



Girth and groomed radius of jets recoiling against isolated photons in lead-lead and proton-proton collisions at

$$\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$$

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

This Letter presents the first measurements of the groomed jet radius R_g and the jet girth g in events with an isolated photon recoiling against a jet in lead-lead (PbPb) and proton-proton (pp) collisions at the LHC at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV. The observables R_g and g provide a quantitative measure of how narrow or broad a jet is. The analysis uses PbPb and pp data samples with integrated luminosities of 1.7 nb^{-1} and 301 pb^{-1} , respectively, collected with the CMS experiment in 2018 and 2017. Events are required to have a photon with transverse momentum $p_T^\gamma > 100 \text{ GeV}$ and at least one jet back-to-back in azimuth with respect to the photon and with transverse momentum p_T^{jet} such that $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.4$. The measured R_g and g distributions are unfolded to the particle level, which facilitates the comparison between the PbPb and pp results and with theoretical predictions. It is found that jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.8$, i.e., those that closely balance the photon p_T^γ , are narrower in PbPb than in pp collisions. Relaxing the selection to include jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.4$ reduces the narrowing of the angular structure of jets in PbPb relative to the pp reference. This shows that selection bias effects associated with jet energy loss play an important role in the interpretation of jet substructure measurements.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

1 Introduction

The quark-gluon plasma (QGP) is a high-temperature, strongly interacting, phase of nuclear matter that is produced in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions [1, 2]. The fragmentation of highly energetic jets, initiated by high-virtuality partons produced in the early stages of the collision, is intertwined with the time evolution of this hot and dense matter. The interactions between the jet and this medium happen over a wide range of energy scales, from the high virtuality of the initial parton of up to hundred GeV to the medium temperature of hundreds of MeV. These interactions are responsible for partonic energy loss in the QGP medium, a phenomenon generally termed as “jet quenching.” These interactions are also expected to modify the jet radiation pattern in lead-lead (PbPb) collisions when compared with proton-proton (pp) collisions. Jets produced in pp collisions, which are unaffected by the medium, are used as a reference for “vacuum radiation.” Jets are clustered with a finite radius, and because of the energy redistribution that occurs from the interaction of the jet shower with the medium, there is an effective energy loss with respect to their expected energy if the jet shower had developed in vacuum. The comparison of observables sensitive to medium-induced jet modifications with theoretical model predictions aims to characterize the microscopic structure of the QGP and its abilities to transport matter and energy [3–6].

The dominant interaction mechanism between the partons created in the parton branching process and the QGP at short distance scales is expected to be medium-induced gluon radiation, leading to a characteristic broadening of the parton shower [7–9]. The existence of a minimum separation is a consequence of a characteristic physical scale. This “medium resolution length” [10, 11] is the minimum angular separation between two partons or subjects that can be resolved by the medium as independent color charges [12]. The additional medium interactions experienced by a jet with larger number of resolved constituents is expected to lead to stronger quenching. The importance of the medium resolution length in the overall medium-induced modification of the jet has not been conclusively established experimentally, although some models expect it to be the dominant effect.

Another aspect of the jet-medium interaction is that the QGP is found to behave as a strongly-coupled liquid when probed at energy scales that are of the order of its temperature. When the QGP is probed at energy scales much larger than its temperature, the quasiparticle degrees of freedom of the QGP are expected to emerge due to asymptotic freedom [13, 14]. It is expected that jet substructure may give access to these properties.

Experimental results from heavy ion collisions provide evidence for medium-induced jet modifications [15–27]; recent reviews can be found in Refs. [28, 29]. One of the observables that has been used to study the modifications of the radiation pattern of the jet is the groomed jet radius, R_g , defined as the rapidity–azimuth (y – ϕ) distance between the two subjects obtained using the soft-drop grooming algorithm [30, 31], described in Section 3. With soft-drop grooming, a jet may be split into two hard subjects that can be used as proxies for the two most energetic partons in the jet shower evolution [32]. In pp collisions, jet grooming is used to remove soft and wide-angle radiation, allowing for a description in the framework of perturbation theory. In PbPb collisions, in addition to the removal of soft- and wide-angle radiation as in pp collisions, jet grooming has been proposed as a possible path to study medium-induced jet modifications using properties such as the hardness and broadness of the jet radiation pattern [33, 34]. With R_g , we inspect the angular distribution of hard intrajet branchings in search of signatures interpretable in terms of medium-induced effects. These signatures include, in particular, the possible manifestation of the medium resolution length [33–36].

Measurements of R_g at the RHIC and LHC show a narrower jet substructure in heavy ion

collisions compared with pp collisions for jets reconstructed with the same jet transverse momentum p_T [26, 27, 37, 38]. This narrowing of the hard intrajet angular distributions measured via R_g could be a manifestation of the medium resolution length of the QGP. However, before investigating such a connection, one should assess a potential selection bias that emerges when comparing PbPb and pp jets with the same reconstructed p_T [39–41]. Since jet energy loss may fluctuate jet-by-jet and the jet p_T spectrum is steeply falling, a given jet p_T interval is preferentially populated by jets that are less quenched. The jet shower in heavy ion collisions is expected to factorize into an early vacuum shower, created before the medium formation, followed by a medium-modified showering process [35]. Selecting jets with large R_g values might preferentially isolate early vacuum radiation patterns that are broad in angle and thus interact more frequently with the QGP, which leads to stronger jet energy loss and to migrations to lower jet momenta, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. Thus, to interpret the modifications of the radiation pattern of the jet in terms of the emergence of other physical scales, such as the medium resolution length, selection bias effects must be understood first.




Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the potential selection bias due to jet energy loss that may occur when selecting jets based on their p_T . Broader structures are expected to be more quenched (red line, thicker arrow), whereas narrower structures are expected to be quenched less (blue line, thinner arrow). Combined with the steeply falling jet p_T spectrum, this can lead to a preferential selection of narrow jets in a given jet p_T interval, as indicated by the vertical rectangular box. The dashed curve represents the jet p_T spectrum in the absence of medium-induced jet modifications.

Prompt photons produced in quark-gluon Compton scattering and quark-antiquark annihilation processes, in which their momentum is balanced by a recoiling jet, provide an ideal topology to study medium-induced jet modifications. A high-momentum photon does not interact strongly with the QGP [42–46], so its p_T can be used as a proxy for the p_T of the recoiling parton that initiates the jet shower. By selecting on the photon p_T , selection biases associated with jet energy loss should be reduced. Prompt photons can be studied using photon isolation techniques [47, 48]. Isolated photon+jet events have been used to quantify the jet energy loss via the measurement of the momentum imbalance, defined as the ratio of the p_T of the highest- p_T jet and the photon momentum $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}} / p_T^\gamma$ [17, 24, 49]. The results show a stronger momentum imbalance in PbPb collisions than in pp collisions due to the jet energy loss that occurs in the former. Fragmentation functions have been measured in isolated photon+jet events in PbPb collisions, showing a suppression of hard jet constituents and an enhancement of soft

constituents relative to pp collisions [50].

In this Letter, we compare for the first time the groomed jet radius R_g of jets recoiling against isolated photons, hereafter referred to as “photon-tagged jets”, in PbPb and pp collisions. In addition, we measure the girth g of these jets, described in detail in Section 3, which is a p_T -weighted measure of how distant the constituents of the jet are with respect to its axis [22, 51–53]. Photons are required to have transverse momenta $p_T^\gamma > 100$ GeV. The momentum imbalance $x_{\gamma j}$ is used as a proxy for jet energy loss in this study. We consider two categories of events: $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$. A population of jets with varying degrees of medium modifications is included in the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ sample, corresponding to a more inclusive jet selection. The selection with $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ is less inclusive; it selects jets that experience, on average, weaker energy loss. A comparison of these two categories is used to demonstrate the effect of a strong selection bias on the substructure of the jet. Tabulated results are provided in the HEPDATA record for this analysis [54].

2 Experimental setup and event reconstruction

The analysis uses PbPb and pp data collected by the CMS experiment in 2018 and 2017, respectively. The PbPb and pp data samples, both at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV, correspond to integrated luminosities of 1.70 ± 0.03 nb⁻¹ and 301 ± 6 pb⁻¹, respectively [55, 56].

The CMS apparatus [57, 58] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [59, 60] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and (charged and neutral) hadrons [61–63]. A global “particle-flow” (PF) algorithm [64] aims to reconstruct all individual particles in an event, combining information provided by the all-silicon inner tracker and by the crystal electromagnetic (ECAL) and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters (HCAL), operating inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, with data from the gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The reconstructed particles are used to build τ leptons, jets, and missing transverse momentum [65–67].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level (L1), composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 30 kHz for PbPb collisions and 100 kHz for pp collisions [59]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [60].

The events are required to have a primary vertex reconstructed within 15 cm of the nominal interaction point along the beam direction, and within 0.15 cm in the transverse plane. The additional collisions per bunch crossing have a negligible effect on the measurement.

The collision centrality in heavy ion collisions is expressed as a percentile of the total inelastic hadronic cross section, following the Glauber methodology [68], and is determined based on the transverse energy deposited in both hadron forward (HF) calorimeters [15]. The events with close to 0% centrality, known as “central” events, have the largest overlap of the two colliding nuclei, whereas glancing collisions between the two nuclei are referred to as “peripheral” events. Hadronic events are selected by requiring at least two towers with an energy larger than 4 GeV in each of the HF calorimeters. In order to focus on medium modification effects, we restrict the analysis to the 30% most central events, corresponding to PbPb collisions with relatively small impact parameter.

Jets are clustered from the list of PF candidates using the anti- k_T algorithm [69] with a distance parameter $R = 0.2$. The anti- k_T distance parameter of $R = 0.2$ is a proxy for a circular jet radius of $R = 0.2$ in the y - ϕ plane. The value of $R = 0.2$, which represents a smaller jet size than the standard $R = 0.4$ value used at the LHC, is chosen to mitigate the sensitivity to uncorrelated background contributions at the level of jets and their substructure [34], as discussed in Section 3. The four-momentum of the jet is determined using the vector sum of all particle momenta in the jet. For this analysis, jets are required to have $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 40$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2$. In PbPb collisions, the constituents of the jet are corrected for the underlying event (UE) contribution using the constituent subtraction method [70], an approach that removes or corrects jet constituents based on the average UE density. In PbPb collisions, the UE comprises the multiple nucleon-nucleon interactions occurring in the same collision. To estimate the average UE density per event, a dedicated iterative procedure [71] was employed. Due to region-to-region fluctuations of the UE, residual background fluctuations smear the jet p_T and its internal structure [72], which are corrected with unfolding, as described in Section 4. The jets are corrected for the detector response with jet energy corrections derived from independent PbPb and pp simulations along with additional corrections for the imperfect modeling of the detector response [73]. In addition to the jet energy correction, the momentum resolution of jets is larger in data compared with simulation. Corrections to the simulation to account for the momentum resolution differences are derived from dijet balancing studies performed in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2017 and 2018 [66]. To incorporate this effect, a Gaussian smearing is applied to the detector-level jet p_T values in simulation to match the resolution in data. This smeared mapping is then applied in the unfolding procedure, as described in Section 4.

Photons in PbPb and pp collisions are reconstructed using the algorithms described in Ref. [61]. Reconstruction, identification, and energy correction algorithms have been optimized to perform in the extreme conditions of high UE activity in central PbPb collisions [61].

The PYTHIA 8.230 [74] event generator with tune CP5 [75] is used to calculate Monte Carlo (MC) corrections and for making comparisons with the data. For pp simulations, a second sample is generated at leading-order (LO) by HERWIG 7.2.2 [76–78] with the CH3 tune [79] to assess systematic uncertainties related to the modeling of the parton shower, hadronization, multiparton interactions, and beam-beam remnant interactions. All generated samples are passed through a detailed simulation of the CMS detector using GEANT4 [80]. In pp collisions, the UE consists of multiparton interactions and those of beam-beam remnants. In PbPb collisions, the UE encompasses, in addition, the multiple nucleon-nucleon interactions occurring in the same PbPb collision in addition to the hard scattering. Thus, for the PbPb simulation, PYTHIA8 CP5 events are embedded into a heavy ion UE produced with HYDJET v1.9 [81], which is tuned to reproduce the global event properties of PbPb collisions.

3 Analysis method

The groomed jet radius R_g is the y - ϕ distance between the two subjets found with the soft-drop grooming algorithm. This algorithm consists of first reclustering the jet constituents obtained from the anti- k_T jet [69] using the Cambridge–Aachen algorithm, which is a pairwise clustering algorithm that clusters particles (and subjets thereafter) that are closer in rapidity and azimuth, imposing angular ordering [82, 83]. Then, the Cambridge–Aachen clustering history is undone iteratively, always undoing the hardest subjet at each step of the iteration, until the first pair of subjets that satisfies the condition $z > z_{\text{cut}}\theta^\beta$ is found. Here, z corresponds to the momentum fraction $z = p_T^{\text{sub}} / (p_T^{\text{sub}} + p_T^{\text{lead}})$ where p_T^{lead} (p_T^{sub}) is the momentum of the harder (softer) subjet in the declustering step and θ the distance in y - ϕ between the harder and softer subjets in the

declustering procedure, which corresponds to R_g for the pair of subjets obtained by the soft-drop algorithm. We use the parameters $z_{\text{cut}} = 0.2$ and $\beta = 0$ for soft-drop grooming, which allows us to better control the large UE background of PbPb collisions [84]. These soft-drop grooming parameter values were also used by the ALICE and ATLAS Collaborations [37, 38]. All the PF constituents of the original anti- k_T jet are used in the Cambridge–Aachen clustering without an explicit selection requirement on their p_T .

In addition to R_g , we measure the jet girth g [22, 51–53], which is defined as the sum of the product of the momentum fraction of the jet constituents and their distance relative to the anti- k_T jet axis, namely:

$$g = \frac{1}{p_{\text{jet}}^T} \sum_i p_{\text{T}}^i \Delta R_{i,\text{jet}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta R_{i,\text{jet}} = \sqrt{(\Delta y_{i,\text{jet}})^2 + (\Delta \phi_{i,\text{jet}})^2}$ is the distance in y - ϕ of the i -th jet constituent with respect to the anti- k_T jet axis. Rapidity y is chosen over pseudorapidity η for $\Delta R_{i,\text{jet}}$ and for R_g , since rapidity differences are invariant under longitudinal Lorentz boosts. For detector-related corrections, where the geometry of the detector matters, we use η . The observables g and R_g are derived in different ways starting from the constituents of the anti- k_T jet. Since g does not rely on organizing radiation into clusters of particles, as R_g does, and since it uses all hadrons in the jet, it potentially highlights different aspects of the jet fragmentation in vacuum and in the medium.

This analysis requires the presence of at least one isolated photon with $p_{\text{T}}^\gamma > 100$ GeV and $|\eta_\gamma| < 1.44$ and the presence of at least one anti- k_T jet with $R = 0.2$ and $|\eta_{\text{jet}}| < 2$ carrying at least 40 or 80% of p_{T}^γ ($x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ or 0.8). The highest- p_T isolated photon is used in the analysis. The $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ requirement is applied so that jets have a minimum p_T of 40 GeV, whereas the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ selection is motivated by the p_T shift caused by out-of-cone radiation for jets produced in pp collisions [85]. The isolated photon and the jets have a separation in azimuthal angle defined by $\Delta \phi_{\gamma j} > \frac{2}{3}\pi$. The selection requirements are such that the reconstructed jet primarily originates from the hard scattering, and reduces the likelihood that the jet originates from the large UE fluctuations of more central PbPb collisions. We verified that the $\Delta \phi_{\gamma j}$ distributions in PbPb and pp collisions are compatible within the statistical uncertainties, which indicates that the residual combinatorial jet background from the UE in PbPb collisions is negligible within the statistical sensitivity of the measurement. In turn, this enables the application of unfolding corrections to the particle level that are robust with respect to detector smearing and the large UE background effects on jet p_T . Overall, these requirements represent a balance between managing the large UE activity and preserving our ability to reach lower values of $x_{\gamma j}$ using hard jets.

The substructure observables are measured using the highest p_T jet recoiling against the selected isolated photon. The UE in PbPb collisions can create spurious structures at the level of the jet substructure. This is mitigated with the use of a small distance parameter $R = 0.2$, since the contribution of particles from the UE scales with R^2 [34]. In addition, soft-drop grooming with $z_{\text{cut}} = 0.2$ is used to further suppress these contributions [34]. The reason is that subjets created by the large UE tend to have a more asymmetric momentum balance compared with the expectation from parton branchings. For $p_{\text{T}}^\gamma > 100$ GeV, triggers are fully efficient both in PbPb and pp systems. No additional correction to account for residual trigger inefficiency is applied.

For parton energy loss studies in heavy ion collisions, a selection of prompt photons is desired since they provide a more reliable proxy for the momentum of the recoil parton that initiated the jet shower. To suppress the contribution from nonprompt photons, a cut-based approach

is followed, where the selection is optimized using binary trees to maximize the signal significance squared [86]. The signals at the particle level are prompt photons with isolation criteria such that the scalar p_T sum of all the particles around the photon within a radius of 0.4 in units of $\eta-\phi$ must be less than 5 GeV. Residual inefficiencies for the signal are accounted for in the corrections described in Section 4. The detector-level variables used in the optimization of the photon selection are the fraction of hadronic energy around the photon candidate H/E , the shower shape variable $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$, and the isolation variable \mathcal{I} [61]. The fraction H/E is calculated as the ratio of HCAL over ECAL energy within an $\eta-\phi$ distance of 0.15 units with respect to the photon candidate. The $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ variable quantifies the lateral energy spread in the ECAL cluster, which is typically wider for photons from neutral-hadron decays than for prompt photons. The isolation variable \mathcal{I} is given by the sum of transverse energies in ECAL and HCAL and the transverse momenta of all tracks with $p_T > 2$ GeV within an $\eta-\phi$ distance of 0.4 with respect to the photon candidate, which is corrected by subtracting the estimated average energy from the UE [42]. The \mathcal{I} variable can have negative values as a result of the aforementioned UE subtraction. In PbPb (pp) events, we select isolated photons with $\mathcal{I} < 2.1$ GeV (-0.1 GeV), which corresponds to a particle level \mathcal{I} value of less than 5 GeV for generated photons.

The contribution of photon-tagged jets originating from the decays of neutral hadrons is taken into account via signal photon purity of the cut-based selected sample. The photon purity estimation is obtained by performing a template fit of the shower shape distribution where the signal template is MC-based and the background template is built with nonisolated ($10 < \mathcal{I} < 20$ GeV) photons in the data [42]. The region $10 < \mathcal{I} < 20$ GeV is depleted in signal contributions from prompt photons according to simulation studies. An alternative matrix (“ABCD”) method is used to estimate the photon purity, which relies on data and is independent of the template fit method. The ABCD method consists of dividing the photon+jet data sample into four mutually exclusive regions using a two-dimensional plane with the variables $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ and \mathcal{I} . We assume that the selection efficiencies of $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ are independent of \mathcal{I} for the background, which is supported by simulation studies. Three of the four regions are dominated by the background (the B, C and D regions), while the fourth region (A) is a mixture of signal and background. The regions A, B, C, and D are determined using the same selection requirements on $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ and \mathcal{I} as for the template fits. According to simulation studies, it is expected that the amount of remaining prompt photon events in the B, C, D regions is negligible with respect to the background. The signal inefficiency from the ABCD or template-fit methods, which is at the per mille-level, is taken into account as part of the corrections described in Section 4. The normalization of the background calculated with the ABCD method is different from the one obtained with the template fit by a few percent for PbPb and pp. The estimated photon purities have values of 0.93 ± 0.02 and 0.77 ± 0.01 for PbPb and pp collisions, respectively, where the central value corresponds to the photon purity obtained with the template fit method and the uncertainties correspond to the symmetrized difference of the photon purity obtained with the alternative ABCD method.

The number of reconstructed events with $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ (0.8) is 4717 (1940) in PbPb collisions, and is 20636 (10796) in pp collisions. According to simulations using LO matrix elements for the hard scattering, the sample of photons used in this analysis is dominated by prompt photons from quark-gluon Compton scattering and quark-antiquark annihilation processes. Bremsstrahlung photons contribution about 15%, primarily in the $x_{\gamma j} > 1$ region [87].

4 Unfolding and systematic uncertainties

To facilitate comparisons with theoretical predictions and with other experiments, we unfold the detector-level distributions to the level of stable particles. Two unfolding corrections are performed: one set for $(x_{\gamma j}, R_g)$ and the other for $(x_{\gamma j}, g)$. The corrections to the particle level account for bin-to-bin migration effects, background, and acceptance and efficiency corrections. To quantitatively describe the migration effects, a multidimensional response matrix is calculated using isolated photon+jet events at particle and detector levels. Both isolated photons and recoiling jets at particle and detector levels are matched by proximity in η - ϕ space. The migrations in $x_{\gamma j}$ are dominated by the smearing of the jet p_T . The unfolded distributions are normalized to the number of jets whose substructure is analyzed and that pass the given $x_{\gamma j}$ selection, N_{jet} . In the case of soft-drop groomed jets, this includes the jets that fail the soft drop condition.

Due to the sensitivity to statistical fluctuations in the detector-level distribution, we use regularized unfolding. We use D'Agostini iterative unfolding with early stopping [88], as implemented in the ROOUNFOLD package [89]. Unfolding corrects the full jet p_T (via $x_{\gamma j}$) and the jet substructure variables. Bin-by-bin matching purity and efficiency corrections are applied before and after the unfolding, respectively. The matching purity correction accounts for the fraction of detector-level jets that are not assigned to a generator level jet, whereas the efficiency correction accounts for the fraction of particle level jets that are not associated with a detector-level jet. The purity and efficiency corrections are of a few percent and nearly independent of R_g and g . The binning choice ensures a sufficiently large number of counts per bin for stable unfolding corrections. The number of iterations, which plays the role of the regularization parameter in D'Agostini unfolding, is such that the unfolded solution folded back to detector level is statistically compatible with the input distribution, the measured one.

Theoretical and experimental uncertainties are propagated in the unfolding procedure through variations of the response matrix, as well as the purity, and efficiency corrections. The following sources of systematic uncertainties are considered:

Physics model dependence: Regularized unfolding introduces a bias towards a MC generator-level spectrum in the unfolded solution to reduce the sensitivity to statistical fluctuations. To assess this bias, we use other assumptions for the prior spectrum to cover a reasonable range of possibilities. In addition, this results in a change in the detector migration matrix itself that is used for the unfolding corrections.

In pp collisions, the nominal MC sample used for unfolding is PYTHIA8 with the CP5 tune. We use HERWIG7.1.4 [90, 91] simulated events as an alternative MC sample, using the CH3 tune [79]. The HERWIG7 generator has an angular-ordered shower to account for color coherence effects, distinct from the p_T -ordered shower of PYTHIA8. HERWIG7 uses the cluster fragmentation model, different from the string model used in PYTHIA8. We take the difference of the unfolding determined with these variations relative to the nominal unfolded results as the respective systematic uncertainty. The resulting uncertainty is symmetrized bin by bin.

For PbPb collisions, we considered a variation of the PYTHIA8 CP5 events with a modification of its quark-gluon jet fraction, which is used as a proxy of medium-modification effects. It is expected that medium-induced jet modifications in general leads to a larger number of particles and momentum broadening. One way of assessing this effect in the corrections is by increasing the fraction of gluon jets. Quark and gluon jets have different shapes in R_g and g ; quark jets tend to be narrower than gluon jets on average. The modification of the quark-gluon jet fraction in simulation is done by fitting the jet substructure observables at the detector level using a

template fit with quark and gluon jet templates from simulated PYTHIA8 CP5 events. The template fitting yields a sample of MC events with a larger fraction of gluon-initiated jets (from 45% to about 65%) for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ while reducing the fraction of gluon-initiated jets (from 25% to about 12%) for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ compared with the generator level yields, which we use to reweigh the nominal PYTHIA8 CP5 sample. The unfolding procedure is repeated with this variation of the PYTHIA8 CP5 sample using reweighted events according to the quark/gluon jet fraction found in the template fit. The symmetrized difference with respect to the nominal result is used as the respective systematic uncertainty.

Regularization bias uncertainty: The optimal number of iterations in D'Agostini unfolding has a dependence on the particle level prior spectrum used for regularization. To quantify the uncertainty associated with the prior spectrum choice, we use the optimal number of iterations obtained with MC sample variations described in the previous paragraph (quark/gluon fraction changes for PbPb, HERWIG7 for pp) and compare it with the results obtained with the nominal number of iterations.

PF candidate energy scale uncertainty: To assess the impact of the individual energy calibration uncertainties of the PF candidates that are used for the jet substructure, we scale the four-momenta of the charged hadron and photon PF candidates by $\pm 1\%$ and of neutral hadron PF candidates by $\pm 3\%$ at the detector level in simulation [92]. The PF energy scale variations are done in an uncorrelated way, i.e., an up or down shift in the four-momenta for each PF candidate species at a time, such that six different variations of PF energy scale shifts are evaluated. The unfolding corrections are repeated for each variation, and the difference with respect to the nominal unfolding result is evaluated. This has a different effect in R_g and g . For R_g , it changes the fraction of subjets that previously failed (or passed) the soft-drop grooming condition, and it mildly modifies the substructure distribution itself via smearing of the subjet p_T . For g , since it is defined as the sum of the products of p_T of the constituents and their $y-\phi$ distance to the jet axis, the shift has a direct effect on its shape and thus the effect is stronger than for R_g .

Jet energy scale (JES) uncertainties: The uncertainty in the JES is evaluated from dijet and $\gamma + \text{jet } p_T$ balancing methods [66]. The uncertainty in the JES in pp collisions is around 3–4%, increasing as a function of $|\eta|$. In PbPb collisions, the uncertainty is around 4%, except for the barrel-endcap transition region ($1.3 < |\eta| < 1.6$), where it can become as large as 10%. An additional source of uncertainty in the JES is considered in PbPb collisions to take into account the differences in the particle mixture in simulation and data due to jet energy loss [17]. We construct an alternative set of unfolding corrections by varying the JES within its uncertainties. The JES uncertainty does not affect the jet substructure itself, since it is related to the calibration of the full jet. However, it can lead to migration effects via the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ (0.8) threshold used in our selection.

Jet energy resolution uncertainties: The uncertainty in the jet energy resolution is evaluated from a dijet balancing method [66]. In pp collisions, the uncertainty in the resolution is in the range of 2–4% in the barrel region, but is larger in the endcap and transition regions, where it varies in the range of 10–20%, depending on η . To propagate the uncertainty from jet p_T resolution, the data-to-simulation resolution scale factors are varied according to their uncertainties and the unfolding corrections are repeated. In PbPb collisions, there is an additional contribution to the jet energy resolution uncertainty because of the modeling of the UE in simulation with HYDJET. This uncertainty is evaluated by comparing the energy in randomly-distributed cones in data and simulation. The difference in data and simulation on the energy distributions obtained using the random-cone method is used to estimate the effect on the jet resolution. To estimate this uncertainty, we shift the centrality interval in simulation. To account for these

data-to-simulation differences, in practice the centrality in simulation is shifted by 4.5% for the nominal results, i.e, 0–30% central events in data correspond to 4.5–34.5% in simulation. For the respective uncertainty, we consider the intervals of 3–33% and 6–36% for the down and up variations, respectively.

Photon background subtraction uncertainty: Nominally, the normalization of the photon background from neutral hadron decays is determined using a template fit of the $\sigma_{\eta\eta}$ distribution using simulated signal photon+jet events and the shower shape distribution in data in a region dominated by background (sideband in \mathcal{I}). As an alternative method, we calculate the normalization of the background using control samples in data via the ABCD method, as explained in Section 3. The unfolding is repeated after this neutral-hadron photon decay background subtraction variation and the corresponding difference with respect to the yields extracted with the template fit is considered as the systematic uncertainty, which is symmetrized.

Response matrix statistical uncertainties: We consider the statistical uncertainties in the MC sample used to construct the response matrix as an additional systematic uncertainty, which is propagated in the unfolding procedure at each iteration.

The uncertainties are added in quadrature bin-by-bin for the final combination of systematic uncertainties. The uncertainties are considered to be bin-to-bin fully correlated, except for the statistical uncertainties of the unfolded distributions and the response matrix statistical uncertainties, whose covariance matrices are determined directly as part of the unfolding procedure ROOUNFOLD. The relative bin-by-bin uncertainties are shown in Table 1 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and in Table 2 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ in both pp and PbPb collisions. The dominant contribution to the measurement uncertainty is the model dependence used in the corrections, with the PF energy scale uncertainty being the subleading one. The uncertainties grow at large values of g and R_g . Statistical uncertainties are commensurate with the systematic uncertainties for the PbPb measurement, whereas they are smaller than the systematic ones for pp. Since the radiation pattern is modified in nontrivial ways in the case of PbPb collisions and since the PbPb and pp data were collected in different years, we consider the systematic uncertainties to be uncorrelated between the PbPb and pp measurements.

Table 1: Summary of bin-by-bin percentual relative uncertainties for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$.

Uncertainty source	g		R_g	
	pp	PbPb	pp	PbPb
Physics model dependence	1.3–7.5	1.2–2.5	0.2–5.3	0.9–5.4
Regularization bias	0.1–0.7	$\lesssim 0.1$ –1.2	$\lesssim 0.1$	$\lesssim 0.1$
Photon PF energy scale	0.4–1.5	1.8–5.1	0.2–0.6	0.1–2.9
Charged hadron PF energy scale	0.6–4.1	0.5–5.3	$\lesssim 0.1$ –0.5	$\lesssim 0.1$ –1.6
Neutral hadron PF energy scale	0.1–1.5	0.2–5.3	0.1–0.5	0.3–2.4
JES	0.2–3.3	0.2–2.6	0.1–1.5	0.3–3.3
JER	$\lesssim 0.1$ –2.0	$\lesssim 0.1$ –3.7	$\lesssim 0.1$ –0.2	0.1–1.8
Centrality	—	0.6–4.0	—	$\lesssim 0.1$ –2.4
Photon background subtraction	0.1–0.3	$\lesssim 0.1$	$\lesssim 0.1$ –0.2	$\lesssim 0.1$
Response matrix statistical	1.0–2.9	1.4–4.5	0.9–2.2	1.4–3.6
Total systematic	2.2–9.8	2.7–10.7	1.3–6.0	2.7–8.5
Total statistical	1.4–3.5	3.5–7.6	1.4–2.5	3.6–6.4

Table 2: Summary of bin-by-bin percentual relative uncertainties for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$.

Uncertainty source	g		R_g	
	pp	PbPb	pp	PbPb
Physics model dependence	0.3–8.9	0.1–7.3	0.6–3.0	0.1–5.7
Regularization bias	0.3–0.9	0.1–2.0	\lesssim 0.1–1.6	0.6–2.9
Photon PF energy scale	0.1–1.3	0.1–4.4	0.1–1.4	0.2–0.9
Charged hadron PF energy scale	0.5–3.7	0.1–8.6	\lesssim 0.1–2.5	0.1–1.3
Neutral hadron PF energy scale	0.0–2.4	0.2–7.5	0.1–1.9	0.1–3.7
JES	0.7–4.8	1.5–7.8	0.0–5.3	2.0–6.8
JER	\lesssim 0.1–1.0	0.2–3.0	\lesssim 0.1–2.1	0.3–3.0
Centrality	—	0.4–5.9	—	0.1–2.5
Photon background subtraction	\lesssim 0.1–0.1	\lesssim 0.1–0.1	0.1–0.1	\lesssim 0.1–0.1
Response matrix statistical	1.0–3.8	1.5–5.9	1.0–3.2	1.1–4.0
Total systematic	1.6–11.7	2.5–14.8	2.7–7.5	2.6–10.6
Total statistical	1.4–5.1	4.2–14.6	1.6–3.9	3.6–11.6

5 Results

The unfolded distributions of g and R_g of photon-tagged jets in pp collisions are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$, respectively. In pp collisions, one can use the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 categories to better understand out-of-cone radiation effects, which lead to an average shift in jet p_T [85]. On average, gluon-initiated jets experience a larger p_T shift from out-of-cone radiation than quark-initiated jets [85], so selecting events based on $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 leads to different parton flavor compositions.

The pp measurement is compared with MC simulation results from PYTHIA 8.230 CP5, PYTHIA 8.303 with the VINCIA shower [93], PYTHIA 8.303 with the DIRE shower [94], HERWIG 7.2.2 with an angular-ordered shower using tune CH3, and HERWIG 7.2.0 with a dipole shower [90, 91]. The DIRE shower implements a p_T -ordered color dipole shower, where the radiator–spectator particle pairs evolve simultaneously, and it includes higher-order corrections, such as triple-collinear or double-soft parton emissions. The version of VINCIA in PYTHIA 8.303 uses the antenna sector shower formalism [93, 95, 96]. The branching kernels, known as antenna functions, treat coherent sums of parton pairs without requiring a separation into radiators and spectators. Both VINCIA and DIRE have their respective set of tuning parameters in PYTHIA8. The dipole parton shower available in HERWIG7 uses the Catani–Seymour dipole factorization formalism [97]. We also compare the “hybrid” model predictions for vacuum radiation with the data [98], which consists of PYTHIA8 generator predictions with the Monash tune as a baseline [99], but without multiple parton interactions, a slightly larger p_T cutoff for the final-state radiation, and with the PDF set NNPDF2.3 QCD+QED LO [77]. All the predictions presented here use matrix elements at LO in perturbation theory for the hard scattering.

Differences between pp unfolded distributions and the MC simulated predictions are of the order of 20% in the bulk of the distributions. The largest discrepancies are at small and large values of g and at large values of R_g . This indicates that the jets measured in data are, on average, broader than the jets in simulation. The PYTHIA8 CP5 predictions describe the g distribution for both $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 selections within the uncertainties, but not R_g . The hybrid model predictions for pp have similar trends as PYTHIA8 CP5. The best global description is provided by the HERWIG7 CH3 generator for both $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 categories in the g and R_g variables, whereas the HERWIG7 generator with a dipole shower tends to have the largest dif-

ferences with the data. Recent measurements of jet substructure in Z +jet and dijet events in pp collisions [92] suggest that the jet substructure modeling depends on details of the quark and gluon jet composition of the sample. Photon+jet events are expected to have a larger quark jet fraction relative to inclusive jets for a similar jet p_T domain. Thus, the present measurement can help constrain the modeling of quark jet fragmentation in simulations, which can be useful to improve the modeling of the vacuum shower baseline used in predictions for medium-induced jet modification.




Figure 2: Unfolded distributions of jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^{\gamma} > 0.4$. The upper panels show the comparison of the observable in pp collisions and predictions from simulated events. The lower panels show the corresponding ratios of the MC calculations and data. The bands represent the total uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties.

The unfolded distributions of g and R_g for photon-tagged jets in PbPb collisions compared with those of pp collisions are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8. The ratios of the unfolded distributions of PbPb to pp are shown in Fig. 6 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and in Fig. 7 for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$. The ratios of PbPb to pp distributions are used to identify potential medium-induced modifications of the jet shower. For photon-tagged jets with $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ in Fig. 4, which corresponds to a more inclusive jet selection where both quenched and less quenched jets are selected, we observe no narrowing of the angular structure of jets produced in PbPb collisions relative to the distributions in pp collisions within the experimental uncertainties. For g , there are hints of a broadening of the substructure of the jet in PbPb collisions at large values of g , whereas R_g is consistent with pp within the experimental uncertainties. On the other hand, by selecting less quenched jets via $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ in Fig. 5, which is a less inclusive jet selection, we observe a narrowing of the angular structure of jets in PbPb collisions when compared with pp collisions, contrary to the trend observed in events selected with $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$. Figures 6 and 7 compare the measurements of the ratio of the unfolded distributions of PbPb to pp with the hybrid model [98]. The model is a “hybrid” approach of weak and strong coupling approximations to describe medium-induced jet modifications. It includes the modeling of energy loss due to the strong coupling between the partons and the medium, large-angle deflections of partons transversing the QGP, referred to as “Molière elastic scatterings” [13, 14], and the




Figure 3: Unfolded distributions of jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.8$. The upper panels show the comparison of the observable in pp collisions and predictions from simulated events. The lower panels show the corresponding ratios of the MC calculations and data. The bands represent the total experimental uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties.




Figure 4: Unfolded distributions of jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in PbPb and pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.4$ (selecting both more and less quenched jets). The bands represent the total uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties.




Figure 5: Unfolded distributions of jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in PbPb and pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^\gamma > 0.8$ (selecting less quenched jets). The bands represent the total uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties.

nonperturbative backreaction of the medium [100], also known as medium response. These effects are denoted as “elastic” and “wake” in Figs. 6 and 7, and the absence of such effects as “no elastic” or “no wake.” In the model, the medium resolution length is controlled by the parameter L_{res} [101], which corresponds to the minimum transverse length between two color-connected partons for the medium to resolve them separately. Three values of L_{res} are considered: $L_{\text{res}} = 0$, $2/(\pi T)$, and ∞ , where T is the temperature of the medium in the model. The $L_{\text{res}} = 0$ value corresponds to the incoherence limit where all the radiators are resolved by the medium. The $L_{\text{res}} = 2/(\pi T)$ corresponds to the expectation that L_{res} should be of the same order of magnitude as the Debye screening length [101] and it represents an intermediate scenario where only a fraction of the radiators interact with the medium. The $L_{\text{res}} = \infty$ value corresponds to the full coherence limit, where radiators are not resolved individually and the jet interacts with the medium as a single color charge. In the case of elastic scatterings with the medium, the present model accounts for them for $L_{\text{res}} = 0$.

Some conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of these theory predictions from the hybrid model to the experimental data. First, the contribution of the wake is negligible, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7, because of the small R parameter used in the measurement. Second, there is no consistent choice of parameters that can describe both the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 categories simultaneously. For $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ in Fig. 6, the incoherence case $L_{\text{res}} = 0$ overestimates the narrowing, while the model describes the data better when the Molière elastic scatterings are added, which broaden the jet, or when the limiting case of $L_{\text{res}} = \infty$ is considered. The $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ data sample alone cannot be used to separate the contribution of color coherence and elastic scatterings, but when used together with the unfolded distributions of $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$, one can better separate the consequences of such effects, as shown in Fig. 7. The incoherence limit $L_{\text{res}} = 0$ is favored in the $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$ category, and both the effects of elastic scatterings and the effect of nonzero L_{res} values result in a slight overestimation of the normalized yield at large R_g values. For g in Figs. 6 and 7, the results of the model comparison to the data are qualitatively the same as for R_g , with an underestimation of the PbPb-to-pp ratio at large values of g from all setups for $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$. Since the categories $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and 0.8 enable the selection of different degrees of jet energy loss, the present measurement has sensitivity on how the different physical mechanisms affect the radiation pattern of the jet as well as the jet energy loss. Thus, the present measurement can be used to optimize the model parameter choice together with existing measurements that are sensitive to other aspects of medium-induced jet modifications.




Figure 6: Ratio of the normalized yields of PbPb to pp data for jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in PbPb and pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^{\gamma} > 0.4$ (selecting both more and less quenched jets). The data are compared with the hybrid model predictions for $L_{\text{res}} = 0$ (upper) and for nonzero values of L_{res} without elastic scattering (lower). The bands around the data points represent the total experimental uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties. The uncertainties in the PbPb-to-pp ratio have been obtained assuming the PbPb and pp measurements are uncorrelated. The bands around the theory predictions represent the statistical uncertainties of the prediction.




Figure 7: Ratio of the normalized yields of PbPb to pp for jet girth g (left) and groomed jet radius R_g (right) of photon-tagged jets in PbPb and pp collisions for $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}}/p_T^{\gamma} > 0.8$ (selecting less quenched jets). The data are compared with the hybrid predictions for $L_{\text{res}} = 0$ (upper) and nonzero values of L_{res} without elastic scatterings (lower). The bands around the data points represent the total experimental uncertainties, whereas the vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties. The uncertainties in the PbPb-to-pp ratio have been obtained assuming the PbPb and pp measurements are uncorrelated. The bands around the theory predictions represent the statistical uncertainties of the prediction.

The measurement using photon-tagged jets is complementary to previous measurements in inclusive jet events. In inclusive jet measurements, the comparison between jets in PbPb and pp collisions is done at the same reconstructed jet p_T , but due to the jet energy loss that occurs in PbPb collisions, the comparison is not done for the same initial parton energies. In contrast to the trends observed by the ALICE and ATLAS Collaborations for R_g or g in inclusive jet events [37, 38], we do not observe a narrowing of the substructure of jets in R_g or g within the experimental uncertainties when selecting jets with $x_{\gamma j} > 0.4$ and $p_T^\gamma > 100$ GeV. One possible explanation is that, after reducing the selection bias effect due to jet energy loss, the sample of events being analyzed in photon-tagged events consists not only of narrow unquenched jets but also of broader, and thus more quenched, jets. By selecting less quenched jets via $x_{\gamma j} > 0.8$, we observe an effective narrowing in the angular substructure of jets produced in PbPb collisions, qualitatively similar to the distributions measured by the ALICE and ATLAS Collaborations [37, 38]. We verified that the conclusions of the study are the same even after imposing a requirement on $x_{\gamma j} < 1$ to reduce the contribution of bremsstrahlung photons.

6 Summary

In summary, we report the first measurements of the girth g and the groomed jet radius R_g of jets recoiling against isolated photons in lead-lead (PbPb) and proton-proton (pp) collisions. The analysis uses PbPb and pp collision data, both at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV. The distributions are unfolded to the particle level in order to facilitate comparisons between experiments and with theoretical predictions.

The transverse momentum p_T of isolated photons (p_T^γ) can be used as a proxy for the p_T of the high-virtuality parton that initiates the shower of the recoiling jet. This enables the disentanglement of the potential modification of the momentum and angular substructure of jets due to the interactions with the medium from the selection bias effects that can originate from jet energy loss. This is done using the transverse momentum imbalance, defined as the ratio of the hardest recoil jet p_T (p_T^{jet}) and p_T^γ , $x_{\gamma j} \equiv p_T^{\text{jet}} / p_T^\gamma$. It is found that jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}} / p_T^\gamma > 0.8$, i.e., those that closely balance the photon p_T^γ , are narrower in PbPb than in pp collisions. Relaxing the selection to include jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}} / p_T^\gamma > 0.4$ reduces the narrowing of the angular structure of jets in PbPb relative to the pp reference. These observations suggest that selection bias effects play an important role in the interpretation of the modification of the angular scales of jets in terms of medium-induced effects. The measured distributions are compared with calculations based on a hybrid strong and weak coupling model to describe medium-induced jet modifications. According to model predictions, the R_g and g distributions are not very sensitive to medium response effects or to variations of the medium resolution length. However, changes in the modeling of Molière elastic scatterings have an effect of 10–40% at large values of g and R_g . This shows the ability of the data to constrain the impact of Molière scatterings in a way that is effectively factorized from the effects of the wake and the medium resolution length.

Medium-induced jet modifications are commonly assessed by comparing jets and their substructure at the same reconstructed p_T in PbPb and pp collisions, which in the former case corresponds to the momentum of the jet after its interactions with the quark gluon plasma. These interactions are expected to broaden the jet and reduce its energy. Thus, in an inclusive jet measurement, when comparing populations of jets in PbPb and pp within the same measured jet p_T window, a selection bias can lead to an effective narrowing of the angular structure of jets in PbPb relative to pp. One possibility is that the population of jets that were initially broader (hence, more strongly quenched jets) has migrated to lower jet energies, whereas the

population of narrower jets (less strongly quenched jets) remains. Thus, events with high- p_T jets recoiling against energetic isolated photons can be used to better constrain genuine medium modifications of the jet shower, complementing measurements in inclusive jet production.

Acknowledgments

We thank Daniel Pablos and Krishna Rajagopal for providing their theoretical calculations of the groomed jet radius and jet girth adapted with the selection requirements used in this analysis.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Comput-

ing and FAIR – Future Artificial Intelligence Research, funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF “a way of making Europe”, and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] W. Busza, K. Rajagopal, and W. van der Schee, “Heavy ion collisions: the big picture and the big questions”, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **68** (2018) 339, doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-101917-020852, arXiv:1802.04801.
- [2] A. Adams et al., “Strongly correlated quantum fluids: ultracold quantum gases, quantum chromodynamic plasmas, and holographic duality”, *New J. Phys.* **14** (2012) 115009, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/11/115009, arXiv:1205.5180.
- [3] J. D. Bjorken, “Highly relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions: the central rapidity region”, *Phys. Rev. D* **27** (1983) 140, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.27.140.
- [4] G.-Y. Qin and X.-N. Wang, “Jet quenching in high-energy heavy ion collisions”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **24** (2015) 1530014, doi:10.1142/S0218301315300143, arXiv:1511.00790.
- [5] J.-P. Blaizot and Y. Mehtar-Tani, “Jet structure in heavy ion collisions”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **24** (2015) 1530012, doi:10.1142/S021830131530012X, arXiv:1503.05958.
- [6] J. Casalderrey-Solana and C. A. Salgado, “Introductory lectures on jet quenching in heavy ion collisions”, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **38** (2007) 3731, arXiv:0712.3443.
- [7] M. Gyulassy and M. Plumer, “Jet quenching in dense matter”, *Phys. Lett. B* **243** (1990) 432, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(90)91409-5.
- [8] R. Baier et al., “Radiative energy loss of high-energy quarks and gluons in a finite volume quark-gluon plasma”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **483** (1997) 291, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00553-6, arXiv:hep-ph/9607355.
- [9] R. Baier et al., “Radiative energy loss and p_T broadening of high-energy partons in nuclei”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **484** (1997) 265, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00581-0, arXiv:hep-ph/9608322.
- [10] J. Casalderrey-Solana and E. Iancu, “Interference effects in medium-induced gluon radiation”, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 015, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2011)015, arXiv:1105.1760.

- [11] Y. Mehtar-Tani, C. A. Salgado, and K. Tywoniuk, "Anti-angular ordering of gluon radiation in QCD media", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 122002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.122002, arXiv:1009.2965.
- [12] J. Casalderrey-Solana, Y. Mehtar-Tani, C. A. Salgado, and K. Tywoniuk, "New picture of jet quenching dictated by color coherence", *Phys. Lett. B* **725** (2013) 357, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.07.046, arXiv:1210.7765.
- [13] F. D'Eramo, M. Lekaveckas, H. Liu, and K. Rajagopal, "Momentum broadening in weakly coupled quark-gluon plasma (with a view to finding the quasiparticles within liquid quark-gluon plasma)", *JHEP* **05** (2013) 031, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)031, arXiv:1211.1922.
- [14] F. D'Eramo, K. Rajagopal, and Y. Yin, "Molière scattering in quark-gluon plasma: finding point-like scatterers in a liquid", *JHEP* **01** (2019) 172, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2019)172, arXiv:1808.03250.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, "Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 024906, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024906, arXiv:1102.1957.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, "First measurement of large area jet transverse momentum spectra in heavy ion collisions", *JHEP* **05** (2021) 284, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)284, arXiv:2102.13080.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, "Study of jet quenching with isolated-photon+jet correlations in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Lett. B* **785** (2018) 14, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.061, arXiv:1711.09738.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, "Measurement of the Splitting Function in pp and Pb-Pb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 142302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.142302, arXiv:1708.09429.
- [19] ALICE Collaboration, "Measurement of jet quenching with semi-inclusive hadron-jet distributions in central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *JHEP* **09** (2015) 170, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)170, arXiv:1506.03984.
- [20] ALICE Collaboration, "Measurements of inclusive jet spectra in pp and central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV", *Phys. Rev. C* **101** (2020) 034911, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.101.034911, arXiv:1909.09718.
- [21] ALICE Collaboration, "Exploration of jet substructure using iterative declustering in pp and PbPb collisions at LHC energies", *Phys. Lett. B* **802** (2020) 135227, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135227, arXiv:1905.02512.
- [22] ALICE Collaboration, "Medium modification of the shape of small-radius jets in central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV", *JHEP* **10** (2018) 139, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2018)139, arXiv:1807.06854.
- [23] ATLAS Collaboration, "Measurement of jet fragmentation in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector", *Phys. Rev. C* **98** (2018) 024908, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.98.024908, arXiv:1805.05424.

-
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of photon-jet transverse momentum correlations in 5.02 TeV PbPb and pp collisions with ATLAS”, *Phys. Lett. B* **789** (2019) 167, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.12.023, arXiv:1809.07280.
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, “Comparison of fragmentation functions for jets dominated by light quarks and gluons from pp and PbPb collisions in ATLAS”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 042001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.042001, arXiv:1902.10007.
- [26] STAR Collaboration, “Measurement of groomed jet substructure observables in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV with STAR”, *Phys. Lett. B* **811** (2020) 135846, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135846, arXiv:2003.02114.
- [27] STAR Collaboration, “Differential measurements of jet substructure and partonic energy loss in AuAu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV”, *Phys. Rev. C* **105** (2022) 044906, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.105.044906, arXiv:2109.09793.
- [28] L. Apolinário, Y.-J. Lee, and M. Winn, “Heavy quarks and jets as probes of the QGP”, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **127** (2022) 103990, doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2022.103990, arXiv:2203.16352.
- [29] L. Cunqueiro and A. M. Sickles, “Studying the QGP with jets at the LHC and RHIC”, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **124** (2022) 103940, doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2022.103940, arXiv:2110.14490.
- [30] J. M. Butterworth, A. R. Davison, M. Rubin, and G. P. Salam, “Jet substructure as a new Higgs search channel at the LHC”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 242001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.242001, arXiv:0802.2470.
- [31] A. J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, and J. Thaler, “Soft drop”, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 146, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)146, arXiv:1402.2657.
- [32] A. J. Larkoski, D. Neill, and J. Thaler, “Jet shapes with the broadening axis”, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 017, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)017, arXiv:1401.2158.
- [33] Y. Mehtar-Tani and K. Tywoniuk, “Groomed jets in heavy ion collisions: sensitivity to medium-induced bremsstrahlung”, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 125, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)125, arXiv:1610.08930.
- [34] H. A. Andrews et al., “Novel tools and observables for jet physics in heavy ion collisions”, *J. Phys. G* **47** (2020) 065102, doi:10.1088/1361-6471/ab7cbc, arXiv:1808.03689.
- [35] P. Caucal, E. Iancu, A. H. Mueller, and G. Soyez, “Vacuum-like jet fragmentation in a dense QCD medium”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 232001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.232001, arXiv:1801.09703.
- [36] P. Caucal, A. Soto-Ontoso, and A. Takacs, “Dynamically groomed jet radius in heavy ion collisions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 114046, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.114046, arXiv:2111.14768.
- [37] ALICE Collaboration, “Measurement of the groomed jet radius and momentum splitting fraction in pp and PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 102001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.102001, arXiv:2107.12984.

- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of substructure-dependent jet suppression in PbPb collisions at 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. C* **107** (2023) 054909, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.107.054909, arXiv:2211.11470.
- [39] J. Brewer, J. G. Milhano, and J. Thaler, “Sorting out quenched jets”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 222301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.222301, arXiv:1812.05111.
- [40] Y.-L. Du, D. Pablos, and K. Tywoniuk, “Deep learning jet modifications in heavy ion collisions”, *JHEP* **21** (2020) 206, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2021)206, arXiv:2012.07797.
- [41] J. Brewer, Q. Brodsky, and K. Rajagopal, “Disentangling jet modification in jet simulations and in Z +jet data”, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 175, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2022)175, arXiv:2110.13159.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, “The production of isolated photons in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 116, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2020)116, arXiv:2003.12797.
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, “Centrality, rapidity and transverse momentum dependence of isolated prompt photon production in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV measured with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. C* **93** (2016) 034914, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.93.034914, arXiv:1506.08552.
- [44] ALICE Collaboration, “Direct photon production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **754** (2016) 235, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.020, arXiv:1509.07324.
- [45] STAR Collaboration, “Direct virtual photon production in AuAu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **770** (2017) 451, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.04.050, arXiv:1607.01447.
- [46] PHENIX Collaboration, “Centrality dependence of direct photon production in $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV AuAu collisions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 232301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.232301, arXiv:nucl-ex/0503003.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the differential cross section for isolated prompt photon production in pp collisions at 7 TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 052011, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.052011, arXiv:1108.2044.
- [48] T. Becher, S. Favrod, and X. Xu, “QCD anatomy of photon isolation”, *JHEP* **01** (2023) 005, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2023)005, arXiv:2208.01554.
- [49] CMS Collaboration, “Jet Shapes of Isolated Photon-Tagged Jets in Pb-Pb and pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 152001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.152001, arXiv:1809.08602.
- [50] CMS Collaboration, “Observation of medium-induced modifications of jet fragmentation in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV using isolated photon-tagged jets”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 242301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.242301, arXiv:1801.04895.
- [51] W. T. Giele, E. W. N. Glover, and D. A. Kosower, “Jet investigations using the radial moment”, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 1878, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.1878, arXiv:hep-ph/9706210.

-
- [52] R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli and K. C. Zapp, “Medium response in JEWEL and its impact on jet shape observables in heavy ion collisions”, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 141, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2017)141, arXiv:1707.01539.
- [53] R.-Z. Wan et al., “Jet shape modification at LHC energies by JEWEL”, *Chin. Phys. C* **43** (2019) 054110, doi:10.1088/1674-1137/43/5/054110, arXiv:1812.10062.
- [54] “HEPData record for this analysis”, 2024. doi:10.17182/hepdata.151507.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2676164>.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, “Luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at 5.02 TeV in 2017 at CMS”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-19-001, 2021. <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2765655>.
- [57] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [58] CMS Collaboration, “Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3”, 2023. arXiv:2309.05466. Submitted to *JINST*.
- [59] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [60] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [61] CMS Collaboration, “Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **16** (2021) P05014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [62] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P06015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015, arXiv:1804.04528.
- [63] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [64] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [65] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of reconstruction and identification of τ leptons decaying to hadrons and ν_τ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P10005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10005, arXiv:1809.02816.
- [66] CMS Collaboration, “Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014, arXiv:1607.03663.

- [67] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [68] C. Loizides, J. Kamin, and D. d’Enterria, “Improved Monte Carlo Glauber predictions at present and future nuclear colliders”, *Phys. Rev. C* **97** (2018) 054910, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054910, arXiv:1710.07098. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.C* **99** (2019) 019901].
- [69] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [70] P. Berta, M. Spousta, D. W. Miller, and R. Leitner, “Particle-level pileup subtraction for jets and jet shapes”, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 092, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2014)092, arXiv:1403.3108.
- [71] O. Kodolova, I. Vardanyan, A. Nikitenko, and A. Oulianov, “The performance of the jet identification and reconstruction in heavy ions collisions with CMS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **50** (2007) 117, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0223-9.
- [72] ALICE Collaboration, “Measurement of event background fluctuations for charged particle jet reconstruction in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 053, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2012)053, arXiv:1201.2423.
- [73] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS”, *JINST* **6** (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [74] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [75] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [76] S. Gieseke, P. Stephens, and B. Webber, “New formalism for QCD parton showers”, *JHEP* **12** (2003) 045, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/12/045, arXiv:hep-ph/0310083.
- [77] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions for the LHC Run II”, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040, arXiv:1410.8849.
- [78] B. R. Webber, “A QCD model for jet fragmentation including soft gluon interference”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **238** (1984) 492, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(84)90333-x.
- [79] CMS Collaboration, “Development and validation of HERWIG 7 tunes from CMS underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 312, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-08949-5, arXiv:2011.03422.
- [80] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [81] I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, “A model of jet quenching in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions and high- p_T hadron spectra at RHIC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **45** (2006) 211, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2005-02426-3, arXiv:hep-ph/0506189.

-
- [82] Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. D. Leder, S. Moretti, and B. R. Webber, “Better jet clustering algorithms”, *JHEP* **08** (1997) 001, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1997/08/001, arXiv:hep-ph/9707323.
- [83] M. Wobisch and T. Wengler, “Hadronization corrections to jet cross-sections in deep inelastic scattering”, in *Workshop on Monte Carlo Generators for HERA Physics (Plenary Starting Meeting)*, p. 270. 1998. arXiv:hep-ph/9907280.
- [84] J. Mulligan and M. Ploskon, “Identifying groomed jet splittings in heavy ion collisions”, *Phys. Rev. C* **102** (2020) 044913, doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.102.044913, arXiv:2006.01812.
- [85] M. Dasgupta, L. Magnea, and G. P. Salam, “Nonperturbative QCD effects in jets at hadron colliders”, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 055, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/055, arXiv:0712.3014.
- [86] H. Voss, A. Höcker, J. Stelzer, and F. Tegenfeldt, “TMVA, the toolkit for multivariate data analysis with ROOT”, in *XIth International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research (ACAT)*, p. 40. 2007. arXiv:physics/0703039. [PoS(ACAT)040]. doi:10.22323/1.050.0040.
- [87] D. d’Enterria and J. Rojo, “Quantitative constraints on the gluon distribution function in the proton from collider isolated-photon data”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **860** (2012) 311, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.03.003, arXiv:1202.1762.
- [88] G. D’Agostini, “A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes’ theorem”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **362** (1995) 487, doi:10.1016/0168-9002(95)00274-X.
- [89] T. Adye, “Unfolding algorithms and tests using ROOUNFOLD”, in *PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding*, p. 313. 2011. arXiv:1105.1160. doi:10.5170/CERN-2011-006.313.
- [90] J. Bellm et al., “HERWIG7.0/HERWIG++3.0 release note”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 196, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4018-8, arXiv:1512.01178.
- [91] J. Bellm et al., “HERWIG7.2 release note”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 452, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8011-x, arXiv:1912.06509.
- [92] CMS Collaboration, “Study of quark and gluon jet substructure in Z +jet and dijet events from pp collisions”, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 188, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2022)188, arXiv:2109.03340.
- [93] W. T. Giele, D. A. Kosower, and P. Z. Skands, “A simple shower and matching algorithm”, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 014026, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.014026, arXiv:0707.3652.
- [94] S. Höche and S. Prestel, “The midpoint between dipole and parton showers”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 461, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3684-2, arXiv:1506.05057.
- [95] A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, and E. W. N. Glover, “Antenna subtraction at NNLO”, *JHEP* **09** (2005) 056, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/09/056, arXiv:hep-ph/0505111.



















- [96] H. Brooks, C. T. Preuss, and P. Skands, “Sector showers for hadron collisions”, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 032, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2020)032, arXiv:2003.00702.
- [97] S. Catani and M. H. Seymour, “A general algorithm for calculating jet cross sections in NLO QCD”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **485** (1997) 291, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(96)00589-5, arXiv:hep-ph/9605323. [Erratum: doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)81022-5].
- [98] J. Casalderrey-Solana et al., “A hybrid strong/weak coupling approach to jet quenching”, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 019, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)175, arXiv:1405.3864. [Erratum: *JHEP* **09** (2015) 175].
- [99] P. Skands, S. Carrazza, and J. Rojo, “Tuning PYTHIA8.1: the Monash 2013 tune”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3024, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3024-y, arXiv:1404.5630.
- [100] J. Casalderrey-Solana et al., “Jet wake from linearized hydrodynamics”, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 230, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2021)230, arXiv:2010.01140.
- [101] Z. Hulcher, D. Pablos, and K. Rajagopal, “Resolution effects in the hybrid strong/weak coupling model”, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 010, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2018)010, arXiv:1707.05245.

A The CMS Collaboration




Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöfbeck , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , S. Templ , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

















Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish³ , T. Janssen , P. Van Mechelen 











Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

E.S. Bols , J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat⁴ , G.P. Van Onsem , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 













Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Clerbaux , A.K. Das, G. De Lentdecker , H. Evard , L. Favart , P. Gianneios , D. Hohov , J. Jaramillo , A. Khalilzadeh, F.A. Khan , K. Lee , M. Mahdavihorrani , A. Malara , S. Paredes , L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , Y. Hong , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , G. Mestdach, K. Mota Amarilo , C. Rendón, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden , L. Wezenbeek 



















Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke , A. Bethani , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Mastrapasqua , T.T. Tran , S. Wertz 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , E. Coelho , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira , A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁶ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza, J. Martins⁷ , C. Mora Herrera , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , J.P. Pinheiro , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel , A. Vilela Pereira 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁶ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula 

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 




University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , S. Thakur 












Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , T. Javaid , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , J. Liu, K. Yi^{8,9} 


Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen¹⁰ , H.S. Chen¹⁰ , M. Chen¹⁰ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor¹¹ , H. Liao , Z.-A. Liu¹² , R. Sharma¹³ , J.N. Song¹², J. Tao , C. Wang¹⁰, J. Wang , Z. Wang¹⁰, H. Zhang 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao, S.J. Qian , X. Sun , D. Wang , H. Yang, L. Zhang , C. Zhou 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

K. Jaffel , N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer¹⁴

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao¹⁵ 





Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 





Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao , F. Ramirez , M. Rodriguez , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 









University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia




























































































M. Kovac , T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , K. Jakovcic, S. Mishra , A. Starodumov¹⁶ , T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , A. Hadjiagapiou, S. Konstantinou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 































Charles University, Prague, Czech RepublicM. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton **Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador**E. Ayala **Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador**E. Carrera Jarrin **Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**A.A. Abdelalim^{17,18} , S. Elgammal¹⁹**Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt**A. Lotfy , Y. Mohammed **National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken **Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen **Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**S. Bharthuar , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , K.T.S. Kallonen , R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , L. Martikainen , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , E. Tuominen , J. Tuominiemi **Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**P. Luukka , H. Petrow **IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , L. Portales , J. Rander, A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro²⁰ , P. Simkina , M. Titov , M. Tornago **Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France**F. Beaudette , A. Buchot Perraguin , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , M. Chiusi , F. Damas , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , I.T. Ehle , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan , L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , J. Motta , M. Nguyen , C. Ochando , R. Salerno , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , A. Tarabini , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche **Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France**J.-L. Agram²¹ , J. Andrea , D. Apparú , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert , C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , C. Grimault, R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , G. Saha , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove **Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France**S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , J. Choi , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , C. Dozen²² , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg, G. Grenier , B. Ille , I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao **Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia**

G. Adamov, I. Lomidze , Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁶ 

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , N. Röwert ,
M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , J. Erdmann ,
M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone ,
A. Jung , M.y. Lee , F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee ,
D. Noll , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , F. Rehm, H. Reithler ,
U. Sarkar , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , A. Stein ,
F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo²³ , S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski








































RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , W. Haj Ahmad²⁴ , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth ,
A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 


Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany




H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , S. Baxter ,
M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , S. Bhattacharya ,
F. Blekman²⁵ , K. Borras²⁶ , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina ,
S. Consuegra Rodríguez , G. Correia Silva , M. De Silva , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein ,
L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo²⁵ , A. Geiser , A. Giraldi , V. Guglielmi ,
M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , A. Jafari²⁷ , L. Jeppe , N.Z. Jomhari , B. Kaech ,
M. Kasemann , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange,
D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka²⁸ , W. Lohmann²⁹ , F. Lorkowski , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-
Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , A. Mussgiller ,
L.P. Nair , A. Nürnberg , Y. Otariid, J. Park , D. Pérez Adán , E. Ranken ,
A. Raspereza , D. Rastorguev , B. Ribeiro Lopes , J. Rübenach, A. Saggio ,
M. Scham^{30,26} , S. Schnake²⁶ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger²⁵ , D. Selivanova ,
K. Sharko , M. Shchedrolosiev , R.E. Sosa Ricardo , D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler ,
A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens²⁶ ,
C. Wissing , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos 

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , S. Bollweg,
M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean ,
J. Haller , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari ,
T. Kramer , V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , A. Mehta ,
L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez ,
T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoii , J. Schindler , P. Schleper ,
M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews,
M. Wolf 

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , A. Droll, N. Fal-
termann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann³¹ , R. Hofsaess , M. Horzela ,
U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , R. Koppenhöfer , J.M. Lawhorn , M. Link,
A. Lintuluoto , B. Maier , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , M. Neukum,
M. Oh , E. Pfeffer , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy ,
I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , M. Toms , N. Trevisani , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer 

S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , X. Zuo 





Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos³¹, A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

P. Kontaxakis , G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis , A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 







National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strogas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók³² , C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{33,34} , K. Márton, A.J. Rádl³⁵ , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , M.M.A. Gadallah³⁶ , Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , K. Mandal , G. Pásztor , G.I. Veres 




Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 















Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo³⁷ , F. Nemes³⁷ , T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

J. Babbar , S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra³⁸ , A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 














University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , S. Saumya 



Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Barman³⁹ , S. Bhattacharya , S. Dutta , S. Dutta, S. Sarkar










Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , J.R. Komaragiri⁴⁰ , D. Kumar⁴⁰ , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , S. Verma 












Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Dugad, M. Kumar , G.B. Mohanty , P. Suryadevara










Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , R.M. Chatterjee, R.K. Dewanjee⁴¹ , M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , A. Jaiswal, S. Kumar , G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath 




National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati⁴² , C. Kar , D. Maity⁴³ , P. Mal , T. Mishra , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu⁴³ , K. Naskar⁴³ , A. Nayak⁴³ , P. Sadangi, S.K. Swain , S. Varghese⁴³ , D. Vats⁴³ 

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Acharya⁴⁴ , A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Gomber⁴⁴ , B. Kansal , A. Laha , B. Sahu⁴⁴ , S. Sharma , K.Y. Vaish 

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴⁵ , E. Khazaie⁴⁶ , M. Zeinali⁴⁷ 
































Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani⁴⁸ , S.M. Etesami , M. Khakzad , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi 




























University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Grunewald 





INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , R. Aly^{a,c,17} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , B. D'Anzi^{a,b} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , A. Di Florio^{a,c} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,17} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , M. Louka^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^{a,b} , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellecchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^a , G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{a,c} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,b} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^a , R. Venditti^a , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 


















INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , L. Borgonovi^a , R. Campanini^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , D. Fasanella^{a,b} , P. Giacomelli^a , L. Giommi^{a,b} , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,49} , L. Lunerti^{a,b} , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,50} , A. Di Mattia^a , R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,50} , C. Tuve^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

P. Assiouras^a , G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a, G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 
















INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁵¹ , D. Piccolo 











INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

P. Chatagnon^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 















INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , G. Boldrini^{a,b} , F. Brivio^a , F. Cetorelli^a , F. De Guio^{a,b} , M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , R. Gerosa^{a,b} , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a , M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a , L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , S. Palluotto^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , B.S. Pinolini^a , G. Pizzati^{a,b} , S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} , D. Zuolo^a












INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , A. Cagnotta^{a,b} , F. Carnevali^{a,b} , N. Cavallo^{a,c} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} , A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,52} , P. Paolucci^{a,31} , B. Rossi^a , C. Sciacca^{a,b} 














INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^a , P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,53} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^a , G. Bortolato^{a,b} , A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , A.C.M. Bulla^a , R. Carlin^{a,b} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^a , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , F. Marini^a , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , M. Migliorini^{a,b} , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , F. Simonetto^{a,b} , G. Strong^a , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , H. Yarar^{a,b} , M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} , G. Zumerle^{a,b}














INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

S. Abu Zeid^{a,54} , C. Aimè^{a,b} , A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^a , D. Fiorina^a , P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 














INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , G.M. Bilei^a , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , M. Magherini^{a,b} , G. Mantovani^{a,b} , V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,55} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 
















INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy











P. Asenov^{a,b} , P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , R. Bhattacharya^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a , M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^a , A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , M. Musich^{a,b} , F. Palla^a , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^a , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Spagnolo^a , R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , F. Vaselli^{a,c} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy







C. Baldenegro Barrera^{a,b} , P. Barria^a , C. Basile^{a,b} , M. Campana^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} , D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^a , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^{a,b} , E. Longo^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a , R. Paramatti^{a,b} , C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a , R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , C. Borca^{a,b} , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippo^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , L. Markovic^{a,b} , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a

L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a , M. Ruspa^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , E. Vlasov^{a,b} , R. White^a 


INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^a , G. Della Ricca^{a,b} 


Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , C. Huh , B. Kim , D.H. Kim , J. Kim, H. Lee, S.W. Lee , C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , Y.C. Yang 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNU, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim 





Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han, B. Hong , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo 










Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , S. Yang 








Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H. S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee



Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , W. Jun , J. Kim , S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee , J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh, I.J. Watson 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 





Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu 


College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko , M. Seidel 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 







Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozas , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 







National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , I. Yusuff⁵⁶ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehwat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , H. Crotte Ledesma, E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁵⁷ , R. Lopez-Fernandez , C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 





University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bubanja , N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan 







AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski 

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , B. Boimska , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szeleper , P. Zalewski 

















Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski , A. Muhammad 

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny 



Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , T. Niknejad , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff













Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

















P. Adzic , P. Milenovic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain















M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , Oliver M. Carretero , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix 

M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez , Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , D.D. Redondo Ferrero , L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas , L. Urda Gómez , J. Vazquez Escobar , C. Willmott



















Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , J.R. González Fernández , P. Leguina , E. Palencia Cortezon , C. Ramón Álvarez , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Bhowmik , S. Blanco Fernández , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasiosa García , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos , J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 


University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

M.K. Jayananda , B. Kailasapathy⁵⁸ , D.U.J. Sonnadara , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 








University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna⁵⁹ , K. Liyanage , N. Perera , N. Wickramage 






















CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland















D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney , A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , B. Bilin , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , C. Botta , E. Brondolin , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , L. Forthomme , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , L. Gouskos , M. Haranko , J. Hegeman , B. Huber, V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , O. Kaluzinska , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , A.C. Marini , M. Matthewman, F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , D. Piparo , H. Qu , D. Rabadý , M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁶⁰ , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea , A. Tsiros, D. Walter , J. Wanczyk⁶¹ , J. Wang, S. Wuchterl , P. Zehetner , P. Zejdl , W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland






















T. Bevilacqua⁶² , L. Caminada⁶² , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶² , L. Noehte⁶² , T. Rohe 

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland








T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsov⁶¹ , M. Backhaus , G. Bonomelli, A. Calandri , C. Cazaniga , K. Datta , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , N. Härringer , T.G. Harte, D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese 

C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani⁶¹ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , C. Reissel , T. Reitenspiess , B. Ristic , F. Riti , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁶¹ , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 





















Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶³ , P. Bärtschi , M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , J.K. Heikkilä , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring , U. Molinatti , A. Reimers , P. Robmann , S. Sanchez Cruz , M. Senger , F. Stäger , Y. Takahashi , R. Tramontano 



National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶⁴ , D. Bhowmik , C.M. Kuo , W. Lin , P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari⁴⁰ , S.S. Yu 





















National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard , Y. Chao , K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen , Z.g. Chen , A. De Iorio , W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu , Y.w. Kao , S. Karmakar , R. Khurana , G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker , L.s. Tsai , H.y. Wu , E. Yazgan 



High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , Z.S. Demiroglu , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁵ , E. Eskut , Y. Guler⁶⁶ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁶ , C. Isik , O. Kara , A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁶⁷ , A. Polatoz , B. Tali⁶⁸ , U.G. Tok , S. Turkcapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 



Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

G. Sokmen , M. Yalvac⁶⁹ 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁷⁰ , O. Kaya⁷¹ , S. Tekten⁷² 



Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{65,73} , G.G. Dincer , Y. Komurcu , S. Sen⁷⁴ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek²⁴ , S. Cerci⁶⁸ , V. Epshteyn , B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁵ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert , C. Simsek , C. Zorbilmez 


Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Isildak⁷⁶ , D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁸ 



















Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov 


















National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 



































University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , M. Glowacki , J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , M.-L. Holmberg , L. Kreczko , S. Paramesvaran , L. Robertshaw , S. Seif El Nasr-Storey , V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou⁷⁷ , K. Walkingshaw Pass 




Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁷⁸ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , A.R. Sahasransu , G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal⁷⁹ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies, M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles , M. Knight , J. Langford , J. León Holgado , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , S. Malik, M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁸⁰ , M. Pesaresi , B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , K. Savva , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , L.H. Vage, T. Virdee³¹ , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 






Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , B. Caraway , E. Collins , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , M. Saunders , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson 





















Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez 


















The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

B. Bam , R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , S.V. Gleyzer , C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸¹ , E. Usai , R. Yi 




















Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar , D. Arcaro , C. Cosby , Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier , C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , F. Golf , S. Jeon , I. Reed , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , S. Yuan , A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Benelli , X. Coubez²⁶, D. Cutts , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan⁸² , T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , S. Mondal , M. Narain[†] , N. Pervan , S. Sagir⁸³ , F. Simpson , M. Stamenkovic , X. Yan , W. Zhang






University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , J. Bonilla , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , H. Cai , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , D. Pellett , W. Wei , Y. Yao , F. Zhang 















University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 







University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , W. Si , S. Wimpenny[†] 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , L. Giannini , J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , M. Quinnan , B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil









University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , J. Incandela , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , J. Richman , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vami , S. Wang









California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

A. Bornheim , O. Cerri , A. Latorre , J. Mao , H.B. Newman , G. Reales Gutiérrez , M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu









Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , M.B. Andrews , P. Bryant , M. Cremonesi , V. Dutta , T. Ferguson , A. Harilal , C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , P. Palit , M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill









University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hart , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , N. Manganelli , A. Perloff , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper









Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan , S. Hogan , P. Kotamnives , J. Monroy , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , J. Reichert , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou









Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA



M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , J. Dickinson , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , Y. Feng , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green , A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , L. Horyn , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid , K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁴ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁸⁵ , L. Ristori , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , A. Whitbeck , I. Zoi

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , L. Cadamuro , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field , E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K. Matchev , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , D. Rosenzweig , S. Rosenzweig , J. Wang

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA























T. Adams , A. Al Kadhim , A. Askew , S. Bower , R. Habibullah , V. Hagopian , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim , S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez , H. Prosper , P.R. Prova

M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang












Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , S. Das , T. Elkafrawy⁵⁴ , M. Hohlmann , R. Kumar Verma , M. Rahmani, E. Yanes












University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA, Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams , A. Baty , C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , M. Hawksworth, A. Hingrajiya, D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos , A.H. Merrit , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , E. Prifti, T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 
























The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend, K. Dilsiz⁸⁶ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁷ , J. Nachtman , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁸⁸ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁸⁹ 









Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , J. Marquez , N. Minafra , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu⁹⁰ , C. Rogan , C. Royon , R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , Q. Wang , G. Wilson 










Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , A. Kalogeropoulos , D. Kim, Y. Maravin , J. Natoli , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 



















Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

F. Rebassoo , D. Wright 










University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

A. Baden , A. Belloni , Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranipe, L. Wang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

J. Bendavid , I.A. Cali , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , A. Novak , C. Paus , D. Rankin , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , G.S.F. Stephans , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang













University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , S. Pandey , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Schroeder , N. Strobbe , M.A. Wadud 

University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA

L.M. Cremaldi 

















University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu 








State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , I. Iashvili , A. Kharchilava , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams 



























Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Dervan, Y. Haddad , Y. Han , A. Krishna , J. Li , M. Lu , G. Madigan , R. Mccarthy , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , B. Wang , D. Wood 









Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Bhattacharya , J. Bueghly, Z. Chen , S. Dittmer , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliercio , M. Velasco



















University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K.W. Ho , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹⁶ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson , A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygalá 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 




















Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

F.M. Addesa , H. Bouchamaoui , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg , N. Haubrich , G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow , I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , A. Shevelev , D. Stickland , C. Tully 




University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik 












Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

A.S. Bakshi , V.E. Barnes , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , D. Kondratyev , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic , J. Thieman , A. K. Viridi , F. Wang , W. Xie 



Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 


Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , T. Carnahan , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , W. Li , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi, J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 







University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , N. Parmar, P. Parygin⁹¹ , E. Popova⁹¹ , R. Taus 

The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA

K. Goulianos 








Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , S.V. Clark , D. Gadkari , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , M. Heindl , C. Houghton , D. Jaroslowski , O. Karacheban²⁹ , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash, H. Routray , P. Saha , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora , H. Wang








University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

H. Acharya, D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , S. Higginbotham , T. Holmes , A.R. Kanuganti , N. Karunarathna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier








Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , O. Bouhali⁹² , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang , T. Kamon⁹³ , H. Kim , S. Luo , R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens , A. Safonov








Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , V. Hegde , A. Hussain , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , Y. Chen , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli , A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen







University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , C. Neu , C.E. Perez Lara 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless , J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida , L. Pétré , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi , W. Vetens , A. Warden

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Andreev , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , M. Azarkin , I. Azhgirey , A. Babaev , A. Belyaev , V. Blinov⁹⁴, E. Boos , V. Borshch , D. Budkouski , M. Chadeeva⁹⁴ , V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov⁹⁴ , A. Demijanov , A. Dermenev , T. Dimova⁹⁴ , D. Druzhkin⁹⁵ , A. Ershov , G. Gavrilo , V. Gavrilo , S. Gninenko , V. Golovtsov , N. Golubev , I. Golutvin , I. Gorbunov , A. Gribushin , Y. Ivanov , V. Kachanov , V. Karjavine , A. Karneyeu , L. Khein, V. Kim⁹⁴ , M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , O. Kodolova⁹⁶ , D. Konstantinov , V. Korenkov , V. Korotkikh, A. Kozyrev⁹⁴ , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , P. Levchenko⁹⁷ , N. Lychkovskaya , V. Makarenko , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁴ , V. Murzin , A. Nikitenko^{98,96} , S. Obraztsov , V. Oreshkin , V. Palichik , V. Perelygin , S. Petrushanko , S. Polikarpov⁹⁴ , V. Popov , O. Radchenko⁹⁴ , R. Ryutin, M. Savina , V. Savrin , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , Y. Skovpen⁹⁴ , S. Slabospitskii , V. Smirnov , A. Snigirev , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , E. Tcherniaev , A. Terkulov , O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , L. Uvarov , A. Uzunian , I. Vardanyan , A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev⁹⁹, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin , A. Zhokin

†: Deceased

¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt

⁴Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁵Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁶Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁷Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

⁸Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

⁹Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

¹⁰Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹¹Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China

¹²Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹³Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China

¹⁴Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China

¹⁵Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

¹⁶Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

¹⁷Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁸Now at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt

¹⁹Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

²⁰Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

²¹Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²²Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey

²³Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

²⁴Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey

²⁵Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

²⁶Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

²⁷Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

²⁸Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany

²⁹Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

³⁰Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany

³¹Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

³²Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

³³Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

³⁴Now at Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

³⁵Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

³⁶Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

³⁷Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

³⁸Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

³⁹Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

⁴⁰Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

⁴¹Also at Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Mesra, India

⁴²Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴³Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴⁴Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

⁴⁵Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

- ⁴⁶Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴⁷Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴⁸Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran
- ⁴⁹Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁵⁰Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵¹Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁵²Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵³Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵⁴Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁵⁵Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁶Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁷Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁵⁸Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁹Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁶⁰Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁶¹Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶²Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶³Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶⁴Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁵Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁶Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁶⁷Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁶⁸Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁶⁹Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁷⁰Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷¹Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷²Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷³Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁴Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁵Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁶Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- ⁷⁸Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁹Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁰Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸¹Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸²Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
- ⁸³Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸⁴Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- ⁸⁵Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA
- ⁸⁶Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- ⁸⁷Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁸⁸Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey

⁸⁹Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

⁹⁰Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania

⁹¹Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹²Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

⁹³Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

⁹⁴Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁵Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁹⁶Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

⁹⁷Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁹⁸Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

⁹⁹Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan