



CERN-EP-2023-068
19 April 2023

First polarisation measurement of coherently photoproduced J/ψ in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

ALICE Collaboration*

Abstract

The first measurement of the polarisation of coherently photoproduced J/ψ mesons in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions, using data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, is presented. The J/ψ meson is measured via its dimuon decay channel in the forward rapidity interval $-4.0 < y < -2.5$ using the ALICE detector at the CERN LHC. An event sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $750 \mu\text{b}^{-1} \pm 5\%$ (syst) is analysed. Hadronic activity is highly suppressed since the interaction is mediated by a photon. The polar and azimuthal angle distributions of the decay muons are measured, and the polarisation parameters λ_θ , λ_ϕ , $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ are extracted. The analysis is carried out in the helicity frame. The results are found to be consistent with a transversely polarised J/ψ . These values are compared with previous measurements by the H1 and ZEUS experiments. The polarisation parameters of coherent J/ψ photoproduction in Pb–Pb collisions are found to be consistent with the s -channel helicity conservation hypothesis.

arXiv:2304.10928v1 [nucl-ex] 21 Apr 2023

© 2023 CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration.

Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.

*See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

1 Introduction

The study of vector meson photoproduction at high energies has received great interest in recent years [1–3]. Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs) at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) allow access to the highest available photon energies for such photon-induced interactions. In UPCs, the impact parameter is larger than the sum of the radii of the two colliding nuclei, so they are beyond the range of the nuclear force and do not interact hadronically. A quasi-real photon is emitted from one of the charged nuclei and this in turn might fluctuate into a quark–antiquark pair, which can scatter elastically off the other nucleus. Vector meson photoproduction off nuclei can be either coherent, with the photon coupling coherently to the nucleus as a whole, or incoherent, where instead the photon couples to a single nucleon. Much emphasis has been put on how this process could give access to space and momentum features of the momentum distribution of gluons of the hadronic target. In particular, several model calculations indicate that vector meson photoproduction is sensitive to nuclear modifications of the partonic structure for gluons that carry a rather small fraction of the momentum of the nucleons [4, 5].

Kinematics dictates that the momentum fraction carried by the gluons is given by $x = \frac{M_{J/\psi}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} e^{\pm y}$, where $M_{J/\psi}$ is the mass of the J/ψ and y is the rapidity. For rapidities $y \neq 0$ one thus has a two-fold ambiguity in the value of x . In the middle of the acceptance of the muon spectrometer $y = -3.25$, and this corresponds to x -values of 2×10^{-3} and 5×10^{-5} . The largest contribution to the cross section comes from the higher value. Recent experimental data from ALICE, CMS, and LHCb [6–9] have found experimental evidence of gluon shadowing [10].

In previous analyses of exclusive vector meson photoproduction off protons at lower energies, using both real and virtual photons, the vector meson has generally been found to have transverse polarisation, as would be expected if the vector meson keeps the polarisation of the incoming photon (s -channel helicity conservation, SCHC) [11, 12]. However, although early results from SLAC [13] and from the CERN SPS [14] with ρ^0 mesons produced from real photons are consistent with SCHC, a much more recent study of ρ^0 , ω and ϕ photoproduction from CLAS [15–17] reports violation of SCHC.

Exclusive photoproduction of vector mesons has also been studied at HERA in ep collisions. Both the H1 [18] and ZEUS [19] collaborations observed transverse polarisation in J/ψ photoproduction, thus favouring SCHC, in their photoproduction data. Note that at HERA, photoproduction, at very low photon Q^2 ($Q^2 \ll 1 \text{ GeV}^2$), is distinguished from electroproduction at somewhat higher Q^2 , even though both are photon–hadron interactions. In electroproduction the photon is more virtual, and can be partially longitudinally polarised. Accordingly, in J/ψ electroproduction the degree of longitudinal polarisation is seen to increase with Q^2 [20]. Photoproduction can also be studied in heavy-ion UPCs. Polarisation measurements have been reported by STAR for ρ^0 photoproduction [21] in collisions of gold nuclei at RHIC, and are consistent with SCHC.

For all previous vector meson photoproduction analyses at the LHC, when calculating the experimental acceptance, the vector meson has been assumed to have the same helicity as that of the initial photon that interacted with the target, i.e. SCHC. Since there is no fundamental physics principle that justifies SCHC, new polarisation and spin parameter measurements of photoproduced vector mesons at high energies could provide important insights.

In this Letter, the first polarisation measurement of coherent J/ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ is presented. These events are defined by the reaction $\gamma + \text{Pb} \rightarrow \text{J}/\psi + \text{Pb}$, where the photon is emitted from one of the two colliding lead nuclei, and the J/ψ meson is reconstructed in the forward rapidity interval $-4.0 < y < -2.5$.

2 Detector description

The ALICE detector and its performance are described in [22, 23]. Muons from J/ ψ decays are measured in the single-arm muon spectrometer. For coherent J/ ψ photoproduction, the hadron activity is required to be highly suppressed. For this reason, vetoes are applied using the V0 and ALICE Diffractive (AD) detectors. The muon spectrometer covers the pseudorapidity interval $-4.0 < \eta < -2.5$. It consists of a ten interaction length absorber followed by five tracking stations, the third of which is placed inside a dipole magnet with a 3 Tm integrated magnetic field, a 7.2 interaction length iron wall, and a trigger system located downstream of the iron wall. Each tracking station is made of two planes of cathode pad chambers, while the trigger system consists of four planes of resistive plate chambers arranged in two stations. Muon tracks are reconstructed using the tracking algorithm described in [24]. The V0 detector is composed of the V0A and V0C sub-detectors, consisting of 32 cells each and covering the pseudorapidity intervals $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$ and $-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$, respectively. The AD detector [25] is composed of the ADC and ADA sub-detectors with 4 cells each, located at -19.5 m and $+16.9$ m along the beam direction from the interaction point and covering the pseudorapidity intervals $-7.0 < \eta < -4.9$ and $4.7 < \eta < 6.3$, respectively [26]. The V0 and AD detectors are scintillator arrays with a time resolution better than 1 ns, allowing a distinction between beam–beam and beam–gas interactions.

3 Data selection and analysis

This analysis follows the same selections as those recently described in [27], which combines the ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb datasets at centre-of-mass energy per nucleon–nucleon collision $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV collected in 2015 and 2018. The resulting dataset corresponds to an integrated luminosity of about $750 \mu\text{b}^{-1} \pm 5\%$ (syst). The UPC event sample was recorded using a hardware trigger that requires at least two unlike-sign tracks in the muon spectrometer, and no activity in the V0A, ADA, and ADC detectors. The use of these forward detectors ensures the exclusivity condition. The single muon p_T threshold for the muon trigger system has been set to $p_T = 1$ GeV/c [28]. At the offline level further selections are applied. First, exactly two unlike-sign tracks are required in the muon spectrometer, where both tracks must be compatible with high-quality reconstructed muons as described in [27]. The tracks must fulfil the requirement on the radial coordinate of the track at the end of the absorber, and on the extrapolation to the nominal vertex [29]. Track segments in the tracking chambers are matched with corresponding segments in the trigger chambers. In addition, each muon and each dimuon is required to be in the pseudorapidity $-4.0 < \eta < -2.5$ and rapidity $-4.0 < y < -2.5$ intervals, respectively. In order to select events where coherent J/ ψ production is strongly enhanced, the dimuon p_T is required to be less than 0.25 GeV/c, effectively rejecting most of the non-coherent J/ ψ events as discussed in [27]. Finally, the V0A, ADA, and ADC vetoes are applied, and up to two hits are allowed in V0C, since the V0C acceptance overlaps with the that of the muon spectrometer. These offline level selections have wider time windows than those used for the trigger electronics, together with a more refined algorithm, allowing for a more precise rejection. Possible V0 and AD detector veto inefficiencies could originate from independent hadronic or electromagnetic pile-up interactions. The mean efficiency correction factor is 95%, accounting for V0A and AD veto inefficiencies, while the V0C veto is found to be fully efficient. Since the angular distributions studied in this analysis are not affected by the forward veto selections, only the muon-related efficiencies are considered.

The detector acceptance and efficiency ($A \times \epsilon$) for J/ ψ and for ψ' are evaluated using a sample of events generated with STARlight 2.2.0 [30], which models the J/ ψ as transversely polarised. The decay muons are then propagated using a model of the detector implemented in GEANT 3.21 [31] with realistic conditions. They are then reconstructed with the same algorithm as that used for the experimental data. This sample is also used to construct the response matrices which are needed for this measurement. Typical values of the $A \times \epsilon$ range from 0.41 for $\cos \theta \sim 0$, and down to 0.024 at the limit of the acceptance i.e. $\cos \theta \sim 0.56$.

4 Angular distributions

The angular distribution of the decay muons is described in terms of the three polarisation parameters λ_θ , λ_φ , and $\lambda_{\theta\varphi}$ using the following expression as a function of the polar angle θ and of the azimuthal angle φ , which respects parity conservation [32]:

$$W(\cos\theta, \varphi) \propto \frac{1}{3 + \lambda_\theta} [1 + \lambda_\theta \cos^2\theta + \lambda_\varphi \sin^2\theta \cos 2\varphi + \lambda_{\theta\varphi} \sin 2\theta \cos\varphi]. \quad (1)$$

It is also possible to study the data by using the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} W(\cos\theta) &\propto \frac{1}{3 + \lambda_\theta} [1 + \lambda_\theta \cos^2\theta], \\ W(\varphi) &\propto 1 + \frac{2\lambda_\varphi}{3 + \lambda_\theta} \cos 2\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

obtained by integrating Eq. 1 in the corresponding angular variable.

The polarisation parameters quantify the degree of polarisation. When all parameters are consistent with zero, an isotropic angular distribution is obtained. When $(\lambda_\theta, \lambda_\varphi, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}) = (1, 0, 0)$ the vector meson is transversely polarised, while $(\lambda_\theta, \lambda_\varphi, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}) = (-1, 0, 0)$ corresponds to a purely longitudinal polarisation.

The angular distribution of the decay muons is studied using the helicity frame. This frame has been used in recent studies of the polarisation of inclusive J/ψ production in pp and Pb–Pb collisions by the ALICE Collaboration [33–36], and by the PHENIX Collaboration in pp collisions [37]. In the helicity frame the z -axis coincides with the flight direction of the J/ψ in the Pb–Pb centre-of-mass frame. The y -axis is perpendicular to the plane formed by the collision axis and the direction of the J/ψ in the Pb–Pb centre-of-mass frame, while the x -axis is chosen so as to have a right-handed triplet [38]. Another commonly used definition of the axes is the Collins–Soper frame [32]. For J/ψ events at low p_T both frames are compatible, so results are only reported in terms of the helicity frame. The use of different reference frames has also been justified in terms of how the background could behave differently than the signal in the various frames. As discussed below, the background in this analysis is small which is expected for coherent J/ψ events.

To extract the J/ψ yield, the dimuon invariant mass distribution is fitted in the interval $2.2 < M_{\mu\mu} < 6.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, requiring in addition that $p_T < 0.25 \text{ GeV}/c$. The study is performed in twenty-four bins of $\cos\theta$ over the interval $-1 < \cos\theta < 1$, and six bins in φ in the interval between 0 and 2π , to obtain raw yields in intervals of $\cos\theta$ and φ . As an example, Fig. 1(a) shows the invariant mass distribution of coherent J/ψ candidates in the interval $-0.20 < \cos\theta < -0.12$. We follow the procedure described in [27] for fitting the invariant mass distributions. The data are fitted to a background model (dimuon continuum) and to a signal model (J/ψ and ψ′ resonances). For the background, the fitting function is given by a fourth-order polynomial multiplied by an exponential. The exponential component becomes important for $M_{\mu\mu} > 4.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The coefficients of the polynomial function are fixed to those obtained from Monte Carlo simulations using the STARlight [30] generator for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process. The exponential tail behaviour is expected for such an electromagnetic process. At low dimuon masses the detector acceptance decreases due to the trigger requirement, so only a fourth-order polynomial is used. The J/ψ and ψ′ signals are fitted with one-sided Crystal Ball functions [39]. The parameters for the Crystal Ball functions are fixed to those obtained from Monte Carlo templates. The mean and width of the J/ψ peak are free parameters in the fit. The mean of the ψ′ peak is fixed to the world average value [40] and its width to that of the J/ψ multiplied by the ratio of the widths of the ψ′ to the J/ψ, as measured from the analysis of reconstructed STARlight events. The ratio is approximately 1.09.

The J/ψ yield extracted from the fit to the invariant mass distributions comprises four contributions, namely (i) coherent production, (ii) incoherent production, and (iii) feed-down J/ψ production from


coherent ψ' production and (iv) incoherent ψ' production. To extract the coherent J/ψ yield, the residual background has been estimated from fits to the dimuon p_T distribution. This is possible since the various processes have different momentum distributions of the produced J/ψ mesons. Following this approach which has been described in recent publications [27], the relative contribution of the combined residual background is found to be smaller than 4.6% for the integrated $\cos\theta$ and φ intervals used in this analysis. Note this fraction does not include the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ background which has been subtracted from fits to the invariant mass distribution, as described above. Since the residual background is small, and assuming that those J/ψ events have the same polarisation to that of coherent J/ψ events, their contributions are not subtracted from the sample. A more differential analysis requiring fitting the dimuon p_T distribution for the various $\cos\theta$ and φ intervals would not be possible at present. This analysis uses a small sample size and a large number of parameters is required to fit the p_T templates. For illustration purposes, Figure 1(b) shows the p_T distribution of candidates in the J/ψ invariant mass peak region ($2.85 < M_{\mu\mu} < 3.35 \text{ GeV}/c^2$) and in the same $\cos(\theta)$ interval of Fig. 1(a).

To correct for detector effects, the data are unfolded in the φ variable, and $A \times \varepsilon$ corrections are applied to the data in $\cos\theta$. The unfolding uses the Bayes' iterative method [41] as implemented in the RooUnfold framework [42]. The number of iterations in the unfolding procedure was set to fifteen. This number was found to be optimal at minimising the average uncertainty, following the description discussed in [43]. Since the generated $\cos\theta$ is recovered in the reconstructed $\cos\theta$ with a spread smaller than the bin size, and the sample size is small, the data were not unfolded simultaneously in both variables. Figure 2 shows the unfolded J/ψ yield extracted in bins of $\cos\theta$ and φ . Finally, the polarisation parameters ($\lambda_\theta, \lambda_\varphi, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}$) are obtained by fitting the corrected angular distribution using the χ^2 fitting method. The fitting function is that given by Eq. 1 after integrating it in the interval $-0.5 < \cos\theta < 0.58\bar{3}$ and $0 < \varphi < 2\pi$. A band in $\cos\theta$ is only used when the unfolding procedure gives stable results. In the $\cos\theta$ slice $-0.58\bar{3} < \cos\theta < -0.5$, where the number of available events is small, it was not possible to obtain a stable set of unfolded results, so this slice is omitted for all φ bins.


Table 1 presents a summary of the various sources of systematic uncertainty on the measurement of the polarisation parameters for coherent J/ψ mesons. To study how the polarisation parameters vary as the acceptance changes when $\cos\theta$ increases, the polar angle distribution is fitted in the $\cos\theta$ ranges $[-0.5, 0.58\bar{3}]$ and $[-0.5, 0.5]$, as the analysis is carried out on a restricted $\cos\theta$ interval. The observed variation is taken as a systematic uncertainty. The uncertainty from the signal extraction is estimated by changing the J/ψ line shape from a single-sided Crystal Ball function to a double-sided one. The uncertainty from the unfolding is assessed by varying the number of iterations by ± 1 with respect to the number chosen for the central value. The impact of the response matrix as a source of systematic uncertainty in the results is also investigated. This is carried out by reweighting the response matrix with the values of the lambda parameters used as central values. Another source of systematic uncertainty is the trigger and tracking efficiency. This is studied by varying the offline p_T selection from 0.85 to 1.15 GeV/c for single muons. By integrating the p_T selection, the measured $\cos\theta$ range is also restricted. Finally, the global systematic uncertainty on the polarisation parameters is obtained by quadratically adding the results of the various sources.

5 Results and discussion

The projections of the angular distribution of the yield of coherently produced J/ψ in terms of $\cos\theta$ and φ are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The numerical values of the polarisation parameters are given in Table 2. The results are found to be consistent with $(\lambda_\theta, \lambda_\varphi, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}) = (1, 0, 0)$, i.e. transverse polarisation, and they satisfy the required positivity constraints [44]. Figure 4 shows the fitted results for the three polarisation parameters with a central value and contours at 68.3%, 95.4%, and 99.7% confidence level. No strong correlation is observed among the measured λ parameters.



(a)



(b)

Figure 1: A fit to the raw invariant mass (a) and p_T distributions for events in the $-0.20 < \cos \theta < -0.12$ range evaluated in the helicity frame. The bottom panel (b) shows the dimuon p_T around the J/ ψ mass range. The displayed uncertainties are statistical.




Figure 2: Two-dimensional angular distribution of coherent J/ ψ candidates in the helicity frame, after being unfolded in φ and corrected for $A \times \varepsilon$ in $\cos \theta$.

Table 1: Summary of the systematic uncertainty contributions, presented as absolute values. The $\cos \theta$ range systematic uncertainty refers to the fitted range variation, the signal extraction to the choice of the description of the J/ ψ , the unfolding systematic uncertainty is due to the choice of the number of iterations, the response matrix refers to the input distribution in generating the matrix, and the trigger systematic uncertainty is associated to the single muon p_T selection used for the trigger efficiency calculation.

Systematics	λ_θ	λ_ϕ	$\lambda_{\theta\phi}$
$\cos \theta$ range	0.142	0.002	0.056
signal extraction	0.026	0.002	0.008
unfolding	0.019	0.004	0.004
response matrix	0.009	0.008	0.004
single muon p_T threshold	0.196	0.022	0.019
Total	0.244	0.023	0.060

Table 2: Measured polarisation parameters for coherent J/ ψ in the helicity frame. The central values are given with statistical and total systematic uncertainties.

λ_θ	λ_ϕ	$\lambda_{\theta\phi}$
$0.75 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.24$	$0.03 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.10 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$

Alternative formalisms exist for describing the polarisation parameters [12, 44, 45]. In order to provide a comparison with H1 [18] and ZEUS [19] results, the measured λ_θ and λ_ϕ values are converted to spin-density matrix elements $r_{\lambda\lambda'}^{ik}$ [12]. The conversion from lambda parameters to spin-density matrix elements $r_{\lambda\lambda'}^{ik}$ can be obtained from the following expressions [18]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(\cos \theta) &\propto 1 + r_{00}^{04} + (1 - 3r_{00}^{04}) \cos^2 \theta \\
 W(\varphi) &\propto 1 + r_{1,-1}^{04} \cos 2\varphi .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3}$$




Figure 3: Projections of the coherent J/ψ data points in (a) $\cos\theta$ and (b) φ , for events in the $-4 < y < -2.5$ rapidity interval. The curves are obtained by setting the lambda parameters in Eq. 2 to the results of the fit to the distribution shown in Fig. 2. The uncertainties on the data points are statistical.

By comparing Eq. 2 and Eq. 3, the spin-density matrix elements are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{00}^{04} &= \frac{1 - \lambda_\theta}{3 + \lambda_\theta} \\
 r_{1,-1}^{04} &= \frac{\lambda_\varphi}{2} (1 + r_{00}^{04}) .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

Figure 5 shows the results of the comparison. In this analysis, the HERA measurements are given in the helicity frame. The ALICE result presented in Figure 5 is compatible with the H1 measurement, which also uses a photoproduction sample ($Q^2 \lesssim 0.05 \text{ GeV}^2$), and is lower than the ZEUS measurement, which uses a sample with $2 < Q^2 < 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ [19], in the electroproduction regime.

6 Summary

The first measurement of the polarisation of coherent J/ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions, using data at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, has been carried out by the ALICE Collaboration. The λ_θ ,

ALICE, Pb–Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, Coherent J/ψ




Figure 4: Fitted results for the three polarisation parameters with a central value displayed by the dot along with contours at 68.3%, 95.4%, and 99.7% confidence levels in red, blue, and black lines, respectively.




Figure 5: ALICE results displayed in terms of spin density matrix elements, along with statistical and systematic uncertainties. A comparison with the available lowest Q^2 ranges of the results from the H1 and ZEUS collaborations is also provided. H1 results [18] correspond to $Q^2 \sim 0.05$ GeV² consistent with photoproduction, while the shown ZEUS measurement [19] is for $2 < Q^2 < 5$ GeV².

λ_ϕ , $\lambda_{\theta\phi}$ parameters have been evaluated in the helicity reference frame in the rapidity interval $-4 < y < -2.5$. The λ_θ parameter has been found to be consistent with unity, and the other two parameters

are found to be consistent with zero, indicating that coherently produced J/ ψ mesons are transversely polarised as required for s -channel helicity conservation. The measured polarisation parameters are found to be compatible with those reported by the H1 Collaboration for J/ ψ photoproduction in electron–proton interactions at lower energies, and evaluated in the helicity frame and using the spin density matrix formalism. This analysis represents the first experimental evidence that coherent J/ ψ in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at high energies is consistent with the photoproduction mechanism and the s -channel helicity conservation hypothesis.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science, within the National Roadmap for Research Infrastructures 2020;2027 (object CERN), Bulgaria; Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the VILLUM FONDEN and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l’Energie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; National Research and Innovation Agency - BRIN, Indonesia; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI, Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Education and Science, National Science Centre and WUT ID-UB, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics, Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics and University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish

Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA), Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI) and National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF), Thailand; Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency (TENMAK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America. In addition, individual groups or members have received support from: European Research Council, Strong 2020 - Horizon 2020, Marie Skłodowska Curie (grant nos. 950692, 824093, 896850), European Union; Academy of Finland (Center of Excellence in Quark Matter) (grant nos. 346327, 346328), Finland; Programa de Apoyos para la Superación del Personal Académico, UNAM, Mexico.

References

- [1] A. J. Baltz, “The Physics of Ultraperipheral Collisions at the LHC”, *Phys. Rept.* **458** (2008) 1–171, arXiv:0706.3356 [nucl-ex].
- [2] J. G. Contreras and J. D. Tapia Takaki, “Ultra-peripheral heavy-ion collisions at the LHC”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A30** (2015) 1542012.
- [3] S. Klein and P. Steinberg, “Photonuclear and Two-photon Interactions at High-Energy Nuclear Colliders”, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70** (2020) 323–354, arXiv:2005.01872 [nucl-ex].
- [4] V. Guzey, E. Kryshen, and M. Zhalov, “Coherent photoproduction of vector mesons in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions: Update for run 2 at the CERN Large Hadron Collider”, *Phys. Rev.* **C93** (2016) 055206, arXiv:1602.01456 [nucl-th].
- [5] D. Bendova, J. Cepila, J. G. Contreras, and M. Matas, “Photonuclear J/ ψ production at the LHC: Proton-based versus nuclear dipole scattering amplitudes”, *Phys. Lett. B* **817** (2021) 136306, arXiv:2006.12980 [hep-ph].
- [6] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev *et al.*, “Coherent J/ ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett.* **B718** (2013) 1273–1283, arXiv:1209.3715 [nucl-ex].
- [7] ALICE Collaboration, E. Abbas *et al.*, “Charmonium and e^+e^- pair photoproduction at mid-rapidity in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C73** (2013) 2617, arXiv:1305.1467 [nucl-ex].
- [8] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et al.*, “Coherent J/ ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the CMS experiment”, *Phys. Lett.* **B772** (2017) 489–511, arXiv:1605.06966 [nucl-ex].
- [9] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, “Study of coherent J/ ψ production in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2022) 117, arXiv:2107.03223 [hep-ex].
- [10] V. Guzey, E. Kryshen, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, “Evidence for nuclear gluon shadowing from the ALICE measurements of Pb–Pb ultraperipheral exclusive J/ ψ production”, *Phys. Lett.* **B726** (2013) 290–295, arXiv:1305.1724 [hep-ph].
- [11] F. J. Gilman, J. Pumplin, A. Schwimmer, and L. Stodolsky, “Helicity Conservation in Diffraction Scattering”, *Phys. Lett. B* **31** (1970) 387–390.

- [12] K. Schilling and G. Wolf, “How to analyze vector meson production in inelastic lepton scattering”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **61** (1973) 381–413.
- [13] J. Ballam *et al.*, “Vector Meson Production by Polarized Photons at 2.8 GeV, 4.7 GeV, and 9.3 GeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 3150.
- [14] **OMEGA Photon** Collaboration, R. J. Apsimon *et al.*, “Comparison of photon and hadron induced production of ρ^0 mesons in the energy range of 65 GeV to 175 GeV”, *Z. Phys. C* **53** (1992) 581–594.
- [15] **CLAS** Collaboration, S. A. Morrow *et al.*, “Exclusive ρ^0 electroproduction on the proton at CLAS”, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **39** (2009) 5–31, arXiv:0807.3834 [hep-ex].
- [16] **CLAS** Collaboration, B. Vernarsky, “First measurements of the ρ^3 spin density matrix elements in $\gamma p \rightarrow p\omega$ using CLAS at JLAB”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **26** (2014) 1460063.
- [17] **CLAS** Collaboration, B. Dey *et al.*, “Data analysis techniques, differential cross sections, and spin density matrix elements for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ ”, *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014) 055208, arXiv:1403.2110 [nucl-ex]. [Addendum: Phys.Rev.C 90, 019901 (2014)].
- [18] **H1** Collaboration, A. Aktas *et al.*, “Elastic J/ ψ production at HERA”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **46** (2006) 585–603, arXiv:hep-ex/0510016.
- [19] **ZEUS** Collaboration, S. Chekanov *et al.*, “Exclusive electroproduction of J/ ψ mesons at HERA”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **695** (2004) 3–37, arXiv:hep-ex/0404008.
- [20] **ZEUS** Collaboration, J. Breitweg *et al.*, “Exclusive electroproduction of ρ^0 and J/ ψ mesons at HERA”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **6** (1999) 603–627, arXiv:hep-ex/9808020.
- [21] **STAR** Collaboration, B. I. Abelev *et al.*, “ ρ^0 photoproduction in ultraperipheral relativistic heavy ion collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV”, *Phys. Rev. C* **77** (2008) 034910, arXiv:0712.3320 [nucl-ex].
- [22] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. Aamodt *et al.*, “The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08002.
- [23] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. Abelev *et al.*, “Performance of the ALICE Experiment at the CERN LHC”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **29** (2014) 1430044, arXiv:1402.4476 [nucl-ex].
- [24] **ALICE** Collaboration, K. Aamodt *et al.*, “Rapidity and transverse momentum dependence of inclusive J/ ψ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **704** (2011) 442–455, arXiv:1105.0380 [hep-ex]. [Erratum: Phys. Lett.B718,692(2012)].
- [25] M. Broz *et al.*, “Performance of ALICE AD modules in the CERN PS test beam”, *JINST* **16** (2021) P01017, arXiv:2006.14982 [physics.ins-det].
- [26] **LHC Forward Physics Working Group** Collaboration, K. Akiba *et al.*, “LHC Forward Physics”, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016) 110201, arXiv:1611.05079 [hep-ph].
- [27] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, “Coherent J/ ψ photoproduction at forward rapidity in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134926, arXiv:1904.06272 [nucl-ex].
- [28] **ALICE** Collaboration, F. Bossu, M. Gagliardi, and M. Marchisone, “Performance of the RPC-based ALICE muon trigger system at the LHC”, *PoS RPC2012* (2012) 059, arXiv:1211.1948 [physics.ins-det].












- [29] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. Abelev *et al.*, “Coherent J/ ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **718** (2013) 1273–1283, arXiv:1209.3715 [nucl-ex].
- [30] S. R. Klein, J. Nystrand, J. Seger, Y. Gorbunov, and J. Butterworth, “STARlight: A Monte Carlo simulation program for ultra-peripheral collisions of relativistic ions”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **212** (2017) 258–268, arXiv:1607.03838 [hep-ph].
- [31] R. Brun, F. Bruyant, F. Carminati, S. Giani, M. Maire, A. McPherson, G. Patrick, and L. Urban, *GEANT: Detector Description and Simulation Tool; Oct 1994*. CERN Program Library. CERN, Geneva, 1993. <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1082634>. Long Writeup W5013.
- [32] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, J. Seixas, and H. K. Wöhri, “Towards the experimental clarification of quarkonium polarization”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **69** (2010) 657–673, arXiv:1006.2738 [hep-ph].
- [33] **ALICE** Collaboration, B. Abelev *et al.*, “J/ ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 082001, arXiv:1111.1630 [hep-ex].
- [34] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, “Measurement of the inclusive J/ ψ polarization at forward rapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 562, arXiv:1805.04374 [hep-ex].
- [35] **ALICE** Collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, “First measurement of quarkonium polarization in nuclear collisions at the LHC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **815** (2021) 136146, arXiv:2005.11128 [nucl-ex].
- [36] **ALICE** Collaboration, “Measurement of the J/ ψ polarization with respect to the event plane in Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC”, arXiv:2204.10171 [nucl-ex].
- [37] **PHENIX** Collaboration, U. Acharya *et al.*, “Polarization and cross section of midrapidity J/ ψ production in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 072008, arXiv:2005.14273 [hep-ex].
- [38] P. Faccioli, C. Lourenço, and J. Seixas, “A New approach to quarkonium polarization studies”, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 111502, arXiv:1005.2855 [hep-ph].
- [39] J. E. Gaiser, *Charmonium Spectroscopy From Radiative Decays of the J/ ψ and ψ'* . PhD thesis, SLAC, 1982. <http://inspirehep.net/record/183554/files/slac-r-255.pdf>.
- [40] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, M. Tanabashi *et al.*, “Review of Particle Physics”, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018) 030001.
- [41] G. D’Agostini, “A Multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes’ theorem”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **362** (1995) 487–498.
- [42] T. Auye, “Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold”, in *PHYSTAT 2011*, pp. 313–318. CERN, Geneva, 2011. arXiv:1105.1160 [physics.data-an].
- [43] S. Schmitt, “Data Unfolding Methods in High Energy Physics”, *EPJ Web Conf.* **137** (2017) 11008, arXiv:1611.01927 [physics.data-an].
- [44] J. C. Martens, J. P. Ralston, and J. D. Tapia Takaki, “Quantum tomography for collider physics: Illustrations with lepton pair production”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 5, arXiv:1707.01638 [hep-ph].
- [45] M. Gavrilova and O. Teryaev, “Rotation-invariant observables as Density Matrix invariants”, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 076013, arXiv:1901.04018 [hep-ph].

A The ALICE Collaboration

S. Acharya ¹²⁵, D. Adamová ⁸⁶, A. Adler⁶⁹, G. Aglieri Rinella ³², M. Agnello ²⁹, N. Agrawal ⁵⁰, Z. Ahammed ¹³², S. Ahmad ¹⁵, S.U. Ahn ⁷⁰, I. Ahuja ³⁷, A. Akindinov ¹⁴⁰, M. Al-Turany ⁹⁷, D. Aleksandrov ¹⁴⁰, B. Alessandro ⁵⁵, H.M. Alfanda ⁶, R. Alfaro Molina ⁶⁶, B. Ali ¹⁵, A. Alici ²⁵, N. Alizadehvandchali ¹¹⁴, A. Alkin ³², J. Alme ²⁰, G. Alocco ⁵¹, T. Alt ⁶³, I. Altsybeev ¹⁴⁰, M.N. Anaam ⁶, C. Andrei ⁴⁵, A. Andronic ¹³⁵, V. Anguelov ⁹⁴, F. Antinori ⁵³, P. Antonioli ⁵⁰, N. Apadula ⁷⁴, L. Aphecetche ¹⁰³, H. Appelshäuser ⁶³, C. Arata ⁷³, S. Arcelli ²⁵, M. Aresti ⁵¹, R. Arnaldi ⁵⁵, J.G.M.C.A. Arneiro ¹¹⁰, I.C. Arsene ¹⁹, M. Arslanok ¹³⁷, A. Augustinus ³², R. Averbeck ⁹⁷, M.D. Azmi ¹⁵, A. Badalà ⁵², J. Bae ¹⁰⁴, Y.W. Baek ⁴⁰, X. Bai ¹¹⁸, R. Bailhache ⁶³, Y. Bailung ⁴⁷, A. Balbino ²⁹, A. Baldisseri ¹²⁸, B. Balis ², D. Banerjee ⁴, Z. Banoo ⁹¹, R. Barbera ²⁶, F. Barile ³¹, L. Barioglio ⁹⁵, M. Barlou⁷⁸, G.G. Barnaföldi ¹³⁶, L.S. Barnby ⁸⁵, V. Barret ¹²⁵, L. Barreto ¹¹⁰, C. Bartels ¹¹⁷, K. Barth ³², E. Bartsch ⁶³, N. Bastid ¹²⁵, S. Basu ⁷⁵, G. Batigne ¹⁰³, D. Battistini ⁹⁵, B. Batyunya ¹⁴¹, D. Bauri⁴⁶, J.L. Bazo Alba ¹⁰¹, I.G. Bearden ⁸³, C. Beattie ¹³⁷, P. Becht ⁹⁷, D. Behera ⁴⁷, I. Belikov ¹²⁷, A.D.C. Bell Hechavarria ¹³⁵, F. Bellini ²⁵, R. Bellwied ¹¹⁴, S. Belokurova ¹⁴⁰, V. Belyaev ¹⁴⁰, G. Bencedi ¹³⁶, S. Beole ²⁴, A. Bercuci ⁴⁵, Y. Berdnikov ¹⁴⁰, A. Berdnikova ⁹⁴, L. Bergmann ⁹⁴, M.G. Besoiu ⁶², L. Betev ³², P.P. Bhaduri ¹³², A. Bhasin ⁹¹, M.A. Bhat ⁴, B. Bhattacharjee ⁴¹, L. Bianchi ²⁴, N. Bianchi ⁴⁸, J. Bielčák ³⁵, J. Bielčiková ⁸⁶, J. Biernat ¹⁰⁷, A.P. Bigot ¹²⁷, A. Bilandžić ⁹⁵, G. Biro ¹³⁶, S. Biswas ⁴, N. Bize ¹⁰³, J.T. Blair ¹⁰⁸, D. Blau ¹⁴⁰, M.B. Blidaru ⁹⁷, N. Bluhme³⁸, C. Blume ⁶³, G. Boca ^{21,54}, F. Bock ⁸⁷, T. Bodova ²⁰, A. Bogdanov¹⁴⁰, S. Boi ²², J. Bok ⁵⁷, L. Boldizsár ¹³⁶, M. Bombara ³⁷, P.M. Bond ³², G. Bonomi ^{131,54}, H. Borel ¹²⁸, A. Borissoy ¹⁴⁰, A.G. Borquez Carcamo ⁹⁴, H. Bossi ¹³⁷, E. Botta ²⁴, Y.E.M. Bouziani ⁶³, L. Bratrud ⁶³, P. Braun-Munzinger ⁹⁷, M. Bregant ¹¹⁰, M. Broz ³⁵, G.E. Bruno ^{96,31}, M.D. Buckland ²³, D. Budnikov ¹⁴⁰, H. Buesching ⁶³, S. Bufalino ²⁹, P. Buhler ¹⁰², Z. Buthelezi ^{67,121}, A. Bylinkin ²⁰, S.A. Bysiak¹⁰⁷, M. Cai ⁶, H. Caines ¹³⁷, A. Caliva ⁹⁷, E. Calvo Villar ¹⁰¹, J.M.M. Camacho ¹⁰⁹, P. Camerini ²³, F.D.M. Canedo ¹¹⁰, M. Carabas ¹²⁴, A.A. Carballo ³², F. Carnesecchi ³², R. Caron ¹²⁶, L.A.D. Carvalho ¹¹⁰, J. Castillo Castellanos ¹²⁸, F. Catalano ²⁴, C. Ceballos Sanchez ¹⁴¹, I. Chakaberia ⁷⁴, P. Chakraborty ⁴⁶, S. Chandra ¹³², S. Chapeland ³², M. Chartier ¹¹⁷, S. Chattopadhyay ¹³², S. Chattopadhyay ⁹⁹, T.G. Chavez ⁴⁴, T. Cheng ^{97,6}, C. Cheshkov ¹²⁶, B. Cheynis ¹²⁶, V. Chibante Barroso ³², D.D. Chinellato ¹¹¹, E.S. Chizzali ^{11,95}, J. Cho ⁵⁷, S. Cho ⁵⁷, P. Chochula ³², P. Christakoglou ⁸⁴, C.H. Christensen ⁸³, P. Christiansen ⁷⁵, T. Chujo ¹²³, M. Ciaccio ²⁹, C. Cicalo ⁵¹, F. Cindolo ⁵⁰, M.R. Ciupek⁹⁷, G. Clai^{III,50}, F. Colamaria ⁴⁹, J.S. Colburn¹⁰⁰, D. Colella ^{96,31}, M. Colocci ²⁵, G. Conesa Balbastre ⁷³, Z. Conesa del Valle ⁷², G. Contin ²³, J.G. Contreras ³⁵, M.L. Coquet ¹²⁸, T.M. Cormier^{I,87}, P. Cortese ^{130,55}, M.R. Cosentino ¹¹², F. Costa ³², S. Costanza ^{21,54}, C. Cot ⁷², J. Crksovská ⁹⁴, P. Crochet ¹²⁵, R. Cruz-Torres ⁷⁴, P. Cui ⁶, A. Dainese ⁵³, M.C. Danisch ⁹⁴, A. Danu ⁶², P. Das ⁸⁰, P. Das ⁴, S. Das ⁴, A.R. Dash ¹³⁵, S. Dash ⁴⁶, A. De Caro ²⁸, G. de Cataldo ⁴⁹, J. de Cuveland³⁸, A. De Falco ²², D. De Gruttola ²⁸, N. De Marco ⁵⁵, C. De Martin ²³, S. De Pasquale ²⁸, R. Deb¹³¹, S. Deb ⁴⁷, R.J. Debski ², K.R. Deja¹³³, R. Del Grande ⁹⁵, L. Dello Stritto ²⁸, W. Deng ⁶, P. Dhankher ¹⁸, D. Di Bari ³¹, A. Di Mauro ³², R.A. Diaz ^{141,7}, T. Dietel ¹¹³, Y. Ding ⁶, R. Divià ³², D.U. Dixit ¹⁸, Ø. Djuvsland²⁰, U. Dmitrieva ¹⁴⁰, A. Dobrin ⁶², B. Dönigus ⁶³, J.M. Dubinski¹³³, A. Dubla ⁹⁷, S. Dudi ⁹⁰, P. Dupieux ¹²⁵, M. Durkac¹⁰⁶, N. Dzalaiova¹², T.M. Eder ¹³⁵, R.J. Ehlers ⁷⁴, V.N. Eikeland²⁰, F. Eisenhut ⁶³, D. Elia ⁴⁹, B. Erasmus ¹⁰³, F. Ercolessi ²⁵, F. Erhardt ⁸⁹, M.R. Ersdal²⁰, B. Espagnon ⁷², G. Eulisse ³², D. Evans ¹⁰⁰, S. Evdokimov ¹⁴⁰, L. Fabbietti ⁹⁵, M. Faggin ²⁷, J. Faivre ⁷³, F. Fan ⁶, W. Fan ⁷⁴, A. Fantoni ⁴⁸, M. Fasel ⁸⁷, P. Fedchio²⁹, A. Feliciello ⁵⁵, G. Feofilov ¹⁴⁰, A. Fernández Téllez ⁴⁴, L. Ferrandi ¹¹⁰, M.B. Ferrer ³², A. Ferrero ¹²⁸, C. Ferrero ⁵⁵, A. Ferretti ²⁴, V.J.G. Feuillard ⁹⁴, V. Filova³⁵, D. Finogeev ¹⁴⁰, F.M. Fionda ⁵¹, F. Flor ¹¹⁴, A.N. Flores ¹⁰⁸, S. Foertsch ⁶⁷, I. Fokin ⁹⁴, S. Fokin ¹⁴⁰, E. Fragiaco ⁵⁶, E. Frajna ¹³⁶, U. Fuchs ³², N. Funicello ²⁸, C. Furget ⁷³, A. Furs ¹⁴⁰, T. Fusayasu ⁹⁸, J.J. Gaardhøje ⁸³, M. Gagliardi ²⁴, A.M. Gago ¹⁰¹, C.D. Galvan ¹⁰⁹, D.R. Gangadharan ¹¹⁴, P. Ganoti ⁷⁸, C. Garabatos ⁹⁷, J.R.A. Garcia ⁴⁴, E. Garcia-Solis ⁹, C. Gargiulo ³², K. Garner¹³⁵, P. Gasik ⁹⁷, A. Gautam ¹¹⁶, M.B. Gay Ducati ⁶⁵, M. Germain ¹⁰³, A. Ghimouz¹²³, C. Ghosh¹³², M. Giacalone ^{50,25}, P. Giubellino ^{97,55}, P. Giubilato ²⁷, A.M.C. Glaenger ¹²⁸, P. Glässel ⁹⁴, E. Glimos¹²⁰, D.J.Q. Goh⁷⁶, V. Gonzalez ¹³⁴, M. Gorgon ², S. Gotovac³³, V. Grabski ⁶⁶, L.K. Graczykowski ¹³³, E. Grecka ⁸⁶, A. Grelli ⁵⁸, C. Grigoras ³², V. Grigoriev ¹⁴⁰, S. Grigoryan ^{141,1}, F. Grosa ³², J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus ³², R. Grosso ⁹⁷, D. Grund ³⁵, G.G. Guardiano ¹¹¹, R. Guernane ⁷³, M. Guilbaud ¹⁰³, K. Gulbrandsen ⁸³, T. Gundem ⁶³, T. Gunji ¹²², W. Guo ⁶, A. Gupta ⁹¹, R. Gupta ⁹¹, R. Gupta ⁴⁷, S.P. Guzman ⁴⁴, K. Gwizdziel ¹³³, L. Gyulai ¹³⁶,

M.K. Habib⁹⁷, C. Hadjidakis⁷², F.U. Haider⁹¹, H. Hamagaki⁷⁶, A. Hamdi⁷⁴, M. Hamid⁶, Y. Han¹³⁸, R. Hannigan¹⁰⁸, M.R. Haque¹³³, J.W. Harris¹³⁷, A. Harton⁹, H. Hassan⁸⁷, D. Hatzifotiadou⁵⁰, P. Hauer⁴², L.B. Havener¹³⁷, S.T. Heckel⁹⁵, E. Hellbär⁹⁷, H. Helstrup³⁴, M. Hemmer⁶³, T. Herman³⁵, G. Herrera Corral⁸, F. Herrmann¹³⁵, S. Herrmann¹²⁶, K.F. Hetland³⁴, B. Heybeck⁶³, H. Hillemanns³², B. Hippolyte¹²⁷, F.W. Hoffmann⁶⁹, B. Hofman⁵⁸, B. Hohlweger⁸⁴, G.H. Hong¹³⁸, M. Horst⁹⁵, A. Horzyk², Y. Hou⁶, P. Hristov³², C. Hughes¹²⁰, P. Huhn⁶³, L.M. Huhta¹¹⁵, C.V. Hulse⁷², T.J. Humanic⁸⁸, A. Hutson¹¹⁴, D. Hutter³⁸, J.P. Iddon¹¹⁷, R. Ilkaev¹⁴⁰, H. Ilyas¹³, M. Inaba¹²³, G.M. Innocenti³², M. Ippolitov¹⁴⁰, A. Isakov⁸⁶, T. Isidori¹¹⁶, M.S. Islam⁹⁹, M. Ivanov¹², M. Ivanov⁹⁷, V. Ivanov¹⁴⁰, M. Jablonski², B. Jacak⁷⁴, N. Jacazio³², P.M. Jacobs⁷⁴, S. Jadlovská¹⁰⁶, J. Jadlovsky¹⁰⁶, S. Jaelani⁸², L. Jaffe³⁸, C. Jahnke¹¹¹, M.J. Jakubowska¹³³, M.A. Janik¹³³, T. Janson⁶⁹, M. Jercic⁸⁹, S. Jia¹⁰, A.A.P. Jimenez⁶⁴, F. Jonas⁸⁷, J.M. Jowett^{32,97}, J. Jung⁶³, M. Jung⁶³, A. Junique³², A. Jusko¹⁰⁰, M.J. Kabus^{32,133}, J. Kaewjai¹⁰⁵, P. Kalinak⁵⁹, A.S. Kalteyer⁹⁷, A. Kalweit³², V. Kaplin¹⁴⁰, A. Karasu Uysal⁷¹, D. Karatovic⁸⁹, O. Karavichev¹⁴⁰, T. Karavicheva¹⁴⁰, P. Karczmarczyk¹³³, E. Karpechev¹⁴⁰, U. Kebschull⁶⁹, R. Keidel¹³⁹, D.L.D. Keijdener⁵⁸, M. Keil³², B. Ketzer⁴², S.S. Khade⁴⁷, A.M. Khan⁶, S. Khan¹⁵, A. Khanzadeev¹⁴⁰, Y. Kharlov¹⁴⁰, A. Khatun^{116,15}, A. Khuntia¹⁰⁷, M.B. Kidson¹¹³, B. Kileng³⁴, B. Kim¹⁰⁴, C. Kim¹⁶, D.J. Kim¹¹⁵, E.J. Kim⁶⁸, J. Kim¹³⁸, J.S. Kim⁴⁰, J. Kim⁶⁸, M. Kim^{18,94}, S. Kim¹⁷, T. Kim¹³⁸, K. Kimura⁹², S. Kirsch⁶³, I. Kisel³⁸, S. Kiselev¹⁴⁰, A. Kisiel¹³³, J.P. Kitowski², J.L. Klay⁵, J. Klein³², S. Klein⁷⁴, C. Klein-Bösing¹³⁵, M. Kleiner⁶³, T. Klemenz⁹⁵, A. Kluge³², A.G. Knospe¹¹⁴, C. Kobdaj¹⁰⁵, T. Kollegger⁹⁷, A. Kondratyev¹⁴¹, N. Kondratyeva¹⁴⁰, E. Kondratyuk¹⁴⁰, J. König⁶³, S.A. Königstorfer⁹⁵, P.J. Konopka³², G. Kornakov¹³³, S.D. Koryciak², A. Kotliarov⁸⁶, V. Kovalenko¹⁴⁰, M. Kowalski¹⁰⁷, V. Kozuharov³⁶, I. Králik⁵⁹, A. Kravčáková³⁷, L. Krcal^{32,38}, L. Kreis⁹⁷, M. Krivda^{100,59}, F. Krizek⁸⁶, K. Krizkova Gajdosova³², M. Kroesen⁹⁴, M. Krüger⁶³, D.M. Krupova³⁵, E. Kryshen¹⁴⁰, V. Kučera³², C. Kuhn¹²⁷, P.G. Kuijjer⁸⁴, T. Kumaoka¹²³, D. Kumar¹³², L. Kumar⁹⁰, N. Kumar⁹⁰, S. Kumar³¹, S. Kundu³², P. Kurashvili⁷⁹, A. Kurepin¹⁴⁰, A.B. Kurepin¹⁴⁰, A. Kuryakin¹⁴⁰, S. Kuschpil⁸⁶, J. Kvapil¹⁰⁰, M.J. Kweon⁵⁷, J.Y. Kwon⁵⁷, Y. Kwon¹³⁸, S.L. La Pointe³⁸, P. La Rocca²⁶, A. Lakrathok¹⁰⁵, M. Lamanna³², R. Langoy¹¹⁹, P. Larionov³², E. Laudi³², L. Lautner^{32,95}, R. Lavicka¹⁰², T. Lazareva¹⁴⁰, R. Lea^{131,54}, H. Lee¹⁰⁴, G. Legras¹³⁵, J. Lehrbach³⁸, T.M. Lelek², R.C. Lemmon⁸⁵, I. León Monzón¹⁰⁹, M.M. Lesch⁹⁵, E.D. Lesser¹⁸, P. Lévai¹³⁶, X. Li¹⁰, X.L. Li⁶, J. Lien¹¹⁹, R. Lietava¹⁰⁰, I. Likmeta¹¹⁴, B. Lim²⁴, S.H. Lim¹⁶, V. Lindenstruth³⁸, A. Lindner⁴⁵, C. Lippmann⁹⁷, A. Liu¹⁸, D.H. Liu⁶, J. Liu¹¹⁷, I.M. Lofnes²⁰, C. Loizides⁸⁷, S. Lokos¹⁰⁷, J. Lomker⁵⁸, P. Loncar³³, J.A. Lopez⁹⁴, X. Lopez¹²⁵, E. López Torres⁷, P. Lu^{97,118}, J.R. Luhder¹³⁵, M. Lunardon²⁷, G. Luparello⁵⁶, Y.G. Ma³⁹, A. Maevskaya¹⁴⁰, M. Mager³², A. Maire¹²⁷, M.V. Makariev³⁶, M. Malaev¹⁴⁰, G. Malfattore²⁵, N.M. Malik⁹¹, Q.W. Malik¹⁹, S.K. Malik⁹¹, L. Malinina^{VI,141}, D. Mal'Kevich¹⁴⁰, D. Mallick⁸⁰, N. Mallick⁴⁷, G. Mandaglio^{30,52}, S.K. Mandal⁷⁹, V. Manko¹⁴⁰, F. Manso¹²⁵, V. Manzari⁴⁹, Y. Mao⁶, G.V. Margagliotti²³, A. Margotti⁵⁰, A. Marín⁹⁷, C. Markert¹⁰⁸, P. Martinengo³², J.L. Martinez¹¹⁴, M.I. Martínez⁴⁴, G. Martínez García¹⁰³, S. Masciocchi⁹⁷, M. Maserà²⁴, A. Masoni⁵¹, L. Massacrier⁷², A. Mastroserio^{129,49}, O. Matonoha⁷⁵, P.F.T. Matuoka¹¹⁰, A. Matyja¹⁰⁷, C. Mayer¹⁰⁷, A.L. Mazuecos³², F. Mazzaschi²⁴, M. Mazzilli³², J.E. Mdhuli¹²¹, A.F. Mechler⁶³, Y. Melikyan^{43,140}, A. Menchaca-Rocha⁶⁶, E. Meninno^{102,28}, A.S. Menon¹¹⁴, M. Meres¹², S. Mhlanga^{113,67}, Y. Miake¹²³, L. Micheletti⁵⁵, L.C. Migliorin¹²⁶, D.L. Mihaylov⁹⁵, K. Mikhaylov^{141,140}, A.N. Mishra¹³⁶, D. Miśkowiec⁹⁷, A. Modak⁴, A.P. Mohanty⁵⁸, B. Mohanty⁸⁰, M. Mohisin Khan^{IV,15}, M.A. Molander⁴³, Z. Moravcova⁸³, C. Mordasini⁹⁵, D.A. Moreira De Godoy¹³⁵, I. Morozov¹⁴⁰, A. Morsch³², T. Mrnjavac³², V. Muccifora⁴⁸, S. Muhuri¹³², J.D. Mulligan⁷⁴, A. Mulliri²², M.G. Munhoz¹¹⁰, R.H. Munzer⁶³, H. Murakami¹²², S. Murray¹¹³, L. Musa³², J. Musinsky⁵⁹, J.W. Myrcha¹³³, B. Naik¹²¹, A.I. Nambrath¹⁸, B.K. Nandi⁴⁶, R. Nania⁵⁰, E. Nappi⁴⁹, A.F. Nassirpour^{17,75}, A. Nath⁹⁴, C. Nattrass¹²⁰, M.N. Naydenov³⁶, A. Neagu¹⁹, A. Negru¹²⁴, L. Nellen⁶⁴, S.V. Nesbo³⁴, G. Neskovic³⁸, D. Nesterov¹⁴⁰, B.S. Nielsen⁸³, E.G. Nielsen⁸³, S. Nikolaev¹⁴⁰, S. Nikulin¹⁴⁰, V. Nikulin¹⁴⁰, F. Noferini⁵⁰, S. Noh¹¹, P. Nomokonov¹⁴¹, J. Norman¹¹⁷, N. Novitzky¹²³, P. Nowakowski¹³³, A. Nyanin¹⁴⁰, J. Nystrand²⁰, M. Ogino⁷⁶, A. Ohlson⁷⁵, V.A. Okorokov¹⁴⁰, J. Oleniacz¹³³, A.C. Oliveira Da Silva¹²⁰, M.H. Oliver¹³⁷, A. Onnerstad¹¹⁵, C. Oppedisano⁵⁵, A. Ortiz Velasquez⁶⁴, J. Otwinowski¹⁰⁷, M. Oya⁹², K. Oyama⁷⁶, Y. Pachmayer⁹⁴, S. Padhan⁴⁶, D. Pagano^{131,54}, G. Paić⁶⁴, A. Palasciano⁴⁹, S. Panebianco¹²⁸, H. Park¹²³, H. Park¹⁰⁴, J. Park⁵⁷, J.E. Parkkila³², R.N. Patra⁹¹, B. Paul²², H. Pei⁶,

T. Peitzmann⁵⁸, X. Peng⁶, M. Pennisi²⁴, L.G. Pereira⁶⁵, D. Peresunko¹⁴⁰, G.M. Perez⁷,
 S. Perrin¹²⁸, Y. Pestov¹⁴⁰, V. Petráček³⁵, V. Petrov¹⁴⁰, M. Petrovici⁴⁵, R.P. Pezzi^{103,65}, S. Piano⁵⁶,
 M. Pikna¹², P. Pillot¹⁰³, O. Pinazza^{50,32}, L. Pinsky¹¹⁴, C. Pinto⁹⁵, S. Pisano⁴⁸, M. Płoskoń⁷⁴,
 M. Planinic⁸⁹, F. Pliquett⁶³, M.G. Poghosyan⁸⁷, B. Polichtchouk¹⁴⁰, S. Politano²⁹, N. Poljak⁸⁹,
 A. Pop⁴⁵, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais¹²⁵, V. Pozdniakov¹⁴¹, I.Y. Pozos⁴⁴, K.K. Pradhan⁴⁷,
 S.K. Prasad⁴, S. Prasad⁴⁷, R. Preghenella⁵⁰, F. Prino⁵⁵, C.A. Pruneau¹³⁴, I. Pshenichnov¹⁴⁰,
 M. Puccio³², S. Pucillo²⁴, Z. Pugelova¹⁰⁶, S. Qiu⁸⁴, L. Quaglia²⁴, R.E. Quishpe¹¹⁴, S. Ragoni¹⁴,
 A. Rakotozafindrabe¹²⁸, L. Ramello^{130,55}, F. Rami¹²⁷, S.A.R. Ramirez⁴⁴, T.A. Rancien⁷³, M. Rasa²⁶,
 S.S. Räsänen⁴³, R. Rath⁵⁰, M.P. Rauch²⁰, I. Ravasenga⁸⁴, K.F. Read^{87,120}, C. Reckziegel¹¹²,
 A.R. Redelbach³⁸, K. Redlich^{V,79}, C.A. Reetz⁹⁷, A. Rehman²⁰, F. Reidt³², H.A. Reme-Ness³⁴,
 Z. Rescakova³⁷, K. Reygers⁹⁴, A. Riabov¹⁴⁰, V. Riabov¹⁴⁰, R. Ricci²⁸, M. Richter¹⁹,
 A.A. Riedel⁹⁵, W. Riegler³², C. Ristea⁶², M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi⁴⁴, K. Røed¹⁹, R. Rogalev¹⁴⁰,
 E. Rogochaya¹⁴¹, T.S. Rogoschinski⁶³, D. Rohr³², D. Röhrich²⁰, P.F. Rojas⁴⁴, S. Rojas Torres³⁵,
 P.S. Rokita¹³³, G. Romanenko¹⁴¹, F. Ronchetti⁴⁸, A. Rosano^{30,52}, E.D. Rosas⁶⁴, K. Roslon¹³³,
 A. Rossi⁵³, A. Roy⁴⁷, S. Roy⁴⁶, N. Rubini²⁵, O.V. Rueda¹¹⁴, D. Ruggiano¹³³, R. Rui²³,
 B. Rumyantsev¹⁴¹, P.G. Russek², R. Russo⁸⁴, A. Rustamov⁸¹, E. Ryabinkin¹⁴⁰, Y. Ryabov¹⁴⁰,
 A. Rybicki¹⁰⁷, H. Rytkonen¹¹⁵, W. Rzeska¹³³, O.A.M. Saarimaki⁴³, R. Sadek¹⁰³, S. Sadhu³¹,
 S. Sadovsky¹⁴⁰, J. Saetre²⁰, K. Šafařík³⁵, S.K. Saha⁴, S. Saha⁸⁰, B. Sahoo⁴⁶, B. Sahoo⁴⁷,
 R. Sahoo⁴⁷, S. Sahoo⁶⁰, D. Sahu⁴⁷, P.K. Sahu⁶⁰, J. Saini¹³², K. Sajdakova³⁷, S. Sakai¹²³,
 M.P. Salvan⁹⁷, S. Sambyal⁹¹, I. Sanna^{32,95}, T.B. Saramela¹¹⁰, D. Sarkar¹³⁴, N. Sarkar¹³², P. Sarma⁴¹,
 V. Sarritzu²², V.M. Sarti⁹⁵, M.H.P. Sas¹³⁷, J. Schambach⁸⁷, H.S. Scheid⁶³, C. Schiaua⁴⁵,
 R. Schicker⁹⁴, A. Schmah⁹⁴, C. Schmidt⁹⁷, H.R. Schmidt⁹³, M.O. Schmidt³², M. Schmidt⁹³,
 N.V. Schmidt⁸⁷, A.R. Schmier¹²⁰, R. Schotter¹²⁷, A. Schröter³⁸, J. Schukraft³², K. Schwarz⁹⁷,
 K. Schweda⁹⁷, G. Scioli²⁵, E. Scomparin⁵⁵, J.E. Seger¹⁴, Y. Sekiguchi¹²², D. Sekihata¹²²,
 I. Selyuzhenkov^{97,140}, S. Senyukov¹²⁷, J.J. Seo⁵⁷, D. Serebryakov¹⁴⁰, L. Šerkšnytė⁹⁵,
 A. Sevcenco⁶², T.J. Shaba⁶⁷, A. Shabetai¹⁰³, R. Shahoyan³², A. Shangaraev¹⁴⁰, A. Sharma⁹⁰,
 B. Sharma⁹¹, D. Sharma⁴⁶, H. Sharma¹⁰⁷, M. Sharma⁹¹, S. Sharma⁷⁶, S. Sharma⁹¹,
 U. Sharma⁹¹, A. Shatat⁷², O. Sheibani¹¹⁴, K. Shigaki⁹², M. Shimomura⁷⁷, J. Shin¹¹, S. Shirinkin¹⁴⁰,
 Q. Shou³⁹, Y. Sibiriak¹⁴⁰, S. Siddhanta⁵¹, T. Siemiarczuk⁷⁹, T.F. Silva¹¹⁰, D. Silvermyr⁷⁵,
 T. Simantathammakul¹⁰⁵, R. Simeonov³⁶, B. Singh⁹¹, B. Singh⁹⁵, R. Singh⁸⁰, R. Singh⁹¹, R. Singh⁴⁷,
 S. Singh¹⁵, V.K. Singh¹³², V. Singhal¹³², T. Sinha⁹⁹, B. Sitar¹², M. Sitta^{130,55}, T.B. Skaali¹⁹,
 G. Skorodumovs⁹⁴, M. Slupecki⁴³, N. Smirnov¹³⁷, R.J.M. Snellings⁵⁸, E.H. Solheim¹⁹, J. Song¹¹⁴,
 A. Songmoolnak¹⁰⁵, F. Soramel²⁷, A.B. Soto-herandez⁸⁸, R. Spijkers⁸⁴, I. Sputowska¹⁰⁷, J. Staa⁷⁵,
 J. Stachel⁹⁴, I. Stan⁶², P.J. Steffanic¹²⁰, S.F. Stiefelmaier⁹⁴, D. Stocco¹⁰³, I. Storehaug¹⁹,
 P. Stratmann¹³⁵, S. Strazzi²⁵, C.P. Stylianidis⁸⁴, A.A.P. Suaide¹¹⁰, C. Suire⁷², M. Sukhanov¹⁴⁰,
 M. Suljic³², R. Sultanov¹⁴⁰, V. Sumberia⁹¹, S. Sumowidagdo⁸², S. Swain⁶⁰, I. Szarka¹²,
 M. Szymkowski¹³³, S.F. Taghavi⁹⁵, G. Tallepied⁹⁷, J. Takahashi¹¹¹, G.J. Tambave²⁰, S. Tang^{125,6},
 Z. Tang¹¹⁸, J.D. Tapia Takaki¹¹⁶, N. Tapus¹²⁴, L.A. Tarasovicova¹³⁵, M.G. Tazila⁴⁵, G.F. Tassielli³¹,
 A. Tauro³², G. Tejeda Muñoz⁴⁴, A. Telesca³², L. Terlizzi²⁴, C. Terrevoli¹¹⁴, S. Thakur⁴,
 D. Thomas¹⁰⁸, A. Tikhonov¹⁴⁰, A.R. Timmins¹¹⁴, M. Tkacik¹⁰⁶, T. Tkacik¹⁰⁶, A. Toia⁶³,
 R. Tokumoto⁹², N. Topilskaya¹⁴⁰, M. Toppi⁴⁸, F. Torres-Acosta¹⁸, T. Tork⁷², A.G. Torres Ramos³¹,
 A. Trifiró^{30,52}, A.S. Triolo^{32,30,52}, S. Tripathy⁵⁰, T. Tripathy⁴⁶, S. Trogolo³², V. Trubnikov³,
 W.H. Trzaska¹¹⁵, T.P. Trzcinski¹³³, A. Tumkin¹⁴⁰, R. Turrisi⁵³, T.S. Tveter¹⁹, K. Ullaland²⁰,
 B. Ulukutlu⁹⁵, A. Uras¹²⁶, M. Urioni^{54,131}, G.L. Usai²², M. Vala³⁷, N. Valle²¹, L.V.R. van
 Doremalen⁵⁸, M. van Leeuwen⁸⁴, C.A. van Veen⁹⁴, R.J.G. van Weelden⁸⁴, P. Vande Vyvre³²,
 D. Varga¹³⁶, Z. Varga¹³⁶, M. Vasileiou⁷⁸, A. Vasiliev¹⁴⁰, O. Vázquez Doce⁴⁸, V. Vechernin¹⁴⁰,
 E. Vercellin²⁴, S. Vergara Limón⁴⁴, L. Vermunt⁹⁷, R. Vértesi¹³⁶, M. Verweij⁵⁸, L. Vickovic³³,
 Z. Vilakazi¹²¹, O. Villalobos Baillie¹⁰⁰, A. Villani²³, G. Vino⁴⁹, A. Vinogradov¹⁴⁰, T. Virgili²⁸,
 M.M.O. Virta¹¹⁵, V. Vislavicius⁷⁵, A. Vodopyanov¹⁴¹, B. Volkel³², M.A. Völkl⁹⁴, K. Voloshin¹⁴⁰,
 S.A. Voloshin¹³⁴, G. Volpe³¹, B. von Haller³², I. Vorobyev⁹⁵, N. Vozniuk¹⁴⁰, J. Vrláková³⁷,
 C. Wang³⁹, D. Wang³⁹, Y. Wang³⁹, A. Wegrzynek³², F.T. Weiglhofer³⁸, S.C. Wenzel³²,
 J.P. Wessels¹³⁵, S.L. Weyhmler¹³⁷, J. Wiechula⁶³, J. Wikne¹⁹, G. Wilk⁷⁹, J. Wilkinson⁹⁷,
 G.A. Willems¹³⁵, B. Windelband⁹⁴, M. Winn¹²⁸, J.R. Wright¹⁰⁸, W. Wu³⁹, Y. Wu¹¹⁸, R. Xu⁶,
 A. Yadav⁴², A.K. Yadav¹³², S. Yalcin⁷¹, Y. Yamaguchi⁹², S. Yang²⁰, S. Yano⁹², Z. Yin⁶,
 I.-K. Yoo¹⁶, J.H. Yoon⁵⁷, S. Yuan²⁰, A. Yuncu⁹⁴, V. Zaccolo²³, C. Zampolli³², F. Zanone⁹⁴,
 N. Zardoshti³², A. Zarochentsev¹⁴⁰, P. Závada⁶¹, N. Zaviyalov¹⁴⁰, M. Zhalov¹⁴⁰, B. Zhang⁶,

L. Zhang ³⁹, S. Zhang ³⁹, X. Zhang ⁶, Y. Zhang¹¹⁸, Z. Zhang ⁶, M. Zhao ¹⁰, V. Zhrebchevskii ¹⁴⁰,
Y. Zhi¹⁰, D. Zhou ⁶, Y. Zhou ⁸³, J. Zhu ^{97,6}, Y. Zhu⁶, S.C. Zugravel ⁵⁵, N. Zurlo ^{131,54}

Affiliation Notes

^I Deceased

^{II} Also at: Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany

^{III} Also at: Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Bologna, Italy

^{IV} Also at: Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

^V Also at: Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Poland

^{VI} Also at: An institution covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

Collaboration Institutes

¹ A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia

² AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland

³ Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine

⁴ Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India

⁵ California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, United States

⁶ Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China

⁷ Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba

⁸ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico

⁹ Chicago State University, Chicago, Illinois, United States

¹⁰ China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China

¹¹ Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea

¹² Comenius University Bratislava, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

¹³ COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

¹⁴ Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska, United States

¹⁵ Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

¹⁶ Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea

¹⁷ Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

¹⁸ Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California, United States

¹⁹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

²⁰ Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

²¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

²² Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy

²³ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy

²⁴ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy

²⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy

²⁶ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy

²⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy

²⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy

²⁹ Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy

³⁰ Dipartimento di Scienze MIFT, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy

³¹ Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy

³² European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

³³ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia

³⁴ Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway

³⁵ Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

³⁶ Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, Sofia, Bulgaria

³⁷ Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovak Republic

³⁸ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

- ³⁹ Fudan University, Shanghai, China
⁴⁰ Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea
⁴¹ Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India
⁴² Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany
⁴³ Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland
⁴⁴ High Energy Physics Group, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
⁴⁵ Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
⁴⁶ Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India
⁴⁷ Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India
⁴⁸ INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
⁴⁹ INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
⁵⁰ INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
⁵¹ INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
⁵² INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy
⁵³ INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy
⁵⁴ INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
⁵⁵ INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy
⁵⁶ INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
⁵⁷ Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea
⁵⁸ Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University/Nikhef, Utrecht, Netherlands
⁵⁹ Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovak Republic
⁶⁰ Institute of Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, India
⁶¹ Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic
⁶² Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania
⁶³ Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
⁶⁴ Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
⁶⁵ Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil
⁶⁶ Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
⁶⁷ iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa
⁶⁸ Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea
⁶⁹ Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität Frankfurt Institut für Informatik, Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Frankfurt, Germany
⁷⁰ Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea
⁷¹ KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey
⁷² Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis, Irène Joliot-Curie, Orsay, France
⁷³ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France
⁷⁴ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, United States
⁷⁵ Lund University Department of Physics, Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden
⁷⁶ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
⁷⁷ Nara Women's University (NWU), Nara, Japan
⁷⁸ National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Science, Department of Physics, Athens, Greece
⁷⁹ National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland
⁸⁰ National Institute of Science Education and Research, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Jatni, India
⁸¹ National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan
⁸² National Research and Innovation Agency - BRIN, Jakarta, Indonesia
⁸³ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
⁸⁴ Nikhef, National institute for subatomic physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
⁸⁵ Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom
⁸⁶ Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Husinec-Řež, Czech Republic
⁸⁷ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, United States
⁸⁸ Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, United States
⁸⁹ Physics department, Faculty of science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
⁹⁰ Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
⁹¹ Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India

- ⁹² Physics Program and International Institute for Sustainability with Knotted Chiral Meta Matter (SKCM2), Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan
- ⁹³ Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- ⁹⁴ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁹⁵ Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ⁹⁶ Politecnico di Bari and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- ⁹⁷ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
- ⁹⁸ Saga University, Saga, Japan
- ⁹⁹ Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India
- ¹⁰⁰ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ¹⁰¹ Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
- ¹⁰² Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria
- ¹⁰³ SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Nantes Université, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France
- ¹⁰⁴ Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon City, Republic of Korea
- ¹⁰⁵ Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand
- ¹⁰⁶ Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovak Republic
- ¹⁰⁷ The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
- ¹⁰⁸ The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, United States
- ¹⁰⁹ Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico
- ¹¹⁰ Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹¹¹ Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil
- ¹¹² Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil
- ¹¹³ University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- ¹¹⁴ University of Houston, Houston, Texas, United States
- ¹¹⁵ University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- ¹¹⁶ University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, United States
- ¹¹⁷ University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ¹¹⁸ University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China
- ¹¹⁹ University of South-Eastern Norway, Kongsberg, Norway
- ¹²⁰ University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States
- ¹²¹ University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ¹²² University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹²³ University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹²⁴ University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania
- ¹²⁵ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- ¹²⁶ Université de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, Lyon, France
- ¹²⁷ Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France, Strasbourg, France
- ¹²⁸ Université Paris-Saclay Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, Département de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Saclay, France
- ¹²⁹ Università degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy
- ¹³⁰ Università del Piemonte Orientale, Vercelli, Italy
- ¹³¹ Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- ¹³² Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India
- ¹³³ Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹³⁴ Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, United States
- ¹³⁵ Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany
- ¹³⁶ Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ¹³⁷ Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States
- ¹³⁸ Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
- ¹³⁹ Zentrum für Technologie und Transfer (ZTT), Worms, Germany
- ¹⁴⁰ Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ¹⁴¹ Affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.