarisation from inclusive b semileptonic decays, the method proposed in reference [8] is adopted, where using the variable $y = \frac{\mathcal{H}_l}{\langle E_\nu \rangle}$, with $\langle E_l \rangle$ and $\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle$ respectively the average charged lepton and neutrino energies, optimizes the sensitivity to the b polarisation. This variable has a simple and large dependence \cdots the body Γ continues the \cdots \cdots

$$
y = \frac{\langle E_l \rangle}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle} = \frac{7 - \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b}}{6 + 2\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b}} - \epsilon (0.6 + 1.3\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b})
$$
(2)

The term proportional to $\epsilon = (m_c/m_b)$ is a small kinematic correction known to \sim 1% precision. This method is more sensitive to b polarisation, compared to other methods proposed in the literature [9], and is almost free of theoretical uncertainties including fragmentation.

Figure 1: The average charged lepton (a) and neutrino (b) energies as a function of the b polarisation.

3.1 Λ_b selection

Semileptonic b decay candidates are selected in hadronic ^Z events using the charge correlation between the $\Lambda\pi^+$ system and the lepton in the same hemisphere with respect to the thrust axis. Through out this paper, "lepton" refers to either electrons or muons, and charge conjugate reactions are always implied. Before any particle identication and in order to start with well contained events in the detector, each hadronic event is required to the polar angle is t of the thrust axis.

Leptons are identified by matching a charged track measured in the inner tracking detectors with either an energy deposit consistent with an electron in the ECAL, or a pattern of hits consistent with a muon in the HCAL and muon chambers. Lepton identication in ALEPH is described in detail in reference [6]. In this paper the dE/dx requirement for electron is used only when available.

Candidates A are reconstructed, in the channel $\Lambda \to p \pi$, using an algorithm which fits two oppositely charged tracks to a common vertex. To reduce combinatorial background, Λ candidates are required to have a momentum greater than 3 GeV/c and a decay length of at least 5 cm with respect to the interaction point. To reduce the contamination from other decays, candidates with invariant mass consistent with either $\kappa_{\tilde{S}}$ or γ mass hypotheses are rejected. The TPC d $E/\mathtt{d}x$ measurements for the two Λ daughter tracks are required, when available, to be within three standard deviations of that expected for a proton and a pion.

Selected candidates are combined with pions with momentum P > 0.2 GeV/c. Events with a Λ_c^+ semileptonic decay may contribute to the missing energy in the tagged hemisphere, and these events are removed by requiring that the pion candi-

dates does not to satisfy the lepton identication cuts. The invariant mass of the $\Lambda\pi^+$ system is required to be less than 2.35 GeV/c⁻ and the χ^- probability of the $\Lambda\pi$ – vertex in must be larger than 1%. Selected $\Lambda\pi$ – candidates are combined with identified leptons with momentum $P_l > 3$ GeV/c and transverse momentum $p_T > 1$ $1\,$ GeV/c. Finally the $(\Lambda\pi^+)$ system is required to have an invariant mass less than 5.8 GeV/c , the χ^+ probability of the (A%)) vertex it must be larger than 1%, the angle between the Λ and the lepton is required to be less than 45 degrees, and the distance joining the $(\Lambda \pi^+)l$ vertex and the primary vertex, projected onto the $(\Lambda \pi^+) \iota$ direction must be positive.

 Γ igure Z : The $p\pi$ - invariant mass distributions of the right-sign ($\Lambda\pi$) as combinations (a) and the wrong-sign $(\Lambda \pi^+) \iota^+$ combinations (b) in the 1991-1994 data. The shaded area shows the momentum dependent mass window used to select Λ_b candidates.

Figure 2 shows, the $p\pi$ - invariant mass distributions of the $(\Lambda \pi^+) l$ - (right-sign) and the $(\Lambda \pi^+) \ell^+$ (wrong-sign) combinations after all requirements. The excess of $(\Lambda \pi^+) \iota^-$ events over $(\Lambda \pi^+) \iota^+$ is attributed to Λ_b semileptonic decays. Right-sign and wrong-sign ($\Lambda\pi$) μ candidates are selected using a momentum dependent mass window of $\pm 2.5 \sigma(p)$ around the nominal A mass where $\sigma(p)$ is the mass error for the particular Λ event of momentum p. The shaded area corresponds to the mass

 \lceil Ine p_T is calculated with respect to the jet containing the lepton.

window for selected events. The raw excess of $(X\pi^+)l$ combinations over $(X\pi^+)l^+$ is 462 ± 22 .

The right-sign $(\Lambda \pi^+)$ candidates consist of two components, a component originating from b semileptonic decays and a background component which is due to background component which is due mainly to accidental combinations of real or fake $(\Lambda\pi^+)$ in association with real or fake leptons. Contributions from physics background processes [10] are negligible. Wrong-sign $(X\pi^+)\ell^+$ combinations consist mainly of accidental combinations. There is a small fraction of signal component in the wrong-sign events consisting of a combination between a lepton from b semileptonic decay and a from fragmentation.

The selection efficiency is determined from a Monte Carlo simulation [12] of semileptonic b decays containing a in the hadronic decay sequence. The average eciency is 8.5% and is independent of the b polarisation. For b events originating from the decay of Σ_b or Σ_b , the selection efficiency is (8.22 \pm 0.15)%, while prompt \sim b events (originating directly from the b-quark fragmentation) are selected with and existency of (8:78 \equiv 0:48 //0:16 = 2000 dimensional distribution to a b selected sample sample sample with a slightly higher polarisation than the initial sample if one considers that direct b are fully polarised while those from b decay are completely depolarised.

3.2 Neutrino energy measurement

The neutrino energy is measured from the missing energy in the $(X\pi^+)$ lepton hemisphere :

$$
E_{\nu} = E_{tot} - E_{vis} \tag{3}
$$

where E_{vis} is the visible energy in the ($\Lambda \pi^+ \mu$ hemisphere, defined as the sum of the charged tracks, the gammas and the neutral hadronic energies :

$$
E_{vis} = E_{charged} + E_{\gamma} + E_{neut, had.}, \tag{4}
$$

and $E_{\rm tot}$ is the total energy in the the measure parameter calculated from the beam energy \cup and energy-momentum conservation, defined as follows [11]:

$$
E_{tot} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} + \frac{M_{same}^2 - M_{oppo}^2}{2\sqrt{s}}
$$
 (5)

 M_{same} is the invariant mass of the $(\Lambda \pi^+)$ hemisphere and M_{oppo} is the mass of the opposite hemisphere.

From Monte Carlo simulation of the b semileptonic decays, the neutrino energy resolution obtained with this method is $\sigma_{E_{\nu}} = 3.1 \text{ GeV}$.

3.3 Analysis procedure and method

The selection cuts and neutrino energy reconstruction discussed above, introduce a shift in the y variable. These effects can be corrected for using Monte Carlo with the variable:

$$
R_y = \frac{y_{data}}{y_{MC}}
$$
\n⁽⁶⁾

ALEPH (simulation) $= \sqrt{(P)} / \sqrt{(O)}$ **2 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1** Theoretical prediction **0.8** Monte Carlo (generator level) Monte Carlo (after reconstruction and selection) Theoretical prediction (corrected) **0.6 0 -0.25 -0.50 -0.75 -1.0** $P_{\Lambda b}$

 $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{u}$ is the result of the b polarisation of the b polarisation of the b polarisation. The b polarisation of the b polarisation of the b polarisation. The b polarisation of the b polarisation. The b polari solid line represents the theoretical prediction, the square points are the simulated Ry values for dierent b polarisation, the triangle points correspond to the Ry values after Monte Carlo events reconstruction and selection cuts and the dashed line is the theoretical prediction corrected for the reconstruction and acceptance effects.

The value of y WC is extracted from a fully reconstructed unpolarised unpolarised by semileptonic construction Monte Carlo sample using the same selection cuts. If both charged lepton energy and missing neutrino energy measurements agree well in data and Monte Carlo, the ry value for any unpolarization in the existing state with the unit of unity. Any significant to unity any significant $d = -\frac{1}{2}$ sample will be considered as an evidence for the b sample will be considered as an evidence for the b sample α b polarisation. To measure the b polarisation we need to know μ is the b polarisation with μ and μ b polarisation and gure 3 shows this before and after reconstruction and selection cuts. At the generator level, the theoretical prediction and Monte Carlo simulation agree very well. After reconstruction and selection cuts, there is a small shift which is taken into account using the following parametrization:

$$
R^{corr}(\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b}) = R^{th}(\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b}) - 0.058 \times \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b}
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

obtained from Monte Carlo events samples produced with five different polarisation values (-1.0, -0.75, -0.5, -0.25, 0.0).

4 Background subtraction

Figure 4 shows the charged lepton and the neutrino energy distributions of the selected right-sign and wrong-sign $(\Lambda \pi^+)l$ combinations. To extract the average charged lepton energy and the average neutrino energy originating from the b self-charged comments or semidecays, one needs to know what is the fraction and the average charged lepton and ${\rm neuron}$ energies of the background component in the right-sign ($\Lambda\pi^+$) combinations. These quantities can be determined by comparing the wrong-sign $(X\pi^+) \ell^+$ combinations with the background component in $q\overline{q}$ Monte Carlo events and both with the wrong-sign combinations in data.

Figure 4: The charged lepton and neutrino energy distributions in the data for the selected right-sign (open histograms) and wrong-sign combinations (dashed histograms).

Table 1 shows the sample composition of the wrong and right-sign combinations selected from a sample of 3.8 million $q\bar{q}$ Monte Carlo events. The fractions of combinatorial background components in the right and wrong-sign combinations are

lepton sources	right-sign	wrong-sign
$b-baryons$	$66.8 \pm 1.9\%$	$14.3 \pm 1.4\%$
$b - mesons$	$21.7 \pm 1.5\%$	$19.9 \pm 1.5\%$
$c-baryons$	$0.6 \pm 0.3\%$	$1.9 \pm 0.5\%$
$c-mesons$	$7.1 \pm 1.0\%$	$7.2 \pm 1.1\%$
$others(K,\gamma)$	$0.5 \pm 0.2\%$	$\overline{0.5} \pm 0.2\%$
fake	$4.8 \pm 0.7\%$	$4.2 \pm 0.7\%$

Table 1: Monte Carlo sample composition of wrong and right-sign combination after b selection cuts. The fractions of the wrong-sign components are normalised to the compon total number of right-sign combinations.

wrong-sign	events	$+$ GeV.	\cup (GeV):
Data.	233.0 ± 15.3 8.68 ± 0.28 3.89 ± 0.39		
	Monte Carlo 282.0 \pm 16.8 8.67 \pm 0.39		$41 + 0.29$

Table 2: Comparison of the number of wrong-sign events and their average charged lepton and neutrino energies in data and in Monte Carlo. The selected wrong-sign Monte Carlo sample is normalized to 3 million $q\bar{q}$ events corresponding to the data.

basically the same. The fraction of b-baryon events in the wrong sign combinations is due to a combination between a lepton \mathcal{O} and a Λ from fragmentation. Such a combination is suppressed in the right-sign sample, because the fraction in the fragmentation in the baryon in number opposite to the one from the b cascade decay.

The comparison of the wrong-sign samples in data and Monte Carlo (table 2) shows that the numbers of wrong-sign combinations are equivalent within 2 σ and that the average charged lepton and neutrino energies are compatible. Furthermore, table 3 shows that the number of background events in the wrong-sign sample is equivalent, within errors, to the number of background events in the right-sign combinations and that their corresponding average charged lepton and neutrino energies are compatible within 1σ .

Given that the fraction of signal events in the wrong-sign sample has the same average charged lepton and neutrino energies as the signal component in the rightsign sample, the average charged lepton energy and the average neutrino energy

Table 3: Comparison of the number of background events in the right and wrongsign events and their respective average charged lepton and neutrino energies in the Monte Carlo.

Sample	$\langle E_i \rangle$ (GeV)	$\perp \langle E_\nu \rangle$ (GeV)	
91-94			10.94 ± 0.34 5.59 ± 0.38 1.957 ± 0.145
Monte Carlo $q\bar{q}$			11.07 ± 0.41 6.47 \pm 0.51 1.710 \pm 0.150
Monte Carlo signal 10.55 \pm 0.14 6.03 \pm 0.16 1.752 \pm 0.052			

Table 4: The average charged lepton and neutrino energies of the selected b semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-semilep-s tonic decays in data and Monte Carlo and their corresponding y values.

originating from the b semileptonic decays can be extracted from the right-sign sample using the following background subtraction :

$$
\langle E_{l,\nu} \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - f_{bck}} \left(\langle E_{l,\nu}^{RS} \rangle - f_{bck} \langle E_{l,\nu}^{WS} \rangle \right) \tag{8}
$$

where $\langle E_{l,\nu}^{n;\mathbf{p}}\rangle$ and $\langle E_{l,\nu}^{n;\mathbf{p}}\rangle$ are the average charged lepton or neutrino energies in the right-sign and the wrong-sign samples respectively, and fbck is the background fraction in the right-sign sample :

$$
f_{bck} = \frac{N_{WS}}{N_{RS}}
$$

 \mathcal{L}_{NN} and \mathcal{L}_{R} are the number of selected wrong-sign and right-sign events respectrum in the fact $f_{\rm UCD}$ and \sim 0.33 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.43 \pm 0

5 Results

The y observable is determined from the average charged lepton $\langle E_l \rangle$ and neutrino here is a context for a component data and Monte Carlo events. For the latter and α under an unpolarized and b Monte Carlo sample is used. For comparison \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} and unportant with larised b events are also used. The results are presented in table 4. The ^y value from the $q\overline{q}$ Monte Carlo sample is similar to the one extracted from the background \mathcal{M} Monte Carlo signal. This shows that the background subtraction described subtrac in the previous section is well generated. The value of \mathbb{R}^n is obtained from the ratio of Ry is obtained from the r of y values in data and Monte Carlo signal. The result is :

$$
R_y = \frac{y_{data}}{y_{MC}} = 1.119 \pm 0.088
$$

The b polarisation is extracted from the comparison between the measured $E = \epsilon_{\rm H}$ value above and that expected for dierent b polarisation values as shown in \mathcal{V} is shown in \mathcal{V} 5. The result is : $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} = -0.28_{-0.17}^{+0.20}$, where the errors are statistical only.

A correlation exists between the statistical errors of the average charged lepton and neutrino energies and this has been studied using a fast Monte Carlo which reproduces the kinematic distributions of the kinematic distributions of the b semileptonic in the b semileptonic decays. The correlation coefficients for the charm quark, the lepton and the neutrino momenta, as obtained from the fast Monte Carlo, show that there is a negative

Figure 5: The method used to extract the b polarisation value. Comparison be-comparison be-comp $t = m$ value and the theoretical prediction \mathbf{R}

correlation between any two b daughters, in the range of -12% to -28% depending on cuts. A negative correlation coefficient will reflect a positive fluctuation in the lepton sample into a negative fluctuation in the neutrino sample, resulting in a higher-than-statistical error for y. To determine the exact size of the error, the fast Monte Carlo was run for 500 samples of 500 events each, and a polarisation of 0, -0.25, -0.5, -0.75 and -1.0. The conclusion is that the statistical error needs to be multiplied by a factor of 1.15 ± 0.03 to take into account correlations between lepton and neutrino energies. Taking into account this correction factor, the measured b polarisation is :

$$
{\cal P}_{\Lambda_b}=-0.28^{+0.23}_{-0.20}
$$

6 Systematic checks

 \mathbf{p} polarisation is determined from Ry which relies on a comparison of the average of the average \mathbf{p} erage charged lepton energy and the average neutrino energy measurements in real data with that in the Monte Carlo. It is therefore crucial to have a good agreement

$\langle E_{lepton} \rangle$ (GeV)	
-Data	8.34 ± 0.02 10.26 \pm 0.03
Monte Carlo $(q\bar{q})$ 8.26 ± 0.03 10.27 ± 0.05	

Table 5: The average charged lepton energy in the two inclusive lepton control samples L1 and L2.

between data and Monte Carlo for the charged lepton energy and the missing neutrino energy measurements.

6.1 Charged lepton energy

Charged leptons are well identified in the ALEPH detector and their momenta are well measured by the tracking system. The agreement of the charged lepton energy measurement in Monte Carlo and real data has been studied using two control samples selected from hadronic events :

- \bullet a sample \Box 1 with a bo tagged events 3 and a lepton selection (b-purity \sim 96%).
- a sample $L2$ with inclusive lepton selection and a lepton transverse momentum pt of every structure of the purity of the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the

Table 5 compares the average lepton energy in Monte Carlo and real data from the two control samples. They agree within 100 MeV.

6.2 Neutrino energy

To study how well the missing energy measurement in Monte Carlo reproduces the one in data, four control samples selected in hadronic events were used:

- \bullet \bullet . by tagged events and repton selection (b-purity \sim 30/0)
- \bullet **B** : light quarks tagged events⁴ and lepton selection (*b*-purity \sim 21%)
- \bullet C \bullet to tagged events and veto repton selection (b-purity \sim 50%)
- \bullet **D** : light quarks tagged events and veto lepton selection (b-purity \sim 4%)

These four control samples are independent by construction. They allow an estimation of the possible bias in the missing energy measurement due to imperfection in the Monte Carlo simulation.

 $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ the bb event selection makes use of the relatively long b lifetime and the precision of the VDET. The selection procedure is described in reference [13]

 $\,$ the light quarks events selection uses the same algorithm as for $\,$ b events selection. The $\,$ selection procedure is also described in ref. [13]

$\langle E_{miss}\rangle$ $\rm~GeV$	$A(96\% b)$	$\mathbf{B}(21\% b)$	$C(90\% b)$	$\mathbf{D}(4\% b)$
Data 1991-1994			(6.100 ± 0.029) (3.090 ± 0.024) (1.033 ± 0.035) (0.701 ± 0.012)	
Monte Carlo $(q\bar{q})$ 6.163 ± 0.033 3.267 ± 0.023			1.104 ± 0.036	$1.003 + 0.012$

Table 6: The average missing energy in four control samples with different b-purity for data and $q\bar{q}$ Monte Carlo.

Table 6 shows the average missing energy in the four control samples for data and Monte Carlo. In the b b tagged samples, the data and Monte Carlo agree to within 70 MeV. In the lepton sample, which corresponds to our analysis sample, the agreement is at the 60 MeV level. For light quarks samples the shift in missing energy between data and Monte Carlo is in the $250 - 300$ MeV range.

The dependence of the average missing energy on the pT cut on the p also been studied. The dierence here \sim (will α) is a contracted. The average missing energies in the average missing energies in data and in Monte Carlo for an inclusive lepton sample vary from 140 MeV (no p_T cut) to 220 MeV ($p_T < 1.$ GeV/c $^{\circ}$). The average missing energy in the Monte Carlo $^$ is at most 250 MeV higher than in the data.

As a supplementary check and in order to study the influence of particle identincation on the inissing energy, events containing identified protons, K^-, K or K^+_s \sim were selected in the tagged or the anti-tagged lepton hemisphere. The shifts between the average missing energies in data and Monte Carlo are in agreement with the inclusive samples.

Complementary checks on the missing energy measurements in data and Monte Carlo were performed using Monte Carlo events with different physics inputs such as the B^{**} fraction and the $b \to c \to l$ branching ratio. The agreement between real data and Monte Carlo is within ± 300 MeV.

Systematic uncertainties 7

Several sources of systematic uncertainties have been considered. Their contributions to the total systematic error on the b polarisation measurement are summarized in table 7. They are listed and discussed below.

Lepton and neutrino energy measurements :

The missing energy measurement discussed in section 5 shows that the agreement between data and Monte Carlo is at the level of \pm 300 MeV. Varying the average missing interactive in the b semileptonic decay month career within within \sim 000 meV, 1 leads to a systematic error of $^{+0.17}_{-0.11}$ on the measured Λ_b polarisation.

Similarly, varying the average charged lepton energy within the estimated \pm 100 \mathcal{W} die rence between data and Monte Carlo, leads to a b polarisation error of polarisation error of polarisation error of \mathcal{W} $\pm 0.02.$

Background fraction :

The fraction of background events enters in the determination of the average charged lepton and neutrino energies. The difference between data and Monte Carlo in the number of wrong sign events is of the order of 20%, this corresponds to an error of $\pm 7\%$ with respect to the right-sign combinations. This error corresponds to a systematic uncertainty of $_{-0.02}^{\circ}$ on the Λ_b polarisation.

Corrections due to reconstruction and selection cuts:

The theoretical curve which reproduces the variation of Ry with respect to the b polarisation, is used to extract the b polarisation. To take into account the eects of the reconstruction and the selection and the selection cuts on \mathbb{R}^n , a correction has been introduced (see section 3.3). Varying this correction within its estimated error, leads to an uncertainty on by polarisation of <u>20</u>:016.

Λ_c^+ polarisation : $\tilde{}$

The Λ_c^+ polarisation in Λ_b polarised events can affect the results of the present analysis because the cuts on the Λ momentum (at 3 GeV/c) and on the angle between the Λ and the charged lepton (45^o) can introduce biases due to the topological correlation between the spin states of the Λ_b and the Λ_c^+ . A dedicated Monte Carlo was used to produce the decay chain :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\Lambda_b \longrightarrow & \Lambda_c^+ & l^- & \bar{\nu_l} \\
& \hookrightarrow & \Lambda & \pi^+\n\end{array}
$$

where both Λ_b and Λ_c^+ were considered polarised. The Λ_c^+ decay is weighed using the weighing function :

$$
W(\cos \theta_{\Lambda}) = 1 + \alpha_{\Lambda_c} \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_c} \cos \theta_{\Lambda}
$$
 (9)

where σ_{Λ} is the angle between the Λ and Λ_c^+ momenta in the Λ_c^+ rest system , \mathcal{F}_{Λ_c} is the Λ_c^+ polarisation and α_{Λ_c} is the analysing power of the decay measured by CLEO and ARGUS experiments [14]:

$$
\alpha_{\Lambda_c} = -1.0^{+0.4}_{-0.0} \qquad (ARGUS)
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{\Lambda_c} = -0.98 \pm 0.42 \qquad (CLEO)
$$

varying the A_c^+ polarisation from 0. to -1.0 , leads to a systematic uncertainty $\tilde{}$ on the measured b polarisation of 0.04. Half this value is quoted as a systematic error and the central value is shifted by $+0.02$.

Theoretical errors :

Theoretical errors arise from three sources:

One is the unknown ratio of the charm and beauty masses which enter in the determination of y - varying (m_c/m_b) - between 0.06 and 0.15, leads to a Λ_b polarisation change of 0:004.

Non perturbative corrections are known to appear only to $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)^{-1}$ [1], which is a quantity of order 1% and is neglected.

Perturbative QCD corrections turn a small percentage of the decays into four body decays, due to the presence of a gluon, and produce a small degradation in

Source		$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_h}}$
Missing energy	± 300 MeV	$\begin{array}{c} +0.12 \\ -0.11 \end{array}$
Lepton energy	± 100 MeV	± 0.02
Background fraction f_{bck}	$33 \pm 7\%$	$+0.03$ -0.02
Reconstruction and acceptance		± 0.016
Λ_c^+ polarisation		± 0.02
Theory $(m_b/m_c, \text{QCD})$		± 0.015
Total		$+0.13$ -0.12

Table 7: Systematic uncertainties

sensitivity. These corrections have been calculated in reference [15]. Varying α_s between 0.10 and 0.30 leads to an uncertainty on the Λ_b polarisation of $^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$.

8 Conclusions

The polarisation of b is measured using semi-leptonic decay events selected from \mathcal{C} a data sample of approximately 3 million hadronic Z decays collected with the \mathcal{A} the correlation of the $(\Lambda \pi^+)$ system and the lepton in the same hemisphere of a hadronic Z decay. The man sample consists of 462 \pm 22 b can did not 460 parts. The ratio between the average charged lepton energy and average neutrino energy of these events is used to measure the b polarisation. This ratio is normalized to the one extracted from an unpolarised sample of b semileptonic Monte Carlo events.

The b polarisation is measured to be in the state of the state μ

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_b} = -0.26^{+0.23}_{-0.20}(stat.)^{+0.13}_{-0.12}(sys.)
$$

This result contract contract contract contract of the b sample polarisation of the b sample produced at the b sample polarisation of the b sample Z peak. It corresponds to a lose of (73 ± 25) % of the initial b-quark polarisation in the process of fragmentation down to Λ_b . In the case where the lose of polarisation is due to Λ_b from \varDelta_b or \varDelta_b decays, this result suggests that the fraction of Λ_b originating from these two states is $(73 \pm 25)\%$.

Acknowledgements

We whish to thank our colleagues from the accelerator divisions for the successful operation of LEP. We are indebted to the engineers and technicians at CERN and our home institutes for their contribution to the good performance of ALEPH. Those of us from non member countries thank CERN for its hospitality.

References

- [1] D. Buskulic et al., (Aleph Collab.), Z. Phys. C 59, (1993), 369.
- [2] F.E. Close, J. Korner, R.J.N. Phillips and D.J. Summers, J. Phys. G 18, (1992), 1716.
- [3] A.F. Falk and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. D 49,(1994),3320.
- [4] M. Gronau, in B decays 2nd edition, ed. by S.Stone, p. 644, World Scientic, Singapore (1994).
- [5] D. Decamp et al., (Aleph Collab.), Z. Phys. **C 53**, (1992), 1.
- [6] D. Decamp et al., (Aleph Collab.), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A294**, (1990), 121; D. Buskulic *et al.*, (Aleph Collab.), Nucl. Inst. and Meth. **A346**, (1994), 461; D. Buskulic et al., (Aleph Collab.), preprint CERN-PPE/94-170, submitted to Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A.
- [7] J. Chay, H. Georgi and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B 247, (1990), 399; A.V. Manohar and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 49, (1994), 1310.
- [8] G. Bonvicini and L. Randall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, (1994), 392.
- [9] J. G. Korner and M. Kramer, Phys. Lett. B 275, (1992), 495; T. Mannel and G.A. Schuler, Phys. Lett. B 279, (1992), 194; G. Altarelli and B. Mele, Phys. Lett. B 299, (1993), 345; J.F. Amundson, J.L. Rosner, M. Worah and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 47, (1993), 1260.
- [10] D. Decamp et al., (Aleph Collab.), Phys. Lett. B 257, (1991), 492; B. Adeva $et \ al., (L3 Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B** 270, (1991) 111; P. Abreu \ et \ al., (Delphi)$ Collab.), Zeit. Phys. C 53, (1991), 567; P.D. Acton *et al.*, (Opal Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B 274**, (1992), 513.
- [11] D. Buskulic et al., (Aleph Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B 322**, (1994), 275.
- [12] T. Sjostrand, Comp. Phys. Com. 82, (1994), 74. Throught this analysis, a modified version of the JETSET 7.3 program was used to generate both $Z \rightarrow$ \mathcal{L} seeming and b semi-decays. The generated Monte Carlo events are generated Monte processed through a full simulation of the performance characteristics of the ALEPH detector.
- [13] D. Buskulic *et al.*, (Aleph Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B 298**, (1993), 479.
- [14] P. Avery et al., (Cleo Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, (1990), 2842; H. Albrecht et al., (Argus Collab.), Phys. Lett. B 274, (1992), 239.
- [15] A. Czarnecki, M. Jezabek, J. Korner, and J. Kuhn, TTP 93-332, MZ-TH/93- 35, hep-ph 9312249.