

HEP Software Foundation Community White Paper Working Group – Training, Staffing and Careers

Dario Berzano,¹ Riccardo Maria Bianchi,² Peter Elmer,³ Sergei V. Gleyzer⁴
John Harvey,¹ Roger Jones,⁵ Michel Jouvin,⁶ Daniel S. Katz,⁷ Sudhir Malik,⁸
Dario Menasce,⁹ Mark Neubauer,⁷ Fernanda Psihas,¹⁰ Albert Puig Navarro,^{11,a}
Graeme A. Stewart,¹ Christopher Tunnell,¹² Justin A. Vasel,¹⁰ and Sean-Jiun Wang⁴

¹*CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA*

³*Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA*

⁴*University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA*

⁵*Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

⁶*LAL, Université Paris-Sud and CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France*

⁷*University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA*

⁸*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, USA*

⁹*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Italy*

¹⁰*Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA*

¹¹*Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*

¹²*University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA*

^a*Supported by SNF under contract 168169.*

ABSTRACT: The rapid evolution of technology and the parallel increasing complexity of algorithmic analysis in HEP requires developers to acquire a much larger portfolio of programming skills. Young researchers graduating from universities worldwide currently do not receive adequate preparation in the very diverse fields of modern computing to respond to growing needs of the most advanced experimental challenges. There is a growing consensus in the HEP community on the need for training programmes to bring researchers up to date with new software technologies, in particular in the domains of concurrent programming and artificial intelligence. We review some of the initiatives under way for introducing new training programmes and highlight some of the issues that need to be taken into account for these to be successful.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Career Paths for Software Experts	2
3	Training needs of the community	4
3.1	Classification of trainees	4
3.2	Knowledge that needs to be transferred	5
4	Advancing Training in HEP	5
4.1	Initiatives for Future Training Programmes	6
4.2	Web Based Training	7
4.3	Enhancing Current Training Programmes	9
4.4	Resources and Incentives	10
5	Other resources	11
6	Conclusions	12
	Appendix A Training Topics of Interest	13
	Appendix B Research Data Science Curriculum	14
	References	15

1 Introduction

The field of high energy physics (HEP) has consistently exploited the latest innovations in computational tools and technologies for processing data. The software toolset of the particle physicist is ever-growing, and the problem set increasingly complex. Young researchers will likely encounter a number of programming techniques with which they are totally unfamiliar, and will therefore need “on-the-job” training in order for them to be productive.

Evidently a strong research community comprises independent thinkers who ask research questions and direct their own analyses, instead of blindly following prescriptions and recipes. It is therefore imperative that training opportunities and resources are made available, such that adequate career path possibilities exist for people in HEP who would otherwise leave the field due to limited advancement opportunities.

To produce the best possible science, it is important that physicists can easily acquire essential software engineering skills. The nature and level of the expertise a physicist needs to acquire will vary according to the problems that need to be tackled. For example, basic data analysis requires familiarity with the Python programming language and with a broad range of data analysis libraries in current usage. However, those wishing to contribute to large open source projects, such as ROOT, require greater training in the use of software engineering practices that cover the full development life-cycle. Clearly a thorough understanding of the physics problem domain is essential, making it necessary to provide a career path for those young physicists willing to invest time and effort in becoming software specialists.

In each HSF workshop, a consensus on the need for proper tutoring, training, and relevant career possibilities emerged as the basic ingredients for ensuring success. The main requirements and challenges to be faced were identified as follows:

- to encourage and provide incentives to the members of the HEP community to train students and other collaborators,
- to properly assign credit to software development as a scientific discipline, including training activities,
- to establish policies for the hiring and long-term retention of researchers specialising in computing,
- to address the gap in formal software training that is not always given by universities as part of a physicist’s education.

2 Career Paths for Software Experts

The introduction and development of sophisticated software tools has led to improvements in the performance of our data processing software. The personnel who drive

these advances through novel implementations work on a broad range of tasks, including the development of high speed DAQ systems, of modern databases for storing the state of a detector, the management of data flow from the first trigger level to the final analysis dataset, as well as the development of algorithms and mathematical tools for extracting publishable physics results.

As tools become more complex, physicists must be continuously retrained in order to utilize them effectively. It is important to find a way to deploy training efforts holistically and cross-experimentally, whenever possible, in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of the effort involved. This will require organization and coordination to ensure that different software teams can agree on common standards, thereby allowing different experiments to adopt the same solutions in an efficient way.

Beyond the immediate benefit to the scientific community in having well-trained collaborators, most people who start a physics graduate programme will have careers outside of academia. This trend is reflected in several calls for proposals from national scientific funding agencies that promote training in computer infrastructure areas, such as the EU's Horizon 2020 programme and those from the National Science Foundation (NSF) [1, 2].

A key goal is to find incentives that will encourage increasing numbers of people to dedicate their time and effort to train their colleagues. To be effective, the training must be done by people who work at the cutting edge of the technology and who in many cases are found among the youngest researchers. These are also the very same people who are most in need of officially recognized credits for the advancement of their careers. Currently, visibility and recognition is given mainly to those working on data analysis projects, rather than to the development and support of the underlying software. One solution to this problem would be the establishment of specific career paths for researchers specialising in scientific software development. However, the practical implementation of such an approach is extremely challenging, as there are a wide range of institutions involved that belong to different countries with their own policies, priorities, and funding strategies.

Broadly speaking, two scientific profiles of researchers could be envisaged. A physicist could make a detailed plan of what they need, expressed in a tidy requirements document, and a computer scientist could use this document to provide the required solution. This is how the software development process typically works in the software industry. However, in HEP requirements are rapidly changing, forcing developers and physicists to interact closely during the entire process of software development. This works smoothly only when both communities have the same goals and speak the same language, hence the need for physicists with a good knowledge of computer science.

The first possible career path would be that of a *physicist with computing science specialisation*, also known as a physicist-programmer in some communities. (It would be conceptually different to that of a *computer scientist* as the two have different

goals, seek different paths to the solution of their problems, and usually do not even share the same language.) Such people would have an active role in physics analysis, and so meet many of the criteria needed for an academic career path.

The second would be the path of a domain-specific *software engineer*. Such a person has the primary role of developing software and finding software solutions, but with a large domain-specific knowledge to understand relevant use cases and the available solutions. Such posts are almost impossible to establish in current academic institutions; rather being seen by many funding agencies and universities as short term technical positions. This does not allow domain specific expertise to be acquired or retained in our field.

Both career paths suffer in academic terms from few or poorly cited publications, few opportunities to win grants in their own right and little opportunity for impact with commerce and wider society. These three failings make an academic appointment challenging or disfavoured as compared to other applicants. However, there are measures that can be taken to address all three weaknesses, which we expand on in the remainder of this document.

3 Training needs of the community

The HEP community consists of people with diverse software experience, interests, and time availability to learn new techniques. Any training programme must take into account the variation in target audiences. For example, an undergraduate doing a summer research project has different needs and skills than their professor.

3.1 Classification of trainees

For purposes of training we can broadly classify four different experience levels:

- *Beginner*: New collaborators with no knowledge of the tools or techniques they are expected to use. These are people in need of some kind of formal training in modern computing techniques, such as compiled and scripting languages, together with operating system basics such as filesystems, version control, and command-line shells.
- *Intermediate*: People with some experience in concepts and tools, but looking to supplement their experience with more recent and modern approaches.
- *Advanced*: Experts who have mastered current technologies and implementations and who want to stay up-to-date with new advanced developments.
- *Software Specialists*: HEP scientists in charge of software development in areas not limited only to analysis, such as DAQ systems, computing infrastructure, databases, pattern recognition, and complete frameworks.

Each of these groups has very different training needs. However, *whenever possible*, any training programme should take advantage of developments in pedagogy, such as active learning [3], peer learning [4], and web-based training.¹ In some cases, it may even be advantageous to hand out code samples that are purposely broken or flawed, and ask students to fix or improve them. Learning the material in a way that sticks is difficult and challenging for both the students and the instructor and often takes more time than we would prefer. However, this is the best way to educate scientists who can fully contribute to the physics programmes at large, which is really the ultimate goal of any training programme.

3.2 Knowledge that needs to be transferred

At all stages of software and computing training, we should take care to encourage *good practices across the community*, such as ensuring error checking, modularity of code design, version control, writing tests, etc. All the key concepts addressed in training should not be specific to a particular experiment or field of application, but general enough to be useful to the whole HEP community and beyond. A number of specific concepts need to be taught, in order to guarantee the basic level of competence needed to write efficient code for the various tasks that need to be performed in HEP experiments. These include programming concepts, data structures, basics of code design, error checking, code management tools, validation and debugging tools. More advanced topics include modularity of code design, advanced data structures, evaluation metrics, writing tests and working with different types of hardware accelerator. Additionally, special emphasis should be given to reporting results and documenting them.

Some of the training subjects considered important to pursue are listed in Appendix A.

4 Advancing Training in HEP

The implementation of training should employ different training formats, such as videos, wikis, lectures, jupyter notebooks and advanced visualizations, etc., so that people can learn in a familiar and effective manner and in such a way that experts are encouraged to share their knowledge.

An important point to consider is the difficulty, often experienced in the past, in developing large software programs across different experiment collaborations. While there already are experiment-specific training efforts in place, there are many needs that are in common. Establishing a common training programme could help facilitate the sharing of experience amongst different experiments. This would reduce duplication of efforts and enable growth of a shared training culture by accumulating and

¹See §4.1.

sharing expertise. To realise this goal, a possibility could be the creation of a *federation* of training initiatives, aimed at improving the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of this important activity. An appropriate incentive programme to reward those who train the community might help to facilitate the goals of such an initiative.

When trying to exchange training materials within a group the first problem is to convince authors to contribute their work. This issue can be alleviated by addressing the correct assignment of intellectual property. Another problem is that trainers do not like to reuse given material as-is. They usually want to refactor it, building their own training history. This makes it difficult to have everyone agree on common approaches. It is even challenging to agree to host material in the same centralized place. A way to overcome this could be to settle for a centralized catalog, with each author being free to host their material in their location of choice.

Another challenge is the wide range of student competence. Special care must be given to setup a training structure that can manage both introductory and advanced material. This may be addressed by organising course material as a large number of independent topics. The IN2P3 authors try to restrict their tutorials to 25 minutes, as inspired by the Pomodoro technique[5], so that one can easily jump and compose one's own curriculum. However, it turns out to be tricky to keep such small tutorials really independent and meaningful when they can be selected at will.

All trainers have also faced the tremendous loss of time in software installation for any practical exercises as many students have not managed to prepare their machines in advance. Here containers may bring real progress, provided that everyone has at least Docker[6] installed on their machine. JupyterHub[7] is a technology which supports training sessions without the need for specialist installations.

4.1 Initiatives for Future Training Programmes

Some methods that can be used for location independent training include Massive Online Open Courses (MOOCs), hands-on workshops, online knowledge bases, expert trainer volunteer networks, and web-based training approaches.

MOOCs can be used to develop an open-source set of tutorials and tools. Existing online courses such as Udacity [8] and Coursera [9] can be evaluated and exploited by the community. A novel approach such as WikiToLearn [10] could also be explored to assess potential benefits (as has already been attempted in the context of the GridKa School of Computing [11], see below).

Experiment-specific and global knowledge bases can be established with incentives for experts to contribute. They can be open-source so that a lot of knowledge can be added by the trainees themselves as information is learned; this is the particular context where an approach such as WikiToLearn could be of great help.

Question-and-answer websites such as Stack Overflow [12] for HEP are also a very useful resource for common problems and questions. This approach has already been considered by HSF start-up members, and turned out to be difficult to pursue due

to the lack of a critical mass needed by Stack Overflow, but in the future, boundary conditions might change, making this approach viable.

Hands-on workshops are an invaluable part of learning how to apply theoretical concepts in practice. Identifying which of the current workshops are productive and useful, and if they cover all the topics that need to be transferred and that are in demand by the students, is an important action item.

Creating an expert tutor volunteer network is another way to provide training and support to the community. This of course requires proper recognition, at least in terms of career prospects as an incentive for young researchers. It is clear that the best possible tutors are, in principle, those people who are actively engaged in modern software developments: these are often young researchers in the first steps of their careers and, in order to be attracted to devoting substantial time to training and tutoring, they must be assured that such an effort would be properly recognised in an official way. Such kind of recognition is not currently implemented, at least not in a standardized or official way. A possible structure for such a network could be the establishment of a *federation* of existing schools, as discussed in §4.3.

4.2 Web Based Training

Difficulties that have emerged in the past with respect to implementing training courses are the lack of funding and the lack of available time by experts in the field. People with enough expertise or insight usually don't have time to devote to prolonged periods of student training, and, even when they can find time, the cost of setting up a training course in an effective way is often beyond what is made available by funding agencies (funds for travel, hosting, setting up a room with a computing infrastructure to allow interactive hands-on session, etc.) A possible solution is a completely different approach to training, using a web-based platform to provide training materials to students. This would be complementary to the already existing and successful efforts such as the CERN School of Computing's Bertinoro and KIT ones.

The web based approach has several advantages over traditional ones:

- Tutors can add material to the web site at a very slow pace (whenever they find time to do it, one slide a week or a chapter a day) .
- Their material, publicly available on a web-site, can be further expanded by collaborators (also at their own pace) or, even better and more productively, by students who decide to contribute new additions, examples, exercises etc. Such a collaborative effort allows more people to be exposed to training at any given time, creates a sense of community, and creates bridges between people in contiguous areas of research. Students can use the same platform to exchange their own examples, make suggestions and point out interesting concepts. In

such a model, the possible contribution from others to the training material needs to be moderated and validated with appropriate policies.

- If complemented by the availability of remote virtual machines (possibly via a browser), students could have access to examples and exercises that are already embedded in their own natural environment: all the necessary tools and libraries needed to implement the exercise will be already available in the virtual machine (possibly a Docker container). With just a web browser, students could run complex examples from home, taking advantage of a remote facility that provides some storage and computing power. Important here is the concept of “environment”: a Docker container could be set up in such a way that students will work in an exact replica of the environment they will be exposed to in their experiment. Moreover, students could be provided with Docker containers that preserve their modified environment across sessions, allowing them to develop their skills over a prolonged period of time by accessing all the files that were made persistent day by day during the training.
- There would no longer be a need to find the resources to host a school and pay the tutor(s) (and eventually subsidize the students to participate in training in a remote location). Students could follow the training at their own pace from wherever they happen to be. A traditional school only lasts for 5 days (10 at most) and it is difficult to cover a subject to any significant depth in such a short time. The web approach, instead, would allow for very long and in-depth coverage of any kind of subject, and in this sense it could be a *complementary* approach to a traditional school. Of particular interest could be courses such as “Machine Learning”, “Statistical Analysis with ROOT”, or even just “Good practices in C++” or “Python Programming for scientific computing”.
- Finally, this approach could allow the creation of *browsable* repositories of all training materials, grouped by argument, by relevance, by experiment or whatever other criteria. Students from all over the world could be exposed to a large repository of examples, exercises and in general training material from their own home.

An example of a such a web-based platform already exists, and has been implemented as an Open Source project (backed by Wikimedia) by a group of more than 30 Italian students. The project is WikiToLearn [10]. It hosts training material in several languages, for several disciplines, ranging from Economics to Physics, Mathematics, and several others. Because it is based upon wikimedia [13] software, it is very easy to add material to the site, and to make it appear under a specific topic (such as Software/Techniques/Machine-Learning) and to manipulate it as if it were a single document. In the end, students can selectively choose individual chapters

from the site and have the corresponding pdf sent them as a book, complete with index, content, and chapters.

The adoption of such an approach is made rather easy in WikiToLearn by the relative simplicity of the wikimedia-based toolset: users contribute their training material using just a web-browser, and in order to do this efficiently, the necessary learning curve has been kept appropriately shallow. An interesting exercise in this context has recently been made by colleagues of the GridKa School of computing: the material from this year (2017) has been made publicly available on WTL [14]. This constitutes an interesting example of what can be accomplished using this platform; it is just a first example of what is possible, but an inspiring one.

Another example of web-based platform is a collection of online tutorials (mostly written in French) hosted on the Gitlab IN2P3 server [15]. Taking advantage of the Gitlab ability to host Docker images, those tutorials aim to avoid the “damned installation step” that often absorbs half of a training session. Similarly to the GridKa School for WikiToLearn, the annual IN2P3 Computing Days are an opportunity to refresh and extend the collection of tutorials every year. Future work will focus on English translation, and the development of a web site which will index the above tutorials, together with the best recommended external tutorials.

Finally it should be important to evaluate, if and to which extent, the complementary approaches to training, such as schools and dedicated web-site courses, could be of mutual benefit, in other words how to make them efficiently cooperate in the development of a complete training program.

4.3 Enhancing Current Training Programmes

To achieve our goals for training the community, we can take advantage of existing training forums. Resources such as conferences, workshops, and schools (in person and online) can provide a lot of value for our training purposes with little effort to set up. We should leverage the existing training forums that most closely match the HEP community’s needs.

Within the HEP community, there are already some working examples of dedicated training environments that alternate between general topics and experiment-specific topics. The LHC Physics Center (LPC) at Fermilab hosts Hands-on Advanced Tutorial Sessions (HATS) [16] throughout the year to introduce and train participants in topics as diverse as the latest b -tagging algorithms, Git/GitHub, and machine learning. These HATS provide face-to-face time with instructors and participants at Fermilab, and also allow remote collaborators to join in by video and complete the same online exercises. A similar approach is in use in the CMS Data Analysis Schools (CMSDAS) [17], a series of week-long workshops that now take place at multiple labs all over the world and are designed to ramp up new collaborators in CMS-specific analysis tools while providing some discussion of the physics as well.

Other examples are CERN School of Computing [18], CERN OpenLab Software workshops [19] education in collaboration with industry partners, and a series of more advanced topical training courses provided by MPI Munich and DESY that focus on advanced programming, use of acceleration hardware and statistical tools including machine learning. This list includes the Bertinoro [20], GridKa [11], and CODAS-HEP [21] Schools of Computing.

Over the past decade, MOOCs have been developed by universities and private organizations. They have been well received by industry and academia. In addition, they provide a lot of flexibility in terms of cost and use of time; they are typically free and open for enrollment at any time of the year. Since the material can be accessed at any time and revisited at any time, they can be completed at a pace that makes sense, for example, for a physicist who needs to learn machine learning in a piecemeal way.

There are a growing set of MOOCs teaching various subjects. Since there are many options, there is a wide variety with respect to the depth of the material and specific tools taught. Exploring these options allows us to choose which is the right offering for the knowledge needed to work on a specific experiment. We can pick and choose modules to tailor an appropriate roadmap of skills to learn. More difficult will be to assemble specific training material not already available elsewhere in an efficient and organized way, since this requires adequate organization, volunteers and a suitable infrastructure.

Several industry conferences already exist that bring together those in academia and industry who are at the cutting edge of these techniques. Conferences such as NIPS [22] and PyData [23] provide a focused place where attendees can learn a lot about machine learning in a short period of time. Machine learning concepts such as current methods, tools, and problems facing industry and academia can be learned at conferences. In addition, conferences are an excellent networking opportunity; attendees can meet and share ideas with fellow learners and experts. Bonds can be formed quickly at conferences that can be maintained after the duration of the conference. These connections to the outside community can be essential since we will be evolving training materials to ensure that they stay relevant over time.

For example, at the time of this writing, Coursera [9] and Udacity [8] both provide great machine learning massive open online courses at no cost. These two courses both provide a great foundation for assimilating machine learning fundamentals. However, Coursera's approach emphasizes more theory (with more math background necessary) and uses MATLAB/Octave while Udacity's approach emphasizes more practical aspects using machine learning techniques using Python tools.

4.4 Resources and Incentives

It should be considered that some graduate student advisors, might need to be encouraged to make sure their students are properly trained. Sometimes, students are

instead pushed to learn the bare minimum to get the work done, at the expense of a broader training/education curriculum that could actually yield improved results further down the line. One incentive would be to provide training programmes that also count as course credit, perhaps as an elective. This model is already in limited use with some online solutions [24], but this is not universal. Discussions should be started with collaborators at higher education institutions to see what the roadblocks or opportunities would be for these training sessions to serve double duty. It should also be considered that not all students will end their career in research or academia: their contribution to the research activity, as students, should therefore also provide them knowledge, know-how, and skills considered a valuable asset by industry, in order to increase their chances of a career outside research.

Training is something that a large cross-section of the community understands to be important, but finding time and effort to contribute to this project is not actively on the radar of most potential volunteers. Providing incentives for their participation and creating the appropriate platforms can go a long way to reach a productive training environment. It can be as simple as inviting someone to give a software tutorial on the subject that they are familiar with, give a lecture or seminar or contribute to a growing knowledge base.

Another important incentive is recognition. For younger members of the community, having the opportunity to create a training resource, such as a software tutorial or a knowledge base on a particular topic, is very empowering and motivational to continue the efforts of training others. Engaging younger members of the community is crucial to long-term success of HEP training endeavors.

In the context of web-based training, if the HSF helps to constitute a living collection of online tutorials, we could organize regular events such as a “Tutorial of the month”, or some sort of “like” system for the students to support their favorite tutorials. The best authors must be recognized, so that they can showcase their most popular online tutorials, just the same as their research publications.

It is also critical to incorporate training into grant proposals so that it can be connected with other areas such as research and development. Efforts like DIANA-HEP [25] and AMVA4NewPhysics [26] that combine training and software development are good examples of such ideas in practice. More examples of such efforts are needed.

5 Other resources

Software Carpentry [27] and Data Carpentry [28] are two parts of The Carpentries organization that collaboratively build and teach some of the basic concepts in developing and maintaining software, and analyzing data, respectively. The materials that they develop and use are open, and can be customized for science domains (e.g., HEP), or participant groups (e.g., undergraduates). Their model is that they offer

training of trainers, and then the trainers who have graduated can offer training under the SC/DC names, though of course, anyone can use the SC/DC materials without doing it under the SC/DC names. Subjects covered in a typical Data Carpentry school are given in Appendix B.

While Software Carpentry is leveraged to build a foundation of knowledge for later more advanced concepts, it is important to note much of this material is developed specifically for this course and not a part of a larger Software Carpentry programme. This course focuses on a shallow but wide building of foundational skills approach, introducing many base concepts but not going deep into any one concept, with a through line of Open Science and Ethical Data Usage.

6 Conclusions

The HEP community by and large acknowledges and recognizes the great importance of training in the field of scientific computing. This activity should encompass several types of *students*, from undergraduates, to young researchers, up to senior physicists, all of them in need of an appropriately designed training path in order to be proficient in their scientific endeavours.

We have identified a certain number of problems that need to be overcome in setting up an appropriate training programme:

- Costs and relative funding
- Incentives
- Career paths
- Overall organization across experiments, countries and corresponding Funding Agencies

For each of these points we have provided an overview of the current situation and made proposals to improve the situation in HEP. The ideas presented need to be developed further and concrete actions in the community need to be implemented, which we will undertake in the HSF Training Working Group[29].

A Training Topics of Interest

- Basic and Advanced Programming Concepts
 - Object oriented paradigm
 - Compiled languages (C++)
 - Scripting languages (Python, Javascript,...)
- Algorithms
 - Boost library
 - STL algorithms for containers
 - R and/or ROOT
- Frameworks (development or application level)
 - Qt
 - ROOT
 - experiment specific frameworks (possibly if of potential interest outside the originating experiment)
- Code design (design patterns)
- Development tools
 - IDEs (Integrated Development Environment)
 - Debuggers
 - Profilers
- Evaluation metrics
- “Trust” metrics such as data driven tests
- Specific software implementation training
- Good practices
- Code style and clarity
- Scripting and data cleaning
- Reporting results reproducibly
- Writing Documentation

B Research Data Science Curriculum

This list is taken from the curriculum of the CODATA-RDA Research Data Science Summer School in progress in Trieste, Italy during July 2017.

(<http://indico.ictp.it/event/7974/>):

- Introduction
- UNIX Shell programming (Software Carpentry Module)
- GitHub (Software Carpentry Module)
- R (Software Carpentry Module)
- BYOD (Bring Your Own Data) best practices
- Data Management with SQL (Software Carpentry Module)
- RDM Storage Management
- Visualisation
- Machine Learning Overview - Recommendation
- Recommender Systems
- Artificial Neural Networks and other Machine Learning Systems
- Research Computational Infrastructure

References

- [1] *Training-based Workforce Development for Advanced Cyberinfrastructure (CyberTraining) Webinar*. URL:
https://www.nsf.gov/events/event_summ.jsp?cntn_id=190179&org=NSF.
- [2] *Cyberinfrastructure Training, Education, Advancement, and Mentoring for Our 21st Century Workforce (CI-TEAM)*. URL:
https://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pims_id=12782.
- [3] *Active learning approach*. URL:
<http://www.crlt.umich.edu/tstrategies/tsal>.
- [4] *Peer learning approach*. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peer_learning.
- [5] *Pomodoro Technique*. URL:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pomodoro_Technique.
- [6] *Docker Containers*. URL: <https://www.docker.com/>.
- [7] *Jupyter Hub*. URL: <https://jupyterhub.readthedocs.io/en/stable/>.
- [8] *Udacity*. URL:
<https://www.udacity.com/course/intro-to-machine-learning--ud120>.
- [9] *Coursera*. URL: <https://www.coursera.org/learn/machine-learning>.
- [10] *WikiToLearn: a web-based collaborative tool to share knowledge*. URL:
<https://it.wikitolearn.org/>.
- [11] *The GridKa School of Computing*. URL:
<http://gridka-school.scc.kit.edu/2017/>.
- [12] *Stackoverflow*. URL: <https://stackoverflow.com/>.
- [13] *WikiMedia*. URL: <https://www.wikimedia.org/>.
- [14] *Gridka learning material published on WikiToLearn*. URL:
<https://en.wikitolearn.org/GridKa2017>.
- [15] *The Code Swim Coaches*. URL: <https://gitlab.in2p3.fr/MaitresNageurs>.
- [16] *The LHC Physics Center (LPC) Hands-on Advanced Tutorial Sessions*. URL:
<http://lpc.fnal.gov/programs/schools-workshops/>.
- [17] *The CMS Data Analysis Schools (CMSDAS)*. URL:
<http://lpc.fnal.gov/programs/schools-workshops/cmsdas.shtml>.
- [18] *The CERN School of Computing*. URL: <https://csc.web.cern.ch/>.
- [19] *The CERN OpenLab Software workshops*. URL: <http://openlab.cern/>.
- [20] *The Bertinoro School of Computing*. URL:
<https://web.infn.it/esc17/index.php?lang=en>.

- [21] *The GridKa School of Computing*. URL: <http://codas-hep.org>.
- [22] *NIPS*. URL: <https://nips.cc>.
- [23] *PyData*. URL: <https://pydata.org>.
- [24] *Training program*. URL: <https://www.edx.org/credit>.
- [25] *The DIANA/HEP project*. URL: <http://diana-hep.org/>.
- [26] *Advanced Multi-Variate Analysis for New Physics Searches at the LHC*. URL: <https://amva4newphysics.wordpress.com/>.
- [27] *Software Carpentry*. URL: <https://software-carpentry.org>.
- [28] *Data Carpentry*. URL: <https://www.datacarpentry.org>.
- [29] *HEP Software Foundation Working Group*. URL: <https://hepsoftwarefoundation.org/activities/training.html>.