

## Search for a light dark sector particle at LHCb

ANDREA MAURI<sup>1</sup>*Physik Institut  
University of Zurich, Switzerland*

A search is presented for a hidden-sector boson,  $\chi$ , produced in the decay  $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \chi$ , with  $K^*(892)^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$  and  $\chi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ . The search is performed using a  $pp$ -collision data sample collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8 TeV with the LHCb detector, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 1 and 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> respectively. No significant signal is observed in the mass range  $214 \leq m_\chi \leq 4350$  MeV, and upper limits are placed on the branching fraction product  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \chi) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$  as a function of the mass and lifetime of the  $\chi$  boson. These limits place the most stringent constraints to date on many theories that predict the existence of additional low-mass dark bosons.

PRESENTED AT

DPF 2015

The Meeting of the American Physical Society  
Division of Particles and Fields  
Ann Arbor, Michigan, August 4–8, 2015

---

<sup>1</sup>On the behalf of the LHCb collaboration.

# 1 Introduction

Most extensions of the Standard Model (SM) that address the problem of the existence of Dark Matter, postulate the existence of a hidden sector, see for example the review in Ref. [1]. Particles of the hidden sector are singlets with respect to the SM gauge number, however they can interact with SM particles via kinetic mixing. In this analysis a search for a light scalar particle (dark scalar boson,  $\chi$ ) belonging to the secluded sector and mixing with Higgs boson is performed. Concrete examples of such models are theories where such a  $\chi$  field was responsible for an inflationary period in the early universe [2], and the associated inflaton particle is expected to have a mass in the range  $270 < m(\chi) < 1800$  MeV. Another class of models invokes the axial-vector portal [3] in theories of dark matter that seek to address the cosmic-ray anomalies, and to explain the suppression of charge-parity (CP) violation in strong interactions [4]. These theories postulate an additional fundamental symmetry, the spontaneous breaking of which results in a particle called the axion [5]. The energy scale,  $f(\chi)$ , at which the symmetry is broken lies in the range  $1 \lesssim f(\chi) \lesssim 3$  TeV [6].

## 2 Search for $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \chi (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$

The decay  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \chi$ , with  $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$  and  $\chi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  is studied to search for such a hidden-sector particle. An enhanced sensitivity to hidden-sector bosons arises because the  $b \rightarrow s$  transition is mediated by a top quark loop at leading order (Fig.1). Therefore, a  $\chi$  boson with  $2m(\mu) < m(\chi) < m(B^0) - m(K^{*0})$  and a sizable top quark coupling (obtained via mixing with the Higgs sector), could be produced at a substantial rate in such decays.

Similar searches have been performed in the past by B-factories [7, 8], they were the most stringent direct constraints on a light scalar dark boson. Their exclusion limits on the coupling (i.e. mixing angle) between the Higgs and the dark boson field lie between  $7 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-3}$ , with the most sensitive region just below the  $J/\psi$




Figure 1: Feynman diagram for the decay  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \chi$ , with  $\chi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ .

threshold [9].

This search is performed with the full Run I dataset collected with the LHCb detector corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $3.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ .

### 3 Selection and strategy

Depending on the strength of the mixing with the Higgs boson and its mass, the particle  $\chi$  can decay in a secondary vertex, displaced from the  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi$  decay vertex. In order to increase the sensitivity, two regions of reconstructed di-muon lifetime,  $\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)$ , are defined for each  $m(\chi)$  considered in the search: a prompt region,  $|\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)| < 3\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$ , and a displaced region,  $\tau(\mu^+\mu^-) > 3\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$ , where  $\sigma[\tau(\mu^+\mu^-)]$  is the lifetime resolution. When setting a limit on the branching fraction the two regions are combined as a joint likelihood,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{prompt} \cdot \mathcal{L}^{displaced}$ . These two regions correspond to the two possible scenarios: the former is sensitive to short lifetime dark boson, it is characterized by high reconstruction efficiency but it is highly contaminated by the irreducible SM background  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ ; the latter suffers of lower reconstruction efficiency but offers a very clear signature thanks to lower background yields.

A multivariate selection is applied to reduce the background, the uBoost algorithm [10] is employed to ensure that the performance is nearly independent of  $m(\chi)$  and  $\tau(\chi)$ . The inputs to the algorithm include  $B^0$  transverse momentum, various topological features of the decay, the muon identification quality, and isolation criteria. Only candidates with invariant mass  $m(B^0)$  within 50 MeV of the known  $B^0$  mass are selected. Then, the reconstructed  $m(B^0)$  is constrained to its known value to improve the resolution of the dimuon mass, that results to be less than 8 MeV over the entire  $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$  range, and as small as 2 MeV below 220 MeV.

The strategy described in Ref. [11] is adopted: the  $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$  distribution is scanned for an excess of  $\chi$  signal candidates over the expected background. Since all the theoretical models predict the dark boson  $\chi$  to have negligible width compared to the detector resolution, the signal window is entirely determined by the di-muon mass resolution and is defined to be  $\pm 2\sigma[m(\mu^+\mu^-)]$  around the tested mass. The step sizes in  $m(\chi)$  are  $\sigma[m(\mu^+\mu^-)]/2$ . In order to avoid experimenter bias, all aspects of the search are fixed without examining the selected  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi$  candidates.

Narrow resonances are vetoed by excluding the regions near the  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S)$  and  $\psi(3770)$  resonances. These regions are removed in both the prompt and displaced samples.




Figure 2: Distribution of  $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$  in the (black) prompt and (red) displaced regions. The shaded bands denote regions where no search is performed due to (possible) resonance contributions. The  $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S)$  and  $\psi(3770)$  peaks are suppressed to better display the search region.




Figure 3: Upper limit on the (left-axis) ratio of branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-))/\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)$ , where the  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$  decay has  $1.1 < m^2(\mu^+\mu^-) < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$  and (right-axis) on  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-))$  as a function of the dimuon mass. The limits are given at 95% confidence level. Limits are presented for three different lifetimes of the dark boson. The sparseness of the data leads to rapid fluctuations in the limits. The relative limits for  $\tau < 10 \text{ ps}$  are between  $0.005 - 0.05$  except near  $2m(\mu)$ .

## 4 Results and exclusion limits

Figure 2 shows the  $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$  distributions for the number of observed candidates in both the prompt and displaced regions. The observation is consistent with the background only hypothesis with a  $p$ -value of about 80%, therefore an upper limit on  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-))$  is set. Figure 3 shows the upper limits both on the absolute branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi(\mu^+\mu^-))$  and on the relative ratio to the normalization channel  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)$  in the  $1.1 < m^2(\mu^+\mu^-) < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$  region. Limits are set at the 95% confidence level (CL) for several values of  $\tau(\chi)$ . The limits




Figure 4: Exclusion regions at 95% CL: (left) constraints on the inflaton model of Ref. [12]; (right) constraints on the axion model of Ref. [3]. The regions excluded by the theory [12] and by the CHARM experiment [13] are also shown.

become less stringent for higher values of  $\tau(\chi)$ , as the probability of the  $\chi$  boson decaying within the LHCb’s silicon vertex detector decreases.

Figure 4 shows the interpretation of the exclusion limit in term of two benchmark models: the inflaton model of Ref. [12], which only considers  $m(\chi) < 1$  GeV, and the axion model of Ref. [3]. In the first case, constraints are placed on the mixing angle between the Higgs and inflaton fields,  $\theta$ , which exclude most of the previously allowed region. For the latter, exclusion regions are set in the limit of large ratio of Higgs-doublet vacuum expectation values,  $\tan\beta \gtrsim 3$ , for charged-Higgs masses  $m(h) = 1$  and 10 TeV. The branching fraction of the axion into hadrons varies greatly in different models, the results for two extreme cases are shown:  $\mathcal{B}(\chi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = 0$  and 0.99.

## 5 Conclusion

In summary, a search is performed for light scalar dark boson in the decay  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$  using  $pp$ -collision data collected at 7 and 8 TeV. No evidence of signal is observed, and upper limits are placed on  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\chi) \times \mathcal{B}(\chi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ . This is the most sensitive search to date over the entire accessible mass range and stringent constraints are placed on theories that predict the existence of additional scalar or axial-vector fields.

## References

- [1] R. Essig *et al.*, arXiv:1311.0029 [hep-ph].
- [2] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, JHEP **1005**, 010 (2010) [arXiv:0912.0390 [hep-ph]].
- [3] M. Freytsis, Z. Ligeti and J. Thaler, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 034001 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5355 [hep-ph]].
- [4] R. D. Peccei, Lect. Notes Phys. **741**, 3 (2008) [hep-ph/0607268].
- [5] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, (1977) 1440
- [6] Y. Nomura and J. Thaler, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 075008 (2009) [arXiv:0810.5397 [hep-ph]].
- [7] J. P. Lees *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **86**, 032012 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3933 [hep-ex]].
- [8] J.-T. Wei *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 171801 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0770 [hep-ex]].
- [9] M. J. Dolan, F. Kahlhoefer, C. McCabe and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, JHEP **1503**, 171 (2015) [JHEP **1507**, 103 (2015)] [arXiv:1412.5174 [hep-ph]].
- [10] J. Stevens and M. Williams, JINST **8**, P12013 (2013) [arXiv:1305.7248 [nucl-ex]].
- [11] M. Williams, JINST **10**, no. 06, P06002 (2015) [arXiv:1503.04767 [hep-ex]].
- [12] F. Bezrukov and D. Gorbunov, Phys. Lett. B **736**, 494 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4638 [hep-ph]].
- [13] F. Bergsma *et al.* [CHARM Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **157**, 458 (1985).