

Reciprocity relations between ordinary temperature and the Frieden-Soffer's Fisher-temperature

F. Pennini and A. Plastino

Instituto de Física La Plata (IFLP)

*Universidad Nacional de La Plata (UNLP) and Argentine National Research Council (CONICET)
C.C. 727, 1900 La Plata, Argentina*

Frieden and Soffer conjectured some years ago the existence of a “Fisher temperature” T_F that would play, with regards to Fisher’s information measure I , the same role that the ordinary temperature T plays vis-a-vis Shannon’s logarithmic measure. Here we exhibit the existence of reciprocity relations between T_F and T and provide an interpretation with reference to the meaning of T_F for the canonical ensemble.

KEYWORDS: Fisher information, thermodynamics, temperature.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 05.40.-a, 05.70.-a

INTRODUCTION

Frieden and Soffer conjectured some years ago [1, 2] the existence of a “Fisher temperature” T_F that would play, with regards to Fisher’s information measure I , the same role that the ordinary temperature T plays vis-a-vis Shannon’s logarithmic measure S [3, 4]. In a series of more recent publications, this conjecture was amply validated by showing that the Legendre transform structure of thermodynamics can be replicated without changes if one substitutes I for the Jaynes-Shannon entropy S [5, 6, 7, 8], which yields then a “Fisher thermodynamics”. A question still lingers, though: what is the relation between T and T_F ? In this note we purport to provide a first answer in this respect.

BRIEF FISHER CONSIDERATIONS

Estimation theory [2] provides one with a powerful result with reference to a system that is specified by a physical parameter θ . Let \mathbf{x} be a stochastic variable and $p_\theta(\mathbf{x})$ the probability density for this variable, which depends on the parameter θ . If an observer

- makes a measurement of \mathbf{x} and wishes to best infer θ from this measurement, calling the resulting estimate $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ and
- wonders how well θ can be determined,

then estimation theory asserts [2] that the best possible estimator $\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$, after a very large number of \mathbf{x} -samples is examined, suffers a mean-square error e^2 from θ that obeys a relationship involving Fisher’s I , namely, $Ie^2 = 1$, where the Fisher information measure I is of the form

$$I = \int d\mathbf{x} p_\theta(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \frac{\partial p_\theta(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \theta} \right\}^2 = \left\langle \left[\frac{1}{p_\theta} \frac{\partial p_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 \right\rangle. \quad (1)$$

This “best” estimator is called the *efficient* estimator. Any other estimator must have a larger mean-square error. The only proviso to the above result is that all estimators be unbiased, i.e., satisfy $\langle \tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \theta$. Thus, Fisher’s information measure has a lower bound, in the sense that, no matter what parameter of the system we choose to measure, I has to be larger or equal than the inverse of the mean-square error associated with the concomitant experiment. This result, i.e.,

$$Ie^2 \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

is referred to as the Cramer-Rao (CR) bound, and constitutes a very powerful statistical result [2].

FORMALISM

We start by defining the well known density operator that describes a system at equilibrium [3, 4]

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^M \chi_i \hat{A}_i}, \quad (3)$$

where the χ_i are Lagrangian multipliers associated to the M -observables \hat{A}_i and

$$\langle A_i \rangle = \text{Tr} \hat{\rho} \hat{A}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, M), \quad (4)$$

where the partition function Z is given by $Z(\chi_i) = \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^M \chi_i \hat{A}_i} \right)$ [9]. In our present considerations we assume that these multipliers *have already been determined*.

Following Mandelbrot [10, 11, 12] we will *associate* the above Lagrange multipliers to parameters to be estimated via *Fisher considerations*. We write down now the Fisher information measure used in such an estimation

procedure, here associated to the probability distribution $\hat{\rho}$ [2, 11, 12, 13, 14]

$$I = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^M \Gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial \ln \hat{\rho}}{\partial \chi_i} \right)^2 \right\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where the Γ_i are suitable constants related to the need of expressing I as a dimensionless quantity, as discussed in [13, 14]. After replacing (3) into (5) we then find that I is intimately connected to our observables' fluctuations, as pointed out long ago by Mandelbrot [10, 15]

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^M \Gamma_i \left\langle \left(\hat{A}_i - \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle \right)^2 \right\rangle. \quad (6)$$

It is well known (and straightforwardly verified) that the statistical fluctuations of an observable obey the relation [10, 15]

$$\left\langle \left(\hat{A}_i - \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle \right)^2 \right\rangle = - \frac{\partial \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle}{\partial \chi_i}, \quad (7)$$

which allows us to recast the Fisher measure in the fashion

$$I = - \sum_{i=1}^M \Gamma_i \frac{\partial \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle}{\partial \chi_i}. \quad (8)$$

As stated above, thermodynamics' Legendre structure can be neatly re-obtained if one uses Fisher's information instead of Boltzmann's entropy [5, 6, 7, 8]. We will be dealing with the same mean values $\langle \hat{A}_i \rangle$ used above, but different Lagrange multipliers will ensue. Let us call the Fisher multipliers γ_i and borrow from [5] the well known thermodynamic relation that links information measure, Lagrange multipliers (here the Fisher ones), and expectation values [3, 5]

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle}. \quad (9)$$

It is now clear that, introducing the above result into (8), we get an expression for the Fisher multipliers γ_i in terms of the Shannon ones

$$\gamma_i = - \sum_{j=1}^M \Gamma_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \langle \hat{A}_i \rangle} \frac{\partial \langle \hat{A}_j \rangle}{\partial \chi_j}, \quad (10)$$

a relation which could be used to determine them. It might seem at this point natural to ask: what happens if we consider a canonical distribution in which the Lagrange multipliers are the γ_i instead of the χ_i ? We discuss this question show below for classical systems within the strictures of the canonical ensemble.

EQUIPARTITION THEOREM

In classical statistical mechanics there exists a useful general result concerning the energy E of a system expressed as a function of N generalized coordinates q_i and momenta p_i . The result holds in the case of the following (frequent) occurrence

1. the energy splits additively into the form $E = \epsilon_i(r_i) + E'(q_1, \dots, p_N)$, where $\epsilon_i(r_i)$ involves only the degree of freedom i (the variable r_i) and the remaining part E' does not depend on r_i .
2. the function $\epsilon_i(r_i)$ is quadratic in r_i .

In these circumstances $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle = kT/2$, with k the Boltzmann's constant and T the temperature. This is the equipartition theorem [16]. Its demonstration assumes that the thermal equilibrium Boltzmann-Gibbs equilibrium probability distribution

$$f = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E} \quad (11)$$

where $\beta = 1/kT \equiv \lambda/k$ is the Lagrange multiplier associated with the mean-energy constraint $\langle E \rangle = \int d\tau f E$, with $d\tau$ the phase-space volume element. Setting $\Gamma = 1/k$ yields a dimensionless Fisher information measure (8) for the canonical ensemble

$$I = - \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \lambda}. \quad (12)$$

RECIPROCITY

Since we assume equipartition we immediately find [16] $E = (Nk)\lambda^{-1}$. We have then

$$\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \lambda} = -Nk\lambda^{-2} = -\frac{E^2}{kN}, \quad (13)$$

entailing that the Fisher multiplier γ is

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{kT_F} = -(1/k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \langle E \rangle} \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2}{k\lambda}. \quad (14)$$

Since the multipliers are inverse temperatures we obtain the interesting relationship

$$T_F = \frac{1}{2T}, \quad (15)$$

our main result here, which, on reflection, should not surprise anyone since it is a well known fact that whenever I grows Shannon's S decreases, and viceversa [2].

As stated above, we introduce now $\gamma = 2/\lambda$ as the multiplier entering the canonical probability distribution f in

(11) and repeat the above discussion. Now $E = Nk/\gamma$ and

$$\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \gamma} = -Nk\gamma^{-2} = -\frac{E^2}{kN}. \quad (16)$$

We ask ourselves what is now

$$\gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \langle E \rangle} \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial \gamma} ? \quad (17)$$

Obviously,

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{2}{k\gamma} = \frac{2}{k} \frac{k\lambda}{2} = \lambda = \frac{1}{T}. \quad (18)$$

Here we encounter reciprocity! The ‘‘Fisher multiplier’’ γ_2 is the inverse Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon temperature that verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{kT} &= \beta = \frac{\partial I(\gamma)}{\partial \langle E \rangle_\gamma} \\ \frac{1}{kT_F} &= \gamma = \frac{\partial I(\beta)}{\partial \langle E \rangle_\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

in self-explanatory notation.

CONCLUSIONS

We have in this note provided two results that we deem important for the Fisher practitioners, namely

- $T_F = 1/2T$

- the reciprocity relations (19).

-
- [1] B.R. Frieden and B.H. Soffer, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 2274 (1995).
 - [2] B.R. Frieden, *Physics from Fisher information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
 - [3] A. Katz, *Principles of Statistical Mechanics, The information Theory Approach* (Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 1967).
 - [4] E.T. Jaynes, in: *Statistical Physics*, ed. W.K. Ford (Benjamin, NY, 1963), p. 181.
 - [5] B.R. Frieden, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino and H. Soffer, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 48 (1999).
 - [6] R. Frieden, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino, B.H. Soffer, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 046128 (2002).
 - [7] R. Frieden, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino, B.H. Soffer, *Phys. Lett. A* **304**, 73 (2002).
 - [8] S. Flego, B.R. Frieden, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino, B.H. Soffer, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 016105 (2003).
 - [9] R.K. Pathria, *Statistical Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, Exeter, 1993).
 - [10] B. Mandelbrot, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **33**, 1021 (1962); *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory* **IT-2**, 190 (1956); *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 164 (1964).
 - [11] F. Pennini, A. Plastino, A.R. Plastino and M. Casas, *Physics Letters A* **302**, 156 (2002).
 - [12] F. Pennini, A. Plastino, *Physica A* **334**, 132 (2004).
 - [13] F. Pennini, A. Plastino, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 057101 (2004).
 - [14] F. Pennini, A. Plastino, *Phys. Lett. A* **326**, 20 (2004).
 - [15] J. Uffink and J. van Lith, *Foundations of Physics* **29**, 655 (1999).
 - [16] F. Reif, *Statistical and thermal physics* (McGraw-Hill, NY, 1965).