# Melting the Color Glass Condensate at the LHC

H. Fujii<sup>1</sup>, F. Gelis<sup>2</sup>, A. Stasto<sup>3</sup>, R. Venugopalan<sup>4</sup>

1. Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

2. Theory Division, PH-TH, Case C01600, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

3. Physics Department, Penn State University, PA 16802-6300, USA

4. Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

**Abstract.** The charged particle multiplicity in central AA collisions and the production of heavy flavors in pA collisions at the LHC is predicted in the CGC framework.

## 1. Introduction

In the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) framework, fast (large x) partons are described as frozen light cone color sources while the soft (small x) partons are described as gauge fields. The distribution of the fast color sources and their evolution with rapidity is described by the JIMWLK evolution equation; it is well approximated for large nuclei by the Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation. When two hadrons collide, a time dependent color field is produced that eventually decays into gluons [1]. When the projectile is dilute (e.g.,AA collisions at forward rapidity or pA collisions),  $k_{\perp}$  factorization holds for gluon production, thereby simplifying computations. For quark production,  $k_{\perp}$ factorization breaks down and is recovered only for large invariant masses and momenta.

#### 2. Particle multiplicity in central AA collisions

The  $k_{\perp}$  factorized cross-sections are convolutions over "dipole" scattering amplitudes in the projectile and target. Initial conditions for the BK evolution of these are specified at an initial  $x = x_0$  (chosen here to be  $x_0 \approx 10^{-2}$ ). In this work [2], we consider two initial conditions, based respectively on the McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model or on the Golec-Biernat–Wusthoff (GBW) model.We adjust the free parameters to reproduce the limiting fragmentation curves measured at RHIC from  $\sqrt{s} = 20$  GeV to  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV. The value of  $\alpha_s$  in the fixed coupling BK equation is tuned to obtain the observed rate of growth of the saturation scale. The rapidity distribution dN/dy is converted into the pseudo-rapidity distribution  $dN/d\eta$  by asuming the produced particles have  $m \sim 200$  MeV. A prediction for AA collisions at the LHC is obtained by changing  $\sqrt{s}$ to 5.5 GeV. From Fig. 1, we can infer  $dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} = 1000 - 1400$ ; the two endpoints correspond to GBW and MV initial conditions respectively.





Figure 1. Number of charged particles per unit of pseudo-rapidity at the LHC energy.

#### 3. Heavy quark production in pA collisions

The cross-section for the production of a pair of heavy quarks [3] is the simplest process for which  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization breaks down[4] in pA collisions. This is due to the sensitivity of the cross-section to 3- and 4-point correlations in the nucleus. Integrating out the antiquark and convoluting with a fragmentation function, one obtains the cross-section for open heavy flavor production, e.g., D mesons. Alternatively, one can use the Color Evaporation Model to obtain the cross-section for quarkonia bound states. The nuclear modification ratio is displayed in figure 2. The main difference at the LHC compared to RHIC energy is that this ratio is smaller than unity already at mid rapidity, and decreases further towards the proton fragmentation region [5].



**Figure 2.** Left: nuclear modification factor for D mesons as a function of  $p_{\perp}$ . Right: the same ratio as a function of rapidity, for D mesons and for  $J/\psi$ .

### References

- [1] F. Gelis, R. Venugopalan, Acta Phys. Polon. B 37, 3253 (2006), hep-ph/0611157.
- [2] F. Gelis, A. Stasto, R. Venugopalan, Eur. Phys. J. C 48, 489 (2006).
- [3] J. P. Blaizot, F. Gelis and R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 743, 57 (2004); F. Gelis and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 69, 014019 (2004)
- [4] H. Fujii, F. Gelis, R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 162002 (2005).
- [5] H. Fujii, F. Gelis, R. Venugopalan, Nucl. Phys. A 780, 146 (2006).