

Non-Gaussianity in String Cosmology: A Case Study

Per Berglund^{♠♥} and Guoqin Ren[♠]

[♠] *Department of Physics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA*

[♥] *PH-TH Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

E-mail: per.berglund@unh.edu, grv2@unh.edu

ABSTRACT: We study non-gaussianity effects, using the δN formalism, in a multi-field inflationary model consisting of Kähler moduli derived from type IIB string compactification in the large volume limit. The analytical work in this paper mostly follows the separable potential method developed by Vernizzi and Wands. The numerical analysis is then used in computing non-gaussianity beyond slow-roll regime. The possibility of the curvaton scenario is also discussed. We give the condition for the existence of the curvaton and calculate the non-gaussianity generated by the curvaton decay in the large volume limit.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Non-gaussian perturbations and the δN formalism	3
3. The Scalar Potential and Kähler Moduli Stabilization	4
4. The Inflaton Scenario	8
4.1 Separable Potential Method	8
4.2 Estimate of f_{NL}	10
4.3 Explicit Setups	13
5. Numerical Methods	14
5.1 The Finite Difference Method	14
5.2 Example	14
6. Curvaton Scenario	15
6.1 Curvaton evolution	15
6.2 The existence of the curvaton	15
6.3 The decay rate	17
6.4 The nonlinear parameter	19
7. Conclusion	22

1. Introduction

Since it was first proposed in the early 1980s, inflation has provided an important insight into the understanding of the very early universe [1, 2, 3]. It also affords the possibility of probing the fundamental theories that provide a microscopic explanation of inflation such as string theory. (For a review, see [4, 5].) In recent years, there have been some promising developments of models derived or inspired by string theory, such as type II flux compactifications [6, 7], where scalar fields associated to the shape and size of the internal space, or to the positions of branes, serve as candidate inflaton fields [8]. There now exists many inflationary models derived from string compactifications which correctly can account for the observational results from WMAP, such as the spectral index, n_s , and the ratio of tensor to scalar perturbations, see e.g. for a review [9].

Due to the nature of cosmological models arising from string compactifications, these inflationary models have several interesting features: (i) in general, the scalar potential is a highly non-trivial function that depends on many scalar fields and (ii) the fields are

not canonically normalized, i.e., the metric is in general neither diagonal nor field independent. These features of string theory lead to a highly coupled dynamical situation, in which, in principle, the motion of any one field impacts the evolution of the other fields. Therefore, we need to understand how each modulus and its perturbations evolve during and after inflation, including both light and heavy fields. In this paper, we extend our earlier study [10] to include the non-gaussian fluctuations in a multi-field inflationary model arising from string theory.

Gaussian fluctuations are described by the two-point function and the corresponding power spectrum. The observed primordial perturbation to date is gaussian to a good accuracy in agreement with the predictions of inflation. However, it is possible that future experiments may observe non-gaussianity in primordial perturbation. It is therefore important to study the non-linear effects in inflationary models that can give rise to such non-gaussian fluctuations.

In single field inflationary case, the non-linear parameters f_{NL} and g_{NL} , which characterize the size of non-gaussianity, can be calculated in terms of the slow-roll parameters [11][12]. The result is generally small, of the order of slow-roll parameters. In multi-field models, there are usually both heavy fields and light fields. The light fields are believed to drive inflation and heavy fields are frozen during inflation. It is often assumed that the dominate contribution to non-gaussianity comes from the inflaton. However, for an arbitrary scalar potential, it is not clear that whether the other (non-inflaton) fields have any sizable contribution to non-gaussianity. We would like to address this issue, at least for the model studied in this paper.

In the inflationary scenario in which the primordial curvature perturbation originates from the inflaton, other light fields only play a role in assisting with stabilizing the potential. In the curvaton scenario, however, the curvaton (light, non-inflaton field) may have significant contribution to the primordial perturbation if its energy density grows large enough at a later time after inflation but before it decays into radiation. We explore the possibility of a curvaton scenario and compute the amount of non-gaussianity generated by the curvaton.

We focus on a string inspired model based on the large volume scenario [13][14][15]. We study different configurations of the model with different numbers of scalar fields (moduli) and with various values of the volume of the Calabi-Yau to provide hints of the microscopic physics by connecting non-gaussianity (if observable) with the model parameters (moduli, the volume, etc).

The outline of the paper is as follows. We first review the non-gaussian perturbations and the δN formalism in Section 2. In Section 3, we introduce the scalar potential arising in the large volume scenario of type IIB string compactifications. We then apply the separable potential method to the above multi-field inflationary model in Section 4. In Section 5, a numerical analysis is carried out that extends the previous analytical study beyond slow-roll. Comparing the two methods, we find a good agreement in the regions where they overlap. Finally, in Section 6 we study under what conditions a curvaton may exist after the end of inflation in this type of model derived from string theory, and calculate the contributions to f_{NL} .

2. Non-gaussian perturbations and the δN formalism

In the following, we briefly review some general facts about non-gaussian perturbations and the δN formalism which is a powerful tool to calculate non-gaussian effects. (For more detailed discussions, see eg [11][16].)

Let us define the e-folds time

$$N = \int_{t_*}^{t_c} H dt \quad (2.1)$$

where t_* is usually chosen to be some time during inflation (the initial flat slice) and t_c is some epoch later with constant curvature perturbation (the final slice of uniform density). In multi-field theory, the primordial curvature perturbation reads [16][17][18]

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \delta N = N_i \delta \phi^i + \frac{1}{2} N_{ij} \delta \phi^i \delta \phi^j + \frac{1}{6} N_{ijk} \delta \phi^i \delta \phi^j \delta \phi^k + \dots \quad (2.2)$$

where N_i, N_{ij}, \dots are derivatives of the e-folds with respect to the fields ϕ^i . $\delta \phi^i$ are evaluated on the initial (flat) slice while the derivatives of N are evaluated on the unperturbed trajectory with respect to the unperturbed fields at Hubble crossing[19]. In the later sections, we will use $*$ to denote Hubble crossing.

The three-point correlation function is given in terms of the bispectrum $B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3)$

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}_i}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $\zeta(\mathbf{x})$. If slow-roll is satisfied, the bispectrum is completely specified by the non-linear parameter $f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ [20][22]:

$$B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{6}{5} f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3) [P_\zeta(k_1) P_\zeta(k_2) + \text{cyclic permutations}] \quad (2.4)$$

where $P_\zeta(k_i)$ is the power spectrum. Using the δN formulation, the non-linear parameter f_{NL} is given by [23][24][25]

$$\frac{6}{5} f_{NL}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{k_1^3 k_2^3 k_3^3}{k_1^3 + k_2^3 + k_3^3} \frac{B_\zeta(k_1, k_2, k_3)}{4\pi^4 P_\zeta^2} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} N_i N_j N_{ji}}{\sum_l N_l^2} + O(r) \quad (2.5)$$

where r is the tensor to scalar ratio. The correction $O(r)$ is a k_i -dependent geometric term [25][26]. In standard slow-roll inflation,

$$r \simeq 16\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{V_i V^i}{V^2} \ll 1 \quad (2.6)$$

Thus $O(r)$ is much less than unity due to slow-roll condition and observation constraints on r [27][28]. From now on we focus on the first term in (2.5) and redefine the momentum-independent non-linear parameter

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{\sum_{i,j} N_i N_j N_{ji}}{(\sum_l N_l^2)^2} \quad (2.7)$$

The four-point function has the form

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_4} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) T_\zeta(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) \quad (2.8)$$

Neglecting corrections of the order of the slow-roll parameters, the trispectrum T_ζ reads [11][20][21]

$$T_\zeta(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4) = \tau_{NL}[P_\zeta(k_1)P_\zeta(k_2)P_\zeta(k_{14}) + 11 \text{ permutations}] \\ + \frac{54}{25}g_{NL}[P_\zeta(k_2)P_\zeta(k_3)P_\zeta(k_4) + 3 \text{ permutations}]$$

where $k_{14} = |\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_4|$. Note that, unlike the bispectrum, the trispectrum depends on the directions of k_i 's. The parameters, when corrections of slow-roll order are neglected, are given by [18]

$$\tau_{NL} = \frac{N_{ij}N^{ik}N^jN_k}{(N_lN^l)^3} \quad (2.9)$$

$$g_{NL} = \frac{25}{54} \frac{N_{ijk}N^iN^jN^k}{(N_lN^l)^3} \quad (2.10)$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to (2.7) and (2.9), we get the following relation [29]

$$\tau_{NL} \geq \frac{36}{25}f_{NL}^2 \quad (2.11)$$

3. The Scalar Potential and Kähler Moduli Stabilization

In what follows we focus on a particularly inflationary model derived from string theory consisting of multiple Kähler moduli, in the large volume limit (also known as the Large Volume Scenario) [13][14].

Supergravity in a four dimensional theory with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry is completely specified by the real Kähler potential $\mathcal{K}(\varphi^i, \bar{\varphi}^i)$ and the holomorphic superpotential $W(\varphi^i)$. Focusing on the dynamics of the scalar fields relevant for inflation, the supergravity action is (we will work in the Einstein frame, and in units where $M_P^2 = 1$)

$$S_{\mathcal{N}=1} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} R - \mathcal{G}_{i\bar{j}} \partial_\mu \varphi^i \partial^\mu \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} - V(\varphi_i, \bar{\varphi}_i) \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

The scalar potential depends on the superpotential W , the Kähler potential K as well as the Kähler metric $\mathcal{G}_{i\bar{j}}$,

$$V(\phi_i, \bar{\phi}_i) = e^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\mathcal{G}^{i\bar{j}} D_i W D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - 3W\bar{W} \right) + V_{\text{uplift}} \quad (3.2)$$

$$D_i W = \partial_i W + W \partial_i \mathcal{K} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{K} \quad (3.4)$$

The derivatives ∂_i and $\partial_{\bar{i}}$ differentiate with respect to the φ_i and $\bar{\varphi}_{\bar{i}}$ dependence, respectively. By expanding the complex fields in terms of their real and imaginary part, we can relate the supergravity action above, (3.1), to the action, (3.13). The term V_{uplift} will include the effects of supersymmetry breaking arising from other sectors of the theory.

We will demonstrate our methods in the context of Type IIB string theory compactified to four dimensions on a Calabi-Yau orientifold because the scalar potential in this case is well-understood and realistic four-dimensional models can be constructed [6, 7, 13, 14, 30,

31]. After including the leading perturbative and non-perturbative corrections of string theory, the Kähler potential and superpotential are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K} &= -2 \ln \left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi g_s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2e^{\frac{3\phi}{2}}} \right) - \ln(-i(\tau - \bar{\tau})) - \ln \left(-i \int_M \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \right), \\ W &= \frac{g_s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{l_s^2} \int_M G_3 \wedge \Omega + \sum A_i e^{-a_i T_i} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

Here g_s is the string coupling, l_s is the string length, Ω is the holomorphic three-form on the Calabi-Yau manifold M , G_3 is the background field (flux) that is chosen to thread 3-cycles in M and

$$\xi = -\frac{\zeta(3) \chi(M)}{2(2\pi)^3}\tag{3.6}$$

where χ is Euler number of M . The axion-dilaton field is $\tau = C_0 + i e^{-\phi}$, and the integrals involving Ω are implicitly functions of the complex structure moduli. The fields $T_i = \tau_i + i b_i$ are the complexified Kähler moduli where τ_i is a 4-cycle volume (of the divisor $D_i \in H_4(M, \mathbb{Z})$) and b_i is its axionic partner arising ultimately from the 4-form field. Here $a_i = 2\pi/N_i$ for some integer N_i , for each field, that is determined by the dynamical origin of the exponentials in the superpotential ($N_i = 1$ for brane instanton contributions, $N_i > 1$ for gaugino condensates). Finally, \mathcal{V} is the dimensionless classical volume of the compactification manifold M (in Einstein frame, but measured in units of the string length). In terms of the Kähler class $J = \sum_i t^i D_i$ (by Poincaré duality $D_i \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$), with the t^i measuring the areas of 2-cycles, C_i ,

$$\mathcal{V} = \int_M J^3 = \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ijk} t^i t^j t^k ,\tag{3.7}$$

where κ_{ijk} are the intersection numbers of the manifold. \mathcal{V} should be understood as an implicit function of the complexified 4-cycle moduli T_k via the relation

$$\tau_i = \partial_{t_i} \mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ijk} t^j t^k .\tag{3.8}$$

There are additional perturbative corrections to \mathcal{K} in (3.5), but we have kept the terms that give the leading contributions to the scalar potential in the large \mathcal{V} limit of interest to us [32]. In particular, expanding \mathcal{K} to linear order in ξ gives a consistent approximation in inverse powers of \mathcal{V} . We have also assumed that all of the Kähler moduli T_i appear in the superpotential (see [30] for examples) and that we use a basis of 4-cycles such that the exponential terms in W take the form $\exp(-a_i T_i)$. As these exponentials arise from an instanton expansion, in order to only keep the first term as we have done, the 4-cycle volumes must be sufficiently large to ensure that $a_i T_i \gg 1$.

Finally, the form of the term V_{uplift} in (3.2) depends on the kind of supersymmetry breaking effects that arise from other sectors of the theory. We take

$$V_{\text{uplift}} = \frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{V}^2}\tag{3.9}$$

which will describe the energy of a space-filling antibrane [7], fluxes of gauge fields living on D7-branes [33], or the F-term due to a non-supersymmetric solution for the complex structure/axion-dilaton moduli [34].

It was shown in [7] that a generic choice of background fields G_3 causes all the complex structure moduli and the axion-dilaton to acquire string scale masses without breaking supersymmetry. They are then decoupled from the low-energy theory and their contributions to \mathcal{K} and W are constants for our purposes¹:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K} &= -2 \ln \left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{2}{g_s} \right) + \mathcal{K}_0, \\ W &= \frac{g_s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left(W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

where \mathcal{K}_0 (W_0) is the complex structure Kähler potential (superpotential), evaluated at the locations where the complex structure moduli have been fixed. It was shown in [13] that, when the Euler number, $\chi < 0$, for generic values of W_0 (and hence of the background fluxes G_3), the scalar potential for the Kähler moduli has a minimum where the volume \mathcal{V} of the Calabi-Yau manifold M is very large – the associated energy scale is a few orders of magnitude lower than the GUT scale. Furthermore, in these Large Volume Scenarios there is a natural hierarchy – one of the Kähler moduli is much larger than the others and dominates the volume of the manifold,

$$\tau_1 \gg \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \cdots\tag{3.11}$$

which we will use to simplify the effective potential. For our purposes these models are also attractive because the scalar potential admits an expansion in inverse powers of the large volume \mathcal{V} . This will allow us to carry out analytical calculations of inflation arising from Kähler moduli rolling towards the large volume minimum of the potential.

For transparency of the equations, we will assume that the intersection numbers k_{ijk} are such that in the basis of 4-cycles, τ_i , the volume takes the diagonal form [14]

$$\mathcal{V} = \alpha \left(\tau_1^{\frac{3}{2}} - \sum_{i=2} \lambda_i \tau_i^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) = -\alpha \sum_{i=1} \lambda_i \tau_i^{\frac{3}{2}}\tag{3.12}$$

where $\lambda_1 = -1$, and $\lambda_i, i \geq 2$ are usually positive.

With the volume taking the above form we can explicitly compute the metric on the moduli space, $\mathcal{G}_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{K}$, which is needed both for the kinetic energy $\frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \dot{\tau}_i \dot{\tau}_j$, where $h_{ij} = 2\mathcal{G}_{i\bar{j}}$, and for the scalar potential, V , appearing in the four dimensional action,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2} - \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \partial_\mu \tau_i \partial^\mu \tau_j - V(\tau_k) \right]\tag{3.13}$$

¹In the case of the F-term breaking due to the complex structure/axion-dilaton moduli [34], the contribution of the complex structure and axion-dilaton moduli to the scalar potential does depend on the volume (3.9).

By expanding in inverse powers of \mathcal{V} , keeping terms to $O(\mathcal{V}^{-2})$, we obtain

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3\alpha\lambda_i}{8(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2})\tau_i^{\frac{1}{2}}} \delta^{ij} + \frac{9\alpha^2\lambda_i\lambda_j\sqrt{\tau_i\tau_j}}{8(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2})^2} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

With the axions minimized in the potential, the effective potential then becomes [15]

$$V = \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{8(a_i A_i)^2 \sqrt{\tau_i}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_i\alpha} e^{-2a_i\tau_i} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{4a_i A_i W_0 \tau_i}{\mathcal{V}^2} e^{-a_i\tau_i} + \frac{3\xi W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3} + \frac{\gamma W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^2}, \quad (3.15)$$

where we have assumed that \mathcal{K}_0 can be chosen such that the overall scale of the potential is simplified, i.e., overall factors of g_s and 2π are not present. Here we have expanded V to $O(\mathcal{V}^{-3})$ to include the leading α' -corrections, $\frac{3\xi W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3}$, as well as the uplift term, $\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{V}^2}$. The parameters in the potential can be chosen and tuned under certain constraints [35, 36, 37, 38].

To canonically normalize the metric, we can apply the following field transformations [10]

$$\phi^1 = \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda_1(1+3\lambda_1)}{4}} \log(\tau_1) \quad (3.16)$$

$$\phi^i = \sqrt{\frac{4\lambda_i}{3\tau_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \tau_i^{\frac{3}{4}}, \quad i \geq 2 \quad (3.17)$$

by keeping terms to leading order in the expansion of inverse powers of the volume, and using that in the large volume scenario

$$\mathcal{V} \approx \alpha\tau_1^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (3.18)$$

This field redefinition results in a good approximation to the canonical metric. After canonical normalization, the equations of motion read

$$\ddot{\phi}^i + 3H\dot{\phi}^i + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.19)$$

To get successful inflation, we take the following steps (for more detail, see [10]). First, find the global minimum of the potential by

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.20)$$

Written explicitly,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathcal{V}} = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{3\alpha\lambda_i W}{4a_i A_i} \frac{1 - a_i\tau_i}{\frac{1}{4} - a_i\tau_i} \sqrt{\tau_i} e^{a_i\tau_i}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.22)$$

where (3.21) is obtained by

$$0 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau_1} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathcal{V}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \tau_1}, \quad (3.23)$$

and we apply (3.18)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \tau_i} = 0, \quad i \geq 2 \quad (3.24)$$

to get (3.22). To have a small but positive cosmological constant, we also require that

$$V_{\min} > 0 \quad (3.25)$$

In practice we want $a_i \tau_i \gg 1$ so that all higher order non-perturbative corrections of the form $e^{-m a_i \tau_i}$, with integer $m > 1$, in the scalar potential are negligible and the effective potential (3.15) becomes a valid approximation. We find that the global minimum of the potential only exist with the parameters lying in certain regions of the parameter space. Let the global minimum be

$$V_{\min}, \tau_{i\min}. \quad (3.26)$$

We have

$$V_{\min} = P \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3} \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$P = \frac{-3}{2} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\alpha \lambda_i}{a_i^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\ln \mathcal{V} - \ln C_i)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3\xi}{4} + \gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$C_i = \frac{3\alpha \lambda_i W_0}{4a_i^{\frac{3}{2}} A_i} \quad (3.29)$$

Then, for inflation to start, we displace the fields away from the global minimum along the flat direction of the potential, and find the corresponding local minimum. Denote the values of the fields at the local minimum by

$$V_{\text{ini}}, \tau_{i\text{ini}}. \quad (3.30)$$

which are the initial conditions of the model. By solving the equation of motion (3.19), we should get successful inflation in which the fields evolve slowly toward the global minimum ($\tau_{i\min}$).

4. The Inflaton Scenario

4.1 Separable Potential Method

In order to use the δN -formalism, we need to calculate the derivatives of the number of e-folds, N , with respect to the fields. For an arbitrarily shaped potential, this can be done using numerical method which will be discussed in the next section, while the analytic treatment of non-gaussianity is known for being difficult. If the potential satisfies certain criteria ([25],[39], for example), the e-folds can be obtained by analytical integration. In the model introduced in Section 3, it has been shown [40][10] that the non-inflaton (or heavy) fields are frozen before the end of inflation and only the light fields evolve during inflation. Thus, before the end of inflation, we can ignore the dependence of the potential on the heavy fields and treat the potential as a function of the light fields only.

Let us assume that we have a volume modulus, τ_1 , a heavy modulus, τ_2 , and two light moduli, τ_3 and τ_4 (as in [10]), corresponding to the canonically normalized fields ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 , (3.16)-(3.17). Furthermore, if we suppose that τ_1 and τ_2 are frozen during inflation, the potential (3.15) can be separated as two functions each depending only on one of the light fields (ϕ_3, ϕ_4):

$$V = U(\phi_3) + W(\phi_4) \quad (4.1)$$

The terms which contain the frozen fields have been absorbed into $U(\phi_3)$ and $W(\phi_4)$.

Next we will follow the *separable potential method* developed by Vernizzi and Wands [25] to calculate the derivatives of the e-folds. In the canonical frame, assuming the background fields only have time dependence, the background equations of motion read

$$\ddot{\phi}^a + 3H\dot{\phi}^a + V^{,a} = 0, \quad a = 3, 4. \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$V^{,a} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^a} = \begin{cases} U', & a = 3; \\ W', & a = 4. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

And the Einstein field equations are²

$$3H^2 = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi^a\partial_\nu\phi_a + V \quad (4.4)$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\dot{\phi}^a\partial_\nu\phi_a \quad (4.5)$$

Using the slow-roll approximation, we have

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{V}{3} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{H} \simeq -\frac{3\dot{\phi}_3}{U'} \simeq -\frac{3\dot{\phi}_4}{W'} \quad (4.7)$$

By integrating (4.7) we then get

$$\int \frac{d\phi_3}{U'} = \int \frac{d\phi_4}{W'} + C \quad (4.8)$$

The number of e-folds becomes

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \int_*^c \frac{H^2}{H} dt \\ &= \int_*^c \frac{U}{3H} dt + \int_*^c \frac{W}{3H} dt \\ &= -\int_*^c \frac{U}{U'} d\phi_3 - \int_*^c \frac{W}{W'} d\phi_4 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Here $*$ and c denote the time at Hubble exit and some time after Hubble exit, respectively. We usually choose the latter as the time, t_c , for some constant Hubble parameter (uniform

²Again we set the planck mass $M_{pl}^2 = 1$.

energy density hypersurface), H_c . Then we let t_* vary and compute the derivative of N with respect to the initial fields at t_* . The results, derived by Vernizzi and David [25], are, with $N_{i*} = \partial N / \partial \phi^i|_{t=t_*}$,

$$N_{3*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon_{3*}}} \frac{U_* + Z_c}{V_*}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$N_{4*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon_{4*}}} \frac{W_* - Z_c}{V_*}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$N_{33*} = 1 - \frac{\eta_{3*}}{2\epsilon_{3*}} \frac{U_* + Z_c}{V_*} + \frac{1}{V_* \sqrt{2\epsilon_{3*}}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{3*}}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$N_{44*} = 1 - \frac{\eta_{4*}}{2\epsilon_{4*}} \frac{W_* - Z_c}{V_*} - \frac{1}{V_* \sqrt{2\epsilon_{4*}}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{4*}}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$N_{34*} = \frac{1}{V_* \sqrt{2\epsilon_{3*}}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{4*}} = -\frac{1}{V_* \sqrt{2\epsilon_{4*}}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{3*}}. \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\epsilon_a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V_{,a}}{V} \right)^2, \quad \eta_a = \frac{V_{,aa}}{V}, \quad Z = \frac{W\epsilon_3 - U\epsilon_4}{\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\epsilon_{3*}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{3*}} = -\sqrt{\epsilon_{4*}} \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{4*}} = -\sqrt{2} V_* \frac{V_c^2}{V_*^2} \frac{\epsilon_{3c}\epsilon_{4c}}{\epsilon_{3c} + \epsilon_{4c}} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon_{4c}\eta_{3c} + \epsilon_{3c}\eta_{4c}}{(\epsilon_{3c} + \epsilon_{4c})^2} \right) \quad (4.16)$$

Note that this method relies on the slow-roll approximation (4.6). It requires that the final slice at t_c must lie within the slow-roll regime. To calculate the amount of non-gaussianity generated near and after the end of inflation, one needs to find an alternative method valid beyond slow-roll. For example, the authors of [39] proposed an analytic method, valid for certain classes of inflation models with separable Hubble functions, which can be used to study non-gaussianity after inflation ends. Although their analysis applies to certain types of potentials with exponential terms, the detailed conditions are not satisfied for the scalar potential (3.15). In section 5, we present a numerical analysis valid beyond the slow-roll regime.

4.2 Estimate of f_{NL}

Two light fields case: In the model discussed in Section 3, the two light fields (ϕ_3, ϕ_4) serve as candidates for inflaton. We now make a rough estimate of f_{NL} in this case. Let us assume that ϕ^4 is the assisting field and thus lighter than the inflaton ϕ^3 . During slow-roll we would then expect that

$$\epsilon_4 < \epsilon_3 \ll 1, |\eta_4| < |\eta_3| \ll 1, |\eta_i| \gg \epsilon_i, \quad i = 3, 4 \quad (4.17)$$

since it follows that $|V_{,ii}| \gg V_i$ from the flatness of the potential (3.15) and the fact that both fields are light, $|\eta_i| = |V_{,ii}/V| \ll 1$. Let

$$|W(\phi^4)| = p|U(\phi^3)|, \quad p > 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\epsilon_4 = s\epsilon_3, \quad 0 < s < 1, \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$|\eta_4| = q|\eta_3|, \quad 0 < q < 1. \quad (4.20)$$

Although, in general, p, s, q are functions of time, they are not expected to change dramatically in the slow-roll regime. In particular, we can treat p as a constant due to the flatness of the potential. Furthermore, while the actual value of p depends on the specific values chosen for the parameters in $W(\phi^4)$ and $U(\phi^3)$, respectively, one finds that $p \sim O(1)$ in a typical model. Using the separable potential method introduced in the previous section, we get

$$N_{3*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon_{3*}}} \frac{p}{1+s_c}, \quad N_{4*} = \frac{s_c}{\sqrt{s_*}} N_{3*} \quad (4.21)$$

$$N_{33*} \approx 1 - \frac{p}{1+s_c} \frac{\eta_{3*}}{2\epsilon_{3*}} + \frac{s_c(s_c+q_c)}{(1+s_c)^3} \frac{\eta_{3c}}{\epsilon_{3*}} \quad (4.22)$$

$$N_{44*} \approx 1 - \frac{s_c q_*}{s_*} \frac{p}{1+s_c} \frac{\eta_{3*}}{2\epsilon_{3*}} + \frac{s_c}{s_*} \frac{s_c(s_c+q_c)}{(1+s_c)^3} \frac{\eta_{3c}}{\epsilon_{3*}} \quad (4.23)$$

$$N_{34*} \approx -\frac{1}{\sqrt{s_*}} \frac{s_c(s_c+q_c)}{(1+s_c)^3} \frac{\eta_{3c}}{\epsilon_{3*}} \quad (4.24)$$

The non-linear parameter f_{NL} , defined by (2.7) and evaluated at t_* for a fixed t_c , then becomes

$$f_{NL} \approx x\eta_{3*} + y\epsilon_{3*} \quad (4.25)$$

where the coefficients are

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{s_c^2}{s_*}\right)^{-2} \left[\frac{s_c(s_c+q_c)}{p^2(1+s_c)} \left(1 - \frac{s_c}{s_*}\right)^2 \frac{\eta_{3c}}{\eta_{3*}} - \frac{1+s_c}{p} \left(1 + q_* \frac{s_c^3}{s_*^2}\right) \right],$$

$$y = \frac{2}{p^2} \frac{(1+s_c)^2}{1 + \frac{s_c^2}{s_*}}$$

both of which are of $O(\frac{1}{p^2})$ because of the slowly changing s and q .

Mixed case: Consider a model in which there is one heavy fields, ϕ_2 , and one light field ϕ_3 (inflaton) in addition to the volume field, ϕ_1 . As usual, ϕ_1 is frozen during inflation. But we drop the constraint that the heavy field ϕ_2 has been stabilized. We want to see how much the heavy field contributes to the non-gaussianity. The potential (3.15) can be separated as

$$V = \tilde{U}(\phi_2) + \tilde{W}(\phi_3) \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\tilde{U}(\phi_2) = \frac{8(a_2 A_2)^2 \sqrt{\tau_2}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_2\alpha} e^{-2a_2\tau_2} - \frac{4a_2 A_2 W_0 \tau_2}{\mathcal{V}^2} e^{-a_2\tau_2} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\tilde{W}(\phi_3) = \frac{8(a_3 A_3)^2 \sqrt{\tau_3}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_3\alpha} e^{-2a_3\tau_3} - \frac{4a_3 A_3 W_0 \tau_3}{\mathcal{V}^2} e^{-a_3\tau_3} \quad (4.28)$$

First, since ϕ_2 is heavy and ϕ_3 is light,

$$\eta_2 = \frac{V_{,22}}{V} \sim O(1), \eta_3 = \frac{V_{,33}}{V} \ll 1; \quad \epsilon_2 \ll \epsilon_3 \quad (4.29)$$

Because the inflaton ϕ_3 is usually displaced far away along the flat direction of the potential, we would expect that

$$\tilde{W}(\phi_3) \ll \tilde{U}(\phi_2) \quad (4.30)$$

In addition, we assume that during slow-roll

$$\epsilon_c \sim \epsilon_*, \quad \eta_c \sim \eta_* \quad (4.31)$$

We then have from (4.10) and (4.11)

$$N_{2*} \sim \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2*}}}{\epsilon_{3*}}, \quad N_{3*} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{3*}}} \quad (4.32)$$

Since ϕ_2 is heavy and ϕ_3 is light it follows from (4.29) that

$$N_{3*} \gg N_{2*} \quad (4.33)$$

and (4.16) can be written

$$\frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{2*}} \sim V \frac{\epsilon_{2c}}{\epsilon_{3c}} \frac{\eta_{2c}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2*}}}, \quad \frac{\partial Z_c}{\partial \phi_{3*}} \sim V \frac{\epsilon_{2c}}{\epsilon_{3c}} \frac{\eta_{2c}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{3*}}} \quad (4.34)$$

Using these results we can estimate the expressions for N_{ij} , and (4.12-4.14) becomes

$$N_{22*} \sim O(1), \quad N_{33} \sim -\frac{\eta_{3*}}{\epsilon_{3*}}, \quad N_{23*} \sim \frac{\epsilon_{2c}}{\epsilon_{3c}} \frac{\eta_{2c}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2*}\epsilon_{3*}}} \quad (4.35)$$

from which it follows that

$$N_{33*} \sim \frac{\eta_{3*}}{\eta_{2c}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{3*}}{\epsilon_{2c}}} N_{23*} \quad (4.36)$$

By assumption, (4.29), the slow-roll factors satisfy

$$\frac{\epsilon_{3c}}{\epsilon_{2c}} \gg 1, \quad \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{3*}}{\epsilon_{2*}}} \gg 1, \quad \frac{\eta_{3c}}{\epsilon_{2c}} \gg 1, \quad \frac{1}{\eta_{2c}} \sim O(1), \quad (4.37)$$

Using (4.37) in (4.36) we then arrive at the following hierarchy among the N_{ij*}

$$N_{33*} \gg N_{23*} \gg N_{22*} \quad (4.38)$$

Therefore,

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{6} \frac{\sum_{i,j=2}^3 N_i N_j N_{ji}}{(\sum_l N_l^2)^2} \approx \frac{5}{6} \frac{N_{33}}{N_3^2} \sim O(\eta_3) \ll 1 \quad (4.39)$$

with the dominant contribution coming from the light field ϕ_3 .

In summary, both examples discussed in this section yield $f_{NL} \sim O(\eta) \ll 1$ (where η is the slow-roll parameters for the inflaton). Since $|\eta| \gg \epsilon$ for the inflaton, the dominant contribution to f_{NL} is indeed the momentum independent term in (2.5). The result is similar to that of the standard slow-roll inflation, see for example [11].

4.3 Explicit Setups

We now perform an explicit calculation of f_{NL} given by (2.7). To evaluate N_i and N_{ij} in (4.10-4.16), we need to compute Z at fixed t_c . This is done by solving the equations of motion for the background fields numerically. Below we present to examples corresponding to two different values for the volume of the Calabi-Yau.

Example 1 Let us choose the parameters in the potential (3.15) as

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{2}}, a_2 = \frac{2\pi}{300}, a_3 = \frac{2\pi}{100}, a_4 = \frac{2\pi}{100}, A_2 = 0.2, A_3 = 0.001, A_4 = 0.001.$$

$$\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 0.1, \lambda_3 = 0.005, \lambda_4 = 0.005, W = 500, \xi = 40, \gamma = 9.75 \times 10^{-6}.$$

Choose the initial conditions to be

$$\tau_1(0) = 76212.1, \tau_2(0) = 246.99, \tau_3(0) = 472.42, \tau_4(0) = 491.54,$$

$$\dot{\tau}_1(0) = \dot{\tau}_2(0) = 0, \dot{\tau}_3(0) = -1.72 \times 10^{-19}, \dot{\tau}_4(0) = -1.5 \times 10^{-19}.$$

The volume in this setup is $\mathcal{V} \sim 10^6$ which is within a reasonable range $10^3 - 10^8$ [41]. This will give 60 e-folds before the end of inflation. The nonlinear coefficients are ³

$N(H_c)$	$N=20$	$N=30$	$N=40$	$N=50$	$N=55$	$N=59$
f_{NL}	0.0146	0.0147	0.0147	0.0147	0.0147	0.0147
τ_{NL}	0.000308	0.000312	0.000312	0.000311	0.000311	0.000311

Example 2 As a second example, we choose the parameters such that the volume is relatively small, $\mathcal{V} \sim 10^3$.

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{2}}, a_2 = \frac{2\pi}{80}, a_3 = \frac{2\pi}{80}, A_2 = 0.04, A_3 = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}, A_4 = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}.$$

$$\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 0.01, \lambda_4 = 0.01, W = 1, \xi = 35, \gamma = 2.65 \times 10^{-3}.$$

$$\tau_1(0) = 1781.356, \tau_2(0) = 51.039, \tau_3(0) = 282, \tau_4(0) = 285,$$

$$\dot{\tau}_1(0) = \dot{\tau}_2(0) = 0, \dot{\tau}_3(0) = -1.40948 \times 10^{-9}, \dot{\tau}_4(0) = -1.21344 \times 10^{-9}.$$

The inflation lasts for $N = 62.5$ e-folds.

One can check that the above explicit results are consistent with the conclusion in section (4.2), that $f_{NL} \sim O(\eta)$.

³The different values of N are computed at correspondingly different, constant, values of the Hubble parameter, H_c .

$N(H_c)$	$N=20$	$N=30$	$N=40$	$N=50$	$N=55$	$N=59$
f_{NL}	0.0171	0.0167	0.0148	0.0108	0.0121	0.0170
τ_{NL}	0.000423	0.000429	0.000465	0.000464	0.000333	0.000423

5. Numerical Methods

5.1 The Finite Difference Method

Numerically it is straightforward to solve the equations of motion for the background fields without applying slow-roll approximation [10]. The advantage of the numerical method is that we do not need to rely on slow-roll approximation (although we still need to assume slow-roll at Hubble exit [25]) and no assumption about the shape of the potential is needed.

We will use the *finite difference method* [42] to calculate the derivatives of $N = N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n; H_c)$ ⁴ up to the second order beyond the slow-roll regime.

First Order derivative The finite difference method gives

$$N_i = \frac{1}{2h_i} [N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i + h_i, \dots, \phi_n) - N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i - h_i, \dots, \phi_n)] + O(h^2) \quad (5.1)$$

Second Order derivative When $i = j$,

$$N_{ii} = \frac{1}{h_i^2} [N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i + h_i, \dots, \phi_n) - 2N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) + N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i - h_i, \dots, \phi_n)] + O(h^2) \quad (5.2)$$

and when $i \neq j$,

$$N_{ij} = \frac{1}{4h_i h_j} [N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i + h_i, \dots, \phi_j + h_j, \dots, \phi_n) - N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i + h_i, \dots, \phi_j - h_j, \dots, \phi_n) - N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i - h_i, \dots, \phi_j + h_j, \dots, \phi_n) + N(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i - h_i, \dots, \phi_j - h_j, \dots, \phi_n)] + O(h^2) \quad (5.3)$$

Once the N_i 's and N_{ij} 's are obtained, we are ready to calculate the non-gaussianity using the δN -formalism discussed previously⁵.

5.2 Example

We numerically solve the background equations of motion for the model introduced in Section 3. Then we use the δN formalism to calculate the non-linear parameters f_{NL} and τ_{NL} . The parameters in the potential (3.15) and initial conditions are chosen the same as in Section 4.3, Example 1.

⁴Here ϕ_i are understood to be the field values at the Hubble exit.

⁵We do not compute the third order derivative of N , and thus g_{NL} , since the term containing it is proportional to $O(h^3)$ which is very small and the error bars can be relatively large.

$N(H_c)$	$N=20$	$N=30$	$N=40$	$N=50$	$N=55$	$N=59$	$N=60.4$
f_{NL}	0.00874	0.0125	0.0142	0.0143	0.0143	0.0143	0.0143
τ_{NL}	0.000300	0.000274	0.000292	0.000295	0.000296	0.000362	0.000346

These are very close to the results obtained by the analytical method in Section 4.3. Remarkably, notice that the values of the nonlinear parameters does not change much near ($N = 59$) and after ($N = 60.4$) the end of inflation when slow-roll condition breaks down. It is reasonable to suspect that the non-gaussianity evolves very slowly through inflation and even preheating era. In practice, we may just use the separable potential method to compute non-gaussianity under slow-roll condition and use the result as an approximation to those in regimes beyond slow-roll.

6. Curvaton Scenario

So far we have only considered the inflationary scenario in which we assume that the non-gaussianity is generated by the inflaton. However, it is necessary to investigate the possibility of a curvaton scenario which does not affect the dynamics during inflation but may play a major role in the oscillation stage.

6.1 Curvaton evolution

In a multi-field inflationary model, there will in general be several light fields, with one of them, called the inflaton, ϕ , dominating the dynamics of inflation. Other light fields, on the other hand, have very little effect during inflation and are usually neglected. However, under certain circumstances, a light field other than the inflaton may be identified as the curvaton, σ , which sometimes generates significant non-gaussianity after the end of inflation [43][44].

After the end of inflation, the inflaton quickly starts to oscillate about its potential minimum. It then decays into radiation (photon) when its decay rate $\Gamma_\phi \simeq H$, where the decay rate Γ_ϕ can be calculated once the coupled Lagrangian is given. During the oscillation process, if $\Gamma_\phi > \Gamma_\sigma$, the inflaton will decay first, leaving the curvaton as the only light field⁶. Right after the inflaton decays into radiation, the curvaton energy density is still subdominant. However, the massless radiation decreases faster, $\sim \frac{1}{a^4}$, than the massive particles associated with the curvaton, $\sim \frac{1}{a^3}$, as the universe expands. Thus the relative energy density of the non-relativistic curvaton may increase until it decays into radiation, at which point it may even dominate the total energy density.

6.2 The existence of the curvaton

We now turn to address the question whether the curvaton scenario can occur in the class of models constructed in section 3. For simplicity, we assume that during inflation all the

⁶We assume that there are no other light fields, such as those associated with cold dark matter, etc.

fields, except ϕ_1 and ϕ_n , stay close to their VEVs and are thus heavy. We can write the potential as

$$V \sim V_0 + V_1 + V_n \quad (6.1)$$

where V_1 and V_n are potentials for ϕ_1 and ϕ_n , and V_0 is the (almost) constant part of the potential due to the (almost) frozen ϕ_i , with $1 < i < n$.

If the curvaton exists, its mass must be less than the Hubble parameter. Thus the quadratic potential V_1 should be small compare to V_0 . The inflaton ϕ_n is displaced far away from its VEV, and its potential is suppressed by orders of $\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}$

$$V_n = \frac{8(a_n A_n)^2 \sqrt{\tau_n}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_n \alpha} e^{-2a_n \tau_n} - \frac{4a_n A_n W_0 \tau_n}{\mathcal{V}^2} e^{-a_n \tau_n} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{3+\beta}}, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (6.2)$$

negligible if compared to V_0 . Here β depends on how far away ϕ_n has been displaced from its minimum.

As a result, (6.1) is dominated by V_0

$$V \simeq V_0 = P_0 \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3} \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$P_0 = -\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \alpha \lambda_i \langle \tau_i \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3}{4} \xi + \gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\langle \tau_i \rangle \simeq a_i^{-1} (\ln \mathcal{V} - \ln C_i), \quad C_i = \frac{3\alpha \lambda_i W_0}{4a_i^{\frac{3}{2}} A_i} \quad (6.5)$$

where $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ is the value of the i th moduli at its minimum and the uplifting parameter $\gamma \mathcal{V} \sim O(1)$, see Section 3.

Near the potential minimum, the masses of the canonicalized fields, ϕ_1 and $\phi_i, i \geq 2$, given by (3.16) and (3.17) are

$$m_1^2 = Q_1 \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$m_i^2 = Q_i \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^2}, \quad i \geq 2. \quad (6.7)$$

where the coefficients Q_i are given by

$$Q_1 = -\frac{63}{4} \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha \lambda_i \langle \tau_i \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{81}{8} \xi + 6\gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (6.8)$$

$$Q_i = -\frac{5}{4} + 4a_i^{-1} \langle \tau_i \rangle + 4a_i^{-2} \langle \tau_i \rangle^2 \quad (6.9)$$

Note that the mass of the inflaton, ϕ_n , after inflation is $O(\mathcal{V}^{-2})$ while during inflation the mass goes like $O(\mathcal{V}^{-2-\beta})$

As expected, the fields $\phi_i, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$ are heavier than the Hubble parameter in the large volume limit

$$m_i^2 \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2} > H^2 \simeq \frac{1}{3}V_0 \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^3} \quad (6.10)$$

by (3.27).

Since the field ϕ_1 is our candidate for the curvaton field, it should be lighter than the Hubble parameter, i.e.,

$$0 < Q_1 < \frac{1}{3}P_0, \quad (6.11)$$

More explicitly,

$$\frac{61}{4} \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha \lambda_i \langle \tau_i \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \lambda_n \langle \tau_n \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} > \frac{79}{8} \xi + \frac{17}{3} \gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (6.12)$$

$$\frac{63}{4} \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha \lambda_i \langle \tau_i \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} < \frac{81}{8} \xi + 6 \gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (6.13)$$

From (6.12) and (6.13) it then follows that

$$\alpha \lambda_n \langle \tau_n \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} > \frac{1}{7} \xi - \frac{20}{9} \gamma \mathcal{V} \quad (6.14)$$

In the simplest setup where all the fields $\phi_i, i \geq 2$ are identical in parameters (λ_i, a_i , etc), we get from (6.12) and (6.13)

$$n < 1.55 \quad (6.15)$$

which is not possible since the integer n has to be at least 2. Hence a different setup than the simplest one is needed to satisfy the curvaton condition.

Equations (6.12) and (6.13) are the *necessary* conditions for the existence of the curvaton scenario. They are very restrictive, however, as shown by the simple example above, and some fine tuning is required to satisfy (6.12) and (6.13).

6.3 The decay rate

It has been shown that there exists a Lagrangian arising from D7-branes wrapping a small four-cycle, whose complexified volume is the modulus τ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{\lambda_\tau}{4M_{Pl}} \tau F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (6.16)$$

where λ_τ is the coupling of τ to the gauge field (photon) [45].

The other parts of the Lagrangian can be obtained by quadratic expansion around the potential minimum. By canonically normalizing the kinetic terms and diagonalizing the mass matrix terms

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -V_{\min} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \psi_i \partial^\mu \psi^i - \frac{1}{2} m_i^2 \psi_i \psi^i \quad (6.17)$$

where ψ_i are the canonically normalized fields and also eigenfunctions of the mass matrix.

In what follows, we consider a model consisting of multiple moduli: $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$, where τ_1 is the large four-cycle and all other moduli are small. Typically, most of the small cycles

are close to their vevs and thus are heavy during inflation. Only the inflaton is displaced far from its vev. Let the inflaton be τ_n . So the relevant moduli here will be τ_1 and τ_n and other moduli play the role of stabilizing the potential. Starting from the Lagrangian in (3.13), it is possible to simultaneously diagonalize the kinetic terms and the mass matrix terms under the assumption that the mass matrix is independent of the fields, which is a good approximation close to the minimum of the potential. For simplicity, let us first diagonalize the kinetic terms using the field transformations (3.16) and (3.17). The Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -V_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \hat{\phi}_1 \partial^\mu \hat{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \hat{\phi}_n \partial^\mu \hat{\phi}_n - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2 \hat{\phi}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_n^2 \hat{\phi}_n^2 - m_{1n}^2 \hat{\phi}_1 \hat{\phi}_n$$

where

$$m_{1n}^2 = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi_1 \partial \phi_n} = Q_{1,n} \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \quad (6.18)$$

$$Q_{1,n} \simeq -3\sqrt{2\alpha\lambda_n} a_n \langle \tau_n \rangle^{\frac{7}{4}}, \quad (6.19)$$

and the effective fields $\hat{\phi}_i = \phi_i - \langle \phi_i \rangle$ represent the oscillation amplitude of the field about its potential minimum.

From now on we omit the hat over ϕ . Following [46], we calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the mass matrix

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & m_{1n}^2 \\ m_{1n}^2 & m_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.20)$$

$$M^2 v_i = e_i v_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (6.21)$$

where the eigenvectors v_i are normalized such that $v_i^T v_j = \delta_{ij}$.

The transformation takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \psi_1 + \begin{pmatrix} v_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \psi_2 \quad (6.22)$$

In the large volume limit, we find that

$$\phi_1 \simeq \psi_1 + O\left(\mathcal{V}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \psi_2 \simeq \psi_1 \quad (6.23)$$

$$\phi_2 \simeq O\left(\mathcal{V}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \psi_1 + \psi_2 \simeq \psi_2 \quad (6.24)$$

which means that we can approximate the Lagrangian by

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \simeq -V_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi_n \partial^\mu \phi_n - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2 \phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_n^2 \phi_n^2 \quad (6.25)$$

Similarly, we can rewrite the Lagrangian for the gauge sector [45]

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{k}{4M_{Pl}} \tau F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{k}{4M_{Pl}} (\hat{\tau}_n + \langle \tau_n \rangle) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \quad (6.26)$$

where k is a normalization factor. We set $\tau = \tau_n$ since the D7 branes only wrap the small four-cycle τ_n [41]. In terms of the (approximately) canonically normalized fields ϕ_i , the gauge field (radiation) Lagrangian (6.26) takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{\phi_i}}{4M_{Pl}} \phi_i F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \quad (6.27)$$

which corresponds to

$$k = \langle \tau_n \rangle^{-1}, \quad (6.28)$$

$$\lambda_{\phi_1} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}, \quad \lambda_{\phi_n} = \left(\frac{3\mathcal{V}}{4\alpha\lambda_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \tau_n \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad \lambda_{\phi_i} \approx 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (6.29)$$

The complete Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -V_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_1\partial^\mu\phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_n\partial^\mu\phi_n - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2\phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_n^2\phi_n^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda_{\phi_1}}{4M_{Pl}}\phi_1F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda_{\phi_n}}{4M_{Pl}}\phi_nF_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

From the Lagrangian (6.30), it is straightforward to get the decay rates

$$\Gamma_{\phi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{\lambda_\phi^2 m_\phi^3}{64\pi M_{Pl}^2} \quad (6.31)$$

i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{\phi_1 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{3W_0^3}{128\pi M_{Pl}^2} Q_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{\frac{9}{2}}} \quad (6.32)$$

$$\Gamma_{\phi_n \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{3W_0^3}{256\pi M_p^2 \alpha \lambda_n} \left(\frac{Q_n}{\langle \tau_n \rangle} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2} \quad (6.33)$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma_1 \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{\frac{9}{2}}} \ll \Gamma_n \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^2} \quad (6.34)$$

This indicates that the curvaton ϕ_1 decay indeed occurs some time after decay of the inflaton. The amount of non-gaussianity generated by the curvaton is determined by its relative energy at the time of decay, which will be shown in the next section.

6.4 The nonlinear parameter

The curvaton starts to decay when the Hubble parameter drops below the decay rate of the curvaton ⁷

$$H \sim \Gamma_{\sigma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} \quad (6.35)$$

⁷In what follows, the curvaton in our scenario, ϕ_1 , is relabeled σ to conform with previous work on curvatons in the literature.

Using the sudden decay approximation (assuming the decay happens instantaneously), the nonlinear parameter of the curvaton perturbation can be shown to be [24][47]

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4r_{\text{dec}}} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{5r_{\text{dec}}}{6} \quad (6.36)$$

where the dimensionless ratio

$$r_{\text{dec}} = \frac{3\rho_{\sigma\text{dec}}}{3\rho_{\sigma\text{dec}} + 4\rho_{\gamma\text{dec}}} \quad (6.37)$$

and $\rho_{\sigma\text{dec}}$ and $\rho_{\gamma\text{dec}}$ are the energy density for the curvaton and radiation at the time when the curvaton decays, respectively.

The function g characterizes the dependence of the curvaton, $\sigma(= \phi_1)$, at the beginning of its oscillation, on its value at Hubble crossing, σ_* , i.e., $\sigma = g(\sigma_*)$. Assuming the absence of the nonlinear evolution of the curvaton, we have $g'' = 0$ and

$$f_{NL} = \frac{5}{4r_{\text{dec}}} - \frac{5}{3} - \frac{5r_{\text{dec}}}{6} \quad (6.38)$$

If the curvaton energy density dominates the total energy density when it decays, the corresponding nonlinear parameter is

$$f_{NL} \sim -\frac{5}{4} \quad (6.39)$$

On the other hand, if $r_{\text{dec}} \ll 1$, then $f_{NL} \gg 1$. Note that if $r_{\text{dec}} \approx 0.58$, $f_{NL} \approx 0$.

The initial energy density of the curvaton σ , when it begins to oscillate, is

$$\rho_{\sigma} \simeq \frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^2 \sigma^2 \quad (6.40)$$

where σ is the oscillation amplitude of the curvaton. To estimate its value, we use the arguments similar to [41][48]. Analogous to the Hawking radiation in black holes, the quantum fluctuation $\delta\sigma$ of the light field σ during inflation in de Sitter space has the power spectrum [49]

$$P_{\delta\sigma} = \langle |\delta\sigma|^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{H_{\star}}{2\pi} \right)^2 = T_H^2 \quad (6.41)$$

where T_H is the Hawking temperature and the label \star denotes the Hubble exit; and

$$H_{\star}^2 \simeq \frac{1}{3} P_0 \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3} \quad (6.42)$$

by (6.3). It indicates that the amplitude of quantum fluctuation

$$\delta\sigma \sim T_H = \frac{H_{\star}}{2\pi} \quad (6.43)$$

The amount of quantum fluctuation is comparable to the classical (slow roll) motion when

$$\delta\sigma \sim \dot{\sigma} \delta t_{\star} \sim \frac{V_{,\sigma}}{H_{\star}} H_{\star}^{-1} \quad (6.44)$$

where the slow-roll condition of the light field σ has been used, and $\delta t_\star = H_\star^{-1}$ is the change in time during one e-fold. We view the onset of the quantum regime as the time when the oscillation takes place. The typical (initial) value of σ constraint by the quantum fluctuations thus satisfies the condition

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma} \simeq H_\star^3, \quad (6.45)$$

Since the potential is quadratic under assumption, the value of σ reads

$$\sigma \simeq \frac{V_\star^{\frac{3}{2}}}{m_\sigma^2} \quad (6.46)$$

where $V_\star = V_0 \simeq 3H_\star^2$.

The initial ratio between the curvaton energy density and the total energy density is

$$\Omega_{\text{in}} = \frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \sim \frac{\frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2}{3H_{\text{in}}^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2} = \frac{V_\star^3}{6m_\sigma^4} \quad (6.47)$$

where

$$H_{\text{in}} = m_\sigma \quad (6.48)$$

and we set $M_{\text{pl}} = 1$ as usual.

Since

$$m_\sigma^2 = m_1^2 = Q_1 \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^3}, \quad (6.49)$$

we have

$$\Omega_{\text{in}} = \frac{P_0^3 W_0^2}{6Q_1^2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^3} \quad (6.50)$$

Now assume that the oscillation stage only lasts for a few e-folds ($\Delta N = \int_{\text{in}}^{\text{dec}} H dt$). We should have $\Omega_{\text{in}} \ll e^{-\Delta N} < 1$. Then, under the sudden decay approximation, the ratio r_{dec} of Eq. (6.37) can be related to Ω_{in} by [50]

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\text{dec}} &\simeq \frac{3}{4} \Omega_{\text{in}} (1 - \Omega_{\text{in}})^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\frac{H_{\text{in}}}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\simeq \frac{3}{4} \Omega_{\text{in}} \left(\frac{m_\sigma}{\Gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\simeq \frac{\sqrt{6\pi}}{3} \frac{P_0^3 W_0^{\frac{5}{2}}}{Q_1^{\frac{5}{2}}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.51)$$

In terms of H_\star ,

$$r_{\text{dec}} \sim W_0^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{H_\star}{M_{\text{pl}}} \quad (6.52)$$

where we temporarily restore the Planck mass. Notice that the Hubble parameter during inflation is generally much smaller than the Planck mass. Given the fact that W_0 should not be very large, the ratio r_{dec} can be quite small. By (6.38), this will give rise to a large positive $f_{\text{NL}} > 1$, see also [41].

7. Conclusion

In the first half of this paper, we discussed the inflaton scenario. Both the analytical method (separable potential) and the numerical method (finite difference) have been used to calculate the nonlinear parameters via the δN formalism. These two methods agree with each other very well in the string inflation model introduced in Section 3. We conclude that, although the analytical method is only valid under slow-roll, it can be a good estimate for regimes beyond slow-roll. The nonlinear parameters we get are very small which is typical for slow-roll inflationary models. The results do not vary much for different volume sizes. Instead, as shown in Section 4.2, they mostly depend on the slow-roll parameters. The amount of non-gaussianity ($f_{NL} \sim 0.01$) are unlikely to be detected by the major experiments that will be carried out [51][52]. However, a certain type of cosmological probe has been proposed [53], by which this amount of non-gaussianity is reachable.

After discussing the inflaton scenario, we present the conditions for existence of the curvaton scenario. To satisfy those very restrictive conditions, the parameters need to be fine tuned appropriately. The non-gaussianity in the curvaton scenario is given in terms of the parameters in the potential and the Calabi-Yau volume. A rough estimate shows that the non-gaussianity can be quite large for a typical setup. These large non-gaussianity effects in curvaton scenarios have also recently been computed in closely related type IIB flux compactifications [41].

Acknowledgments

We thank C. Byrnes and L. Verde for useful discussions. P.B. would also like to thank the Theory Division at CERN for hospitality during this project. This work has been carried out with support from the NSF CAREER grant PHY-0645686. P.B. acknowledges additional support from the University of New Hampshire through its Faculty Scholars Award Program.

References

- [1] A. H. Guth, “The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution To The Horizon And Flatness Problems,” *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [2] A. D. Linde, “A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution Of The Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy And Primordial Monopole Problems,” *Phys. Lett. B* **108**, 389 (1982).
- [3] A. J. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, “Cosmology For Grand Unified Theories With Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1220 (1982).
- [4] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, “Particle physics models of inflation and the cosmological density perturbation,” *Phys. Rept.* **314**, 1 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807278].
- [5] D. Baumann, “TASI Lectures on Inflation,” [arXiv:0907.5424[hep-th]].
- [6] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, “Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0105097].

- [7] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, “De Sitter vacua in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 046005 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301240].
- [8] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde *et al.*, “Towards inflation in string theory,” *JCAP* **0310**, 013 (2003). [hep-th/0308055].
- [9] D. Baumann, L. McAllister, “Advances in Inflation in String Theory,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **59**, 67-94 (2009). [arXiv:0901.0265 [hep-th]].
- [10] Per Berglund, Guoqin Ren, “Multi-field inflation from string theory,” [arXiv:0912.1397].
- [11] Christian T. Byrnes, Misao Sasaki, David Wands, “Primordial trispectrum from inflation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 123519 (2006), [arXiv:astro-ph/0611075].
- [12] David Seery, James E. Lidsey, Martin S. Sloth, “The inflationary trispectrum,” *JCAP* 0701:027,2007, [arXiv:astro-ph/0610210v2].
- [13] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, “Systematics of Moduli Stabilisation in Calabi-Yau Flux Compactifications,” *JHEP* **0503**, 007 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502058].
- [14] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, “Large-volume flux compactifications: Moduli spectrum and D3/D7 soft supersymmetry breaking,” *JHEP* **0508**, 007 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505076].
- [15] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, “Kaehler moduli inflation,” *JHEP* **0601**, 146 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0509012].
- [16] Christian T. Byrnes, Kazuya Koyama, Misao Sasaki, David Wands, “Diagrammatic approach to non-Gaussianity from inflation,” *JCAP* 0711:027,2007 [arXiv:0705.4096].
- [17] Diana Battefeld, Thorsten Battefeld, “On Non-Gaussianities in Multi-Field Inflation (N fields): Bi- and Tri-spectra beyond Slow-Roll,” *JCAP* 0911:010,2009, [arXiv:0908.4269].
- [18] David Langlois, “Lectures on inflation and cosmological perturbations,” [arXiv:1001.5259].
- [19] Misao Sasaki, Ewan D. Stewart, LANCASTER_TH/9504, OU-TAP-22, “A General Analytic Formula for the Spectral Index of the Density Perturbations produced during Inflation,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **95:71-78**,1996, [arXiv:astro-ph/9507001].
- [20] Kazuhide Ichikawa, Teruaki Suyama, Tomo Takahashi, Masahide Yamaguchi, “Non-Gaussianity, Spectral Index and Tensor Modes in Mixed Inflation and Curvaton Models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78:023513**,2008, [arXiv:0802.4138v2].
- [21] David Wands, “Local non-Gaussianity from inflation,” [arXiv:1004.0818v1]
- [22] Eiichiro Komatsu, David N. Spergel, “Acoustic Signatures in the Primary Microwave Background Bispectrum,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63:063002**,2001, [arXiv:astro-ph/0005036]
- [23] David Seery, James E. Lidsey, “Primordial non-gaussianities from multiple-field inflation,” *JCAP* 0509:011,2005, [arXiv:astro-ph/0506056].
- [24] David H. Lyth, Yeinzon Rodriguez, “The inflationary prediction for primordial non-gaussianity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 121302, [arXiv:astro-ph/0504045].
- [25] Filippo Vernizzi, David Wands, “Non-Gaussianities in two-field inflation,” *JCAP* 0605:019,2006, [arXiv:astro-ph/0603799].

- [26] Juan Maldacena, “Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models,” *JHEP* **0305** (2003) 013, [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].
- [27] D. Larson, et al, “Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Power Spectra and WMAP-Derived Parameters,” [arXiv:1001.4635v2]
- [28] E. Komatsu, et al, “Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation,” [arXiv:1001.4538].
- [29] Teruaki Suyama, Masahide Yamaguchi, “Non-Gaussianity in the modulated reheating scenario,” [arXiv:0709.2545v2].
- [30] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas, B. Florea, A. Grassi and S. Kachru, “Fixing all moduli in a simple F-theory compactification,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9**, 861 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0503124].
- [31] J. P. Conlon, C. H. Kom, K. Suruliz, B. C. Allanach and F. Quevedo, “Sparticle Spectra and LHC Signatures for Large Volume String Compactifications,” arXiv:0704.3403 [hep-ph].
- [32] M. Berg, M. Haack and B. Kors, “String loop corrections to Kaehler potentials in orientifolds,” *JHEP* **0511**, 030 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0508043].
- [33] C. P. Burgess, R. Kallosh and F. Quevedo, “de Sitter string vacua from supersymmetric D-terms,” *JHEP* **0310**, 056 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0309187].
- [34] A. Saltman and E. Silverstein, “The scaling of the no-scale potential and de Sitter model building,” *JHEP* **0411**, 066 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402135].
- [35] J. R. Bond, L. Kofman, S. Prokushkin and P. M. Vaudrevange, “Roulette inflation with Kaehler moduli and their axions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 123511 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612197].
- [36] J. Simon, R. Jimenez, L. Verde, P. Berglund and V. Balasubramanian, “Using cosmology to constrain the topology of hidden dimensions,” [arXiv:astro-ph/0605371].
V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, R. Jimenez, J. Simon and L. Verde, “Topology from Cosmology,” *JHEP* **0806**, 025 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1815 [hep-th]].
- [37] R. Holman and J. A. Hutasoit, “Systematics of moduli stabilization, inflationary dynamics and power spectrum,” *JHEP* **0608**, 053 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606089].
R. Holman and J. A. Hutasoit, “Axionic inflation from large volume flux compactifications,” [arXiv:hep-th/0603246].
- [38] A. C. Vincent and J. M. Cline, “Curvature Spectra and Nongaussianities in the Roulette Inflation Model,” *JHEP* **0810**, 093 (2008) [arXiv:0809.2982 [astro-ph]].
- [39] Christian T. Byrnes, Gianmassimo Tasinato, “Non-Gaussianity beyond slow roll in multi-field inflation,” *JCAP* 0908:016,2009, [arXiv:0906.0767].
- [40] J. J. Blanco-Pillado, D. Buck, E. J. Copeland, M. Gomez-Reino and N. J. Nunes, [arXiv:0906.3711].
- [41] C.P. Burgess, M. Cicoli, M. Gomez-Reino, F. Quevedo, G.Tasinato, I. Zavala, “Non-standard primordial fluctuations and nongaussianity in string inflation,” *JHEP* 1008:045,2010, [arXiv:1005.4840].
- [42] Randall J. LeVeque, “Finite Difference Method for Differential Equations,” 2006.
- [43] David H. Lyth, David Wands, “Generating the curvature perturbation without an inflaton,” *Phys. Lett. B* **524** (2002) 5-14, [arXiv:hep-ph/0110002v2].

- [44] Takeo Moroi, Tomo Takahashi, “Cosmic Density Perturbations from Late-Decaying Scalar Condensations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 063501, [arXiv:hep-ph/0206026v1].
- [45] Joseph P. Conlon, Fernando Quevedo, “Astrophysical and Cosmological Implications of Large Volume String Compactifications,” *JCAP* 0708:019,2007, [arXiv:0705.3460v2[hep-ph]]
- [46] Michele Cicoli, Anupam Mazumdar, “Reheating for Closed String Inflation,” [arXiv:1005.5076v1 [hep-th]].
- [47] Misao Sasaki, Jussi Valiviita, David Wands, “Non-Gaussianity of the primordial perturbation in the curvaton model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**:103003,2006, [arXiv:astro-ph/0607627v3].
- [48] Konstantinos Dimopoulos, George Lazarides, David Lyth, Roberto Ruiz de Austri, “Curvaton Dynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**:123515,2003, [arXiv:hep-ph/0308015v1].
- [49] Daniel Baumann, “TASI Lectures on Inflation,” [arXiv:0907.5424v1 [hep-th]].
- [50] Karim A. Malik, David Wands, Carlo Ungarelli, “Large-scale curvature and entropy perturbations for multiple interacting fluids,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 063516, [arXiv:astro-ph/0211602v3].
- [51] David Lyth, Andrew Liddle, *The Primordial Density Perturbation*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [52] Uros Seljak, “Measuring primordial non-gaussianity without cosmic variance,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**:021302,2009, [arXiv:0807.1770].
- [53] Asantha Cooray, “21-cm Background Anisotropies Can Discern Primordial Non-Gaussianity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**:261301,2006, [arXiv:astro-ph/0610257v2].