## Low-energy fission studies of neutron-deficient

projectile fragments of 238U

K. Sümmerer<sup>1</sup>, W. Trinder<sup>1</sup>, B. Voss<sup>2</sup>, S. V. Zhdanov<sup>7</sup> C. Scheidenberger<sup>1</sup>, W. Schwab<sup>1</sup>, S. Steinhäuser<sup>2</sup>, M. Pfützner<sup>6</sup>, A. Piechaczek<sup>1</sup>, C. Röhl<sup>2</sup>, A. Junghans<sup>2</sup>, A. Magel<sup>1</sup>, G. Münzenberg<sup>1</sup>, F. Nickel<sup>1</sup>, E. Hanelt<sup>2</sup>, H. Irnich<sup>1</sup>, M. C. Itkis<sup>5</sup>, M. de Jong<sup>2</sup>, T. Brohm<sup>2</sup>, S. Czajkowski<sup>1</sup>, C. Donzaud<sup>4</sup>, H. Geissel<sup>1</sup>, K.-H. Schmidt<sup>1</sup>, A. Heinz<sup>2</sup>, H.-G. Clerc<sup>2</sup>, B. Blank<sup>3</sup>,

2) Institut für Kernphysik, TH Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany Gesellschaft fiir Schwerionenforschung, 64220 Darmstadt, Germany

3)CENBG, 33175 Gradignan CEDEX, France

4)<sub>IPN</sub>, Université de Paris Sud, 91406 Orsay CEDEX, France

 $5$ )Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

6)University Warsaw, Poland

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Alma—Ata, Kazakhstan

Abstract:

place around  $N = 138$ . target. The transition from symmetric to asymmetric fission is shown to take electromagnetic excitation and nuclear reactions in a lead and in a plastic from a 950 A MeV  $^{238}$ U primary beam. Their fission was induced by The isotopes were produced as secondary beams by projectile fragmentation uranium isotopes has been investigated using a new experimental technique. Low—energy fission of neutron-deficient actinium, thorium, protactinium and

 $\mathbf 1$ 

neighbourhood of the isotope to be studied. particles [5,6] rely on stable or long-lived target isotopes in the immediate tion (see e.g. refs. [3,4]) and transfer or fusion reactions with light charged studied. The techniques used so far, i.e. neutron capture [2], photon absorp near the fission barrier have to be populated if low-energy fission is to be reactions. ln lighter nuclei which do not fission spontaneously, excited states spontaneously fissioning nuclei  $\lceil 1 \rceil$ , generally produced by heavy-ion fusion number of nuclei. The most comprehensive studies have been performed for nical constraints, systematic investigations have been restricted to a limited of cold nuclear matter on its evolution towards fission. However. due to tech have demonstrated the influence of nuclear shell structure on the dynamics revealed a large variety of interesting phenomena. Most importantly, they Previous studies on low-energy fission of many different nuclear species have

studied will be reported elsewhere [7]. production cross sections of the projectile fragments which have also been fragment nuclear-charge distributions for a series of isotopes. Results on the feasibility of this method could be demonstrated by measuring the fission sion barrier are populated by Coulomb excitation. ln a first experiment, the mentation of a relativistic  $^{238}$ U beam, and their excited states above the fisneutron-deficient isotopes. These isotopes are produced by projectile frag We report on a new technique which gives access to an extended region of

 $[10]$ . separate and identify the secondary beams is described in more detail in ref. 400 (FWHM) has been achieved. The experimental equipment which is used to ergy loss in an ionisation chamber at the exit. A mass resolution of A/ $\Delta$ A  $\approx$ by measuring the time-of-flight in the second half of the separator and the en ration due to the appearance of incompletely stripped ions could be avoided investigated in one setting of the separator. Ambiguities in the isotope sepa degrader of about 4.4 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. Up to seven isotopes could simultaneously be operated as a momentum—loss achromat [9], using an intermediate aluminum of a 950 A MeV beam of  $^{238}$ U in a 1.0 g/cm<sup>2</sup> copper target. The separator was neutron-deficient isotopes between actinium and uranium by the fragmentation The GSI fragment separator [8] was used to produce secondary beams of

 $\mathbf 2$ 

improve the precision on the measured fission cross sections. ments were redundantly registered by two scintillation detectors in order to was limited to 1.3 charge units (standard deviation). Finally, the fission frag velocity spread induced by the fission process, the nuclear-charge resolution ments in another ionisation chamber behind the secondary target. Due to the mined by separately measuring the energy-loss signals of both fission fragtarget and behind. The nuclear charges of the fission products were deter against fission events induced in other layers of matter in front of the lead termine the energy dependence of the fission process and to discriminate mine the foil in which a fission took place. This information was used to de this 'active' target acts as a subdivided ionisation chamber, allowing to deter  $(90\%)$  - methan  $(10\%)$  mixture. By applying appropriate voltages to the foils, with a thickness of 0.61 g/cm<sup>2</sup> each, mounted in a vessel filled with an argon or by nuclear reactions. The secondary target consisted of seven lead foils ondary target where fission was induced either by electromagnetic excitation figure 1. At the exit of the separator, the secondary beams traversed a sec The experimental setup for the present fission study is schematically shown in

ured photofission cross section from ref.  $[3]$ . The calculated excitation-energy using the cut-off against nuclear reactions as given in ref. [12], and the meas mated from the calculated equivalent-photon spectrum of E1 radiation [11], electric dipole resonance. The electromagnetic fission of  $^{238}$ U may be esti-The electromagnetic excitation is expected to predominantly populate the



beams behind the fragment separator. Fig. 1: Schematic drawing of the experimental setup to study the fission of secondary

variety of different nuclei. higher values [13]. They provide a contribution of high-energy fission from a actions produce prefragments, the excitation energies of which extend to much electromagnetic fission is estimated to be about 1.0 b. ln addition, nuclear re fore, mainly first- and second-chance fission occurs. The cross section for distribution is centered around 12 MeV with a FWHM of about 10 MeV. There

The fact that the two target materials were traversed with different energies cates the presence of mass—asymmetric fission events from low-energy fission. distribution, the double—humped distribution produced in the lead target indi erably from each other. While the scintillator target produces a single—humped  $^{238}$ U fission fragments stemming from different target materials differ considbeen measured, too. Figure 2 demonstrates that the charge distributions of secondary target, fission almost exclusively induced by nuclear reactions has Triggering on fission events that have occured in the scintillator 1 as a  $C_9H_{10}$ 



the fission fragments only for fission of uranium isotopes. of the two fission fragments as  $Z_1/(Z_1+Z_2) \times 92$ . It coincides with the nuclear charge of the abscissa was determined from the ratio of the square root of the energy-loss signals to extract the charge distribution of the electromagnetic contribution (see text). Note that 410 and 150 A MeV. The lower spectrum in the right part shows the result of an attempt 510 and 450 A MeV. Right part: Fission in a 3.66  $g/cm<sup>2</sup>$  lead target at energies between through different targets. Left part: Fission induced in a  $C_9H_{10}$  target at energies between Fig. 2: Fission-fragment charge distributions of a  $^{238}$ U beam measured after the passage

within the experimental uncertainties. depencence was found for the charge distributions from the different lead foils (see figure 2) is expected to be of minor importance, since no energy

occurence of fission was defined by requiring the conditions clearly separated from other reaction channels, e.g. multifragmentation. The By registering the two fission fragments separately, fission events appeared

 $0.25 < Z_1 / (Z_1 + Z_2) < 0.75$  and  $Z_1 + Z_2 > 50$ on the nuclear charge numbers  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  of the two fission fragments. The total fission cross section of  $^{238}$ U projectiles in the lead target at about 300 A MeV was measured to be  $(3.4 \pm 0.3)$  b. This value is considerably larger than that determined by Greiner et al. [14] at 900 A MeV who obtained  $(2.73 \pm 0.2)$  b. The pure electromagnetic fission component in the lead target has been extracted by subtracting the fission—fragment charge distribution induced by the scintillator target from the one induced by the lead target, us ing the appropriate weights determined from the total fission cross section of 3.4 b and the above—mentioned calculated electromagnetic part of 1.0 b. ln this procedure we assume that the shape of the Z distribution of nuclear fission in the lead target is the same as the Z distribution of all fission events occuring in the scintillator target. Small contributions from fission events in air, in the counting gas and other layers of matter have been estimated and subtracted. Figure 2 demonstrates that this procedure leads to a charge distribution with a considerably more pronounced dip for symmetric charge splits. Within the uncertainty of the estimate, this distribution agrees with the result of electron induced fission of  $^{238}$ U at an excitation energy of 12 MeV [4] which shows a peak-to-valley ratio of about 7:1. Also the peak-to-valley ratio of 40:1 found in the mass distribution of  $^{238}$ U(n,f) for about 12 MeV excitation energy of  $^{239}$ U [15] is not in contradiction to the spectrum of electromagnetic events of  $^{238}$ U shown in figure 2.

well localized not too far away from the nucleus  $226$ Ra in the vicinity of which sion occurs with increasing mass of the fissioning system. This transition is ever, it is clearly observed that a transition from symmetric to asymmetric fis low, it was not attempted to isolate the electromagnetic fission events. How with a weak  $^{238}$ U beam of 10<sup>5</sup> particles per second on the average was rather the lead target from a series of fissioning isotopes. As the statistics obtained Figure 3 shows the charge distributions of the fission fragments produced in



nuclei on a chart of nuclides. from a series of isotopes. The distributions are centered at the positions of the fissioning Fig. 3: Nuclear-charge distributions of the fission fragments observed behind a lead target

transition. proton number of the fissioning nucleus seems to be less decisive for this fission, observed near  $208Pb$ , occurs at a neutron number of about 138. The mass-asymmetric fission, observed around  $^{233}$ U to  $^{238}$ U, to mass-symmetric with their data, the data presented here indicate that the transition from Konecny et al. [5] have studied the two fission modes in detail. Together

at low temperatures where nuclear structure plays an important role. that it will yield new information on the dynamic evolution. of nuclear matter approach will stimulate this old but still exciting field of nuclear physics and fissionable nuclei. We hope, that forthcoming results obtained with this novel new experimental technique to study low-energy fission of a large variety of ln summary, the present article describes the first successful application of a

get laboratory for the production of the targets. The support of H. Essel in during the preparation of the experiment as well as H. Folger and the GSI tar We thank K.-H. Behr, A. Brünle and K.-H. Burkhard for the technical support

6

SATAN data analysis system are gratefully acknowledged. handling the GOOSY data acquisition system and of H. Göringer in using the

authors. 06 DA 461. The responsibility for the content of this publication rests with the Bundesminister fur Forschung und Technologie under contract number BMFT This work has been supported by the GSI Hochschulprogramm and by the

## References:

- [1] D. C. Hofmann, Nucl. Phys. A502 (1989) 21c
- [2] E. A. C. Crouch, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 19 (1977) 417
- [3] J. T. Caldwell et al., Phys. Rev. C21 (1980) 1215
- [4] Th. Weber et al., Nucl. Phys. A 510 (1990) 1
- Chem. of Fission, IAEA, Vienna, 1974, Vol. Il, p. 3 [5] E. Konecny, H. J. Specht, J. Weber, Proc. Conf. on Phys. and
- [6] M. Itkis et al., Nucl. Phys. A502 (1989) 243c
- $[7]$  H.-G. Clerc et al., to be published
- [8] H. Geissel et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 70 (1992) 286
- [9] K.-H. Schmidt et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 260 (1987) 287

 $\overline{7}$ 

- [10] K.-H. Schmidt et al., Nucl. Phys. A 542 (1992) 699
- [11] C. A. Bertulani and G. Baur, Phys. Rep. 163 (1988) 299
- [12] M. T. Mercier et al., Phys. Rev. C33 (1986) 1655
- [13] K.-H. Schmidt et al., Phys. Lett. B 300 (1993) 313
- [14] D. E. Greiner et al., Phys. Rev. C 31 (1985) 416
- [15] S. Nagy et al., Phys. Rev. C17 (1978) 163

 $\label{eq:1} \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}},\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}) \end{aligned}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$