

The Resistive-Plate WELL with Argon mixtures - a robust gaseous radiation detector

Luca Moleri^{a,*}, Fernando Domingues Amaro^b, Lior Arazi^a, Carlos Davide Rocha Azevedo^c, Eraldo Oliveri^d, Michael Pitt^a, Jana Schaarschmidt^a, Dan Shaked-Renous^a, Joaquim Marques Ferreira dos Santos^b, João Filipe Calapez de Albuquerque Veloso^c, Amos Breskin^a, Shikma Bressler^a

^aDepartment of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel

^bLIBPhys, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Rua Larga, PT3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

^cIZN, Physics Department, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

^dCERN, Meyrin, Switzerland

Abstract

A thin single-element THGEM-based, Resistive-Plate WELL (RPWELL) detector was operated with 150 GeV/c muon and pion beams in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂); signals were recorded with 1 cm² square pads and SRS/APV25 electronics. Detection efficiency values greater than 98% were reached in all the gas mixtures, at average pad multiplicity of 1.2. The use of the 10⁹Ωcm resistive plate resulted in a completely discharge-free operation also in intense pion beams. The efficiency remained essentially constant at 98-99% up to fluxes of ~10⁴Hz/cm², dropping by a few % when approaching 10⁵ Hz/cm². These results pave the way towards cost-effective, robust, efficient, large-scale detectors for a variety of applications in future particle, astro-particle and applied fields. A potential target application is digital hadron calorimetry.

Keywords: : Micropattern Gaseous Detectors, THGEM, Calorimetry.

1. Introduction

The Thick Gas Electron Multiplier (THGEM) is a robust radiation detection element suitable for applications requiring large detection areas [1, 2]. The broad interest in THGEM-based detectors has resulted in the development of production techniques and concepts (e.g. [3, 4]), including the use of resistive films and materials for reducing occasional discharge effects [5–7]. In this context, the experience gained with various configurations of THGEM-based multipliers with resistive anodes [7–9] has led to the development of a particularly promising candidate - the Resistive-Plate WELL (RPWELL) [10]. It is a single-sided copper-clad THGEM electrode, coupled to a segmented readout anode (pads or strips) through a thin high bulk-resistivity (~10⁸-10¹⁰ Ωcm) plate. Extensive laboratory studies in Ne/(5%CH₄) demonstrated discharge-free operation at high gas-avalanche gains and over a broad ionization range [10]. Good performances in terms of efficiency and average pad multiplicity - studied in the context of future (Semi) Digital Hadronic Calorimeter ((S)DHCAL) [11], were reached, in Ne/(5%CH₄) with 150 GeV/c muon and pion beams using a thin 10×10 cm² RPWELL, with a resistive anode (Semitron[®] ESD225) of bulk resistivity of ~10⁹Ωcm [12]. In the present article we report on new results of further beam studies of this detector, extended to the low-cost Ar-based gas mixtures Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂). Detection efficiency values of ~98% were reached in all conditions, at average pad multiplicity of ~1.2, in discharge-free operation, also with a high-

intensity pion flux. The detector fulfill the requirements for sampling elements in (S)DHCAL and has the potential for applications requiring robust, cheap, efficient large-scale detectors with moderate spacial and energy resolutions.

2. Experimental setup and methodology

2.1. RPWELL detector, tracking and readout system

The 10×10 cm² RPWELL detector, its SRS/APV25 readout system [13, 14] and the experimental setup at the CERN-SPS/H4 beam-line, were detailed in [12] and are briefly described here. The detector scheme, elements and operation principle are shown in Fig. 1. The single-sided THGEM electrode, 0.86 mm thick, had 0.5 mm diameter holes mechanically drilled in an FR4 plate, copper-clad on one side. The holes were arranged in a square lattice (Fig. 1-b), with 0.96 mm pitch, so that they cover the underlying 1×1 cm² anode pads, but not their borders, where 0.86 mm wide metal bands are left, as described in [8]. The plate was chemically etched, yielding 0.1 mm rims around the holes, preventing sharp edges and eventual defects. The THGEM electrode was coupled to the anode pads (Fig. 1-d) through a 0.4 mm thick Semitron[®] ESD225¹ static dissipative plastic plate (2×10⁹ Ωcm bulk resistivity). The electrical contact between the resistive plate and the readout pads is essential for efficient clearance of the avalanche electrons. Therefore, the bottom of the resistive material was patterned with conductive pads (Fig. 1-c), individually connected to the anode pads

*Corresponding author

Email address: luca.moleri@weizmann.ac.il (Luca Moleri)

¹www.quadrantplastics.com

(like in [12] but using 3MTM Electrically Conductive Adhesive Transfer Tape 97073²).




Figure 1: The 10×10 cm² RPWELL detector scheme. The RPWELL structure (a): a single sided THGEM (b) coupled to the readout anode through a resistive plate. The anode readout pads (d) are coupled to conductive pads patterned on the resistive plate (c). The metal bands in (b) are located above the underlying pad borders (c,d).

The detector electrodes were individually biased using CAEN A1833P and A1821N HV power-supply boards, remotely controlled with a CAEN SY2527 unit. The voltage and current in each channel were monitored and stored. All HV inputs were connected through low-pass filters. The RPWELL bias (ΔV_{RPWELL}) with respect to the grounded anode was varied throughout the experiment, while the drift voltage was kept constant: $\Delta V_{drift} = 250$ V - corresponding to a drift field of ~ 0.5 kV/cm across the 5 mm drift gap. The detector was operated in Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂) gas mixtures at atmospheric pressure and room temperature, in gas-flow mode (50-100 cc/min); measurements in Ne/(5%CH₄) were taken for comparison. The operation in the Ar mixtures required higher voltages compared to the ones in Ne/(5%CH₄) to obtain similar gains. However, with respect to Ne, Ar has the advantage of larger number of electron-ion pairs produced by a minimum ionizing particle (at normal conditions 94 compared to 39 e-i pairs/cm [15]) - allowing for a smaller drift gap for equal number of primary charges - and considerably lower cost (which might be crucial for large-scale systems). The use of CO₂ instead of CH₄ as a photon quencher is preferable because the former is non flammable. The triggering, tracking and DAQ system was the same as described in [12]. The RPWELL chambers were placed along the beam line in-between the tracker elements.

2.2. Working point: ΔV_{RPWELL} , threshold and matching parameter

As described in [12], for each event the detector pads with signal above threshold were grouped into clusters of neighbors.

The global detection efficiency was then calculated as the fraction of particle tracks matched to a cluster in the detector, while the average pad multiplicity was the average number of pads contained in each cluster. The detector working point was adjusted to optimize its performance in each gas mixture, targeting high global detection efficiency at low average pad multiplicity. The lowest-possible value (closest to 1) of the latter is a prerequisite for valid particle counting, e.g. in a potential application as a sampling element in DHCAL [8, 16]. The optimization was done using a set of measurements with ~ 100 Hz/cm² wide (5×5 cm²) muon beam and a ~ 13000 Hz/cm² narrow (2×2 cm²) pion beam. In both cases, only tracks hitting the detector in a 4×4 cm² central region were considered. Two important parameters in the analysis are the threshold for zero order suppression (ZSF) and the track-cluster matching parameter (W) (see details in [12]). The values of ZSF and W were fixed at ZSF= 15 and W= 10 or 15 mm following the same method described in [12].

3. Results

3.1. Detected charge, global detection efficiency and average pad multiplicity




Figure 2: The most probable value (MPV) of the charge measured by the RPWELL detector in ~ 100 Hz/cm² muon beam for different ΔV_{RPWELL} values in the three gas mixtures.

We studied the detector operation in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂) using ~ 100 Hz/cm² muon beam. Fig. 2 depicts the most probable value (MPV) of the detected charge, derived from the recorded Landau distributions as a function of ΔV_{RPWELL} . It is important to note that the effective measured charge is a few times smaller than the avalanche charge; this results from the convolution of the long signal rise time in the RPWELL ($\sim 1-2$ μ s rise-time) and the short shaping time of the APV25 chip (~ 75 ns) - as described in [12]. Fig. 3 shows the global detector efficiency as a function of the average pad multiplicity in the same data set shown in Fig. 2. ΔV_{RPWELL} values of 880 V, 1640 V and 1750 V

²www.3m.com




Figure 3: The global detector efficiency versus average pad multiplicity of the RPWELL detector in ~ 100 Hz/cm² muon beam in the three gas mixtures (using the same data set shown in Fig. 2).

in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄), and Ar/(7%CO₂) respectively, gave very high detection efficiency (98-99%) and low pad multiplicity (~ 1.2) in a stable condition.

3.2. Performance under low and high particle flux

The RPWELL performance was investigated with low-rate muon and high rate pion beams reaching a flux of $\sim 4 \cdot 10^5$ Hz/cm². The results are shown in Fig. 4. Note that in order to keep a high efficiency at high particle fluxes, these measurements were done using higher voltages than those optimal for detecting low-rate muons. The values of ΔV_{RPWELL} were 880 V, 1700 V and 1770 V in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂) respectively. The global detection efficiency (Fig. 4) is stable until rates of $\sim 10^4$ Hz/cm², consistently for all three gas mixtures. It drops by a few % (to 94%) while approaching rates of $\sim 10^5$ Hz/cm², due to 30% gain loss (not shown), possibly resulting from the charging up of the holes and avalanche build-up limitations on the resistive anode (see for example [17]). These results are in agreement with that previously shown in Ne/(5%CH₄) [12]. The efficiency drop can be mitigated using higher operation voltage.

To demonstrate the electrical stability of the RPWELL, we measured the current flowing through the anode using a sensitive ammeter [18], while irradiating the detector with pions at different rates. Fig. 5 shows the current and the pion rates as a function of time. The measurement shown is in Ar/(7%CO₂), and similar results were obtained in all three gas mixtures. As expected, the small current spikes, corresponding to the beam spill-structure, grow smoothly in amplitude with the particle rate. The measured value of the current should follow the simple expression: $I = q \cdot n \cdot \Phi \cdot G(\Phi)$, where I is the current, q is the electron charge, n is the number of electron-ion pairs produced by a minimum ionizing particle in 5 mm of Ar [15], Φ is the particle rate and G the detector gain (which depends on Φ




Figure 4: Global detection efficiency of the RPWELL detector as a function of the incoming particle flux in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂). The values of ΔV_{RPWELL} were 880 V, 1700 V and 1770 V respectively.

as explained above). Reversing the formula and using the measured value of the current, we can estimate the value of G . For example for $\Phi = 4 \cdot 10^3$ Hz we get $G = 1.3 \cdot 10^3$, which is compatible with the value obtained from the measured charge MPV (Fig. 2 which is taken at a similar particle rate), once taking into account the effect of the electronics shaping time.




Figure 5: Current flowing through the detector during pion runs at different rates in Ar/(5%CH₄). The beam spill-structure is clearly visible.

3.3. Gain stability over time

A stable operation of the detector was demonstrated over time, under 10^4 - 10^5 Hz/cm² pion fluxes, as shown in Fig. 6. The applied voltages were the same as those of the measurements presented in section 3.2. No significant gain variations (less than 5%) were observed along ~ 1 hour of operation in all three gas mixtures. The values of global detection efficiency and average pad multiplicity during these measurements also remained stable.




Figure 6: Gain stability over time under a high-rate (10^4 - 10^5 Hz/cm²) pion flux in Ne/(5%CH₄), Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂). The values of ΔV_{RPWELL} were 880 V, 1700 V and 1770 V respectively.

3.4. Discharge probability

A discharge was defined as an abrupt increase in the current supplied to the detector [12]. Discharge probability was measured during the high-rate pion runs presented in section 3.3. No discharges were observed in any of the gas mixtures while irradiating the detector with over 10^8 pions; therefore the resulting value of 10^{-8} is an upper limit for the discharge probability in the present RPWELL configuration. Since pions are prone to induce highly-ionizing secondary events, we have an additional indication on the broad dynamic range of this detector.

4. Summary and discussion

A 6 mm thick (without readout) 10×10 RPWELL detector with a Semitron[®] ESD225 resistive plate coupled to a pad readout anode was investigated for the first time in Ar/(5%CH₄) and Ar/(7%CO₂) gas mixtures; its performance was compared with that in Ne/(5%CH₄). This thin, single-stage detector was operated with 150 GeV/c muons and pions, at fluxes reaching $4 \cdot 10^5$ Hz/cm². High detection efficiency values, greater than 98%, at low average pad multiplicity of ~ 1.2 , were demonstrated in all three gas mixtures, maintaining stable, discharge-free operation, also at high pion flux. The efficiency remained unaffected up to a pion flux of 10^4 Hz/cm², above which it decreased by a few % at $\sim 10^5$ Hz/cm². For example, in Ar/(7%CO₂) the RPWELL maintained a global detection efficiency of 95% under a flux of $1.5 \cdot 10^5$ Hz/cm² pions. The lack of electrical instabilities over more than 10^8 pion events sets an upper limit of 10^{-8} on the discharge probability - ~ 2 orders of magnitude better than other THGEM-based configurations (e.g. single- and double-THGEM, Resistive WELL and Segmented Resistive WELL reviewed in [7]).

Compared to other detector technologies explored under similar conditions, e.g. for the DHCAL, the performance of the

10×10 cm² RPWELL detector, with respect to detection efficiency and pad multiplicity, is superior to that of 1×1 m² RPCs [17, 19] and 30×30 cm² GEM detectors [20]; it is similar to that of 1×1 m² MICROMEAS [21, 22]. The efficiency dependence on the incoming particle flux is similar to that of multi-gap RPCs based on semi-conductive glass [23]. Also in terms of electrical stability the performance of the RPWELL detector is similar to that of RPCs. These results pave the way towards robust, efficient large-scale detectors for applications requiring economic solutions at moderate spatial and energy resolutions. A different application than digital counting (like in DHCAL or RICH) could be that of a tracking detector with strip readout. In this case it would require charge spreading over a resistive layer to increase the spatial resolution (see for example [24]). This detector configuration, as well as the RPWELL technology scale-up and the investigation of other suitable resistive materials are the subject of current R&D.

Acknowledgments

Research supported by: I-CORE Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee and The Israel Science Foundation (grant NO 1937/12); Nella and Leon Benozziyo Center for High Energy Physics; Horizon 2020 research and innovation program grant 654168. A. Breskin is the W.P. Reuther Professor of Research in the Peaceful use of Atomic Energy. F. D. Amaro and C. D. R. Azevedo acknowledge support by FCT under Post-Doctoral Grant SFRH/BPD/74775/2010 and SFRH/BPD//79163/2011. Work done within the CERN RD51 framework.

References

- [1] R. Chechik et al, Thick gem-like hole multipliers: properties and possible applications, Nucl Instrum Meth A 535 (2004) 303–308.
- [2] A. Breskin et al, A concise review on thgem detectors, Nucl Instrum Meth A 598 (2009) 107–111.
- [3] Q. Liu et al, A successful application of thinner-thgems, JINST 8 (2013) C11008.
- [4] M. Alexeev et al, Ion backflow in thick gem-based detectors of single photons, JINST 8 (2013) P01021.
- [5] V. Peskov et al, Development and first tests of gem-like detectors with resistive electrodes, IEEE Trans Nucl Sci 54 (2007) 1784–1791.
- [6] G. Charpak et al, Progress in the development of a s-retgem-based detector for an early forest fire warning system, JINST 4 (2009) P12007.
- [7] S. Bressler et al, Recent advances with thgem detectors, JINST 8 (2013) C12012.
- [8] L. Arazi et al, Thgem-based detectors for sampling elements in dhcal: laboratory and beam evaluation, JINST 7 (2012) C05011.
- [9] L. Arazi et al, Laboratory studies of thgem-based well structures with resistive anode, JINST 9 (2014) P04011.
- [10] A. Rubin et al, First studies with the resistive-plate well gaseous multiplier, JINST 8 (2013) P11004.
- [11] T. Behnke et al, The international linear collider technical design report-volume 4: Detectors, arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.6329.
- [12] S. Bressler et al, First in-beam studies of a resistive-plate well gaseous multiplier, JINST 11 (2016) P01005.
- [13] S. Martoiu et al, Development of the scalable readout system for micro-pattern gas detectors and other applications, JINST 8 (2013) C03015.
- [14] M. J. French et al, Design and results from the apv25, a deep sub-micron cmos front-end chip for the cms tracker, Nucl Instr Meth A 466 (2001) 359–365.

- [15] F. Sauli, Gaseous radiation detectors: fundamentals and applications, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [16] F. Sefkow et al, Experimental tests of particle flow calorimetry, arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.05893.
- [17] M. Affatigato et al, Measurements of the rate capability of various resistive plate chambers, JINST 10 (2015) P10037.
- [18] H. Müller, CERN, presented in rd51 miniweek, 2015.
- [19] B. Bilki et al, Calibration of a digital hadron calorimeter with muons, JINST 3 (2008) P05001.
- [20] J. Yu et al, Application of large scale gem for digital hadron calorimetry, Phys Procedia 37 (2012) 393–401.
- [21] C. Adloff et al, Micromegas chambers for hadronic calorimetry at a future linear collider, JINST 4 (2009) P11023.
- [22] M. Chefdeville et al, Micromegas for sampling calorimetry, PoS (2014) 054.
- [23] L. Naumann et al, Ceramics high rate timing rpc, Nucl Intr Meth A 628 (2011) 138–141.
- [24] M. Cortesi et al, Investigations of a thgem-based imaging detector, JINST 2 (2007) P09002.