

Ac



UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY

E3-94-169

SW 34 42

Yu.A.Alexandrov

SITUATION IN STUDY
OF ELECTRIC POLARIZABILITY
AND MEAN SQUARE CHARGE RADIUS
OF THE NEUTRON

Submitted to International Conference on Nuclear Data
for Science and Technology, May 9—13, 1994,
Gatlinburg, Tennessee, USA

SCAN-9410085



CERN LIBRARIES, GENEVA

1994

DISCUSSION

The definition of neutron electric polarizability (NEP) was advanced and its manifestation noted by Alexandrov and Bondarenko (1956) in connection with studies of neutron scattering by the Coulomb fields of a nucleus.¹ Polarizability is a fundamental characteristic of a particle introduced for the purpose of fully describing elementary particles interaction. It carries information not only about the ground but also excited nucleon states. Although the neutron was the first hadron for which attempts were made to measure the NEP (see, for ex.²) up to now only the polarizabilities of the proton and pion have been successfully measured with reasonable accuracy.

The best result for the NEP coefficient $(0.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^3$ was obtained by the Dubna-Germany-Latvia cooperation using neutron resonance technique on ^{208}Pb ³. Concerning the measurement performed by Schmiedmayer et al.⁴(Vienna-Oak Ridge cooperation) it was shown in ^{2,5} that this result should have given rise to doubt (mainly due to the influence of small angle neutron scattering ²). The discussion of Schmiedmayer's experiment led to the assumption that the data reduction in ⁴ only allowed the determination of an upper limit of about $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}^3$ for the NEP. It was also shown ^{2,3} that the NEP determined by neutron transmission depends on the neutron mean square charge radius (NMSCR).

In the limit case of low energy the "dimension" of a neutron may be defined with the help of NMSCR:

$$\langle r_E^2 \rangle = 6(dG_E/dq^2)_{q^2=0} \quad (1)$$

where G_E is the neutron electric form factor and q^2 is the four-moment transfer squared.

On the other side:

$$\langle r_E^2 \rangle = 6(dF_1/dq^2)_{q^2=0} + \frac{3}{2} \mu_n \hbar^2 / (M^2 c^2) \quad (2)$$

where F_1 is the Dirac form factor. The second term in (2) is of a magnetic nature associated with the Zitterbewegung of the neutron, satisfying the Dirac equation and having an anomalous magnetic moment μ_n . As for the first term, it arises from the neutron's internal structure, known as intrinsic NMSCR, related to the spatial distribution of charge density $\rho(\vec{r})$ inside the neutron⁶:

$$\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle = \int \rho(\vec{r}) r^2 d^3\vec{r} = 6(dF_1/dq^2)_{q^2=0} = (3\hbar^2/Mc^2)(a_{ne} - a_F) \quad (3)$$

where a_{ne} is the scattering of a neutron on an electron (ne-interaction), and $a_F = \mu_n(e^2/2Mc^2) = -1.468 \times 10^{-3} \text{ fm}$ is the Foldy scattering length. Experimental results

can be divided into two groups: from Refs.^{7,8}

$$\langle a_{ne} \rangle = (-1.309 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{-3} \text{fm}$$

which lead to $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle > 0$ in contradiction with modern theory, and from Refs.⁹⁻¹¹

$$\langle a_{ne} \rangle = (-1.577 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{-3} \text{fm}$$

which leads to $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle < 0$, in confirmation of modern theory (see, for ex.²).

Recently it was shown¹² that the most probable reason for the discrepancy between the results of the Garching, Germany⁸ and Dubna¹¹ determinations of the a_{ne} for bismuth is the difference in the methods of accounting for the influence of negative energy resonances on the measurable a_{ne} . Calculations of the σ_{tot} based on S matrices that do account for the phenomenon of inter-resonance interference has also shown that the additional inter-resonance term does not depend in any practical way on energy. Therefore, introduction of this term cannot affect the result of the a_{ne} determination in Dubna's experiment. This conclusion disagrees with the opinion made in¹³, but as shown in¹⁴ the result of¹³ cannot be considered correct. In¹² it was also shown that for ^{208}Pb the resonance scattering can be neglected in the electronvolt range of neutron energy.

CONCLUSIONS

In my opinion, the a_{ne} values obtained in^{7,8} are not well founded, and the actual $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle < 0$ is, (if eq.(3) is correct). This conclusion is in agreement with the results of⁹⁻¹¹ and with modern theoretical ideas^{2,15,16} but it disagrees with the result of the analysis of experimental data made in¹⁷. Nevertheless, no interpretation of the results^{7,8} can be achieved within the framework of known models of the neutron. If the^{7,8} results are correct, then a serious fault resists our understanding of the structure of the neutron. To conclude, let it be noted that sometimes a question arises of whether theoretical results should be compared with $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle$ or with $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle + \frac{3}{2}\mu_n \hbar^2 / (M^2 c^2)$. As all calculations of nucleon radii are usually performed under the assumption of a motionless (not recoiling) heavy nucleon ($M \rightarrow \infty$) for which $(dG_E/dq^2)_{q^2=0} = \frac{1}{6}\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle$, (see (1),(2) and (3)) it seems correct to compare the calculated result with $\langle r_{E,in}^2 \rangle$ (see, Ref.²)

REFERENCES

1. Yu.A.Alexandrov, I.I.Bondarenko, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.,31,726(1956).
2. Yu.A.Alexandrov, Fundamental Properties of the Neutron, p.210, Clarendon, Oxford (1992).
3. L.Koester et al. (to be published).

4. J.Schmiedmayer et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.66,1015(1991).
5. V.G.Nikolenko and A.B.Popov, Communication of JINR, Dubna, E3-92-254(1992).
6. L.Foldy, Rev.Mod.Phys.30,471(1958).
7. V.Krohn and G.Ringo, Phys.Rev.D8,1305(1973).
8. L.Koester et al., Z.Phys.A329,229(1988).
9. E.Melkonian et al., Phys.Rev.114,1571(1959).
10. Yu.A.Alexandrov et al., Yad.Fiz.20,1190(1975) [Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.20,623(1975)]; Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.89,34(1985) [Sov.Phys.JETP 62,19(1985)] .
11. Yu.A.Alexandrov et al., Yad.Fiz.44,1384(1986) [Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.44,900(1986)].
12. Yu.A.Alexandrov, Phys.Rev.C49,No5 (1994) (to be published).
13. V.G.Nikolenko and A.B.Popov, Z.Phys.A 341,365(1992).
14. Yu.A.Alexandrov, Z.Phys.A 344,219(1992).
15. A.W.Thomas, Adv.Nucl.Phys.13,1(1983).
16. A.Z.Gorski,F.Grummer and K.Goeke, Phys.Lett.B238,24(1992).
17. H.Leeb and C.Teichtmeister, Phys.Rev.C48,1719(1993).

Received by Publishing Department
on May 12, 1994.

SUBJECT CATEGORIES OF THE JINR PUBLICATIONS

Index	Subject
1.	High energy experimental physics
2.	High energy theoretical physics
3.	Low energy experimental physics
4.	Low energy theoretical physics
5.	Mathematics
6.	Nuclear spectroscopy and radiochemistry
7.	Heavy ion physics
8.	Cryogenics
9.	Accelerators
10.	Automatization of data processing
11.	Computing mathematics and technique
12.	Chemistry
13.	Experimental techniques and methods
14.	Solid state physics. Liquids
15.	Experimental physics of nuclear reactions at low energies
16.	Health physics. Shieldings
17.	Theory of condensed matter
18.	Applied researches
19.	Biophysics

Александров Ю.А.

E3-94-169

Ситуация в исследовании электрической поляризуемости и среднеквадратичного зарядового радиуса нейтрона

Обсуждается статус современного изучения электрической поляризуемости и зарядового радиуса нейтрона, связанного с его внутренней структурой. Приводятся значения последних экспериментальных данных, полученных в физике низких энергий. Обсуждается теоретическое состояние вопроса.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории нейтронной физики им. И.М.Франка ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 1994

Alexandrov Yu.A.

E3-94-169

Situation in Study of Electric Polarizability and Mean Square Charge Radius of the Neutron

This paper discusses the status of the study of electric polarizability and intrinsic charge radius of the neutron as for the end of 20th century. Recent experimental data in the field of low energy physics are presented. The current theoretical version of the problem interpreting these data is also discussed.

The investigation has been performed at the Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1994

Макет Т.Е.Попеко

Подписано в печать 25.05.94

Формат 60×90/16. Офсетная печать. Уч.-изд.листов 0.5

Тираж 325. Заказ 47248. Цена 90 р.

Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований
Дубна Московской области