

Causal relativistic hydrodynamics for viscous fluids[‡]

Ulrich Heinz^{1,2} and Huichao Song¹

¹Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

²CERN, Physics Department, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract. We report on recent results from VISH2+1, a code that solves the relativistic Israel-Stewart equations for causal viscous hydrodynamics for heavy-ion collisions with longitudinal boost invariance. We find that even “minimal” shear viscosity $\eta/s = \hbar/(4\pi)$ leads to a large reduction of elliptic flow compared to ideal fluid dynamics. We explore systematically the sensitivity of this reduction to the equation of state, system size, initial conditions, and the microscopic relaxation time in different formulations of the Israel-Stewart equations.

1. Introduction

A good motivation for studying relativistic hydrodynamics for viscous fluids in order to describe the expansion of the fireballs created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions is given in Chaudhuri’s plenary talk [1]. We here describe results from systematic studies done with VISH2+1, our numerical code for solving viscous Israel-Stewart [2] hydrodynamics for longitudinally boost-invariant systems in two transverse space dimensions. We will concentrate on the most important results and refer the reader to the original publications [3, 4, 5, 6] for details. We include only the effects of shear viscosity, neglecting bulk viscosity and heat conduction.

2. Israel-Stewart formalism

The Israel-Stewart formalism [2] resolves problems of acausality and numerical instability of the relativistic Navier-Stokes equation by allowing the shear viscous pressure components $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ of the energy momentum tensor to evolve dynamically, on a short microscopic time scale τ_π , towards their Navier-Stokes limit. Different forms of the equations governing the evolution of $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ are being discussed in the literature (see references in [1, 6]). Although they all converge to the same Navier-Stokes limit as $\tau_\pi \rightarrow 0$, their results disagree for finite τ_π (which is necessary for stable numerical implementation) [1, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Recent work [6] has shown that the weakest sensitivity of physical observables to the “regulator” τ_π is obtained by employing the so-called “full” Israel-Stewart equations used in [6, 7, 8] whereas “simplified” versions advocated in [2, 3] that are obtained by dropping certain terms in the “full” equations and were used in [1, 4, 5, 9] give results that depend strongly on the (presently poorly constrained) value for τ_π . As shown in [3] at the end of section IV, the extra terms in the “full I-S” formulation cause a reduction of the effective kinetic relaxation time for $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, especially in rapidly expanding systems. This keeps the viscous pressure

[‡] Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-FG02-01ER41190.

smaller than in the "simplified I-S" formalism, holding it always close to its Navier-Stokes limit[§] and thereby eliminating large excursions of $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ that increase viscous entropy production and lead to a stronger viscous reduction of the elliptic flow as τ_π is increased [6]. The Öttinger-Grmela framework used in [10] appears to give very similar results as the "full I-S" one^{||} [11].

3. Cooling rates for ideal and viscous heavy-ion fireballs

In the early expansion stage of a heavy-ion collision, shear viscosity leads to a reduction of the longitudinal and an increase of the transverse pressure. The reduced longitudinal pressure decreases the work done by longitudinal expansion, thereby reducing the initial cooling rate of the fireball. This leads to a somewhat increased lifetime of the quark-gluon plasma phase. The increased transverse pressure, on the other hand, causes larger transverse acceleration and stronger radial flow of the matter than in ideal fluid dynamics. Due to the larger transverse flow, the center of the viscous fireball cools more quickly during the late stages than an ideal fluid, thereby slightly reducing the total fireball lifetime until freeze-out for central and near-central collisions (see Fig. 1). The viscous fireballs created in peripheral collisions don't live long enough for this mechanism to manifest itself; they live longer than their ideal counterparts, due to the decreased initial cooling rate arising from the smaller longitudinal pressure (left points in Fig. 1).




Figure 1. (Color online) Central freeze-out times for Au+Au and Cu+Cu collisions with differential initial peak energy densities $e_0 \equiv e(0,0;b=0)$ as a function of final charged hadron multiplicity density. Points belonging to one series correspond to different impact parameters, with central (peripheral) collisions corresponding to large (small) multiplicity densities. Left: ideal fluid dynamics. Right: viscous fluid dynamics with $\eta/s = 0.08$ and $\tau_\pi = 3\eta/sT$, using the full I-S equations.

For an equation of state (EOS) with a first-order quark-hadron phase transition, the mixed phase matter is free of pressure gradients and hence not accelerated. Velocity gradients in the mixed phase generate, however, viscous pressure components

[§] We thank D. Rischke and U. Wiedemann for an illuminating discussion on this point.

^{||} It has recently been checked that VISH2+1 with "full I-S" equations and the codes developed by P. & U. Romatschke [7] and Dusling & Teaney [10] give, for identical initial conditions and equations of state, the same time evolution for the momentum anisotropy in non-central Au+Au collisions at RHIC energies (up to small numerical errors). We thank K. Dusling for providing us with his results for comparison, and P. Romatschke for help with using their code.

whose gradients continue to accelerate the viscous fluid even while it passes through the mixed phase where the thermal pressure gradients vanish. As a result, the viscous fluid spends less time in the mixed phase than the ideal one.

In ideal hydrodynamics, a first-order phase transition with a mixed phase (MP) generates large velocity gradients near the QGP-MP and MP-HG (HG = hadron gas) interfaces. In viscous fluids such gradients generate viscous pressures that act against building up large velocity gradients. In consequence, all prominent structures in ideal hydrodynamics that arise from discontinuities in the speed of sound in ideal hydrodynamics are washed out by viscous effects. Shear viscosity thus effectively turns a first-order phase transition into a smooth cross-over transition.

4. Momentum anisotropy in non-central collisions

In viscous hydrodynamics the total momentum anisotropy $\varepsilon_p = \frac{\langle T^{xx} - T^{yy} \rangle}{\langle T^{xx} + T^{yy} \rangle}$ has two contributions. The first arises (as in ideal fluids) from anisotropies in the collective flow velocity. The second is due to anisotropies of the viscous shear pressure tensor which contribute a momentum anisotropy even in the local rest frame of the fluid. In heavy-ion collisions, these two contributions are found to be of opposite signs: the viscous pressure effects reduce the total momentum anisotropy. In the early stages of the expansion, the collective flow anisotropies evolve very similarly in viscous and ideal fluids; however, due to the large longitudinal expansion rate $\sim 1/\tau$, the viscous pressure effects are large at early times, leading to a strong reduction of the total momentum anisotropy. At late times, the viscous pressure effects are dramatically reduced, but by then their time-integrated effect has led to a slower build-up of collective flow anisotropies compared to ideal fluids. So the total momentum anisotropy at late times is again much smaller for the viscous fluid, even though the negative viscous pressure contributions to this anisotropy are now almost negligible. Overall, shear viscous effects are seen to cause during all evolution stages a significant reduction of the momentum anisotropy compared to ideal fluids.

5. Spectra and elliptic flow

The larger radial flow caused by the viscous increase of the transverse pressure gradients reflects itself through flatter transverse momentum spectra for the finally emitted hadrons. To obtain similar spectral slopes in viscous and ideal hydrodynamics thus requires a retuning of initial conditions and/or freeze-out conditions. Starting the viscous expansion later with lower initial energy densities works [9, 7], but this neglects transverse flow generated during the earlier pre-equilibrium stages. A consistent retuning of initial conditions that is compatible with dynamical models for the pre-hydrodynamic stage remains an open issue.

The smaller momentum anisotropies of viscous fireballs discussed above manifest themselves in a reduction of the elliptic flow coefficients extracted from the final hadron spectra. Initial elliptic flow results from our group [4, 5] and Romatschke [7] seemed to show large disagreements: where we saw for $b = 7$ fm Cu+Cu collisions at $p_T = 2$ GeV/c a reduction of pion v_2 by about 63% from the ideal fluid value, Romatschke saw for $b = 7$ fm Au+Au collisions only a 25% reduction. These differences have been understood and resolved in [6]. The largest part of the discrepancy results from the different system sizes: going from Cu+Cu to Au+Au at the same impact parameter

cuts the viscous reduction of v_2 almost in half, to 39%. Viscous effects are much more dramatic for small collision fireballs than for larger ones, due to larger transverse velocity *gradients*. Accounting for different EOS used in [4, 5] and [7] removes most of the remaining difference: replacing SM-EOS Q (with a first order phase transition) by EOS L (with a smooth cross-over fitted to lattice QCD data) reduces the viscous suppression of v_2 by about another third, to 28%. A final 5-10% relative reduction of the suppression results from replacing the "simplified I-S" treatment of [4, 5] with the "full I-S" formalism used in [7]. The combined effect of these changes is a viscous suppression of v_2 of 25% in $b = 7$ fm Au+Au at $p_T = 2$ GeV/ c , which agrees with [7].

6. Multiplicity scaling of elliptic flow and viscous entropy production

Voloshin and collaborators pointed out (see [12] and references therein) that the eccentricity-scaled elliptic flow v_2/ε_x scales with the final hadron multiplicity per unit overlap area, $(1/S)(dN_{\text{ch}}/dy)$. All dependence on system size, beam energy, and impact parameter can apparently be subsumed in this variable. The empirical scaling function rises monotonically with multiplicity density, approaching the ideal fluid prediction at high multiplicities but remaining well below it at low multiplicities.

We investigated this "multiplicity scaling" for ideal and viscous hydrodynamics, for both v_2/ε_x and the viscous entropy production fraction $\Delta S/S_0$ [6]. Even in the ideal fluid case we found weak scaling violations that can be traced back to the freeze-out condition which introduces an external scale that affects the fireball lifetime (see Fig. 1). Perfect multiplicity scaling of v_2/ε_x in ideal fluid dynamics [13] can only be achieved only if decoupling happens late enough that the momentum anisotropy can fully saturate. In [6] we found this to be the case for very high collision energies.

Scale breaking is more pronounced in viscous hydrodynamics and increases with η/s . Experimentally seen weak scale breaking effects appear to be qualitatively consistent with viscous hydrodynamic predictions [6] but require more precise data for confirmation.

Approximate multiplicity scaling is also found for the viscous entropy production fraction. $\Delta S/S_0$ depends strongly on the hydrodynamic starting time τ_0 . For $\tau_0 = 0.6$ fm/ c and EOS L we find entropy production fractions ranging from about 10% at high multiplicity densities to about 12-13% at low multiplicities; again smaller systems or more peripheral collisions exhibit stronger viscous effects.

References

- [1] Chaudhuri A K 2008 these proceedings.
- [2] Israel W 1976 *Annals Phys.* **100** 310; Israel W and Stewart J M 1979 *ibid.* **118** 341
- [3] Heinz U, Song H and Chaudhuri A K 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **73** 034904.
- [4] Song H and Heinz U 2008 *Phys. Lett. B* **658** 279.
- [5] Song H and Heinz U 2008 *Phys. Rev. C*, in press (*preprint* arXiv:0712.3715 [nucl-th]).
- [6] Song H and Heinz U 2008 *preprint* arXiv:0805.1756 [nucl-th].
- [7] Romatschke P and Romatschke U 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 172301; Luzum M and Romatschke P 2008 *preprint* arXiv:0804.4015 [nucl-th].
- [8] Muronga A 2004 *Phys. Rev. C* **69** 034903.
- [9] Baier R and Romatschke P 2007 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51** 677; Romatschke P 2007 *ibid.* **52** 203.
- [10] Dusling K and Teaney D 2008 *Phys. Rev. C* **77** 034905.
- [11] Dusling K and Teaney D 2008 private communication.
- [12] Voloshin S A 2006 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **870** 691; Voloshin S A 2007 *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **34** S883.
- [13] Bhalerao R S, Blaizot J P, Borghini N and Ollitrault J Y 2005 *Phys. Lett. B* **627** 49.