

ATLAS PROBE: BREAKTHROUGH SCIENCE OF GALAXY EVOLUTION, COSMOLOGY,
MILKY WAY, AND THE SOLAR SYSTEM

YUN WANG*,¹ MASSIMO ROBERTO,^{2,3} MARK DICKINSON,⁴ HENRY C. FERGUSON,² LYNNE A. HILLENBRAND,⁵ WESLEY FRASER,⁶
PETER BEHROOZI,⁷ JARLE BRINCHMANN,^{8,9} ANDREA CIMATTI,^{10,11} ROBERT CONTENT,¹² EMANUELE DADDI,¹³
CHRISTOPHER HRATA,¹⁴ MICHAEL J. HUDSON,¹⁵ J. DAVY KIRKPATRICK,¹ ALVARO ORSI,¹⁶ MARIO BALLARDINI,¹⁷
ROBERT BARKHOUSER,³ JAMES BARTLETT,¹⁸ ROBERT BENJAMIN,¹⁹ RANGA CHARY,¹ CHIA-HSUN CHUANG,²⁰ CHARLIE CONROY,²¹
MEGAN DONAHUE,²² OLIVIER DORÉ,¹⁸ PETER EISENHARDT,¹⁸ KARL GLAZEBROOK,²³ GEORGE HELOU,¹ SANGEETA MALHOTRA,²⁴
LAURO MOSCARDINI,^{10,25,26} JEFFREY A. NEWMAN,²⁷ ZORAN NINKOV,²⁸ MICHAEL RESSLER,¹⁸ JAMES RHOADS,²⁴ JASON RHODES,¹⁸
DANIEL SCOLNIC,²⁹ ALICE SHAPLEY,³⁰ STEPHEN SMEE,³ FRANCESCO VALENTINO,³¹ AND RISA H. WECHSLER^{32,33}

¹*IPAC, California Institute of Technology, Mail Code 314-6, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125*

²*Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218*

³*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218*

⁴*NOAO, 950 North Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85719*

⁵*Dept. of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, MC 249-17, 1200 East California Blvd, Pasadena CA 91125*

⁶*School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, University Road, BT7 1NN, Belfast, United Kingdom*

⁷*Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N Cherry Ave, Tucson, AZ 85719*

⁸*Leiden Observatory, Leiden Univ., P.O. Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands*

⁹*Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, PT4150-762 Porto, Portugal*

¹⁰*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, via Gobetti 93/2, I-40129 Bologna, Italy*

¹¹*INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125, Firenze, Italy*

¹²*Australian Astronomical Observatory, 105 Delhi Road, North Ryde, NSW 2113 Australia*

¹³*CEA, IRFU, DAp, AIM, Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

¹⁴*Center for Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, The Ohio State Univ., 191 West Woodruff Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210*

¹⁵*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1*

¹⁶*Centro de Estudios de Física del Cosmos de Aragón, Plaza de San Juan 1, Teruel, 44001, Spain*

¹⁷*Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town 7535, South Africa*

¹⁸*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109*

¹⁹*Dept. of Physics, University of Wisconsin - Whitewater, 800 W. Main Street Whitewater, WI 53190-1790*

²⁰*Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology and Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA*

²¹*Harvard Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138*

²²*Physics and Astronomy Dept., Michigan State University, 567 Wilson Rd., East Lansing, MI 48824*

²³*Centre for Astrophysics & Supercomputing, Mail number H29, Swinburne University of Technology, PO Box 218, Hawthorn, Victoria 3122, Australia*

²⁴*Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Rd, Greenbelt, MD 20771*

²⁵*INAF - Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio di Bologna, via Gobetti 93/3, I-40129 Bologna, Italy*

²⁶*INFN - Sezione di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy*

²⁷*University of Pittsburgh and PITT PACC, 3941 O'Hara St., Pittsburgh, PA 15260*

²⁸*Center for Imaging Science, Rochester Institute of Technology, 54 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, NY 14623*

²⁹*Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

³⁰*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, UCLA, 430 Portola Plaza, Box 951547, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547*

³¹*Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

³²*Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics & Cosmology and Physics Department, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305*

³³*Particle Physics & Astrophysics Department, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025*

ABSTRACT

¹ *wang@ipac.caltech.edu

ATLAS (Astrophysics Telescope for Large Area Spectroscopy) Probe is a concept for a NASA probe-class space mission that will achieve groundbreaking science in the fields of galaxy evolution, cosmology, Milky Way, and the Solar System. It is the follow-up space mission to WFIRST, multiplexing its scientific return by obtaining deep 1 to $4\mu\text{m}$ slit spectroscopy for $\sim 90\%$ of all galaxies imaged by the $\sim 2200\text{ deg}^2$ WFIRST High Latitude Survey at $z > 0.5$. ATLAS spectroscopy will measure accurate and precise redshifts for $\sim 300\text{M}$ galaxies out to $z < 7$, and deliver spectra that enable a wide range of diagnostic studies of the physical properties of galaxies over most of cosmic history. ATLAS Probe and WFIRST together will produce a 3D map of the Universe with $\sim\text{Mpc}$ resolution in redshift space over $2,200\text{ deg}^2$, the definitive data sets for studying galaxy evolution, probing dark matter, dark energy and modification of General Relativity, and quantify the 3D structure and stellar content of the Milky Way. ATLAS Probe science spans four broad categories: (1) Revolutionize galaxy evolution studies by tracing the relation between galaxies and dark matter from galaxy groups to cosmic voids and filaments, from the epoch of reionization through the peak era of galaxy assembly; (2) Open a new window into the dark Universe by weighing the dark matter filaments using 3D weak lensing with spectroscopic redshifts, and obtaining definitive measurements of dark energy and modification of General Relativity using galaxy clustering; (3) Probe the Milky Way's dust-enshrouded regions, reaching the far side of our Galaxy; and (4) Explore the formation history of the outer Solar System by characterizing Kuiper Belt Objects. ATLAS Probe is a 1.5m telescope with a field of view (FoV) of 0.4 deg^2 , and uses Digital Micro-mirror Devices (DMDs) as slit selectors. It has a spectroscopic resolution of $R = 600$, and a wavelength range of $1\text{-}4\mu\text{m}$. The lack of slit spectroscopy from space over a wide FoV is the obvious gap in current and planned future space missions; ATLAS fills this big gap with an unprecedented spectroscopic capability based on DMDs (with an estimated spectroscopic multiplex factor of 5,000 to 10,000). ATLAS is designed to fit within the NASA probe-class space mission cost envelope; it has a single instrument, a telescope aperture that allows for a lighter launch vehicle, and mature technology (we have identified a path for DMDs to reach Technology Readiness Level 6 within two years). ATLAS Probe will lead to transformative science over the entire range of astrophysics: from galaxy evolution to the dark Universe, from Solar System objects to the dusty regions of the Milky Way.

Keywords: space mission — galaxy evolution — cosmology

1. INTRODUCTION

The observational data from recent years have greatly improved our understanding of the Universe. The fundamental questions that remain to be studied in the coming decades include:

- (1) How have galaxies evolved? What is the origin of the diversity of galaxies?
- (2) What is the dark matter that dominates the matter content of the Universe?
- (3) What is the dark energy that is driving the accelerated expansion of the Universe?
- (4) What is the 3D structure and stellar content in the dust-enshrouded regions of the Milky Way?
- (5) What is the census of objects in the outer Solar System?

The upcoming space missions Euclid (Laureijs et al. 2011), WFIRST (Spergel et al. 2015), and JWST¹, and ground-based projects like LSST² (Abell et al. 2009) will help us make progress in these areas through the synergy of imaging and spectroscopic data. In particular, Euclid, WFIRST, and LSST are complementary to each other, and jointly form a strong program for probing the nature of dark energy. However, in the case of massive spectroscopic surveys on Euclid and WFIRST, the instrumental designs of these projects limit their capabilities to fully address the fundamental questions described above. Both Euclid and WFIRST employ slitless grism spectroscopy, which increases background noise and will limit their capability to probe galaxy evolution science. Euclid and WFIRST spectra cover limited wavelength ranges, severely restricting opportunities to measure multiple diagnostic emission lines, and their red wavelength cutoffs are both below $2\mu\text{m}$, limiting the redshift range over which emission lines can be detected. JWST has slit spectroscopic capability, but a relatively small field of view (FoV), thus will not be suitable for carrying out surveys large enough to probe the relation between galaxy evolution and galaxy environment in a statistically robust manner. LSST has no spectroscopic capability. The lack of slit spectroscopy from space over a wide FoV is the obvious gap in current and planned future space missions (Cimatti et al. 2009).

In order to obtain definitive data sets to study galaxy evolution in diverse environments and within the cosmological context, we need spectroscopy with high multiplicity and low background noise from space. In this paper, we present the mission concept for ATLAS (Astrophysics Telescope for Large Area Spectroscopy) Probe, a 1.5m space telescope with a FoV of 0.4 deg^2 , a spectral resolution of $R = 600$ over $1\text{--}4\mu\text{m}$, and an unprecedented spectroscopic capability based on Digital Micro-mirror Devices (DMDs) — with spectroscopic multiplex of $\sim 5,000$ to $10,000$ targets per observation.

We present an overview on the ATLAS Probe mission concept in Sec.2. Sec.3–Sec.6 make the science case, with Sec.3 focusing on the galaxy evolution science, Sec.4 on cosmology, Sec.5 on the Milky Way galaxy, and Sec.6 on the Solar System. Sec.7 presents our methodology for predicting source counts. Sec.8 and Sec.9 discuss spectroscopic multiplex simulations and exposure time calculations. We present a preliminary design for the ATLAS instrument in Sec.10, and discuss its technological readiness. Sec.11 discusses the mission architecture and cost estimate for ATLAS Probe. We conclude in Sec.12. Some technical details are presented in the appendices.

2. THE ATLAS PROBE MISSION

ATLAS Probe is designed to provide the key data sets to address the fundamental questions listed at the beginning of Sec.1. It is a concept for a NASA probe-class space mission for groundbreaking science in the fields of galaxy evolution, cosmology, Milky Way, and Solar System. ATLAS Probe is the follow-up space mission to WFIRST that leverages WFIRST imaging for targeted spectroscopy, and multiplexes the scientific return of WFIRST by obtaining spectra of $\sim 90\%$ of all galaxies imaged by the $\sim 2200\text{ deg}^2$ WFIRST High Latitude Survey at $z > 0.5$.

ATLAS Probe science spans four broad categories that address the five fundamental questions: (1) revolutionize galaxy evolution studies by tracing the relation between galaxies and dark matter from galaxy groups to cosmic voids and filaments, from the epoch of reionization through the peak era of galaxy assembly; (2) open a new window into the dark Universe by weighing the dark matter filaments in the cosmic web using 3D weak lensing, and obtaining definitive measurements of dark energy and tests of General Relativity using galaxy clustering; (3) probe the Milky Way’s dust-enshrouded regions, reaching the far side of our Galaxy; and (4) characterize Kuiper Belt Objects and other planetesimals in the outer Solar Systems.

ATLAS Probe is a 1.5m telescope with a FoV of 0.4 deg^2 , and uses DMDs as slit selectors. It has a spectroscopic resolution of $R = 600$, and a wavelength range of $1\text{--}4\mu\text{m}$, with an estimated spectroscopic multiplex factor of $5,000$ to $10,000$. The pixel scale of ATLAS Probe is $0.39''$. Each micro-mirror in the DMD provides a spectroscopic aperture (“slit”) of dimension $0.75'' \times 0.75''$ on the sky. The effective point spread function (PSF) FWHM, based on the diffraction limit of $1.22\lambda/D$ for a 1.5m aperture telescope, is $0.17''$ at $1\mu\text{m}$, $0.34''$ at $2\mu\text{m}$, and $0.67''$ at $4\mu\text{m}$.

¹ <https://jwst.nasa.gov/>

² <https://www.lsst.org/>




Figure 1. The ATLAS galaxy surveys. Left panel: Comparison with other spectroscopic surveys (adapted from a figure originally made by Ivan Baldry). Right panel: Number of galaxies per $dz = 0.5$ for the three ATLAS Probe galaxy redshift surveys (see Sec.7 for a detailed discussion).

ATLAS Probe will be capable of transforming the state of knowledge of how the Universe works by the time it launches in ~ 2030 . WFIRST will provide the imaging data which ATLAS Probe will complement and enhance with spectroscopic data. ATLAS Probe will dramatically boost the science from WFIRST by obtaining slit spectra of $\sim 300M$ galaxies imaged by WFIRST with $0.5 < z < 7$. ATLAS Probe and WFIRST together will produce a 3D map of the Universe with $\sim Mpc$ resolution in redshift space over $2,200 \text{ deg}^2$, the definitive data sets for studying galaxy evolution, probing dark matter, dark energy and modification of General Relativity, and quantify the 3D structure and stellar content of the Milky Way. The goals of ATLAS probe can be quantified into four main scientific objectives:

- (1) Trace the relation between galaxies and dark matter with less than 10% shot noise on relevant scales at $1 < z < 6$.
- (2) Measure the mass of dark-matter-dominated filaments in the cosmic web on the scales of $\sim 5\text{-}50 Mpc h^{-1}$ over $2,200 \text{ deg}^2$ at $0.5 < z < 3$.
- (3) Measure the dust-enshrouded 3D structure and stellar content of the Milky Way to a distance of 25 kpc.
- (4) Probe the formation history of the outer Solar System through the composition of 3,000 comets and asteroids.

The ATLAS science objectives are transformative in multiple fields of astrophysics through extremely high multiplex slit spectroscopy. This requires a modest but not small telescope aperture (1.5m) that is not feasible with SMEX or MIDEX class missions. ATLAS is a probe-class spectroscopic survey mission with a single instrument and mature technology (TRL 5 and higher). Compared to WFIRST, ATLAS has a much smaller telescope aperture (1.5m vs. 2.4m), half the number of major instruments, and 40% the number of detectors; all these factors lead to significant cost savings. Compared to Spitzer (3 instruments and cryogenic cooling with liquid Helium), ATLAS has a larger mirror but 1/3 the number of instruments, and passive cooling. Preliminary cost estimate by JPL places ATLAS securely within the cost envelope of a NASA probe-class space mission.

ATLAS is designed to meet its science objectives through transformative capabilities enabled by mature technology. The core of our system, DMD, can be brought to TRL 6 within two years (see Sec.10.3). Our baseline detector, Teledyne H4RG-10, is the same type currently under development for WFIRST. The long-wavelength cutoff of our spectroscopic channels match the standard cutoff of WFIRST and JWST devices respectively (see Sec.10.2). Table 1 shows a comparison of the capabilities of several planned/proposed future space missions. Table 2 shows how the ATLAS surveys trace back to science objectives.

During its 5 year prime mission, ATLAS Probe will carry out three galaxy redshift surveys at high latitude (ATLAS Wide over 2200 sq deg , ATLAS Medium over 100 sq deg , and ATLAS Deep over 1 sq deg), a Galactic plane survey over 700 sq deg , a survey of the outer Solar System over $1,200 \text{ sq deg}$, as well as a Guest Observer program.

The galaxy evolution science objective provides the driving requirements for ATLAS Probe, which flow down to its spectral coverage of $1\text{-}4\mu\text{m}$; the requirements from the other science objectives are then easily met. It is well known that this spectral range represents a major advantage for galaxy evolution studies because of: (1) better sensitivity to stellar mass than rest-frame

Mission	λ (μm)	R	FoV (deg^2)	Continuum Limit (AB mag)	Line Flux Limit (erg/s/cm^2)	Aperture (m)	Cost	Launch Date
ATLAS	1-4	600	0.4	23.5* (3σ) Wide 25 (3σ) Medium 26 (3σ) Deep	5×10^{-18} (5σ) Wide 10^{-18} (5σ) Medium 4.8×10^{-19} (5σ) Deep	1.5	Probe	2030
WFIRST	1.35-1.89	460	0.281	20.5 (7σ)	10^{-16} Wide (7σ)	2.4	\$3.2B	2025
SPHEREx	0.75-5	41.4 (0.75-4.2 μm) 135 (4.2-5 μm)	24.5	18 (5σ)	N/A	0.2	MIDEX	<2023
Euclid	0.92-1.85	380	0.53	20.0 (3.5σ) 21.3 (3.5σ)	2×10^{-16} (3.5σ) Wide 6×10^{-17} (3.5σ) Deep	1.2	~1B Euros	2021
JWST NIRSpec (10^5s)	0.7-5	100, 1000, 2700	0.0034	25.3 (10σ)	3.5×10^{-19} (10σ)	6.5	\$8.8B	2019

Table 1. Comparison of spectroscopic capability of current & planned/proposed future missions. The parameter values for Euclid and WFIRST are from [Vavrek et al. \(2016\)](#) and [Spergel et al. \(2015\)](#) respectively. The continuum limits for WFIRST and Euclid are estimated at a wavelength of $1.5\mu\text{m}$, assuming the same signal-to-noise ratios as for the line flux limits adopted by WFIRST and Euclid. The depths for JWST NIRSpec are for Band III at $3\mu\text{m}$ with $R = 1000$. Note that for the ATLAS surveys, the flux limits correspond to the exposure time required for the faint limit of the selected galaxy sample, and not the total amount of exposure time per field. ATLAS will visit the same fields multiple times with updated target lists. Targets can be observed repeatedly to achieve fainter flux limits, see Table 2.

UV selection; (2) smaller K-corrections (i.e. sensitivity to all galaxy types, from star-forming to passive); (3) less affected by dust extinction; (4) coverage of the strongest optical rest frame lines ($\text{H}\alpha$ 6563 and $[\text{OIII}]$ 4959,5007) and those most useful for the physics of galaxy evolution. In Fig.1, the left panel compares the ATLAS galaxy redshift surveys with other spectroscopic surveys, and the right panel shows their redshift distributions. ATLAS Wide will use the WFIRST weak lensing imaging sample as the target list. ATLAS Medium and ATLAS Deep target lists will be magnitude selected from the lowest background regions of the WFIRST High Latitude Survey imaging, and/or from deeper WFIRST Guest Observer imaging programs.

In addition to definitive studies in galaxy evolution (see Sec.3), ATLAS Wide Survey will enable ground-breaking studies in cosmology (see Sec.4). ATLAS Galactic Plane Survey will explore dusty regions toward the Galactic center (see Sec.5), and ATLAS Solar System Survey will probe the formation of the outer Solar System (see Sec.6).

ATLAS Probe mission concept leverages the instrumentation heritage from SPACE ([Cimatti et al. 2009](#)), the spectroscopic precursor to Euclid, which baselined DMDs as slit selectors in its spectrograph. SPACE motivated the space-qualification studies on DMD carried out by ESA, which were later continued by NASA to advance its Technological Readiness Level (TRL). DMD based spectrographs have been designed for ground-based telescopes ([Meyer et al. 2004](#); [MacKenty et al. 2006](#); [Robberto et al. 2016](#)). ATLAS Probe represents the logical next step in the development of DMD-based spectroscopy. A preliminary design of the ATLAS Probe instrument is presented in Section 10.

3. GALAXY EVOLUTION

ATLAS Science Objective 1, "Trace the relation between galaxies and dark matter with less than 10% shot noise on relevant scales at $1 < z < 6$," flows down to three galaxy surveys nested by area and depth (Table 2, see Appendix A for a quantitative justification). ATLAS Wide will observe all galaxies at $z > 0.5$ in the 2200 deg^2 WFIRST High Latitude Imaging Survey and with robust WL shape measurements, to $H < 24.7$, with 82% redshift completeness from emission line detections. ATLAS Medium will expose longer over 100 deg^2 to measure absorption line redshifts to $H < 25$, ensuring complete sampling for all galaxy types, and emission lines 4 times fainter than the Wide Survey to sample structure at higher redshifts. ATLAS Deep will survey 1 deg^2 to a continuum limit $H < 26$, achieving denser sampling of lower mass galaxies of all types at all redshifts, and unique 3D mapping of structure at $5 < z < 7$ with $[\text{OIII}]$ line emitters.

In the current cosmological paradigm, the initial conditions for galaxy formation come entirely from the quantum fluctuations that were imprinted on the dark matter distribution during inflation. The large-scale distribution of the seeds of galaxies results from the gravitational evolution and hierarchical clustering of these fluctuations. Over-densities collapse relative to the overall expansion, and the emergent properties of the dark matter structures depend on the nature of dark matter. In today's era of

Survey	Area in deg ²	Continuum Limit (AB mag)	Line Flux Limit (erg/s/cm ²)	Number of Sources	Faint limit Exposure	Observing Time	Traceback to Science Objectives
ATLAS Wide	2,200	23.5 (3 σ) 24.0 (3 σ)	5×10^{-18} (5 σ) 3.2×10^{-18} (5 σ)	250M	5000s (per ELG) 12,000s (per field)	2.1 yrs	Objectives 1 & 2
ATLAS Medium	100	25 (3 σ) 25.5 (3 σ)	1.2×10^{-18} (5 σ) 7.4×10^{-19} (5 σ)	25M	7.3×10^4 s (per object) 2×10^5 s (per field)	1.6 yrs	Objective 1
ATLAS Deep	1	26 (3 σ) 26.8 (3 σ)	4.8×10^{-19} (5 σ) 2.3×10^{-19} (5 σ)	0.44M	4.7×10^5 s (per object) 2.1×10^6 s (per field)	0.2 yr	Objective 1
ATLAS Galactic Plane	700	18.7 (30 σ) 20.9 (30 σ)	1.6×10^{-17} (5 σ) 3.7×10^{-18} (5 σ)	220M	783s (per object) 9000s (per field)	0.5 yr	Objective 3
ATLAS Solar System	1,200	22.5 (3 σ)	1.3×10^{-17} (5 σ)	3000	1000s	0.2 yr	Objective 4

Table 2. The ATLAS Surveys (assuming a fiducial wavelength of $2.5\mu\text{m}$). Note that for ATLAS Wide, Medium, Deep, and Galactic Plane surveys, both the faint limit exposure time for the selected galaxy sample and the total amount of exposure time per field are given; it takes multiple visits to the same field with updated target lists to obtain the spectra of all objects in a given sample. We can obtain the spectra of passive galaxies by retaining them in the target list for all visits, so that they get a much higher signal-to-noise compared to the emission line galaxies. This is feasible since passive galaxies are only a small fraction of the galaxy population at high z , especially at lower masses.




Figure 2. Cosmic web of dark matter (green) at $z = 2$, traced by the galaxies (red) with spectroscopy from the ATLAS Medium Survey (left) and from WFIRST slitless spectroscopy (right).

precision cosmology, we believe that we understand the growth of structure in a universe of cold dark matter and dark energy, and sophisticated numerical simulations can map that evolution from early cosmic epochs to the present day.

However, the galaxies that we see are not simply dark matter halos. Baryonic physics makes them far more complex, and we are still far from understanding how galaxies form and develop in the context of an evolving “cosmic web” of dark matter, gas and stars. Gas flows along intergalactic filaments defined by the skeleton of the dark matter distribution. It cools and condenses into dark matter halos, forming stars that produce heavy elements that further alter the evolutionary history of the baryons, and which become the material out of which dust, planetary systems, and life itself ultimately emerge. Supermassive black holes form within massive galaxies, powering active galactic nuclei (AGN). The energetic output from star formation and AGN is




Figure 3. Cosmic web of dark matter (green) at $z = 4$, traced by the galaxies (red) with spectroscopy from the ATLAS Medium (left) and ATLAS Deep (right) surveys. See Appendix B for details on the visualization.

believed to generate powerful feedback that can regulate, and even quench altogether, gas infall and subsequent star formation. Hydrodynamic models can make predictions for how these processes proceed, but no simulation can span the full dynamic range from cosmological scales to the formation of individual stars and black hole accretion disks. A full understanding of galaxy evolution will not emerge until models are constrained by rich observational data from the era in which galaxies and cosmic structure were growing together.

ATLAS is designed to watch galaxies emerge and grow within the cosmic web during the first half of cosmic history (Figs.2-3), with capabilities far exceeding any other missions and projects in the 2030 time frame. ATLAS wide-field, high-multiplex spectroscopy will map growth of structure in the galaxy distribution from $z = 7$ to ~ 1 with unprecedented detail, while uninterrupted spectral coverage from 1 to 4 μm will measure the evolution of essential properties of the gas, dust, stars, and active nuclei in galaxies, and their relation to local and large-scale environment. No other ground or space observatory now planned provides this combination of redshift range, survey volume, vast statistics, and spectral quality. A hierarchy of surveys (Table 2) will probe cosmic structure on scales from Gpc to tens of kpc, observe $\sim 300\text{M}$ galaxies at $1 < z < 7$ (Fig. 1, right panel) spanning a broad range of luminosity and mass, and generate high quality spectra (Fig. 4) enabling a tremendous variety of community science investigations.

ATLAS Probe is designed to reveal the detailed structure of the cosmic web. This requires a slit spectroscopic survey in order to obtain redshift measurements with sufficient precision to trace cosmic large scale structure. Fig.5 shows the comparison of three galaxy redshift surveys: $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 10^{-4}$ (slit spectroscopic survey — ATLAS Probe,³ top panel) and $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 0.001$ (slitless spectroscopic survey — Euclid/WFIRST, middle panel), and $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 0.01$ (the most optimistic assumption for a photometric survey, bottom panel). The spectroscopic surveys reveal the cosmic web, while the photometric survey does not. The slit spectroscopic survey shows the detailed structure of the cosmic web, while the slitless survey shows a somewhat blurred picture. This contrast becomes even more pronounced at higher redshifts.

3.1. Decoding the Physics of Galaxy Evolution by Mapping the Cosmic Web

Galaxy properties should correlate with the underlying dark matter: the masses of the halos, their spins, and their positions within the cosmic web. Today, we have only approximate estimates of the stellar-mass/halo-mass ratio, with rather large uncertainties, from abundance matching, clustering, and weak lensing (Behroozi et al. 2013, Kravtsov et al. 2013). Direct clustering

³ The redshift precision of ATLAS Probe will depend on the signal-to-noise ratio of the galaxy spectra, as well as instrument parameters. Our preliminary estimates indicate that we should have $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 10^{-4}$ for most of the galaxies, since they will have multiple emission lines detected with S/N significantly higher than 5.




Figure 4. Simulated ATLAS galaxy spectra at $z = 3$ for a star-forming galaxy ($f_{\text{fine}} = 8 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2$) (upper), and a passive galaxy (lower), compared to simulated galaxy spectra from WFIRST (Spergel et al. 2015), Euclid (Vavrek et al. 2016), and MOONS (Cirasuolo et al. 2014).

measurements allow us to estimate the stellar-mass/halo-mass ratio as a function of galaxy properties, which is impossible to do via abundance matching. It is also clear that the stellar-mass/halo-mass ratio does not capture the entire influence of dark matter on galaxy properties, as the poorly-understood "conformity" of galaxy properties is seen on scales much larger than the radius of even the most massive collapsed halos (Hearin et al. 2016).

Spectroscopic redshifts over large areas are required to connect galaxy properties (e.g., stellar masses and star formation rates) to the underlying dark matter halo masses and environments that are key to understanding galaxy formation physics. This has been demonstrated by the remarkable success of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (most recently, Abolfathi et al. 2017) at $z \sim 0$. The ATLAS surveys will extend the redshift baseline of all SDSS-like galaxy science to $z \sim 3$ and in favorable cases to $z \sim 7$ and beyond (Figs. 6 and 7). Typical halo mass limits in Fig. 6 are derived starting from empirical average star formation histories (SFHs) as a function of halo mass and redshift from Behroozi et al. (2013). These SFHs are post-processed using FSPS (Conroy et al. 2009) to compute observer-frame F160W (H-band) apparent magnitudes, assuming Charlot & Fall (2000) dust and a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function.

We provide example halo mass and redshift ranges for four galaxy formation science cases in Fig. 7. The *stellar mass—halo mass relationship* (e.g., Moster et al. 2013; Behroozi et al. 2013) measures the efficiency with which galaxies turn incoming




Figure 5. The spatial distribution of $H\alpha$ emitting galaxies at $z = 2$ from the semi-analytical galaxy formation model GALFORM. Each panel illustrates a different survey of the same galaxy distribution: a slit spectroscopic survey (with $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 10^{-4}$, top panel), a slitless spectroscopic survey (with $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 0.001$, middle panel), and a photometric survey (with the most optimistic assumption of $\sigma_z/(1+z) = 0.01$, bottom panel). The spectroscopic surveys reveal the cosmic web, while the photometric survey does not. The slit survey traces the cosmic web in sharp detail, while the slitless survey does not. See Appendix B for details on the visualization.

gas into stars, and is a key probe of the strength of feedback from stars and supermassive black holes. The spectroscopic clustering measurements provided by ATLAS will directly measure this relationship for massive halos (bias $b \gtrsim 1$, Fig. 7). Additional constraints will be provided by *group catalogs*, wherein halo masses are measured for individual galaxies based on spectroscopically-identified satellite galaxy counts. These catalogs will also provide information about whether a given galaxy is a satellite or not; this is important for interpreting whether galaxy properties arise mainly from internal processes or instead from interaction with a larger neighbor. ATLAS will provide direct stellar mass-halo mass constraints out to $z \gtrsim 7$ and group catalogs to $z \gtrsim 3$, assuming a minimum of 3 satellites per group (Fig. 7).

Besides halo mass, many open questions in galaxy formation concern how halo assembly affects galaxy properties and supermassive black hole activity. Halo assembly is not directly measurable, but many assembly properties (e.g., concentration, spin, mass accretion rate) correlate strongly with *environmental density* (e.g. Lee et al. 2017). ATLAS will be able to measure environmental densities to $z \gtrsim 3$ (Fig. 7), with galaxies in median-density environments having at least 5 neighbors within a 4 Mpc by 2000 km/s cylinder even at the highest redshifts. Finally, ATLAS will be able to measure *average dark matter accretion rates* for galaxies via the detection of the splashback radius (a.k.a., turnaround radius) of their satellites as in More et al. (2016) out to $z \sim 5$ (Fig. 7). Here, we conservatively assume that a stack of 20,000 galaxies is sufficient to detect the splashback feature; More et al. (2016) achieved a $> 6\sigma$ detection using only 8,000 galaxies.

At redshifts $z > 1$, most (but not all) galaxies were forming stars rapidly, and their spectra feature strong emission lines. With its 1 to 4 μm spectral range, ATLAS can observe the strongest optical rest-frame emission lines at high redshift (typically $\text{H}\alpha$ at $0.5 < z < 5$, and $[\text{OIII}]$ at $1 < z < 7$) without the spectral gaps that result from OH airglow contamination and water vapor absorption and low S/N from thermal backgrounds that are unavoidable from the ground. This continuous spectral coverage translates to continuous redshift sampling and very simple selection functions that cannot be achieved with ground-based observations. As the Universe ages, a growing number of galaxies cease star formation and evolve quiescently. This "quenching" is related to both galaxy mass and environment, with quiescent galaxies dominating the denser regions of galaxy clusters and groups today. ATLAS will measure redshifts for these galaxies too, detecting stellar absorption lines and spectral breaks thanks to the minimal sky background for slit spectroscopy in space.

Today and in the next decade, ground-based spectroscopic surveys are measuring cosmic structure with outstanding statistics at $0 < z < 1$, with more limited forays to higher redshifts, almost exclusively for strong emission line galaxies. The emission line flux limit of the ATLAS Wide Survey reaches 15 times fainter than the characteristic luminosity $L^*(\text{H}\alpha)$ at $z = 2.23$ (Sobral et al. 2013; Pozzetti et al. 2016) and $L^*(\text{[OIII]})$ at $z = 3.24$ (Khostovan et al. 2015), ensuring excellent sampling of large scale structure. The continuum limits of the Wide Survey reach 2 to 3.5 times fainter than optical rest-frame continuum L^* at $z = 2$ to 3.5 (e.g., Marchesini et al. (2007)), while the Medium and Deep Surveys reach 3.3 to 8.3 times fainter still, ensuring that ATLAS can measure absorption line and continuum break redshifts even for non-star-forming galaxies that might inhabit the densest cosmic structures. The continuum sensitivities of the Medium and Deep Surveys are tuned to match L^* in the UV rest frame for Lyman break galaxies at $z \simeq 4$ and 6, respectively (e.g., Finkelstein (2016)). The Medium and Deep Surveys will uniquely map 3D clustering at $5 < z < 7$ via the $[\text{OIII}]$ emission line, which is observed to become stronger at higher redshifts, where galaxy metallicities are lower, and with absorption line redshifts for L^* galaxies. The "wedding cake" of ATLAS spectroscopic surveys will achieve outstanding spatial sampling of structure, from small to cosmological scales, and from $z = 7$ to 1. This is demonstrated in Appendix A, which gives the measurement errors on the galaxy two-point correlation function $\xi(r)$ as a function of the comoving separation r for the ATLAS Medium and Wide galaxy surveys.

3.2. Emergence of Galaxy Properties

ATLAS will measure key diagnostics of galaxy properties through emission and absorption line spectroscopy. Its spectral range covers the most important optical rest frame nebular emission lines at $1 < z < 5$, including $\text{H}\alpha$, $\text{H}\beta$ 4861, $[\text{OIII}]$, $[\text{NII}]$ 6549,6583 and $[\text{SII}]$ 6716,6731, with sufficient spectral resolution to resolve $\text{H}\alpha + [\text{NII}]$ and the $[\text{SII}]$ doublet. These lines trace star formation, gas excitation, metal abundance, electron density, and dust extinction, offering a wealth of information about the interstellar medium in distant galaxies. The sensitivity of the ATLAS Wide Survey ensures that both stronger and weaker lines can be measured in most typical, L^* galaxies at $2 < z < 3.5$. The ATLAS Medium and Deep Surveys will measure line diagnostics for galaxies at higher redshifts and/or lower luminosities. Moreover, in all surveys, spectra can be co-added for vast numbers of galaxies, grouped by other properties such as mass, star formation rate, excitation, and local environment density, in order to measure still weaker features, such as the auroral lines (e.g., $[\text{OIII}]$ 4363, $[\text{NII}]$ 5755) needed for direct electron temperature and metallicity determinations. The ATLAS Medium and Deep Surveys will measure stellar continuum and absorption lines for faint galaxies (individually and in stacked bins), providing diagnostics of stellar population ages, star formation histories, and chemical abundances. Observations of these features from terrestrial telescopes are limited by the Earth's atmosphere, leading to




Figure 6. ATLAS will resolve key galaxy formation physics over unprecedented halo mass and redshift ranges. This figure shows limiting halo masses for each of the ATLAS Surveys as a function of redshift. Typical H-band (F160W) apparent magnitudes calculated using FSPS (Conroy et al. 2009) from average star formation histories in Behroozi et al. (2013), assuming Charlot & Fall (2000) dust.




Figure 7. ATLAS Surveys will allow direct measurements of the stellar mass—halo mass relationship (top-left panel), spectroscopic group catalogs (top-right panel), environmental densities (bottom-left panel), and dark matter accretion rates (bottom-right panel). See text for details on how halo mass and redshift ranges were estimated.

highly incomplete and non-continuous spectral coverage and redshift range. JWST will obtain outstanding near-infrared spectra for faint galaxies, but only ATLAS, with its wide field and high multiplex, and its dedicated use for large surveys, can obtain spectra for hundreds of millions of galaxies over large cosmic volumes, needed to tie the evolving properties of galaxies to the context of their environments.

3.3. *Black Holes, AGN, and Feedback*

The distribution function of galaxy stellar masses is strikingly different from that of dark matter halos. Its shape implies a characteristic mass at which galaxies most effectively convert gas into stars. At lower and higher masses, "feedback" is invoked to prevent gas from cooling onto galaxies, or to expel gas, thus suppressing star formation efficiency. The most massive galaxies today are predominantly quiescent, with little active star formation, and with dominant bulges that universally contain supermassive black holes. These, when fueled, can power active nuclei which may dump tremendous energy into their environments. AGN feedback, perhaps coupled with environmental effects, may be the dominant process regulating star formation and growth for massive galaxies. Like cosmic star formation, the luminous output of AGN and (by inference) the black hole growth rate, peaked at high redshift. ATLAS spectroscopy at 1-4 μm will be uniquely suited to identifying vast samples of AGN over a wide range of luminosity and redshift, using standard nebular excitation diagnostics (e.g., Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich 1981) and through detection of high-ionization emission lines (e.g., [NeV], HeII, CIV, NV). ATLAS will connect AGN activity to local and large-scale environment with exquisite statistical accuracy that is only possible today in the local universe, and [OIII] luminosities will provide a critical measure of the distribution of accretion luminosities and black hole growth rates. ATLAS spectroscopy will be highly complementary to surveys with Athena, the next major X-ray observatory, and uniquely suitable for redshift measurement of the most distant AGN candidates identified by Euclid, WFIRST or Athena.

ATLAS will also enable spectroscopic redshifts to be measured for the majority of radio sources detected in wide-area surveys with the ASKAP such as EMU (Norris et al. 2011). EMU will detect tens of millions of star-forming galaxies out to $z \sim 3$ and several million quasars out to the earliest cosmic times. Although photometric redshifts of many of these galaxies will be possible through a combination of LSST and Euclid data, characterization of these objects, including metallicities and black hole masses and their location within the cosmic web, will require spectroscopic data which ATLAS will be able to measure.

3.4. *Reionization and Cosmic Structure*

Current observations indicate that the intergalactic medium (IGM) completed its transition from neutral to ionized by $z = 6.5$. This process is poorly understood: it is usually presumed that star-forming galaxies were responsible, but there is little evidence that sufficient ionizing radiation escapes from early galaxies to accomplish this. Reionization may have been highly inhomogeneous as well, with expanding bubbles driven by strongly clustered young galaxies that are highly biased tracers of dark matter structure. In coming decades, new radio facilities (LOFAR, HERA, MeerKAT, SKA) will map (at least statistically) the distribution of neutral hydrogen in the epoch of reionization; ATLAS will provide essential complementary information about the spatial distribution of the (potentially) ionizing galaxies themselves over the same sky areas and redshift ranges. This requires accurate spectroscopy deep enough to detect $z = 7$ galaxies over very wide sky areas — exactly what ATLAS will deliver. At $5 < z < 7$, ATLAS will characterize the clustering of early galaxies with hard ionizing spectra that produce [OIII] emission, a signature of the low-metallicity population that may be the main driver of IGM reionization. While the redshift range falls mostly below the end of reionization, an accurate measurement of 3D clustering will strongly constrain theoretical models that can then be extrapolated to higher redshifts. At $z > 7.2$, ATLAS can observe Lyman α . There is already evidence that Ly α may be inhomogeneously suppressed by the neutral IGM at those redshifts (e.g., Tilvi et al. (2014)), and that its escape may correlate with galaxy overdensities that can more effectively ionize large IGM volumes (Castellano et al. 2016). The ATLAS Medium and Deep Surveys can target galaxies at $z \simeq 7-8$ selected from deep WFIRST Guest Observer science programs over many square degrees, and measure (or set severe limits on) Ly α emission for statistical correlation with 21 cm surveys of the neutral IGM in the same volumes. JWST cannot survey areas wide enough to correlate large-scale structure with HI surveys, while the WFIRST slitless spectroscopy does not have suitable sensitivity for this. The ATLAS Wide Survey may also discover hundreds of examples of exceedingly rare, exceedingly luminous Ly α galaxies like "CR7" (Sobral et al. 2015), which may also exhibit HeII 1640 emission, a signature expected from ionization by primordial Population III stars (but see Bowler et al. 2017 and Sobral et al. (submitted)).

3.5. *The Circumgalactic Medium (CGM)*

The CGM is a key, but poorly understood component of the cosmic web. Containing the bulk of baryons, it is the gas reservoir that cools to form stars and which is heated and enriched by feedback. The nature of the CGM (density, temperature, metallicity)

as a function of galaxy properties is crucial to understanding galaxy formation, especially in the phase of rapid growth at $z > 2$. The high source density and wide spectral band of ATLAS offers a powerful probe of the CGM at these redshifts. By stacking spectra of many background galaxies, we can measure average CGM absorption around foreground galaxies with known redshifts as a function of impact parameter, mass, star formation rate, metallicity, local environment, and other properties. In the ATLAS Wide Survey, we can measure equivalent widths of 0.005\AA (3σ) for Ca H&K absorptions (using background galaxies at $z > 2$) and 0.010\AA for MgII (at $z > 2.6$). In addition, we can reach a 5σ sensitivity of $8 \times 10^{-22} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ for detecting H α emission from gaseous halos at $z \sim 2$, probing the CGM to radii $> 100 \text{ kpc}$.

3.6. Protoclusters

ATLAS Medium Survey will have the survey sensitivity and volume to discover forming clusters and protoclusters, all the way from $z = 2$ to 6 and thus to unveil the crucial phases of cluster formation and galaxy formation in cluster environments.

The first collapsed structures hosted within a single dark matter halo with masses of few times of $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ are expected to be in place in reasonable numbers at $z \sim 2-3$, with space densities ranging from 10^{-5} to 10^{-6} Mpc^{-3} , depending on the exact mass cut, corresponding to several per square degree over $2 < z < 3$ (so on average one in each ATLAS field of view). ATLAS will be able to obtain in depth spectroscopic identifications of a dozen members down to low levels in the mass function in less than 1 hour observations (line fluxes down to few $10^{-18} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2$). Many hundreds will be found, for example, over a systematic survey of 100 square degrees by ATLAS Medium. Pointed observations of similar objects found by Euclid/Athena and/or other facilities will be very efficient. Observations of the first structures ($z = 1.99$: Gobat et al. 2013; $z = 2.50$: Wang et al. 2016) show that these objects host spectacular action: from strong star formation activity to quenching, from AGN feedback to the morphological transformation of galaxies and the formation of ellipticals. At those redshifts, the AGN activity will become much more prominent, following in parallel the rise of SFR and gas content in the Universe. In these conditions, interactions between the ICM and the cluster's environment through the inflow of pristine cold gas, the outflows originated from stellar and AGN's winds, and the deposition of warm plasma will shape the baryon distribution, the metal content and the energy budget of the structures (e.g., Valentino et al. 2015, 2016).

At higher redshifts, the first lower mass group-like halos (masses of $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ or below) as well as protoclusters (larger scale overdensities not yet collapsed) will be within reach of spectroscopic identification by ATLAS. Groups of $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ have an expected density of 1 per tens of ATLAS fields at $5 < z < 7$, but several dozens could be found over the 100 square degree ATLAS Medium Survey. A basic characterization with identification of most massive galaxies (few $10^9 M_{\odot}$) will require a few hours of integration. Detailed characterization down the stellar mass function will require many dozens hours of observations with ATLAS (fluxes of a few $10^{-19} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2$). Observing such objects to $z \sim 6$ will be key to explore the early phases of environmental effects on galaxy formation and evolution as well as the beginning of structure formation (see e.g., Orsi et al. 2016, and Izquierdo et al. 2017).

3.7. Physics Enabled by Emission Lines

A wide range of physical information is encoded in a galaxy's spectrum. The main strong optical lines are particularly well-studied and start to shift into the observer frame of ATLAS at $z > 0.5$. Figure 8 shows when various key diagnostic features become accessible to ATLAS with emission lines shown as lines and absorption features as shaded regions.

The optical emission lines ([N II]6548,6584, H α , H β , [O III]4959,5007 and [O II]3727 in particular) have a long history of being used to estimate star formation rates, gas-phase metallicities and ionization conditions. At $1.7 < z < 5.0$, all of these strong, optical rest-frame lines are accessible to ATLAS. Metallicity and ionization conditions can be studied in large samples of galaxies over a wide range of cosmic epoch, drastically reducing the current systematic uncertainties that are caused by patching together different indicators at different redshifts. The hardness of the ionizing spectrum can be traced by weaker optical lines, such as [O I]6300 and [S II]6716,6731, while in the UV the [C III]1909 doublet and the He II 1640 and C IV 1548,1550 lines have been shown to be present frequently in low-mass star-forming galaxies with low metallicity/high redshift (e.g. Senchyna et al. 2017; Stark et al. 2015) but they are also prominent tracers of AGN activity (Feltre et al. 2016).

Finally, the Ly α line offers a potential cornucopia of information on the properties of galaxies. It is related to the star formation rate in galaxies, and its shape can be used to constrain outflows. Recent research on low-redshift galaxies with Lyman continuum escape has shown that the separation of the blue and red peaks in the emission line also correlate with the escape fraction of ionising photons, f_{esc} (Verhamme et al in prep; Izotov et al. 2017). While ATLAS can not observe this separation with the nominal $R = 600$ resolution for $f_{\text{esc}} > 0.05$, systematic changes in the line profiles might still be able to trace changes in the escape fraction with redshift at the very highest redshifts.




Figure 8. The wavelength at which different spectroscopic features fall as a function of redshift. The thinner lines denotes emission lines as indicated in the figure. Also indicated with broader bands are the D4000 and B2640 absorption features as well as the region including the Mg II absorption lines. The wavelength coverage of ATLAS leads to an optimal redshift range of $1.68 < z < 5$ within which all main strong optical lines will be visible (indicated by the orange rectangle).

4. COSMOLOGY

ATLAS Science Objective 2, “Measure the mass of dark-matter-dominated filaments in the cosmic web on the scales of $\sim 5\text{-}50\text{Mpc}h^{-1}$ over $2,200\text{ deg}^2$ at $0.5 < z < 3$,” flows down to the requirement for the Wide Survey, which obtains spectroscopic redshifts for 90% of the galaxies in the $2,200\text{ deg}^2$ WFIRST High Latitude Survey (HLS) Weak Lensing (WL) sample at $0.5 < z < 4$. The WFIRST WL sample has a continuum depth of $H = 24.7$ (the shape measurement limit), a redshift range of $0 < z < 4$, and a galaxy number density of $44.8/(\text{arcmin})^2$ (combining the filters). 80% of these galaxies are at $z > 0.5$, of which 90% have emission line fluxes $f_{\text{line}} > 5 \times 10^{-18}\text{ erg s}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ (see Fig. 17 in Sec. 7), setting the 5σ depth of the ATLAS Wide Survey, which will require 2 years of observing time, and obtain 250M galaxy spectra (an order of magnitude larger than that from Euclid or WFIRST). Note that the continuum limit of ATLAS Wide is only $AB=23.5$ (3σ), since we are targeting emission line galaxies of the WFIRST WL sample. The left panel of Fig. 9 shows the expected redshift distribution of galaxies from the ATLAS Wide Survey. These galaxies trace the cosmic web densely enough on scales $\sim 5\text{-}50\text{Mpc}h^{-1}$ at $0.5 < z < 3$ (see Fig. 9, right panel), so that their ellipticities can be stacked for filament detections (Epps & Hudson 2017). The number of galaxies at $z > 3$ may be too small for the detection of the dark-matter-dominated filaments.

ATLAS Probe and WFIRST together will produce a 3D map of the Universe with $\sim\text{Mpc}$ resolution in redshift space over $2,200\text{ deg}^2$, opening a new window into the dark Universe by weighing the dark matter dominated filaments in the cosmic web, and precisely measuring the cosmic expansion history and growth rate of large scale structure for redshifts of 0.5 to 3.5. Although the requirements for the ATLAS Wide Survey is driven by the detection of dark-matter-dominated filaments connecting massive galaxies, they lead to a spectacular data set for other cosmological studies as well.




Figure 9. Left panel: Expected redshift distribution of galaxies from the ATLAS Wide Survey. Right panel: cosmic web of dark matter (green) at $z=2$ traced by galaxies from ATLAS Wide Survey (red). See Appendix B for details on the visualization.

4.1. Weighing Dark-Matter-Dominated Filaments in the Cosmic Web

A key prediction of the cold dark matter model is the existence of the "cosmic web", a network of low-density filaments connecting dark matter halos. *Spectroscopic redshifts* are required to locate the galaxy groups and clusters that are connected by filaments in redshift space. The uncertainty associated with photometric redshifts scatters the true physical pairs and smears the filamentary structure (see Fig.5). Epps & Hudson (2017) recently demonstrated that the stacked dark-matter-dominated filament connecting pairs of massive galaxies can be detected when spectroscopic redshifts along with weak lensing data are available for most of the source galaxies lensed by the massive galaxy pairs. ATLAS Probe spectroscopy and WFIRST imaging together provide the ideal data set for such detections. The detection of these filaments by ATLAS Probe over a significant cosmic volume will test the cold dark matter model for structure formation, and provide key insight into large-scale structure in the Universe.

Epps & Hudson (2017) used the overlap in the CFHTLenS imaging and BOSS spectroscopic data over 105 deg^2 (Miyatake et al. 2015) to select a sample of $\sim 20,400$ luminous red galaxies (LRGs), from which they constructed a catalog of LRG pairs by selecting pairs that were separated by $\Delta z_{\text{spec}} < 0.002$ ($\sim 5 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ in comoving separation) and by 6 to $10 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ in the transverse direction. This yielded a sample of $\sim 23,000$ pairs of LRGs, with a mean separation of $\sim 8.23 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ at a mean redshift of 0.42, and a mean stellar mass of $10^{11.3} M_{\odot}$ (with the expected halo mass of $10^{13.04} M_{\odot}$, corresponding to galaxy groups). Epps & Hudson (2017) constructed shear and convergence maps by stacking all the LRG pairs in their sample, and obtained a 5σ detection of the stacked filament connecting the LRG pairs.

The Canada-France Imaging Survey⁴ (CFIS; Ibata et al. 2017) is a CFHT Large Program that has been allocated 271 nights over six semesters (from Feb. 1st 2017 to Jan. 31st 2020). It will provide imaging to complement the spectroscopy from BOSS and DESI, to enable dark matter filament measurements over a wide sky area at $z \lesssim 0.8$. ATLAS Probe complements this by enabling dark matter filament measurements over $2,200 \text{ deg}^2$ at $0.5 < z < 3.0$, with much higher galaxy number densities (see Fig.9).

⁴ <http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Science/CFIS/>




Figure 10. Conservative estimate of the measurement errors of filament mass from ATLAS Wide, for a 6-10 Mpc/h cosmic web filament between $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ halos. See text for details.

Fig.10 shows the estimated uncertainties of filament mass measurements for slices in redshift z of width indicated by the horizontal error bars (so averaging over larger redshift range at higher z). The large blue cross is the CFHTLenS result from Epps & Hudson (2017). Orange symbols at low z are forecasts for CFIS (imaging) with BOSS (spectroscopy), and green represents conservative forecasts for ATLAS Probe (spectroscopy) with WFIRST (imaging). Note that the signal drops at high z due to structure growth and the noise increases at high z due to lack of source galaxies behind the higher lens redshifts.

We have assumed that filaments with mass $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ connect halos that are $\sim 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ at $z \sim 0.4$, and have a number density of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4} (h/\text{Mpc})^3$ (BOSS-LRG-like in density but the halos do not have to be passive, just massive). To derive galaxy bias $b(z)$, we assumed constant clustering, i.e., $b(z)G(z)$ is constant, where $G(z)$ is the linear growth factor. Since the galaxies have $b = 2$ at $z \sim 0.43$, we find $b(z) = b(0.43)G(0.43)/G(z)$. The filament mass is assumed to scale with redshift like the three point correlation function.

The mass estimate uncertainties in Fig.10 are conservative because it should also be possible to detect filaments between lower mass halos. In future work, we will carry out calculations based on realistic simulations to increase the fidelity of our estimates, and study the measurement of filament mass at higher redshifts.

The massive galaxies that mark the galaxy groups can be identified through color selection in the WFIRST HLS imaging data. We expect that $\sim 90\%$ of the source galaxy redshifts will be measured by ATLAS Wide. The lens galaxies are expected to be massive galaxies, some of which may be passive ellipticals with very low emission line flux; their redshifts will require additional follow-up spectroscopy using ATLAS Probe. This can be accomplished by keeping the lens galaxies on the target list for all visits to a given field.

The dark matter filament mass measurements by ATLAS Probe are synergistic with galaxy evolution studies using the three-tiered ATLAS Probe galaxy surveys. Using the GAMA spectroscopic survey in the redshift range $0.03 \leq z \leq 0.25$, Kraljic et al. (2017) have shown that the cosmic web plays an important role in shaping galaxy properties. Key galaxy properties (such as stellar mass, dust corrected color, and specific star-formation rate) are found to be correlated with galaxy distances to the 3D cosmic web features such as nodes, filaments, and walls. ATLAS Probe is unique in providing samples of different galaxy types, i.e., with different bias factors. These enable the ATLAS Probe galaxies to trace the cosmic web in unprecedented details and precision. ATLAS Probe measurements of dark matter filaments can be used to constrained models of the cosmic web, and enable the calibration of the reconstruction of the underlying dark matter distribution. This will enable transformative progress in our understanding of galaxy evolution and the nature of dark matter.

4.2. Differentiating Dark Energy and Modification of General Relativity

The observed cosmic acceleration is one of the biggest unsolved mysteries in cosmology today (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999). We don't even know if it is due to an unknown energy component in the Universe (i.e., dark energy), or a modification




Figure 11. ATLAS vs. WFIRST and Euclid comparison of the measurement errors from BAO/RSD on the cosmic expansion history $H(z)$, angular diameter distance $D_A(z)$, and the growth rate of cosmic large-scale structure $f_g(z)$. Note that s is the BAO scale, $\sigma_m(z) \equiv G(z)\bar{P}_0^{1/2}$, where $G(z)$ is the growth factor, and $\bar{P}_0 \equiv P_0/[(\text{Mpc}/h)^3(\text{Mpc})^{n_s}]$, with P_0 denoting the power spectrum normalization (Wang, Chuang, & Hirata 2013). The 14,000 deg^2 ELG survey from the ground-based project DESI (Aghamousa et al. 2016) is also shown for comparison.

of General Relativity (i.e., modified gravity). The measurement of both the cosmic expansion history and the growth history of cosmic large-scale structure is required to solve this mystery (see, e.g., Guzzo et al. (2008); Wang (2008)). WL and galaxy clustering both probe cosmic expansion history, and probe different ways in which gravity can be modified. The ATLAS Wide Survey will provide the ultimate data set to remove systematic effects in our quest to discover the cause for cosmic acceleration.

(i) Multi-Tracer and Ultra High Density BAO/RSD. Galaxy clustering data from 3D distributions of galaxies is the most robust probe of cosmic acceleration. The baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) measurements provide a direct measurement of cosmic expansion history $H(z)$ and angular diameter distance $D_A(z)$ (Blake & Glazebrook 2003; Seo & Eisenstein 2003), and the redshift-space distortions (RSD) enable measurement of the growth rate of cosmic large-scale structure $f_g(z)$ (Guzzo et al. 2008; Wang 2008). The ATLAS Wide Survey will obtain spectroscopic redshifts of 250M galaxies over 2,200 deg^2 , ~ 20 times higher in galaxy number density compared to WFIRST. These provide multiple galaxy tracers of BAO/RSD (red galaxies, different emission-line selected galaxies, and WL shear selected galaxies) over $0.5 < z < 4$, with each at high number densities. These enable robust modeling of BAO/RSD (e.g., the removal of the nonlinear effects via the reconstruction of the linear density field), and significantly tightens constraints on dark energy and modified gravity by evading the cosmic variance when used as multi-tracers (McDonald & Seljak 2009). ATLAS measures $H(z)$, $D_A(z)$, and $f_g(z)$ over the wide redshift range of $0.5 < z < 4$ (see Fig.11), with high precision over $0.5 < z < 3.5$, improving the constraints from WFIRST by a factor of three or more at $2 < z < 3$ (beyond the reach of Euclid), and extending constraints to $3 < z < 4$, beyond the reach of both WFIRST and Euclid. The 14,000 deg^2 ELG survey from the ground-based project DESI (Aghamousa et al. 2016) is complementary to the space-based surveys, as shown in Fig.11.

We have followed the methodology of Wang, Chuang, & Hirata (2013) in deriving the constraints shown in Fig.11, with 100% nonlinear effects, a cutoff of $k_{max} = 0.25h/\text{Mpc}$, and peculiar dispersion of 290 km/s. We assumed a bias function $b(z) = 0.84G(0)/G(z)$, following the fitting of the Mostek et al. (2013) results from Aghamousa et al. (2016), where $G(z)$ is the growth factor. This corresponds to constant clustering. It is appropriate for the majority of the WFIRST WL source galaxies, most of which are emission line objects at some level (though the lines are fainter than the ones used for slitless spectroscopy on Euclid and WFIRST). For the slitless surveys, we assumed an efficiency of 75% for WFIRST, and 40% for Euclid, since the signal-to-noise thresholds for WFIRST and Euclid are 7σ and 3.5σ respectively. The galaxy number density for ATLAS is shown in the left panel of Fig.9 (normalized to a total of 250M galaxies). For WFIRST and Euclid, we use the forecasts shown in Merson et al. (2017). The galaxy number density for DESI is from Aghamousa et al. (2016).

(ii) 3D WL with Spectroscopic Redshifts. The ATLAS Wide Survey replaces photometric redshifts with spectroscopic redshifts for $\sim 90\%$ of the lensed galaxies in the WFIRST HLS WL sample. This eliminates the photo- z calibration ladder as a major source of systematic uncertainty in WL. Furthermore, one can identify every pair of galaxies that are near each other in 3D space, dramatically suppressing the systematic error from intrinsic galaxy alignments. This improves the measurement of the growth rate index (used to parametrize the gravity model) by $\sim 50\%$.

(iii) Joint Analysis of Weak Lensing and Galaxy Clustering. Less than 10% of the galaxies in the WFIRST WL sample will have WFIRST spectroscopy; $\sim 90\%$ will have ATLAS spectroscopy from the Wide Survey at $z > 0.5$. This super data set of both WL shear and 3D galaxy clustering data for the same 250M galaxies over $0.5 < z < 4$ enables a straightforward joint analysis of the data. This facilitates the precise modeling of the bias between galaxies and matter $b(z)$, and provides the ultimate measurement of $H(z)$ and $f_g(z)$. We expect this to result in definitive measurements of dark energy and modified gravity for $0.5 < z < 4$, with the removal of photo- z errors as the primary systematic for weak lensing, and detailed modeling of bias systematic for BAO/RSD.

(iv) Type Ia Supernovae (SNe Ia). ATLAS Probe will obtain the host galaxy redshifts of nearly all 30,000 SNe Ia that will have lightcurves measured by the LSST DDF and WFIRST SN surveys, over the redshift range of $0.2 < z < 2.0$. This will provide a powerful measurement of $H(z)$ that is independent of cosmic large scale structure. The WFIRST and LSST SN surveys will cover ~ 40 sq. deg. each. There are currently no plans in place to obtain host galaxy redshifts for LSST SNe with $z > 0.5$. For WFIRST, there may be an Integrated Field Channel on board to obtain spectra of SNe and hosts; however, for $z > 1.0$, the number of spectra acquired will be $\sim 10\%$ of the full discovery sample (Hounsell et al. 2017). Other plans, like a joint effort with Prime Focus Spectrograph will obtain host galaxy redshifts to $z \sim 1.0$, but a small and largely biased sample for $z > 1.0$. Multiple studies (e.g. Sullivan et al. (2010)) have shown that there is a relation between SN luminosity and host galaxy properties, so a large effort should be made to create an unbiased sample of host galaxies to as high a redshift as possible.

With host galaxy redshifts up to $z=2.0$ from ATLAS Probe, the statistical distance precision of WFIRST and LSST SNe should be sub-1% out to $z=2.0$ (Hounsell et al. 2017). This is comparable to the constraints shown in Fig.11 from the ATLAS measurement errors from BAO/RSD. Without the host galaxy redshifts, SN analyses will be forced to rely on photometric redshifts, which for the full SN sample degrades the cosmological precision by introducing a series of systematic uncertainties and reducing the statistical precision as well.

(v) Clusters. The abundance of mass-calibrated galaxy clusters provides a complementary measurement of cosmic expansion history and growth rate of large-scale structure. In the ATLAS Wide Survey, we will carry out spectroscopy for the 40,000 clusters with $M > 10^{14} M_\odot$ expected to be found by WFIRST HLS imaging (Spergel et al. 2015). The ATLAS/WFIRST cluster sample will have mass accurately measured by the deep 3D WL data, and cluster membership precisely determined by spectroscopic redshifts. This will provide a powerful crosscheck to constraints from BAO/RSD and 3D WL, and a unique data set of spectroscopic clusters for studying cluster astrophysics.

(vi) Higher-order Statistics. The very high number density galaxy samples from ATLAS Probe provides the ideal data set for studying higher-order statistics of galaxy clustering, which will boost the power to probe dark energy and gravity by a significant factor. While the use of galaxy clustering 2-point statistics is now standard in cosmology, the use of the 3-point statistics is still limited due to a number of technical challenges. Since the galaxy 3-point statistics provides additional information to that from the galaxy 2-point statistics, the combination of these is needed to optimally extract the cosmological information from galaxy clustering data (see, e.g., Gagrani & Samushia (2017)).

(vii) Calibration of Photometric Redshifts. In addition to providing redshifts for $\sim 90\%$ of the WFIRST HLS lensing sample at $z > 0.5$, ATLAS should greatly improve photometric redshifts for those WFIRST galaxies which it does not obtain a robust redshift determination for. This will be true for two reasons. First, the redshifts ATLAS obtains will all be helpful for improving our knowledge of the relationship between galaxy colors/magnitudes and redshift (or, equivalently, for constraining models of galaxy SED evolution); the Medium survey should be particularly well-suited for this, especially if the survey area is distributed amongst multiple fields to mitigate the effects of sample/cosmic variance (in contrast, variance in the 1 sq. deg. deep field will be sufficient to limit its use for this purpose). If the survey is appropriately designed, ATLAS should greatly exceed the requirements for a Stage IV photometric redshift training sample established in Newman et al. (2015).

Second, the large sample of galaxy redshifts over a large volume provided by the ATLAS Wide survey would provide a high-precision *calibration* of photometric redshifts, even in the presence of systematic incompleteness, via cross-correlation between ATLAS galaxies with secure redshifts and photometric-only samples (Newman 2008). Gains would be particularly great at $z \gtrsim 1.5$, where the DESI and 4MOST samples will be limited to QSOs (and hence relatively sparse). The resulting calibration would ensure that accurate dark energy inference can be done even if spectroscopic redshift samples are systematically incomplete. We note that not only WFIRST but other Stage IV (or future) photometric dark energy experiments (e.g., LSST and

the weak lensing imaging survey on Euclid) would benefit from the improved photometric redshift training and calibration provided by ATLAS.

4.3. Particle Cosmology: Inflationary Physics, Neutrino Mass, and Particle Dark Matter

Probe Inflationary Physics. Current observational data are consistent with inflation having occurred when the Universe was 10^{-34} s old. However, we have very little insight on the physics of inflation. While the detection of primordial gravity waves by future CMB observations would provide the definitive proof that inflation occurred, the combination of CMB data from Planck and the ultra high precision matter power spectrum $P(k, z)$ (with $0.5 < z < 4$) measured from the ATLAS Wide Survey will characterize the primordial matter power spectrum $P(k)_{\text{in}}$ with definitive precision and accuracy. The measured $P(k)_{\text{in}}$ allows us to probe inflationary physics, e.g., whether inflation occurred in one stage, or in multiple stages, and whether inflation occurred smoothly, or in spikes (Wang, Spergel, & Strauss 1999). These help determine the particle physics required for inflation, e.g., properties of the inflaton, including its coupling to other particles, which will help in its identification. We expect ATLAS to provide an order of magnitude improvement in measuring $P(k)_{\text{in}}$ over other planned galaxy redshift surveys due to its extremely high galaxy number density over a broad redshift coverage, opening up in particular the high redshift window where the nonlinearities are less important and the modeling more robust.

Measure the Total Mass of Neutrinos. Solar and atmospheric neutrino data indicate that neutrinos have a small but nonzero mass (see, e.g., Lesgourgues & Pastor (2006)). This has profound implications for particle physics and cosmology. Measuring the tiny mass of neutrinos is a major enterprise in experimental particle physics, but cosmological observations will likely provide the most stringent constraints on the total mass of neutrino species, and possibly be sensitive enough to the mass hierarchy (Carbone et al. 2011). By covering $\lambda > 2\mu\text{m}$ and obtaining spectra for faint galaxies, the ATLAS galaxy surveys probe structures at early times and is not limited to the most massive/biased objects. ATLAS thus has the potential to be the least affected by systematic errors in modeling nonlinear structure evolution, allowing us to measure $P(k, z)$ ($0.5 < z < 4$) down to very small scales. This will allow us to measure the total mass of neutrinos to high precision, as the effect of massive neutrinos increases at small scales. The ATLAS Medium Survey will provide a unique new angle to measure neutrino mass by providing precise measurement of galaxy clustering on the scales of $1\text{-}50 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ for $0.5 < z < 6$, with sub-percent measurement of the galaxy correlation function on $1\text{-}20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$. This will help tighten the ATLAS neutrino mass measurement by probing the effect of massive neutrinos to even earlier times. If the neutrino mass $m_\nu \geq 0.06\text{eV}$, ATLAS Probe will be able to detect it with a significance exceeding 3σ (Ballardini et al., in preparation). Because ATLAS Probe will have the tightest possible control of systematic uncertainties in both galaxy clustering and weak lensing, we expect it to lead to the most accurate measurement of m_ν within the next few decades.

Constrain Axions as Dark Matter. Axion is the leading alternative to WIMPs (weakly interacting massive particles) as a particle candidate for dark matter. Axions are motivated by known particle physics, as it solves the strong CP problem. If dark matter were made of axions, or a mixture of axions and WIMPs, they would give rise to specific features in the cosmic large scale structure (Hlozek et al. 2015; Ceden0, Gonzalez-Morales, & Urena-Lopez 2017), which can be measured to exquisite statistical precisions and accuracy using ATLAS Wide data. We will explore these constraints in future work.

5. MILKY WAY SCIENCE

ATLAS Science Objective 3, "Measure the dust-enshrouded 3D structure and stellar content of the Milky Way to a distance of 25kpc," flows down to a Galactic Plane Survey that obtains $SNR > 30$ spectra for 220M sources with $AB < 18\text{mag}$, covering 700deg^2 in 0.5 years of observing time. This is complemented by ATLAS Wide Survey, with its high Galactic latitude, to probe the low-mass content of the Milky Way. Within our Galaxy, ATLAS will advance our understanding of the structure, star-forming history, and content of the Milky Way.

In addition, the ATLAS Solar System Survey will cover a total of $1,200\text{deg}^2$ in 3000 fields of 0.4deg^2 each, to obtain the spectra of KBOs. Since the ATLAS Probe can obtain 5,000-10,000 spectra simultaneously, this will enable a spectroscopic survey of stars to $AB=22.53$ at 3σ (corresponding to $AB=21.88$ at 5σ , and $AB=18.96$ at 30σ), over $1,200\text{deg}^2$. This will provide a powerful data set for stellar astrophysics, to supplement the ATLAS Galactic Plane survey.

5.1. The 3-D Structure of the Hidden Milky Way

Currently, we know more about the structure and the spatially resolved star formation histories of galaxies in the Local Group (and even beyond) than we do about our own Galaxy. Results from Gaia will revolutionize our understanding of the 3D Milky Way, but Gaia is limited, especially in the inner Galaxy, due to it being an optical survey. Figure 12 illustrates the extinction challenge.

ATLAS will acquire the spectra in a strip of $\pm 1^\circ$ centered on the Galactic Equator, corresponding to the approximate area of the family of Spitzer/IRAC $3.6\mu\text{m}$ and $4.5\mu\text{m}$ surveys: GLIMPSE-I ($|l| = 10^\circ - 65^\circ$), GLIMPSE-II ($|l| < 10^\circ$); GLIMPSE-360




Figure 12. Inner few degrees of the Galaxy, as imaged by Spitzer/IRAC with contours depicting near-infrared extinction levels. The corresponding optical extinction $A_V = 11 \times, 6.5 \times, 3.6 \times$ the values given at K, H, and J.

($|l| > 65^\circ$) (Churchwell et al. 2009; Hora et al. 2007; Carey et al. 2008; Majewski et al. 2007; Whitney et al. 2008)⁵. These cover both the inner and outer Galaxy, and thus very different regions in terms of spiral structure, star formation, evolved stars and interstellar dust. As an example, Figure 13 illustrates some of the 720 deg² footprint of the Spitzer/GLIMPSE surveys. A future Galactic Plane imaging survey with WFIRST will improve our knowledge of the stellar populations, especially in the inner Galaxy where GLIMPSE is several magnitudes shallower than in the outer Galaxy, and would provide the ideal source catalog for ATLAS.

The ATLAS Galactic Plane Survey will represent a formidable follow-up to the GLIMPSE surveys, and complement shallower existing Galactic plane surveys: the near-infrared UKIDSS (JHK) and optical IPHAS (r, i and $H\alpha$), as well as the even larger PanSTARRS optical, WISE 3-23 μm mid-infrared, and SCUBA-2 sub-mm survey, the FCRAO surveys of CO in the outer Galaxy, the Herschel Hi-GAL survey and Planck, plus all future major optical/infrared surveys like Gaia, ZTF, LSST, and WFIRST. ATLAS spectra will be crucial to interpreting WFIRST photometry in particular, in which photospheric temperatures and extinction effects will be almost completely degenerate due to the filter choices. ATLAS spectra will also complement the planned SDSS-V spectroscopic survey programs covering brighter Milky Way objects ($H < 11.5$ mag).

The ATLAS Galactic Plane Survey will probe galactic structure, study star forming regions, and measure interstellar extinction.

(a) Galactic Structure. By taking advantage of the low extinction in the 1-4 μm region and the relatively high angular resolution and sensitivity of ATLAS compared to Spitzer, a spectroscopic survey of the inner Galaxy can produce unique information

⁵ Glimpse survey data: <http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/GLIMPSE/>




Figure 13. Left panel: GLIMPSE image. Right panel: Magnitude distribution at $3.6\mu\text{m}$ of the several hundred million GLIMPSE catalog sources. Reprinted from Meade et al. (2014), “GLIMPSE360 Data Description GLIMPSE360: Completing the Spitzer Galactic Plane Survey”, https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/GLIMPSE/doc/glimpse360_dataproduct_v1.5.pdf

on the bar(s) of the Galaxy, the nuclear region, the stellar disk, and spiral arms. Existing and planned photometric surveys can provide useful results using star counts techniques and statistical analysis of color-color and color magnitude diagrams. However, only spectroscopic information allows firm derivation of the extinction and effective temperature of the sources, and therefore their position in the HR diagram. Gaia will determine 1 (10)% distances for 10^6 (10^8) stars out to 2.5 (25) kpc, as faint as $V \sim 19$ mag or $K \sim 11 - 19$ mag, depending on intrinsic color. ATLAS will reach all of these stars, solidifying their precise locations in HR diagrams, and provide unique information for those that are extinguished beyond the limits of Gaia ($\lambda < 1\mu\text{m}$). Reconstructing the 3D structure of the Galaxy will allow progress on a number of fundamental questions, e.g. regarding the scale-length of the Galactic disk, whether the stellar warp and the gas warp coincide, and existence of stellar streams across the Galactic plane. ATLAS will address these issues by reaching disk regions well beyond the extinction-limited range sampled by SDSS, PanSTARRS, Gaia, and LSST.

(b) Star Formation. Spitzer/GLIMPSE surveys produced an unprecedented picture of star formation in our Galaxy by unveiling hundreds of new star forming regions. ATLAS will quantify the Star Formation Rate (SFR) of the Galaxy, its variation with Galactocentric radius, and its association with various dynamical features in the Galaxy, testing theories of star formation both on a global scale and at the molecular cloud level. Moreover, as the metallicity declines in the Outer Galaxy (reaching values intermediate between that of the LMC and in the inner Galactic disk), ATLAS will allow measurement of variations in the SFR representative of typical conditions at $z = 2 - 3$, the peak of the cosmic star formation efficiency. ATLAS will characterize Young Stellar Objects (YSO) and their surrounding environments, analyzing the energy budget and spatial correlation between ionization (through recombination lines), photodissociation (through PAH emission), and high-velocity outflows (from shocked H_2). The specific early type (<B2) stars that excite Galactic Plane HII regions out to 10 kpc will be identified via their distinguishing 1- $4\mu\text{m}$ spectroscopic features, revealing important details regarding the spatially resolved star formation history of the Galaxy over the past <50-100 Myr. Among lower mass Young Stellar Objects (left panels of Fig. 14), accretion and outflow rates can be measured across individual star forming regions, probing accretion histories and quantifying burst vs steady accretion scenarios.

(c) Interstellar Extinction. By measuring the extinction law over tens of millions of lines of sight, ATLAS will provide a unique dataset to study in detail the variation of the extinction curve out to the edge of the Galactic disk. Variations with Galactic longitude have been identified and attributed to small variations in ISM density, mean grain size, or disk metallicity gradient. Variations of chemical composition of dust grains reflect the abundance/depletion of metals in the ISM, and hence the cooling mechanisms that control the efficiency of star formation.

5.2. Low-mass stars, Brown Dwarfs, and Exoplanets

ATLAS Wide Survey (the spectroscopic follow-up to the WFIRST HLS imaging), with its high Galactic latitude, complements the ATLAS Galactic Plane Survey. It will probe the low-mass content of the Milky Way.

ATLAS will be capable of characterizing planetary-mass objects in nearby star forming regions, thus clarifying the number density at extremely low masses and measuring the true initial mass function (IMF) as it is being established. The mass sensitivity of ATLAS depends upon the age of the object. At $J \sim 22$ mag, ATLAS can detect down to $1M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 900\text{K}$) in the 1-2 Myr old Orion Nebula Cluster and down to $3M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 500\text{K}$) in the 100 Myr old Pleiades Cluster.

In the field, brown dwarfs cool through the L-T-Y spectral sequence as they age (Burrows et al. 1997; Kirkpatrick 2005; Cushing et al. 2011). These objects provide important information on the shape and low-mass cutoff of the field mass function, and they




Figure 14. Example published spectra of: young stars (left panels out to $2.5\mu\text{m}$ only; Connelley & Greene (2010)), low-mass stars (middle panels, covering $1\text{--}4\mu\text{m}$; Cushing, Rayner, & Vacca (2005)), and evolved objects (right panels out to $2.5\mu\text{m}$ only; Cooper et al. (2013)). Figures reprinted with permission from the authors.

also stand as proxies for exoplanets, with their atmospheres having similar effective temperatures but without the complicating effect of an irradiating host star. Based on the theoretical predictions of Baraffe et al. (2003), at the 3σ limit of $J=24.0$ mag AB ATLAS will be able to detect 5-Gyr-old field brown dwarfs as low in mass as $10M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 325\text{K}$, an early-Y dwarf) at 10 pc, $15M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 400\text{K}$, early-Y) at 100 pc, and $65M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 1300\text{K}$, mid-T) at 1.0 kpc. For a robust, well-characterized sample in which ATLAS spectra of $\text{SNR}=100$ are required, corresponding to $J = 19.0$ mag AB, these limits are $25M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 500\text{K}$, late-T) at 10 pc, $65M_{Jup}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 1300\text{K}$, mid-T) at 100 pc, and $0.15M_{\odot}$ ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 3200\text{K}$, mid-M) at 1.0 kpc. In terms of spectral type, ATLAS can detect and characterize mid-L dwarfs to 250 pc and mid-T dwarfs to 150 pc at $J = 21.5$ mag AB ($\text{SNR} \sim 10$).

For low-mass stars, ATLAS can detect and characterize sources at the distance of the Galactic Bulge. These are important for a variety of Milky Way research topics (see section above) as well as providing valuable data for the interpretation of microlensing light curves when these objects are lensed by an intervening system. Spectra with $\text{SNR} > 100$ are obtainable at a distance of 8.0 kpc for types earlier than $\sim\text{G2 V}$ and with $\text{SNR} > 10$ for types earlier than $\sim\text{M0 V}$. Detections at the $\text{SNR} = 3$ limit are possible down to a type of $\sim\text{M4 V}$.

5.2.1. The Mass Function at Low Metallicities

Low-mass stars and brown dwarfs are brightest in the near-infrared (see Fig. 14, middle panels), but the nearest examples to the Sun almost all have metallicities near solar. Is the mass function at lowest masses dependent upon the composition of the gas from which the objects formed or is it invariant to metallicity, as early theoretical investigations suggest (Bate 2014)? Gizis & Reid (1999) determined that the local M star population is comprised of 99.8% dwarfs with $[\text{M}/\text{H}] \sim 0.0$ and only 0.2% subdwarfs with $[\text{M}/\text{H}] < -0.5$. Therefore, to find large collections of these metal-poor objects with which to study population statistics, deeper surveys in the near-infrared are needed.

The WFIRST HLIS provides a seed list with which to answer this question. Subdwarf candidates will be selected photometrically using the WFIRST data themselves, with ATLAS providing spectroscopic verification or refutation. The ATLAS data will be further used to establish both the spectral type and metallicity class of those that are verified. These, combined with WFIRST HLIS photometric measurements, will establish space densities of these objects with which we can determine mass functions of the stellar subdwarf populations as a function of metallicity.

Only a few dozen late-M and early-L subdwarfs have been uncovered to date in the immediate Solar Neighborhood, thanks primarily to 2MASS, which probes to $J \sim 17$ mag AB. For sources with $J < 21.5$ mag AB (spectra with $\text{SNR} > 10$), ATLAS follow-up of candidates selected from the 2200-sq-deg HLIS can reach a volume 30 times larger than the all-sky survey of 2MASS. The spectroscopic hallmark of these objects falls within the ATLAS observing window; specifically, strong collision-induced absorption by H_2 is the dominant shaper of the continuum, as Figure 15 (left) shows.




Figure 15. Left panel: The 1–2.5 μm spectra of two normal late-M dwarfs (top), two metal-poor late-M/early-L subdwarfs (middle), and two even more metal-poor late-M ultrasubdwarfs (bottom) from Kirkpatrick et al. (2010, 2016). For metal-poor objects, the broad trough caused by collision-induced absorption by H_2 flattens out the entire near-infrared spectrum, with the strongest effect at K -band. This flattening along with the concomitant bluer $J-K$ colors are the hallmarks of low metallicity. In this plot, regions of telluric absorption are shown by the dark gray bands if the atmospheric transmission is less than 20% and by light gray bands if the atmospheric transmission is between 20% and 80% (Rayner et al. 2009). Spectra are normalized at 1.28 μm and offsets added to separate the spectra vertically. **Right panel:** A Hammer projection in Galactic coordinates showing the sky distribution of known L, T, and Y dwarfs (black points), taken from Dwarf Archives^c and the List of All UltraCool Dwarfs^d. Note the lack of brown dwarfs in a wide band centered along the Galactic plane.

^aSee <http://dwarfarchives.org>

^bSee <https://jgagneastro.wordpress.com/list-of-ultracool-dwarfs/>

^cSee <http://dwarfarchives.org>

^dSee <https://jgagneastro.wordpress.com/list-of-ultracool-dwarfs/>

Rarer still are late-L and T subdwarfs that populate the brown dwarf side of the low-metallicity mass function. Less than a dozen of these objects are known near the Sun (Kirkpatrick et al. 2014, 2016; Pinfield et al. 2014) so identifying more of these objects in the ATLAS Wide Survey would enable a mass function analysis below the substellar break. As more of these objects are uncovered, the width of the temperature gap between the stellar and substellar sequences at a particular metallicity can be measured, offering an independent measurement of the age of these populations.

5.2.2. Toward Measuring the Masses of Isolated Low-mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs

As Figure 15 (right) shows, our knowledge of nearby low-mass stars and brown dwarfs is sorely lacking along the Galactic plane. Although there have been some spectacular finds along the Zone of Avoidance in recent years – the T6 dwarf WISE J192841.35+235604.9 at 13.0 pc ($b = +3.1^\circ$; Kirkpatrick et al. 2012), the T8 dwarf WISE J200050.19+362950.1 at 7.5 pc ($b = +3.3^\circ$; Cushing et al. 2014), the M6.5 dwarf WISEA J154045.67510139.3 at 5.9 pc ($b = +3.4^\circ$; Kirkpatrick et al. 2014), and the T9 dwarf UGPS J072227.51–054031.2 at 4.1 pc ($b = +4.3^\circ$; Lucas et al. 2010) – none has been as eye-opening as the L7.5/T0.5 binary WISE J104915.57531906.1 at 2.0 pc ($b = +5.3^\circ$; Luhman 2013; Burgasser et al. 2013), which, at 2.0 pc, is now recognized as the third closest “stellar” system to the Sun.

Finding such systems against a rich stellar background is challenging but also replete with possible future rewards. Any nearby low-mass star or brown dwarf will likely exhibit high proper motion, meaning that there is a much higher probability of microlensing a background source if the object lies against the Galactic plane than if it lies at a higher Galactic latitude. As Cushing et al. (2014) has shown, a hypothetical brown dwarf located at $(l, b) = (45^\circ, 0^\circ)$ and with a distance of 10 pc and proper motion of $0''.5 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ has an observable astrometric microlensing event every two decades. Thus, finding even a few dozen low-mass stars and brown dwarfs near $b = 0^\circ$ suggests that microlensing events could be studied every few months and could be predicted in advance with proper motion and parallax measurements accurate to the milliarcsecond level.

Monitoring such events enables a direct measurement of the mass of the lensing system. In fact, for single low-mass stars and brown dwarfs, microlensing is the only means available to perform a direct measurement of the mass, which is why other researchers have been trying, so far in vain, to predict events in advance (e.g., [Lépine & DiStefano 2012](#)). Spectroscopic follow-up by ATLAS of Spitzer-selected high-motion candidates from multi-epoch GLIMPSE data and of to-be-microlensed sources in the background provides a new tool to improve the chances of predicting such occurrences.

5.3. Evolved Stars and Other Objects

Evolved Stars. Because of their absolute luminosity, evolved stars can be traced across a large fraction of the Galactic disk. At $3\ \mu\text{m}$, ATLAS Galactic Plane Survey will detect Red Giant Clump stars standard candles with $L_{\text{mag}} = -1.75$ (0.71 in AB mag) at 10kpc with $A_v = 30\text{ mag}$, reaching the heavily obscured regions of the Galactic Center or the outer edges of the Milky Way over at least the two outer quadrants. Clusters of Red Supergiants, ~ 100 times brighter, can be studied via spectroscopy across the entire Galactic disk. Late-type stars tend to produce significant amount of dust and may have colors similar to YSOs and galaxies; IR spectroscopy is needed to disentangle their nature (Fig.14, right panels). The more massive AGB stars (initial mass $4-8M_{\odot}$) are sufficiently short lived that they can be used to trace the spiral arms of the Galaxy. Their number and distribution provides a fossil record of the recent history of star formation in the Milky Way.

Other Objects. ATLAS will provide an exceptional database for studying a wide variety of sources: bubbles and young supernova remnants, Infrared Dark Clouds, heavily embedded high-mass clusters, Planetary Nebulae, Old Supernova Remnants, Variable Stars including outbursting YSOs, extremely metal-poor halo stars, and even galaxies and clusters hiding beyond regions of high extinction, identified as such by their spectra.

6. SOLAR SYSTEM SCIENCE

ATLAS Science Objective 4, "Probe the formation history of the outer Solar System through the composition of 3,000 comets and asteroids," flows down to a Solar System Survey with 3000 individual pointings, covering a total area of 1,200 sq deg. ATLAS can provide valuable insight into the properties and composition of Solar System objects from comets and asteroids to Trans-Neptunian objects. ATLAS Solar System Survey will focus on the little explored Kuiper Belt Objects.

Astronomers have a good handle on the icy surface compositions of large volatile dominated Kuiper Belt Objects, such as Eris, or Pluto. This knowledge has largely originated from ground-based spectroscopy ([Barucci et al. 2011](#); [Brown, Schaller, & Fraser 2012](#)) and the New Horizons flyby of Pluto. Despite more than 2 decades of spectroscopic observations however, exceptionally little is known about the overall bulk compositions of small, $D \lesssim 500\text{ km}$ KBOs which dominate the mass of the population ([Fraser et al. 2014](#)). To date, the only features identified in the IR spectra of small KBOs are those absorption features associated with water-ice ($1.5\ \mu\text{m}$ and $2.0\ \mu\text{m}$, eg., [Barkume, Brown, & Schaller 2008](#); [Brown, Schaller, & Fraser 2012](#)), methane (eg. [Alvarez-Candal et al. 2011](#)), and methanol ([Cruikshank et al. 1998](#)). Otherwise, no materials have been robustly detected. In particular, we have been entirely unable to identify the silicate components in KBOs – even from New Horizons – which from density considerations, must make up a large portion of these bodies ([Stansberry 2008](#)). Our ignorance is predominantly the result of the lack of identifying absorption features in the $\lambda \lesssim 2.5\ \mu\text{m}$, the current wavelength limit beyond which telescopes lack sufficient sensitivity to gather quality spectra for the majority of KBOs. Longer wavelength spectra have gone virtually undetected. The $1-4\ \mu\text{m}$ range is key, as many icy and non-icy components exhibit broad absorption features in this range ([Parker et al. 2016](#)). Over its lifetime, the JWST will provide IR spectra of exceptional quality for a few tens of KBOs at most. While comparatively of a lower typical SNR and of a smaller wavelength range, ATLAS will gather $1-4\ \mu\text{m}$ spectra of many more targets. ATLAS Wide Survey will gather spectra of ~ 500 KBOs. The focused survey, ATLAS Solar System Survey, will target individual KBOs discovered by imaging surveys, and gather spectra of $\gtrsim 3,000$ KBOs brighter than the practical depth limit of $r' < 23.2$.

No tracking capability is required for the spacecraft by the ATLAS Solar System Survey. KBOs are distributed at distances 30-50 AU from the Sun; they move $\sim 1''-4''$ per hour. Most KBOs will move across multiple micro-mirrors on the DMD during the 1000s exposure time, since each micro-mirror forms a $0.75'' \times 0.75''$ slit. ATLAS can take slit spectra of moving targets with regular guiding, as the micro-mirrors can either form long slits or switch on in sequence as the target drifts across the field. For faint targets such as KBOs, the main source of uncertainty is the stability of the system response; a change of a few mK or 1/f electronic noise would bias the counts. Since ATLAS can take spectra of hundreds of other sources in parallel to the KBO being observed, these spectra can be used to monitor and correct detector drifts. Our estimates of survey depth in this section do not include any losses due to trailing of the target across the FoV, which will be studied in future work.

6.1. KBO model

To estimate the 1-4 μm brightness of a non-volatile rich KBO, we avoid the specific compositional modeling approach presented in Parker et al. (2015), but rather, turn to other well studied planetesimals as examples of what we might find in the Kuiper Belt. Our best analogy of a KBO is Phoebe (see Figure 16), which we believe has originated from the same primordial population that also populated the Kuiper Belt (Nesvorný, Vokrouhlický, & Morbidelli 2007; Levison et al. 2008). Phoebe has likely avoided temperatures that would cause water-ice to become volatile, and therefore likely presents a spectral behavior similar to that of KBOs in the water dominated 3–4 μm region. While the optical color is significantly less red than many KBOs, possibly due to increased volatile loss of the organic compounds that possibly contribute to the development of a red refractory or organic coating on KBOs (Sheppard 2010), Phoebe still exhibits water-ice absorption depths and 1-4 μm colors compatible with small KBOs (see Figure 16). As far as available observations suggest, Phoebe appears as an excellent KBO analog. For our modeling, we adopt the spectrum of moderate water absorption depths, but we point out that from the range of spectra exhibited on Phoebe, the global variations in 1-4 μm reflectance exhibited by KBOs are possibly as large as exhibited across Phoebe.

KBOs are known to exhibit two compositional classes (eg. Fraser & Brown 2012; Peixinho et al. 2012), with distinctly different optical colors. We model the 1-4 μm detectability of each class using two separate optical spectral slopes⁶: 10% for the *neutral* class, and 30% for the *red* class (Peixinho, Delsanti, & Doressoundiram 2015; Pike et al. 2017; Fraser et al. 2017). We model the 1-4 μm reflectance as approximately flat, as KBO spectra are known to be roughly neutral for $\lambda \gtrsim 1 \mu\text{m}$. In estimating the KBO 1-4 μm flux then, we simply scale the relative reflectance of the Phoebe spectrum at 1 μm according to the optical spectral slopes of spectral class. We also adopt an intrinsic *blue:red* population ratio of 7:1 (Schwamb et al. in prep.).

6.2. ATLAS Solar System Survey

ATLAS Solar System survey is a pointed survey that targets individual known and tracked objects, in order to maximize the overall number of KBOs observed. At the *single exposure* depth limits, the number of detectable KBOs over the entire sky is ~ 3000 . The vast majority of these will be observed and tracked by the LSST, allowing direct pointed observations by ATLAS for these targets. To observe each target with a single 1000 s exposure would require roughly 830 hours of on-target observations. Assuming a pessimistic 50% observing efficiency, a dedicated KBO ATLAS survey that is **brightness complete** to the *single exposure* limiting magnitude would require roughly 2 observing months.

We consider the *single exposure* depth as set by the requirement that in a single 1000 s exposure, a spectrum of sufficient quality is gathered that not only enables the detection of water-ice absorption, but also allows the differentiation between water-ice absorption and hydroxyl absorption. Phoebe’s 3 μm hydroxyl absorption feature is a $\sim 50\%$ absorption feature, while the ice feature is much shallower, with depth of only $\sim 10\%$. Thus, the latter sets our SNR requirement at roughly $\text{SNR} > 10$. From resolution considerations, the instrumental spectra can be binned to a lower resolution by a factor of ~ 15 while still preserving sufficient spectral resolution to detect each of these broad-band features. With the above color considerations, translating Phoebe’s 2.5 micron reflectance to r-band, the single exposure limiting magnitudes are $r' = 22.3$ and $r' = 23.5$ for the *neutral* and *red* classes, respectively.

6.3. KBOs from ATLAS Wide Survey

Now we estimate the number of KBOs that will be observed in the ATLAS wide survey. For this, we consider a *lower limit* (*stacked*) depth when a spectrum reaches $\text{SNR} \geq 3$ at $\lambda = 2.5 \mu\text{m}$. At this depth, spectra derived from individual exposures will not be scientifically useful. Spectra will still be of a sufficient SNR however, to enable stacking of spectra of a target gathered over repeated visits. Over the entire survey, this *stacked* depth should achieve overall $\text{SNR} \gtrsim 10$ at 2.5 μm . The stacked depths for the *blue* and *red* classes become $r' = 23.6$ and $r' = 24.8$, respectively. These depths represent the practical limit achievable by the ATLAS probe. Conveniently, all KBOs with $r' < 24.8$ will have already been detected and tracked by the LSST, providing fully sufficient ephemerides for direct acquisition of spectra with ATLAS.

To determine the number of KBOs that will be observed in the ATLAS Wide Survey, we execute a survey simulation. We consider the nominal WFIRST wide survey region as presented in Holler⁷. As a Kuiper Belt model, we make use of the Canada France Ecliptic Plane Survey (CFEPS) model v7 (Petit et al. 2011), which is the most up to date outer Solar System model. We note that this model does not include certain highly populated Kuiper Belt dynamical classes, such as the scattered disk and objects in the 5:2 mean-motion resonance with Neptune (Gladman, Marsden, & Vanlaerhoven 2008). As such, detectability estimates drawn from this model likely represent lower bounds on the true detectable number of targets.

⁶ percent increase in reddening per 100 nm normalized to 550 nm

⁷ <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1709.02763.pdf>




Figure 16. a: Spectra of the different spectra units found on Phoebe’s surface. These spectra will appear in Fraser & Brown (submitted). "Poor", "Moderate", and "Rich" represent different levels in the water absorption depth. In our observable KBO density estimates, we adopt the moderate spectrum which exhibits water-ice absorption typical of most KBOs. **b:** NIR colors of KBOs gathered with the Wide Field Camera 3 on the Hubble Space Telescope (black points; Fraser, Brown, & Glass (2015)). Simulated colors of Phoebe of the spectra presented in Figure 16 are shown by the colored points. The red point is that drawn from the moderate spectrum shown in panel a.

From the CFEPS model, the estimated number of KBOs detected at the *single exposure* depth limit is $\simeq 35$ and $\simeq 30$ for the *neutral* and *red* classes, respectively. When considering the *stacked* depths, the number of KBOs detected will be $\simeq 500$ and $\simeq 90$ for the *neutral* and *red* classes, respectively.

7. SOURCE PREDICTIONS

To maximize spectroscopic efficiency, ATLAS Probe will measure the majority of galaxy redshifts based on their emission lines. We have implemented a methodology to predict line fluxes from photometric data, simply assuming that $\text{H}\alpha$ is primarily emitted by HII regions in normal galaxies that follow the Main Sequence correlation between star formation rate and stellar mass (Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007). Below we summarize the salient points of this technique, while we refer the reader to Valentino et al. (2017) for a full description of the method, performances and calibration on actual observations at high redshifts, based in part on the same sample that has been used for ATLAS Probe predictions here.

We first selected a sample of $\sim 30,000$ star-forming galaxies in the GOODS-S field based on their $U-V$, $V-J$ rest-frame colors (Williams et al. 2009), covering the redshift space up to $z_{\text{phot}} \sim 7$, based on the CANDELS catalog by Guo et al. (2013). GOODS-S benefits from an ultra-deep *HST* coverage and an exquisite multi-wavelength dataset, allowing for good constraint on the SEDs and thus of the star formation rates (SFRs) down to low levels. This is important for estimating the tail of $\text{H}\alpha$ emitters down to the deep flux limits probed by ATLAS Probe. We modeled the multi-wavelength spectral energy distributions of our galaxy sample with FAST (Kriek et al. 2009), assuming Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population synthesis models, a constant star formation history, a Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law, solar metallicity, and a Salpeter (1955) initial mass function. We adopted a constant star formation history since at $z > 1$ it reconciles the SFR estimates derived independently from different indicators (e.g., Rodighiero et al. (2014)). We further checked that our modeling consistently retrieves the Main Sequence of SFGs at different redshifts, comparing with the analytical parametrizations by Sargent et al. (2014) and Schreiber et al. (2015), independently derived from the far-infrared emission. We then converted the SFRs into $\text{H}\alpha$ fluxes following Kennicutt (1998), and applying a reddening correction based on the SED-derived $E_{\text{star}}(B-V)$ for the stellar component. Note that these predictions represent the *total observed* $\text{H}\alpha$ fluxes, i.e, without taking into account any aperture corrections, but reproducing the effect of dust attenuation. The latter fully takes into account the possibility that $E_{\text{neb}}(B-V)$ for the nebular emission is different from $E_{\text{star}}(B-V)$ for the stellar continuum, assuming that $E_{\text{neb}}(B-V) = E_{\text{star}}(B-V)/f$ (Calzetti et al. 2000). For the purpose of this section, f assumes the role of a fudge factor to reconcile SFRs estimated from different tracers and empirically predict $\text{H}\alpha$ fluxes as close as possible to observations. The real physical meaning of f is uncertain, being strongly affected by sample selections, SED modeling, UV-to- $\text{H}\alpha$ timescale variations, or shape and normalization of the reddening curves over the wavelength range from the Lyman continuum to $\text{H}\alpha$ (Puglisi et al. 2016). Eventually, we adopted $f = 0.57$ as derived in Valentino




Figure 17. The expected distribution of $H\alpha$ line flux of galaxies to $H = 24.7$.

et al. (2017), where they extensively compared the results of a SED modeling identical to ours and spectroscopic data from the FMOS-COSMOS survey at $z \sim 1.55$ (Silverman et al. 2015). This value of f is higher than the one found in local galaxies ($f = 0.44$) with identical reddening laws (Cardelli, Clayton, & Mathis (1989); Calzetti et al. (2000), and see the discussion in Steidel et al. (2014)), but characterized by a substantial scatter ($\sigma_f \sim 0.23$). This result is consistent with recent findings of slightly higher values of f at high redshift (Kashino et al. 2013; Pannella et al. 2015). Here we assume the validity of $f = 0.57$ over the entire stellar mass and reddening ranges covered by the GOODS-S photometric sample.

Valentino et al. (2017) estimate a typical uncertainty on the final $H\alpha$ flux predictions of 0.2 dex, derived from the direct comparison between fluxes forecast and spectroscopic observations. Note that this uncertainty estimate was largely dominated by the errors on the aperture correction of the FMOS spectroscopic data (~ 0.17 dex), while the rest is due to SED modeling and/or intrinsic scatter due to variations within the population of Main Sequence galaxies, and the different timescales probed by $H\alpha$ and rest-frame UV light as star formation tracers. We will still conservatively assume this uncertainty is representative for our predictions, too. With assumptions discussed in Valentino et al. (2017) we also extended our computations to other lines, including $[OII]\lambda 3727$, $[OIII]\lambda 5007$, Paschen lines, etc.

We have obtained source forecasts for ATLAS Probe by applying emission line models to the galaxy colors and magnitudes from CANDELS data (as discussed above). Fig.17 shows the expected distribution of $H\alpha$ line flux of galaxies to $H = 24.7$, the depth of the WFIRST WL sample, to which ATLAS Wide Survey provides the spectroscopic follow-up, Based on this flux distribution, we expect 90% of the WFIRST WL sample (targets for ATLAS Wide) to have emission line fluxes $f_{\text{line}} > 5 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ at $z > 0.5$.

The right panel of Fig.1 shows the galaxy number counts per 0.5 redshift interval in the three ATLAS Probe galaxy redshift surveys: ATLAS Wide, ATLAS Medium, and ATLAS Deep. Table 2 in Sec.2 gives the details of these three surveys. ATLAS Wide is the spectroscopic follow-up of the WFIRST WL sample. It will cover 2200 square degrees, and is defined by the line flux limit of $5 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg/s/cm}^2$ (5σ), which will include $\sim 90\%$ of the galaxies in the WFIRST WL sample at $z > 0.5$. ATLAS Wide has a continuum limit of AB magnitude 23.5 (3σ), and will yield the redshifts of $\sim 250\text{M}$ galaxies with $0.5 < z < 4$. ATLAS Medium will cover 100 square degrees, and is defined by the continuum limit of AB magnitude 25 (3σ), driven by key galaxy evolution science goals. It has a line flux limit of $1.23 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg/s/cm}^2$ (5σ), and will yield the redshifts of $\sim 25\text{M}$ galaxies with $0 < z < 6$. ATLAS Deep will cover 1 square degree, and is defined by the continuum limit of AB magnitude 26 (3σ), driven by additional galaxy evolution science goals. It has a line flux limit of $4.84 \times 10^{-19} \text{erg/s/cm}^2$ (5σ), and will yield the redshifts of $\sim 0.44\text{M}$ galaxies with $0 < z < 7$. ATLAS Probe may discover a significant number of very bright emission line galaxies at $z > 4$ in the Wide Survey and $z > 6$ in the Medium Survey, but we do not have enough statistics from current data to make those predictions. The actual number of such galaxies discovered by ATLAS Probe will help shed light on the physics behind galaxy evolution.

8. SPECTROSCOPIC MULTIPLEX

We have carried out simulations of DMD multi-object spectroscopy (MOS) target selection for ATLAS in order to estimate the number of galaxies that can be targeted per DMD field of view (FOV) for various assumptions about the telescope and instrument parameters, and about the sky surface density of potential targets.

8.1. Assumptions and requirements

We assume that the 2048×1080 DMD projects onto a 4096×4096 detector with $2\times$ magnification, and that spectra are dispersed along detector columns (see Sec. 10.3 and Fig. 23). To avoid overlap of adjacent spectra, targets must be separated by at least one column of “closed” DMDs. Thus, a maximum of 1024 DMD columns (per quadrant) may be used to observe targets. At low spectral resolution, the projected length of spectra on the detectors is short enough that more than one target per DMD column can be observed without spectral overlap.

Spectra are assumed to span one octave in wavelength, e.g., from 1 to 2 microns, or 2 to 4 microns. We consider a range of instrument spectroscopic resolutions, $R \equiv \lambda/\Delta\lambda$, from $R = 400$ to 1600 , defined at the mid-point of the spectral range, with the instrument producing spectra with constant dispersion in nm/pixel. Note that the baseline ATLAS design assumes $R = 600$. The dispersion scale (nm/pixel) and total spectral length on the detector (pixels) is set assuming that the resolution element is sampled by 2.5 pixels in the dispersion direction. The total spectral length in detector pixels is $n_{\text{spec}} = 5R/3$, e.g., $n_{\text{spec}} = 1000$ pixels for $R = 600$. The maximum spectral length that ensures that the full spectral range falls onto the detector for any DMD mirror is 1936 pixels. Therefore, for spectral resolutions $R > 1162$, spectra for targets located in the top rows of DMD mirrors will not fall entirely on the detector. In the present simulations, target positions are limited to the portion of the DMD where full spectral coverage is possible.

For spectral resolution $R < 1162$, targets may be placed anywhere within a DMD column, whereas at higher resolution the top rows must be avoided in order for the entire dispersed spectrum to fall on the detector. The maximum column multiplex, i.e., the maximum number of galaxies that can be targeted per DMD mirror column without spectral overlap in the dispersion direction, is:

$$M_{\text{max}}^C = 1 + \text{int}[j_{\text{max}} \times 6/(5R)] \quad (1)$$

where j_{max} is the maximum DMD row allowed for target placement, i.e., $j_{\text{max}} = 1080$ for $R < 1162$, and $j_{\text{max}} = 2048 - (5R/6)$ for $R > 1162$. Table 3 reports the maximum column multiplex (M_{max}^C) and total DMD multiplex ($M_{\text{max}}^T = 1024M_{\text{max}}^C$) for various ranges spectral resolution R . In practice, the achieved multiplex is generally lower and depends on the underlying density of potential targets. When that density is high, there is a greater chance that potential targets will be found in the right places to fit several spectra into a given DMD column.

Resolution	M_{max}^C	M_{max}^T
$324 < R < 432$	4	4096
$432 < R < 648$	3	3072
$648 < R < 1228$	2	2048
$1228 < R < 2458$	1	1024

Table 3. Maximum target multiplex as a function of spectral resolution

We further assume that targets should be fairly well centered on a DMD mirror in order to be observed spectroscopically. If not, a significant fraction of their light may be lost outside the mirror and not directed to the spectrograph. Placing a target near the mirror edge may also have deleterious consequences for the effective PSF of the spectrum recorded on the detector. In the present simulations, we require that a target falls within the central 25% surface area of a DMD mirror; i.e., if the fractional x, y position of a source within the mirror ranges from 0 to 1 in each axis, a target can only be selected if it falls in the range $0.25 < (x, y) < 0.75$.

The mirror centering criterion and the use of alternate DMD columns to avoid spectral overlap lead naturally to an observing strategy that cycles through a dithered pattern of 4 telescope pointings. Defining the angular coordinates of an arbitrary pointing as $(0,0)$, the 4 pointings would have coordinates of $(0,0)$, $(0, 0.5\theta_{DMD})$, $(0.5\theta_{DMD}, 0)$ and $(0.5\theta_{DMD}, 0.5\theta_{DMD})$ where θ_{DMD} is the angular size of a DMD mirror projected on the sky ($0.754''$ for $f/2.5$). Additionally, 2 exposures will be taken at each pointing with a different set of micro-mirrors turned on to allow observation of galaxies in DMD columns that were previously excluded




Figure 18. 1.6 micron (F160W) source counts from the CANDELS ultra deep region of GOODS-S. Upper panel: differential source counts, $n(m)$, with no correction for incompleteness. The raw counts become significantly incomplete at $m_{F160W} > 27$ mag. Lower panel: cumulative source counts, $n(< m)$.

in order to avoid overlap of adjacent spectra. Half-step dithering in x and y combined with the 2 exposures per pointing ensure that all galaxies will fall within the central 25% surface area of one of the DMD mirrors. A minimum of 8 exposures will then be taken, more if there are still targets to observe, most probably in that case in multiples of 8 exposures.

8.2. Target densities

We assume that a specified number (N_{targ}) of potential targets are randomly distributed (i.e., with no clustering) over the DMD FOV. The present simulations consider target densities in the range $\log N_{\text{targ}} = 3.4$ to 4.8 per DMD FOV. For a 1.5m telescope with $f/2.5$, the DMD FOV is 0.0969 deg^2 , and the simulations correspond to galaxy surface density from $10^{4.4}$ to $10^{5.8} \text{ deg}^{-2}$. From near-infrared (1.6 micron, F160W) source counts in the GOODS-S CANDELS-Deep region (Figure 18), these cumulative densities would approximately correspond to magnitude limits from $m_{F160W} = 21.5$ to 27. Actual ATLAS targeting strategies could preselect ranges of photometric redshifts, etc., so for the present purposes we represent the simulation results as a function of the number of potential targets per DMD FOV, rather than by limiting magnitude.

8.3. Simulating target selection

In the present simulations, targets are selected for spectroscopy following a very simple procedure that is not optimized in any formal sense. Starting at DMD column $i=0$ (zero indexed), all galaxies that fall within that column and which meet the mirror centering criterion are identified. A viable target falling in the lowest row (index j) is selected for observation. Then, the j index is incremented by the spectral length in DMD mirror units ($n_{\text{spec}}/2$), in order to avoid selecting any other galaxies whose spectra would overlap that of the previous object. If there are viable targets that fall within the remaining rows of that DMD column, the object in the lowest- j row is also selected as a target, and the process is repeated until the maximum DMD row ($j=1079$) is reached, or, for higher spectral resolutions, the maximum row for which the full spectral range would be projected onto the detector (see above). Next, if one or more targets are selected for a given DMD column, then the column index is incremented by two, i.e., an empty column of mirrors is left as a buffer, as described above. However, if no targets are selected in a given DMD column, then the column index is incremented by one. This process is repeated over all DMD columns, until targets have been selected over the full DMD FOV.




Figure 19. Number of observed spectra as a function of the input surface density of potential targets. Colors indicate spectral resolutions, in order of decreasing value (top to bottom): $R=400$ (blue); $R=600$ (dark green); $R=800$ (green); $R=1200$ (red); and $R=1600$ (magenta). The dashed lines show results for a single telescope pointing, while the solid lines show results for a dithered sequence of 8 exposures. The dotted line represents 100% target observation efficiency. The ATLAS instrument design uses 4 DMDs and 4 spectrographs, and hence the target numbers should be multiplied by 4 for the full instrument FOV.

8.4. Results

Figure 19 presents simulation results showing the total number of targets per DMD FOV, and the number of targets selected for spectroscopic observation, for a range of target densities and spectral resolutions. Results are shown for a single telescope pointing, and for a dithered sequence of 8 exposures, as described above. The number of galaxies selected for spectroscopic observation increases with the number density of potential targets within the instrument FOV, but the *fraction* of selected targets is lower at higher galaxy densities. For a full sequence of eight exposures, the fraction of targeted galaxies is greater than 90% at relatively low target densities, but at high densities (and at higher spectral resolutions) the multiplex approaches a saturation limit set by the need to avoid overlap between adjacent spectra.

Spectroscopic multiplex decreases at higher spectral resolution, as the dispersed spectra get longer and fewer targets can be “stacked” in a single DMD column without spectral overlap. For $R=600$, the practical upper limit on total multiplex in the high-density limit is about 2 objects per column \times 1024 columns = 2048. Note that we have assumed 2.5 pixels per resolution element sampling, which is likely overly conservative. Our preliminary optical design (see Sec.10) assumes 2 pixels per resolution element sampling, for which the multiplex factor is significantly larger. We will study the optimization of this in our future work.

The present simulations treat all targets as equal, i.e., there is no prioritization. Moreover, there is no consideration of scaling exposure times with source brightness. An optimized ATLAS observing strategy might include repeated visits to a given region of the sky. Fainter objects could be observed repeatedly to build up total integration time, while brighter targets could be swapped out after some specified integration time or SNR has been achieved.

9. EXPOSURE TIME CALCULATIONS

We have built an exposure time calculator from first principles, and modeled after that of JWST, with the assumed parameter values given in Table 4. We assume a telescope aperture of 1.5m, with 20% obscuration, and a focal ratio (the focal length divided by the aperture) of 2.5, which corresponds to a FoV of 0.4 sq deg, and a slit size of $0.75''$. For simplicity, we assume a fixed spectral resolution of $R = 600$, telescope throughput of 0.3, a mean sky background of 0.14 MJy/Sr (zodiacal), and a read noise

$5e^-$ per pixel. The total read noise is $10e^-$, for 4 pixels of extraction area per spectral resolution element. The exposure times for galaxies and stars have been estimated at $\lambda = 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ (the mean of the ATLAS spectral range of 1-4 μm). Long exposure times are segmented into 1000 second exposures for cosmic ray rejection. We have not included slit loss in this estimate.

aperture	1.5m
obscuration factor	0.8
spectral resolution	600
throughput (telescope+QE+instrumentation)	0.3
fiducial wavelength	$2.5 \mu\text{m}$
read noise per pixel	$5 e^-$
number of pixels in the measuring aperture N_{pix}	4
sky background F_{sky}	0.14 MJy/sr
slitsize	$0.75'' \times 0.75''$

Table 4. The assumed parameter values for the ATLAS Probe exposure time calculator.

10. ATLAS PROBE INSTRUMENT

ATLAS has only one instrument, compact and modular; it fits below the primary mirror structure into a cylindrical envelope smaller than 1.5 m in diameter (the size of the primary) and ≈ 60 cm in height. To achieve our large FoV, the telescope beam is diverted into 4 arms, each composed by reimaging fore-optics, a DMD, and a dual-channel (blue/red) $R = 600$ spectrograph. ATLAS science objectives require a spectroscopy multiplex of ~ 3000 per module, which can only be met by using DMDs with $\sim 2\text{K} \times 1\text{K}$ micro-mirrors. We have selected the Cinema 2K DMD produced by Texas Instrument, with 2048×1080 micro-mirrors, each of 13.6×13.6 micron size. For a 1.5m telescope at $f/2.5$, this corresponds to a plate scale on the DMD of about $0.75''/\text{mirror}$, and a field of view of about 0.10 deg^2 , or 0.4 deg^2 for the four arms. The field with the dispersed spectra is finally projected on a $4\text{k} \times 4\text{k}$ IR H4RG Sensor Chip Assembly. The camera optics image a square $0.75''$ field onto 2×2 pixels on the detector, i.e. they deliver a scale of about $0.375''/\text{pixel}$, i.e. the footprint of the DMD on each IR detector is about $4,096 \times 2,160$.

10.1. Preliminary Optical Design

Our preliminary optical design is based on the design originally developed by Content (2008); Content et al. (2008) for the SPACE mission proposal (Cimatti et al. 2009) and the following pre-Phase-A and Phase-A studies of the ESA EUCLID mission, which resulted from the merger of the SPACE and DUNE proposals. EUCLID did not adopt the concept of slit mask Multi-Object Spectroscopy preferring the scientifically less ambitious slitless spectroscopy approach that can be more simply added to its NIR camera.

The ATLAS telescope is a 1.5-m $f/15.3$ modified Ritchey-Chrétien design similar to the SPACE telescope design. The telescope focal plane falls on a four-faceted pyramid mirror, a solution adopted e.g. by the WFPC2 camera on the Hubble Space Telescope. A full view of the ATLAS Probe instrument is shown in Figure 20. The 4 faces of the pyramid mirror act as pickoff mirrors (Figure 21, left panel) sending the light to a fore-optics system. The 4-petals shape of the field allows for almost perfect tessellation of the sky, except for 2 small squares totaling only 0.06% of the area, due to the aspect ratio of the DMD which is different from the ideal 2:1 ratio (full field coverage will be achieved through dithering). Our approach also permits the split of the instrument into 4 identical and separable blocks, which helps simplify the assembly, integration and testing.

The 3 mirrors fore-optics (Figure 21, right panel) receive the $f/15.3$ telescope beam and release a $\simeq f/2.5$ beam on the DMD, accommodating for the 12° micro-mirror tilt along the diagonal. The DMD acts as an addressable multi-slit mask, reflecting to the spectrograph the light of the science targets ($+12^\circ$, "on" beam) and sending the rest of the field (-12° , "off" beam) to a light dump.

The spectrograph (Figure 22) includes a 4 mirror collimator followed by a beam splitter reflecting (1-2.1 μm) and transmitting (2.1-4 μm) the beam to a short and long-wavelength channel, respectively. Similar beam splitters (with cut-off at 2.4 micron) have been adopted e.g. by NIRCam on JWST. We take advantage of the relatively modest resolving power $R = 600$ to make use of prisms instead of gratings as dispersive element. Prisms provide a series of advantages over gratings:

(1) They have a much better transmission especially at the extreme wavelengths of the spectra.




Figure 20. A full view of the preliminary optical design for the ATLAS Probe instrument. The large gray circle is the back of the primary.




Figure 21. Preliminary optical design for the ATLAS Probe instrument. Left panel: Pickoff mirrors in focal plane; positions and shapes are approximate. Right panel: Shade layout of the fore-optics.




Figure 22. Preliminary optical design for the ATLAS Probe instrument. Shade layout of the spectrograph; the short wavelength camera is on the opposite side.

(2) They can have a wavelength range larger than an octave between the extreme wavelengths. In this preliminary design the short wavelength camera has a wavelength range from $1\mu\text{m}$ to $2.1\mu\text{m}$, with the possibility of further increasing the total wavelength range up to $0.9\mu\text{m}$ to $5\mu\text{m}$ in a future trade-off study.

(3) The resolution is more uniform as a function of wavelength.

(4) There is no need for a sorting order filter since there are no orders.

Each prism directs the beam toward its camera which is made of only one mirror, an unusually low number. This is obtained by making the beam on the prism non-telecentric and correcting the resulting aberrations with the collimator and camera.

For target acquisition it is necessary to directly image the field; as originally proposed for SPACE/EUCLID, direct imaging can be achieved through an achromatic grism where grating and prism dispersions cancel each other, with excellent image quality. ATLAS has 2 cameras, but only one is needed for target acquisition. Since the ATLAS short wavelength camera has a wavelength range only slightly different from EUCLID, we envision a slightly modified design of the EUCLID achromatic grism.

Our preliminary design already has excellent image quality in the spectrograph. The Gaussian Equivalent Full Width at Half Maximum (GEFWHM) is about 1/3 of a DMD mirror image. The image quality of the fore-optics on the DMD is 3/4 of a DMD mirror, satisfactory at this point of the preliminary design.

To attain the performances described above we make use of aspheric surfaces, some of them quite complex but still well inside the limits of modern manufacturing.

Table 3 lists the main parameters of our system. Figure 23 shows the focal plane layout, with the DMD reimaged onto the lower part of the detector and the detector's upper part left "dark" to capture the full spectrum of each source, regardless of its position in the reimaged field.

10.2. Detectors and ASICs

Our baseline detector is the Teledyne H4RG-10, the same type currently under development for WFIRST. The long-wavelength cutoff of our spectroscopic channels ($2.1\mu\text{m}$ and $4\mu\text{m}$) match the standard $\sim 2.35\mu\text{m}$ and $\sim 5.37\mu\text{m}$ cutoff of WFIRST and JWST devices respectively. Fine-tuning the Hg vs. Cd stoichiometric ratio can further reduce dark current, allowing warmer operating




Figure 23. Layout of the focal planes for ATLAS Probe: left) focal plane projected on the DMD, right) focal plane project on the IR detector. The DMD is reimaged onto the lower part of the detector, and the detector’s upper part is left “dark” to capture the full spectrum of each source, regardless of its position in the reimaged field. The moderate lengths of the $R \simeq 600$ spectra allows for stacking multiple spectra on the same column, increasing source multiplexing.

temperatures. H4RG arrays tested by WFIRST have typical $QE > 90\%$ in the $0.8\text{--}2.35\mu\text{m}$ range, readout noise $\sim 15e$ in Double Correlated Mode and mean dark current $< 0.01e/s/\text{pixel}$. JWST devices have similar performance. The architecture of the multiplexer allows multiple non-destructive reads of each pixel during a single exposure (“sampling up the ramp”); this enables mitigation against cosmic rays and reduction in read noise: typical readout noise with 16 samples drops to about $5e$. Teledyne has developed SIDECAR ASICs (Application Specific Integrated Circuit) to manage all aspects of FPA operation and output digitization in cold environment. By keeping analog signal paths as short as possible to reduce noise and output capacitance loading, ASICs improve power consumption, speed, weight and performance. A Teledyne ASIC device is currently driving HST/ACS and several Teledyne ASICs will soon fly on 3 out of 4 JWST instruments; we will adopt such devices for ATLAS.

10.3. DMDs and Controller

The DMD is the core of our system. We base our design on the 2k CINEMA model of 2048×1080 micro-mirrors, $13.6\mu\text{m}$ on a side. DMDs have been successfully used on ground-based spectrographs like RITMOS (Meyer et al. 2004) and IRMOS (MacKenty et al. 2006). A new DMD-based spectrograph, SAMOS, is under construction of the SOAR telescope in Chile (Robberto et al. 2016). NASA has funded a Strategic Astrophysics Technology (SAT) program (PI Ninkov, ATLAS Probe core team member) to raise the TRL level of DMDs to TRL5-6 before the 2020 decadal survey. DMDs have successfully passed proton and heavy-ion irradiation testing (Fourspring et al. 2013; Travinsky et al. 2016). Following NASA General Environmental Verification Standard (GEVS), the team performed random vibration, sine burst, and mechanical shock testing of manufacturer-sealed DMDs (Vorobiev et al. 2016) as well as of devices re-windowed for better UV and IR capabilities (Quijada et al. 2016). These GEVS tests suggest that DMDs are robust and insensitive to the potential vibroacoustic environments experienced during launch. Low-temperature testing of DMDs were also performed, the main concern being micro-mirror stiction. Tests at RIT have shown that temperatures as low as 130 K do not affect the performance of DMDs. More recent data obtained at JHU at 80K confirm these results. A general overview of the results obtained by these test campaigns has been recently presented (Travinsky et al. 2017).

These findings place DMDs between TRL levels 5 and 6 (note that level 6 requires testing against the specific environment of a mission, thus is only achievable after a mission has been identified, e.g. ATLAS at L2). DMDs are normally controlled by commercially available boards based on the DLP Discovery 4100 chipset from Texas Instruments. The chipset is not designed to operate in a cryogenic environment or in space. ATLAS core team members at JHU have recently developed custom electronics to operate Cinema DMDs at cryogenic temperatures. For ATLAS, we intend to produce a version of this system based on rad-hard components, suitable for operations at L2.

TELESCOPE	
Type	modified Ritchey-Chrétien
Primary: diameter & focal ratio	150cm; f/1.6
Primary: central obscuration	19% diameter (3.6% area)
Secondary: diameter	28.5cm
Telescope focal ratio	f/15.3
PYRAMID MIRROR	
Size	4 × 6.5cm × 3.4cm
Field of view	4 × 25.6' × 13.5'
FORE OPTICS	
f/# (off axis)	f/2.3 × f/2.5
Scale on DMD	0.75'' × 0.75''/micromirror (slit size)
COLLIMATOR	
Elements	4 mirrors (+ 1 dichroic)
DISPERSING ELEMENTS	
Wavelength ranges	1-2.1 μ m (NIR); 2.1-4 μ m (MIR)
Resolving power	R ~ 600
Type	prism
CAMERAS	
Elements	1 mirror (each camera)
Sampling on detector	0.38'' × 0.39'' (pixel scale)

Table 5. ATLAS main optical parameters.

Micro Shutter Arrays (MSA) may represent an alternative to DMDs. However, their limited size implies that one would need 142 devices similar to those on JWST/NIRSpec to obtain the same number of selectable slits achieved with 4 DMDs. Also, the filling factor $\lesssim 70\%$ of their clear apertures greatly complicates operations, since a large fraction of the visible targets ends up partially occulted. If future developments will lead to the construction of MSAs with ~ 30 times more apertures, clear-aperture ratio larger than 90%, and cosmetic quality comparable to those of DMDs, we will evaluate their use in the ATLAS Probe design.

11. MISSION ARCHITECTURE AND COST ESTIMATE

We assume a 5 year ATLAS mission in a halo L2 orbit similar to JWST, enabling long observations in a very stable thermal and radiation environment; the narrow Sun-Spacecraft-Earth angle facilitates passive cooling to the ~ 50 K operating temperature needed for the long wavelength channel detectors. Absolute pointing requirements are relaxed due to the versatility of the DMDs, and pointing stability of 0.1'' over the 1000s exposure time is appropriate for the 0.39'' pixels. These requirements will be addressed in the ATLAS Probe design study.

The ATLAS Probe total mission cost (including reserves and the launch vehicle) is estimated to fit within the cost envelope of a NASA probe-class space mission. This estimate was developed by JPL using Spitzer IRAC and IRS analogies for the instrument, the Smart Telescope Model (2000) Cost Estimating Relationship for the telescope assembly, and assumed an RSDO spacecraft bus can be used with minimal modifications. A NICM approach was also used for the instrument with consistent results. A standard wrap rate is used for the remaining WBS items: project management, system engineering, mission assurance, science, science data system, MOS, GDS, operations, and I&T. The launch vehicle cost was based on LSP catalog 2015 range of costs that would accommodate a LEO, L2, or Earth trailing orbit with a mass range of 1575-5250 kg. 30% reserves are included for the observatory and 15% reserves for operations.

12. SUMMARY

ATLAS Probe is a compelling mission concept for a NASA probe-class mission. It is a follow-up space mission to WFIRST; it multiplexes the scientific return of WFIRST by obtaining spectra of $\sim 90\%$ of all galaxies imaged by the WFIRST High Latitude Survey at $z > 0.5$. Enabled by the mature DMD technology that allows a spectroscopic multiplex factor of 5,000 to 10,000, ATLAS Probe will lead to ground-breaking science over the entire range of astrophysics: from galaxy evolution to the dark Universe, from objects in the outer Solar System to the dusty regions of the Milky Way.

In future work, we will mature the ATLAS Probe mission design, and further quantify the science return from ATLAS Probe in its diverse science areas. In addition, we will engage the astronomical community in exploring feasible guest observing programs for ATLAS Probe.

We are grateful to Lee Armus, Dominic Benford, Peter Capak, Jeff Kruk, John Stauffer, Mike Werner, and Rien Weygaert for invaluable discussions. We acknowledge Falk Baumgarten for help with the computation of the EZmocks, Charles Shapiro for providing information on the detectors, and Alex Merson for advice on cosmic web visualization options. Andrea Cimatti acknowledges the grants ASI n.I/023/12/0 "Attività relative alla fase B2/C per la missione Euclid" and PRIN MIUR 2015 "Cosmology and Fundamental Physics: illuminating the Dark Universe with Euclid". Wesley Fraser acknowledges funding from Science and Technology Facilities Council grant ST/P0003094/1. Christopher Hirata is supported by the US Department of Energy, NASA, the National Science Foundation, and the Simons Foundation. Jeffrey Newman acknowledges support from DOE grant DOE DE-SC0007914. Zoran Ninkov acknowledges NASA's Strategic Astrophysics Technology (SAT) Program (grant number NNX14AI62G) in support of the development of DMD technology for use in space. James Bartlett, Olivier Doré, Peter Eisenhardt, Michael Ressler, and Jason Rhodes are supported by JPL, which is run by Caltech under a contract from NASA.

REFERENCES

- Abell, P.A., et al., LSST Science Book, arXiv:0912.0201
- Abolfathi, B., Aguado, D. S., Aguilar, G., et al. 2017, arXiv:1707.09322
- Aghamousa, A. et al., 2016, arXiv:1611.00036, "The DESI Experiment Part I: Science, Targeting, and Survey Design".
- Alvarez-Candal, A., et al., 2011, *A&A*, 532, 130
- Baldwin, J.A., Phillips, M.M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T. S., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 2003, *A&A*, 402, 701
- Barkume, K. M.; Brown, M. E., and Schaller, E. L., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 55
- Barucci, M. A., et al. 2011, *ICARUS*, 214, 297
- Bate, M. R. 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 285
- Behroozi, P., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 57
- Blake, C.; Glazebrook, K., 2003, *ApJ*, 594, 665B
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000
- Bowler, R., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 448
- Brown, M. E.; Schaller, E. L., and Fraser, W. C. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 146
- Burgasser, A. J., Sheppard, S. S., & Luhman, K. L. 2013, *ApJ*, 772, 129
- Burrows, A., Marley, M., Hubbard, W. B., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 856
- Calzetti D., Armus L., Bohlin R. C., Kinney A. L., Koornneef J., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 682
- Carbone, C.; Verde, L.; Wang, Y.; Cimatti, A., 2011, *JCAP* 03, 030
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
- Carey, S., Ali, B., Berriman, B., et al. 2008, in Spitzer Proposal ID #50398
- Castellano, M., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 818, L3
- Chabrier G., 2003, *PASP*, 115, 763
- C. H. Chuang, F. S. Kitaura, F. Prada, C. Zhao and G. Yepes, 2015, *MNRAS* **446**, 2621
- Churchwell, E., Babler, B. L., Meade, M. R., et al. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 213
- Cedeno, F. X. L.; Gonzalez-Morales, A. X.; Urena-Lopez, L. A., *Phys. Rev. D* 96, 061301 (2017)]
- Charlot, S., & Fall, S. M. 2000, *ApJ*, 539, 718
- Cimatti, A.; Robberto, M, et al. (the SPACE Team), SPACE: the spectroscopic all-sky cosmic explorer, *Experimental Astronomy*, 23, 39 (2009)
- Cirasuolo, M., et al., 2014, *Proc. SPIE*, 91470N
- Connelley, M.S., and Greene, T.P., 2010, *Astron. J.*, 140, 1214
- Conroy, C., Gunn, J. E., & White, M. 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 486
- Content, R., et al., 2008, *Proceedings of the SPIE*, 70104S
- Content, R. 2008, *Proceedings of the SPIE*, 701025
- Cooper, H.D.B., et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 1125
- Cruikshank, D. P., et al., 1998, *ICARUS*, 135, 389
- Cushing, M. C., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Gelino, C. R., et al. 2014, *AJ*, 147, 113
- Cushing, M. C., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Gelino, C. R., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 743, 50
- Cushing, M.C., Rayner, J.T., and Vacca, W.D., 2005, *ApJ*, 623 1115
- Daddi E., et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 156

- Elbaz D., et al., 2007, *A&A*, 468, 33
- Epps, S.D.; & Hudson, M.J. 2017, *MNRAS*, 468, 2605
- Feltre, A., Charlot, S., & Gutkin, J. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 3354
- Finkelstein, S.L. 2016, *PASA*, 33, 37
- Fourspring, K., et al. 2013, *Optical Eng.* 52(9), 091807
- Fraser, W. C., and Brown, M. E., 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 33
- Fraser, W. C.; Brown, M. E.; Morbidelli, A.; Parker, A., and Batygin, K. 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 100
- Fraser, W. C.; Brown, M. E., and Glass, F., *ApJ*, 804, 31
- Fraser, W. C., et al., 2017, *Nature Astronomy*, 1, 88
- Gagrani, P., & Samushia, L., *MNRAS*, 467, 928 (2017)
- Gizis, J. E., & Reid, I. N. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 508
- Gladman, B.; Marsden, B. G., and Vanlaerhoven, C., "Nomenclature in the Outer Solar System", Page 43-57, *The Solar System Beyond Neptune*, editors Barucci, M. A.; Boehnhardt, H.; Cruikshank, D. P.; Morbidelli, A., and Dotson, R., University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 2008
- Gobat, R., Strazzullo, V., Daddi, E., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 776, 9
- Gonzalez-Perez, V.; Comparat, J.; Norberg, P. et al. 2017, arXiv:1708.07628
- Guo Y., et al., 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 24
- Guzzo, L., et al. 2008, *Nature*, 451, 541
- Hearin et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 2135
- Hlozek, R.; Grin, D.; Marsh, D. J. E.; Ferreira, P. G., *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 103512 (2015)
- Hora, J., Adams, J., Allen, L., et al. 2007, in *Spitzer Proposal ID #40184*
- Hounsell, R., Scolnic, D., Foley, R. J., et al. 2017, *ArXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1702.01747
- Ibata, R. A., McConnachie, A., Cuillandre, J.-C., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 848, 128
- Izotov, Y. I., et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, in press, arXiv:1711.11449
- Izquierdo-Villalba, D.; Orsi, A. A.; Bonoli, S.; Lacey, C. G.; Baugh, C. M.; Griffin, A. J., 2017, eprint arXiv:1712.07129
- Kashino D., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 777, L8
- Kennicutt R. C., Jr., 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189
- Khostovan, A.A., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 3948
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Kellogg, K., Schneider, A. C., et al. 2016, *ApJS*, 224, 36
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Schneider, A., Fajardo-Acosta, S., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 122
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Gelino, C. R., Cushing, M. C., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 156
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Looper, D. L., Burgasser, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJS*, 190, 100
- Kirkpatrick, J. D. 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 195
- Kraljic, K., et al., *MNRAS*, in press, arXiv:1710.02676
- Kravtsov et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 31
- Kriek, M.; et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 700, 221
- Lacey, C. G.; Baugh, C. M.; Frenk, C. S., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 3854
- Lagos, C. d. P.; Davis, T. A.; Lacey, C. G.; Zwaan, M. A.; et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 1002
- Laureijs, R.; et al., 2011, *Euclid Definition Study Report*, arXiv:1110.3193
- Lee, C. T., Primack, J. R., Behroozi, P., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 3834
- Lépine, S., & DiStefano, R. 2012, *ApJL*, 749, L6
- Lesgourgues, J.; Pastor, S., 2006, *Physics Reports*, 429, 307
- Levison, H. F.; Morbidelli, A.; Van Laerhoven, C.; Gomes, R., Tsiganis, K., 2008, *ICARUS*, 196, 258
- Lucas, P. W., Tinney, C. G., Burningham, B., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, L56
- Luhman, K. L. 2013, *ApJL*, 767, L1
- MacKenty, J. et al. 2006, *SPIE*, 6269, 15
- Majewski, S., Babler, B., Churchwell, E., et al. 2007, in *Spitzer Proposal ID #40791*
- Marchesini, D., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 656, 42
- McDonald, P.; Seljak, U., 2009, *JCAP*, 10, 007
- Meade, M.R., et al. 2014, "GLIMPSE360 Data Description GLIMPSE360: Completing the Spitzer Galactic Plane Survey", https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/GLIMPSE/doc/glimpse360_dataproduct_v1.5.pdf
- Merson, et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, in press
- Meyer et al. 2004, *SPIE*. 5492, 200M
- Miyatake, H., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 1
- More, S., Miyatake, H., Takada, M., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 825, 39
- Mostek, N. et al. (2013), *ApJ*, 767, 89
- Moster B. P., Naab T., White S. D. M., 2013, *MNRAS* 428, 3121
- Nesvorný, D.; Vokrouhlický, D., and Morbidelli, A., 2007, *AJ*, 133, 1962
- Newman, J. A., 2008, *ApJ*, 684, 88
- Newman, J. A., et al., 2015, *Astroparticle Physics*, 63, 81
- Noeske K. G., et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 660, L43
- Norris, R. P., et al., 2011, *PASA*, 28, 215
- Orsi, Á.; Baugh, C. M.; Lacey, C. G.; Cimatti, A.; Wang, Y.; and Zamorani, G. 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 1006
- Orsi, Á.; Padilla, N.; Groves, B.; et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 799
- Orsi, Á.; Fanidakis, N.; Lacey, C. G.; Baugh, C. M., 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 3827
- Pannella M., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 141
- Parker, A. et al., 2016, *PASP*, 128, 8010
- Peixinho, N.; Delsanti, A.; Guilbert-Lepoutre, A.; Gafeira, R., and Lacerda, P., 2012, *A&A*, 546A, 86
- Peixinho, N.; Delsanti, A., and Doressoundiram, A., 2015, *A&A*, 577, 35
- Petit, J.-M., et al., 2011*AJ*, 142, 131
- Pike, R. E.; Fraser, W. C., et al., 2017*AJ*, 154, 101

- Pinfield, D. J., Gomes, J., Day-Jones, A. C., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 437, 1009
- Quijada, M., et al. 2016, SPIE, 9912, 99125V-1
- Perlmutter, S., et al. 1999, ApJ, 517, 565
- Pozzetti, L., et al. 2016, A&A, 590A, 3
- Puglisi A., et al., 2016, A&A, 586, A83
- Rayner, J. T., Cushing, M. C., & Vacca, W. D. 2009, ApJS, 185, 289
- Riess, A., et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 1009
- Robberto, M.; et al. 2016, Proceedings of the SPIE, 9908, 99088
- Rodighiero G., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 19
- Salpeter E. E., 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sargent M. T., et al., 2014, ApJ, 793, 19
- Schreiber C., et al., 2015, A&A, 575, A74
- Senchyna, P.; Stark, D. P.; Vidal-García, A., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 472, 2608
- Seo, H., Eisenstein, D., 2003, ApJ, 598, 720
- Sheppard, S. S., 2010, AJ, 139, 1394
- Silverman J. D., et al., 2015, ApJS, 220, 12
- Sobral, D., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 428, 1128
- Sobral, D., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, 139
- Sobral, D., et al., submitted, arXiv:1710.08422
- Spanò, P., et al, 2009, SPIE 7436, 74360O-1
- Spergel, D.N., et al., 2015, WFIRST SDT Final Report, arXiv:1503.03757
- Stansberry, J., et a., "Physical Properties of Kuiper Belt and Centaur Objects: Constraints from the Spitzer Space Telescope", Pages 161-179, *The Solar System Beyond Neptune*, editors Barucci, M. A.; Boehnhardt, H.; Cruikshank, D. P.; Morbidelli, A., and Dotson, R., University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 2008
- Stark, D. P., Richard, J., Charlot, S., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 1846
- Steidel C. C., et al., 2014, ApJ, 795, 165
- Sullivan, M., Conley, A., Howell, D. A., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 782
- Tilvi, V., et al. 2014, ApJ, 794, 5
- Travinskya, A.; et al., 2017, J. of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 3(3), 035003 [2017]
- Travinsky, A. et al. 2016, Optical Eng. 55(9), 094107
- Valentino, F., Daddi, E., Strazzullo, V., et al. 2015, ApJ, 801, 132
- Valentino, F., Daddi, E., Finoguenov, A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 829, 53
- Valentino F., Daddi E., Silverman J, et al., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 4878
- Vavrek, R.D., et al. (2016), Proc. of SPIE, Vol. 9911, 991105
- Vorobiev, D. et al. 2016, SPIE, 9912, 99125M-1
- Wang, Y., 2008, JCAP05, 021
- Wang, Y., Spergel, D. N., and Strauss, M., 1999, ApJ, 510, 20
- Wang, Y.; Chuang, C.-H.; & Hirata, C.M., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2446
- Wang, T., Elbaz, D., Daddi, E., et al. 2016, ApJ, 828, 56
- Whitney, B., Arendt, R., Babler, B., et al. 2008, in Spitzer Proposal ID #60020
- Williams R. J., Quadri R. F., Franx M., van Dokkum P., Labbé I., 2009, ApJ, 691, 1879




Figure 24. Square root of the variance of linear matter density fluctuations in spheres of radius R (in units of $h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$), σ_R , as a function of R at several redshift values in the range explored by ATLAS Probe.

APPENDIX

A. FLOW-DOWN OF THE GALAXY EVOLUTION SCIENCE OBJECTIVE TO SURVEY REQUIREMENTS

In order to map the cosmic web on the scales relevant for galaxy evolution, we require that ATLAS Probe trace the relation between galaxies and dark matter on those scales with less than 10% shot noise (Science Objective 1). The precision with which we trace that relation can be quantified by the measurement errors on the bias factor between galaxies and matter, $b(r, z)$, on comoving scale r at redshift z . At a given z , the relevant scales are those over which $b(r, z)$ varies with scale r . On sufficiently large scales, $b(r, z)$ converges to a constant (the linear bias regime). The onset of linearity can be quantified by selecting a low threshold in σ_R , the square root of the variance of linear matter density fluctuations in spheres of radius R . Note that $\sigma_R(R = 8 h^{-1}\text{Mpc})$ is the same as the familiar σ_8 parameter. Fig.24 shows σ_R as a function of R at several redshift values in the range explored by ATLAS Probe.

For the very linear regime define by $\sigma_R < 0.05$, bias is constant. ATLAS Medium and Wide Surveys probe the scales from a few Mpc (above the galaxy cluster scale) to the onset of linearity as defined by $\sigma_R = 0.05$, which corresponds to $R = 32.5, 38.5, 46, 57, 75 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ at $z = 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5$ respectively.

The measurement uncertainty on $b(r, z)$ can be estimated using the measurement errors on the galaxy 2-point correlation function (2PCF), $\xi(r, z)$, since $\xi(r, z) = [b^2(r, z) + \frac{2}{3}b(r, z)f_g(z) + \frac{1}{5}f_g^2(z)] \xi_m(r, z)$, where the matter correlation function $\xi_m(r, z)$ and growth rate $f_g(z)$ can be predicted based on cosmological measurements. Note that $\xi(r, z)$ is the observed galaxy 2PCF in redshift space but $\xi_m(r, z)$ is matter CF in real space. In practice, we will measure $b(r, z)$ by measuring the galaxy 3-point correlation function (3PCF) and the galaxy 2PCF. The uncertainties on the galaxy 2PCF and 3PCF both scale with the effective volume sampled by a survey. For simplicity, we use the errors on the square root of the galaxy 2PCF as an indicator for the bias measurement




Figure 25. The mean of the correlation functions from 100 mock catalogs (bin size is $5 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$).

z_{min}	z_{max}	volume (Mpc/h) ³	density (h/Mpc) ³
1	2	4.09×10^8	3.08×10^{-2}
2	3	4.80×10^8	9.00×10^{-3}
3	4	4.62×10^8	3.78×10^{-3}
4	5	4.21×10^8	1.74×10^{-3}
5	6	3.79×10^8	5.02×10^{-4}

Table 6. The number densities and volumes for the galaxy sample with $H < 25$ and survey area 100 square degrees at different redshifts.

uncertainty here. In future work, we will measure the galaxy 3PCF and 2PCF jointly from mocks, and derive the measurement errors on $b(r, z)$ in a more realistic manner.

We estimate the percentage error of the correlation function based on mock galaxy catalogs, by taking into account the number density and the volume of the sample. To determine the dependency between the uncertainty and number density of galaxies, we run 100 Zel'dovich-approximation simulations with the size of $(750 h^{-1}\text{Mpc})^3$ and the number of the dark matter particles is 288^3 . We populate galaxies using the code EZmock (Chuang et al. 2015) based on these 100 boxes. Fig 25 shows the mean of the correlation functions (bin size is $5 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$). By diluting the mock galaxy sample, we compute multiple sets of 100 correlation functions for different number densities. These correlation functions have the same mean values but different uncertainties. Fig 26 shows the standard deviation of the separation $20 < r < 25 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ depending on the number density. We can then estimate the standard deviations for different number densities by interpolation/extrapolation. In addition, we assume the uncertainty is proportional to $V^{-1/2}$, so that we rescale the uncertainty by a factor $(750^3/V)^{-1/2}$, where V is the survey volume.

Table 6 lists the number densities and volumes for the galaxy sample with $H < 25$ and survey area 100 square degrees at different redshifts. Table 7 lists the number densities and volumes for the galaxy sample with $H < 24.7$ and survey area 2000 square degrees at different redshifts. Fig.27 shows the predicted uncertainties corresponding to Table 6 and Table 7.

Comparing the scales for the onset of linearity in Fig.24 with the measurement errors in Fig.27, we see that ATLAS Probe Science Objective 1 flows down to the requirement for ATLAS Medium and Wide Surveys. Note that ATLAS Wide measures redshifts for 90% of galaxies in the WFIRST WL sample (with the depth of $H < 24.7$) at $z > 0.5$ using emission lines, so it is shallower than the WFIRST WL sample by ~ 1 mag in the continuum. ATLAS Deep provides a validation data set for ATLAS Medium and Wide Surveys; it provides denser sampling of lower mass galaxies of all types at all redshifts, and unique 3D mapping of structure at $5 < z < 7$ with [OIII] line emitters.




Figure 26. The standard deviation of the correlation function of separation $20 < r < 25 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ depending on the number density. The volume is $750^3 (\text{Mpc}/h)^3$.

z_{min}	z_{max}	volume $(\text{Mpc}/h)^3$	density $(h/\text{Mpc})^3$
1	2	8.99×10^9	2.67×10^{-2}
2	3	1.06×10^{10}	7.83×10^{-3}
3	4	1.02×10^{10}	2.99×10^{-3}

Table 7. The number densities and volumes for the galaxy sample with $H < 24.7$ and survey area of 2000 square degrees at different redshifts.




Figure 27. The predicted uncertainties corresponding to Table 6 and Table 7.

B. COSMIC WEB VISUALIZATION

The spatial distribution of $\text{H}\alpha$ emitters shown in Figs. 2, 3, 5, and 9 is obtained by making use of the GALFORM semi-analytical model of galaxy formation (Lacey et al. 2016).

Fig. 2 compares the spatial distribution of $\text{H}\alpha$ emitters predicted with the observed flux limit of ATLAS Medium ($1.23 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg/s/cm}^2$) against that expected with WFIRST ($10^{-16} \text{erg/s/cm}^2$), at $z = 2$, as tracers (red) of the cosmic web of dark

matter (green). Fig. 3 shows how the cosmic web of dark matter (green) at $z = 4$ is traced by the galaxies (red) from ATLAS Medium (left) and ATLAS Deep (right) surveys. Fig. 5 compares three different redshift surveys (slit, slitless, and photometric) of the same galaxy distribution. Fig. 9 shows instead what is obtained with ATLAS Wide, with an observed limiting flux of $5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg/s/cm}^2$. Figs. 2 and 3 show slices of $50 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ and $100 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ on a side, respectively, and $10 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ depth at $z = 2$. The figures display the dark matter particle distribution in green, with brighter areas showing a higher concentration of dark matter. Fig. 5 shows the angular distribution of all galaxies in a slice of $10 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$ depth but over the full simulation box.

The GALFORM model predicts the observed properties of galaxies embedded in a large cosmological N -body simulation. The haloes and their merger histories are extracted from the *Millennium-WMAP7* dark matter-only N -body simulation, with halo mass resolution of $\sim 10^{10} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and a box size of $500 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$.

The model computes the growth and evolution of galaxies by following the evolution of gas, stars and metal components throughout the merger histories of dark matter haloes. Different variants of GALFORM have been shown to predict the abundance of $\text{H}\alpha$ and $[\text{O II}]$ emitters in reasonable agreement with observational measurements (Orsi et al. 2010; Lagos et al. 2014; Gonzalez-Perez et al. 2017). The calculation of the $\text{H}\alpha$ flux of galaxies is performed by first integrating the spectral energy distribution (SED) of each galaxy blue-wards of the Lyman limit to obtain the total production of hydrogen ionizing photons. All these photons are assumed to be absorbed by the neutral interstellar medium (ISM), making the escape fraction of Lyman continuum photons $f_{\text{esc}} = 0$. The intrinsic $\text{H}\alpha$ line flux is computed assuming case B recombination and is directly proportional to the number of Lyman continuum photons (see also Orsi et al. 2014). The observed $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity incorporates the attenuation by dust, which corresponds to that of the continuum at the wavelength of $\text{H}\alpha$ (see Lacey et al. 2016, for details of the dust attenuation model).