SI/Note BR/72-7 4.9.1972

PSB STOP-BAND WIDTHS COMPUTED FROM MEASURED MAGNETIC FIELDS

C. Bovet, K.H. Schindl

1. INTRODUCTION

Formulae giving stop-band widths for resonances up to order five have been worked out by G. Guignard¹⁾ in 1970. At that time only educated guesses or recommendations could be made on the amount of non-linear fields in the PSB magnet.

We are now in a situation where field maps have been measured for each bending magnet and harmonic analysis has been performed for each quadrupole²⁾. The measurements made with straight long coils on the bending magnet proved to be adequate for further analytic developments³⁾. Therefore, we cannot resist the temptation to compute the stop-band widths that correspond to the distribution of all known magnetic fields in the machine.

In section 2 magnetic field measurements will be normalized to a unique system of reference tangential to the beam and expanded in the transverse plane with harmonic polynomials. Then azimuthal harmonics are derived in section 3 and stop-band widths computed. Compensation of most dangerous stop-bands is reviewed in section 4. In order to compute stop-band widths for all resonances up to order 4, we need the azimuthal distribution of the quadrupole, sextupole and octupole component, both normal and skew, around the ring. These coefficients are defined as :

$$B_{z}^{(k-1)}(\theta) = \frac{\partial^{(k-1)} B_{z}(\theta)}{\partial x^{(k-1)}} \begin{vmatrix} x = 0 \\ z = 0 \end{vmatrix},$$
(1)

$$B_{x}^{(k-1)}(\theta) = \frac{\partial^{(k-1)} B_{x}(\theta)}{\partial x^{(k-1)}} \begin{vmatrix} x = 0 \\ z = 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

with 2k being the number of poles of multipole, B_x , B_z the transverse magnetic field components. They are obtained by fitting the harmonic function series

$$\Delta B_{z}(x,z) \cong \sum_{k=2}^{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k-1)!} B_{z}^{(k-1)} \operatorname{Re}\left[(x+iz)^{k-1} \right] - \frac{1}{(k-1)!} B_{x}^{(k-1)} \operatorname{Jm}\left[(x+iz)^{k-1} \right] \right\}$$

to the measured field map $\Delta B_{z}(x,z)$ of each magnetic element.

For each of the 128 bending magnet gaps installed in the Booster ring, the function

$$\Delta B_{z}(x,z) = \int_{m}^{\ell_{m}} \frac{B_{z}(x,z) d\ell - B_{o} \ell_{m}}{\ell_{m}}$$
(3)

(2)

(B_o nominal field, l_m magnetic length) has been measured²⁾ in 21 points of the transverse (x,z)-plane. The coefficients $B_z^{(k-1)}$ and $B_x^{(k-1)}$ are obtained by least square fit⁴⁾ (equ. 2) with N chosen in such a way that the approximation error is comparable to the measurement error. All relevant measurements have been performed at transfer energy 800 MeV ($B_o = .59$ T), but conclusions will be drawn for injection (more critical from the stop-band point of view) by scaling down the imperfections field. This procedure is justified since it has been established ²⁾ that the field imperfections are not due to remanence or saturation.

For each of the 192 quadrupole gaps, field inperfections have been explored by means of harmonic coil measurements, where the various multipole strengths are evaluated by Fourier analysis ⁵⁾ of the measured data, yielding α_k , β_k . The coefficients α_k , (β_k) are the ratios between the field due to the normal (or skew) multipole of order k and the main quadrupole field, taken at the bore radius (60 mm). Harmonic coil studies were performed on a measuring bench where quadrupoles were positioned with :

i) Bus bar connection opposite telescope side,

ii) South pole in positive quadrant.

Each multipole component is evaluated in the measurement frame and has to be transformed to the coordinates seen by the beam ⁶⁾. Depending on the position of the bus bar connection, we have the four quadrupole types QF1, QDU, QDD, QF2.^{*)} The relationship between $B_{z,x}^{(k-1)}$ and α_k , β_k for these types and for multipole components up to order 4 is given in Table 1. g_0 is the mean gradient, computed for each ring with the formula

$$g_{0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i} \ell_{i}}{\sum_{i} \ell_{i}}$$
(4)

with ℓ_i the length of quadrupole in position i along the synchrotron ring, g, the measured gradient.

- 3 -

^{*)} QF1 and QDD have bus bar connection downstream (with respect to the beam), QF2 and QDU upstream.

Order k	Multipole Component	Multipole	B ^(k-1) z,x	Si	gn (B $^{(k)}_{z}$,	_l) x) fo	r
11	(T/m ^(k-1))		$\operatorname{expressed}_{k}^{\alpha}$	QF1	QDU	QDD	QF2
2	B _z (l)	Norm. Quadr.	g - g i o		÷	+	
2	B _x (l)	Skew Quadr.	cannot be	measu	red wit	h harm.	coil
3	8(2) z	Norm. Sext.	2 3,83 α ₃ 2 0,06	_	-	+	+
3	B(2) x	Skew Sext.	2 3,83 β ₃ 2 0,06	_	+	+	_
Ц	B ⁽³⁾ z	Norm. Oct.	$6 \frac{3,83 \ \alpha_{4}}{(0,06)^{2}}$	_	+	+	_
<u>μ</u>	B ⁽³⁾	Skew Oct.	6 <mark>3,83 вц</mark> 6 <u>(0,06)</u> 2	_	_	+	+

<u>Table I</u> : Relation between α_k , β_k as measured with harmonic coil, and multipole components as defined in equ. (1)

3. COMPUTATION OF AZIMUTHAL HARMONICS AND STOP-BAND WIDTHS

Taking into account

i) the ring position of each bending magnet and quadrupole,

ii) the multipole strength of each element, we may derive the functions $B_z^{(k-1)}(\theta)$ and $B_x^{(k-1)}(\theta)$ for each multipole of order k. Note that these functions are considered constant along one element, because long coil measurement do not give more information.

A few definitions are needed for computing stop-bands¹⁾: i) Resonances may occur for

$$\left|n_{1}Q_{H} + n_{2} Q_{V} - p\right| \leq \left|\frac{k \Delta Q}{2}\right|$$
(5)

with $k = n_1 + n_2$ the order of resonance excited by a multipole of order k with azimuthal harmonic p.

- ii) ΔQ is the stop-band width.
- iii) Furthermore, the following parameters are used : R = 25 m (mean machine radius), $B_0 = .1253 \text{ T}$ (main field at injection), $\rho = 8.24 \text{ m}$ (magnetic bending radius), $\varepsilon_x = 130 \text{ and } \varepsilon_z = 40 \text{ m} \text{ mrad.mm}$ the emittances.

The azimuthal harmonic of a normal (dp) or skew (fp) multipole is given by equ. (100) of Ref. 1 (after correction of a sign error : the exponential should read $e^{+ip\theta}$ instead of $e^{-ip\theta}$). We put this formula into real and obtain, for the cos. and sine components, respectively :

(6)

$$\begin{pmatrix} dp & (\cos) \\ (\sin) \\ fp & (\cos) \\ (\sin) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{n_{1}}{p_{x}} \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}} \begin{cases} B_{z}^{(k-1)}(\theta) \\ \beta_{x} & \beta_{z} \end{cases} \begin{cases} \cos\left[n_{1}\psi_{x}(\theta) + n_{2}\psi_{z}(\theta)\right] d\theta \text{ for } \begin{cases} n_{2} \text{ even} \\ n_{2} \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

with
$$\psi_{x}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{R \ d\theta^{*}}{\beta_{x}(\theta^{*})}$$
 (7)

The betatron functions β_x , β_z are strongly varying along one element, therefore Simpson's integration was employed for numerical computation of (6) along one element. For example, the resulting formula is given for dp (cos), with i the element number, U = upstream edge, C = centre, D = downstream edge (8)

$$dp (cos) \cong \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{i=1}^{L} B_{z}^{(k-1)} \Big|_{i} \frac{\ell_{i}}{6} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{U} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{C} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{x}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2} & \beta_{z}^{2} \end{bmatrix} cos (n_{1}\psi_{x} + n_{2}\psi_{z}) \Big|_{L} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{1}}{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{\beta_{z}^{2}} \\ \beta_{z}^{2}$$

Combining equ. (99), (51), (32), (67) of Ref. 1, stop-band widths are computed as to

$$\Delta Q = \frac{n_1}{k \cdot 2^{k-2}} \frac{R}{B_0 \rho} \frac{\varepsilon_x^{\frac{k}{2}} - 1}{n_1! n_2!} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon_x} \right)^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{n_2^2}{n_1} \frac{\varepsilon_z}{\varepsilon_x} \right) \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} dp \\ |dp \end{vmatrix} \right\} \text{ for } \begin{cases} n_2 \text{ even} \\ n_2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}, n_1 \neq 0$$

$$\Delta Q = \frac{n_2}{k \cdot 2^{k-2}} \frac{R}{B_0 \rho} \frac{\varepsilon_z^{k-1}}{n_1! n_2!} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon_z} \right)^2 \left(n_2 + \frac{n_1^2}{n_2} \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon_z} \right) \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} dp \\ dp \end{vmatrix} \right\} \text{ for } \begin{cases} n_2 \text{ even} \\ n_2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}, n_2 \neq 0$$

(9b)

Note that for both $n_1 \neq 0$ and $n_2 \neq 0$, (9a) and (9b) are equivalent.

Azimuthal harmonic multipole strenghts dp, fp as well as stop-band widths AQ have been computed for each resonance up to order 4 and are given in Table II (only the most critical of the four rings is considered). A detailed analysis of all results shows that, from the resonance point of view, gap 4 is the most critical one.

REMARKS ON ACCURACY OF RESULTS

- i) The bending magnets contribute more to the azimuthal harmonics than the quadrupoles,
- ii) B.M. field imperfections have been measured with an accuracy of about 10^{-4} of the main field,
- iii) varying N in the least square fit (equ. (2)) shows virtually no changes for the quadrupole component (order 2), but serious changes for order 4. Putting these facts together, we estimate the precision of dp, fp as to $\pm 10\%$ for order 2, $\pm 20\%$ for order 3, $\pm 40\%$ for order 4.

Type of effect	Measured properties	perties	10			Compensation	tion					
Resonances	dp , fp	70°	Correctir	Position	Control	Number of	Polarity	Harmonic	dp , fp	Power	Current	Voltage
	T/m 1-k/2	10-4	per ring		parameters	controls	change	strength	T/m 1-k/2	Supplies	A	Δ
$2Q_{\rm H} = 9_{\rm H}$	2 10 ⁻⁴	12	quad. (cos	I	Нd				33 10 ⁻⁴			
20 ₄₇ = 9	6 10 ⁻⁴	30	(2 quad. (sin H) /2 quad. (cos H)	8L1 - 16L1 4L3 - 12L3	H - C	4 (1 per ring)	yes	.10 T	76 10 ⁻⁴⁴	л6	80	55
>			(2 quad. (sin H)	1	> ^ •) -			
$Q_{\rm H} + Q_{\rm V} = 9$			2 skew quad.(cos)	2L3 - 10L3 6L3 - 10L3	a. +	ţ,	yes	-05 T	25 10 ⁻⁴	ω	40	25
$Q_{\rm H} - Q_{\rm V} = 0$				1L3	→ H	14	yes	.20 T		4	01	25
30 _H = 14	.012	1.8	2 sext. (cos)	3L1 + 11L1	d			i c	.076			L
$Q_{\rm H}^{11} + 2Q_{\rm V} = 14$.012	2.5	2 sext. (sin)	8L1 + 16L1	- Ф -	4	Yes	ш/л. 0°Т	• 057	x	09	۲.۲ ۲
+	.022	4.2			T	r.		_	_			
$3Q_{\rm V} = 1h$.037	3.0	HO COLLECTIN	6 0	elements (but space reserved)	reserved)		·				
Landau damping			16 sextupoles	1L316L3	Isext	F	ou	38.4 T/m			300	380
40 _H = 19	6.3	1.8						(5.9			
$2Q_{H} + 2Q_{V} = 19$ $l_{Q}Q_{V} = 19$	4.2 3.5	2.3 0.3	2 oct. (cos) 2 oct. (sin)	1191 - 118 1111 - 118	a +	1	yes	34 T/m ²	4.5 3.4	Ø	60	25
$3Q_{H} + Q_{V} = 19$ $Q_{H} + 3Q_{V} = 19$	2.0 2.3	0.9	no correct:	no correcting elements (but space reserved)	(but space	reserved)					_	
$2Q_{\rm H} - 2Q_{\rm V} = 0$			16 octupoles	1L316L3	Loct	1	оп	1360T/m ²			300	380

<u>Table II</u> : PSB resonances up to order four : azimuthal harmonics, stop-band widths at injection and correcting elements.

- 7 -

4. STOP-BAND COMPENSATION

Correcting elements have been $proposed^{7),8}$ to compensate for field imperfections and reduce stop-band widths.

Table II gives a summary of installed correcting lenses, their azimuthal position with polarity to create either odd or even harmonics (amplitude ρ , phase ϕ), control parameters that allow to act in coupled mode on several elements⁹. The number of independent controls is also listed, together with the computer control of polarity. The harmonic strengths and harmonic coefficients |dp|or |fp| of the correcting lenses are evaluated and can be compared to the corresponding measured values of the magnet system.

5. CONCLUSIONS

From Table II it is clear that enough strength has been provided for any type of compensation foreseen. It was thought in advance that sextupole harmonic coefficients would be greater than skew sextupole ones. Field error measurements show the contrary so that we would be in a better position with skew sextupoles as correcting lenses. But in any event the stop-band widths involved are pretty small and no third order resonance has been observed till now. For octupoles the choice made is optimum.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to express our gratitude to K.D. Lohmann, who kindly provided us with all information concerning the magnetic measurements, and to F. Giudici, who was involved in most of the programming work for this study. REFERENCES

- 1) G. Guignard. Resonances des oscillations transverses dûes aux champs multipolaires avec application au PSB. SI/Int. DL/70-3.
- 2) K.D. Lohmann. Magnetic measurements collected in two files (1971-1972).
- 3) C. Bovet, K. Schindl. Quality of the PSB bending magnet field. Are straight-coil measurements sufficient ? SI/Int. DL/71-2.
- 4) C. Bovet, K. Schindl. PSB emittance blow-up in non-linear fields. SI/Int. DL/70-4.
- 5) K.D. Lohmann, C. Iselin. Calculations for an harmonic coil with compensation windings. SI/Note MAE/69-18/rev. 1.
- 6) G. Brianti. Expressions for the field errors in a magnet in terms of multipole coefficients. SI/Note DL/71-3.
- 7) C. Bovet, K.H. Reich. Correcting magnets for the PSB : their choice, location and performance specifications. SI/int. DL/69-3+ Addendum.
- 8) K.H. Reich. Further thoughts on correction magnets for the PSB. SI/Note DL/70-8.
- 9) C. Bovet. Commandes couplées des éléments de correction pour la compensation des bandes d'arrêt. SI/Note DL/71-7.

Distribution

List MPS/SI-2