

Orthogonal double covers of complete bipartite graphs

R. SAMPATHKUMAR

*Department of Mathematics
Annamalai University
Annamalainagar – 608 002, Tamilnadu
India
sampathmath@gmail.com*

M. SIMARINGA

*SASTRA
Kumbakonam 612 001
Tamilnadu
India*

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ be a collection of $2n$ subgraphs of the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$. The collection \mathcal{H} is called an *orthogonal double cover* (ODC) of $K_{n,n}$ if each edge of $K_{n,n}$ occurs in exactly two of the graphs in \mathcal{H} ; $E(A_i) \cap E(A_j) = \emptyset = E(B_i) \cap E(B_j)$ for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$, and for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|E(A_i) \cap E(B_j)| = 1$. If $A_i \cong G \cong B_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then \mathcal{H} is called an ODC of $K_{n,n}$ by G . In this paper, we establish a product construction for ODCs of complete bipartite graphs.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite, simple and undirected. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote, respectively, the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G . For $A \subseteq V(G)$, $G[A]$ denotes the subgraph induced by A in G . Let $K_{r,r}$ be the complete bipartite graph with part sizes both r . We refer to the book [1] for graph theory notation and terminology not described in this paper.

Let H be an arbitrary simple graph with $|V(H)|$ vertices and let $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{|V(H)|}\}$ be a collection of $|V(H)|$ subgraphs of H . The collection \mathcal{H} is called an

orthogonal double cover (briefly, ODC) of H if there exists a bijective mapping $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that:

- (i) Every edge of H is contained in exactly two of the graphs in \mathcal{H} .
- (ii) For every choice of distinct vertices u, w of H ,

$$|E(\phi(u)) \cap E(\phi(w))| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } uw \in E(H), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $H_i \cong G$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, |V(H)|\}$, then \mathcal{H} is called an ODC of H by G .

For results on ODCs of graphs, see the survey article [2]. It can be easily observed that an ODC of $K_{m,m}$ by mK_2 is equivalent to a pair of orthogonal latin squares of order m , where mK_2 denotes m disjoint copies of K_2 . The aim of this paper is to generalize an earlier result of design theory to ODCs of complete bipartite graphs.

For simple graphs G and H , the *tensor product*, $G \times H$, of G and H , is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $E(G \times H) = \{(u, v)(x, y) : ux \in E(G) \text{ and } vy \in E(H)\}$. If the simple graphs G and H are bipartite with bipartitions (X, Y) and (U, V) , respectively, then the induced subgraphs $(G \times H)[(X \times U) \cup (Y \times V)]$ and $(G \times H)[(X \times V) \cup (Y \times U)]$ are called the *weak-tensor products* of G and H . We denote the weak-tensor product $(G \times H)[(X \times U) \cup (Y \times V)]$ by $G \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H$. Clearly, $K_{m,m} \times_{\frac{1}{2}} K_{n,n} \cong K_{mn,mn}$ and $mK_2 \times_{\frac{1}{2}} nK_2 \cong mnK_2$.

2 Result

Theorem 2.1. *If there is an ODC of $K_{m,m}$ by G and if there is an ODC of $K_{n,n}$ by H , then there is an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by $G \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \mathcal{G}^2$, where $\mathcal{G}^k = \{G_1^k, G_2^k, \dots, G_m^k\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$, be an ODC of $K_{m,m}$ by G on $V(K_{m,m}) = X \cup Y$, where $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ is the bipartition of $K_{m,m}$, with the mapping $\phi(x_i) = G_i^1$ and $\phi(y_i) = G_i^2$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}^1 \cup \mathcal{H}^2$, where $\mathcal{H}^k = \{H_1^k, H_2^k, \dots, H_n^k\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$, be an ODC of $K_{n,n}$ by H on $V(K_{n,n}) = U \cup V$, where $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is the bipartition of $K_{n,n}$, with the mapping $\psi(u_i) = H_i^1$ and $\psi(v_i) = H_i^2$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $W = V(K_{mn,mn})$ and let the partite sets of $K_{mn,mn}$ be

$$\{(x_i, u_j) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

and

$$\{(y_i, v_j) : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{F}^k = \{(G_i^k \times H_j^k)[W] : i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$, of subgraphs of $K_{mn,mn}$. Define the mapping $f : W \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \cup \mathcal{F}^2$ by

$f((x_i, u_j)) = (G_i^1 \times H_j^1)[W]$ and $f((y_i, v_j)) = (G_i^2 \times H_j^2)[W]$. Clearly, $(G_i^k \times H_j^k)[W] \cong G \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Claim 1. Every edge of $K_{mn,mn}$ occurs in exactly two graphs of $\mathcal{F}^1 \cup \mathcal{F}^2$.

Consider an arbitrary edge $(x_i, u_j)(y_k, v_\ell)$ of $K_{mn,mn}$. Since $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \mathcal{G}^2$ and $\mathcal{H}^1 \cup \mathcal{H}^2$ are ODCs of $K_{m,m}$ and $K_{n,n}$, respectively, the edges $x_i y_k$ and $u_j v_\ell$ are, respectively, in exactly two graphs of $\mathcal{G}^1 \cup \mathcal{G}^2$ and $\mathcal{H}^1 \cup \mathcal{H}^2$. Let the two graphs containing $x_i y_k$ be G_p^1 and G_q^2 and that of $u_j v_\ell$ be H_r^1 and H_s^2 . Then the two graphs containing the edge $(x_i, u_j)(y_k, v_\ell)$ are $(G_p^1 \times H_r^1)[W]$ and $(G_q^2 \times H_s^2)[W]$.

Claim 2. Let $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Any two graphs in \mathcal{F}^k have no edge in common.

The two graphs $(G_p^k \times H_r^k)[W]$ and $(G_q^k \times H_s^k)[W]$ have no edge in common, because $E(G_p^k) \cap E(G_q^k) = \emptyset$ and $E(H_r^k) \cap E(H_s^k) = \emptyset$.

Claim 3. A graph in \mathcal{F}^1 and a graph in \mathcal{F}^2 have exactly one edge in common.

The two graphs $(G_p^1 \times H_r^1)[W]$ and $(G_q^2 \times H_s^2)[W]$ have exactly one edge in common, since $|E(G_p^1) \cap E(G_q^2)| = 1$ and $|E(H_r^1) \cap E(H_s^2)| = 1$.

By Claims 1, 2 and 3, $\mathcal{F}^1 \cup \mathcal{F}^2$ is an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by $G \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

If $G = mK_2$ and $H = nK_2$, then Theorem 2.1 is:

Corollary 2.1. *If there is an ODC of $K_{m,m}$ by mK_2 and if there is an ODC of $K_{n,n}$ by nK_2 , then there is an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by mnK_2 .*

Equivalently, we have the following:

Corollary 2.2. *If A_1 and A_2 are orthogonal latin squares of order m and B_1 and B_2 are orthogonal latin squares of order n , then the direct products $A_1 \times B_1$ and $A_2 \times B_2$ are orthogonal latin squares of order mn .*

The above corollaries are well-known results in design theory.

El-Shanawany and Gronau have proved the following: “Let $m \neq 2, 6$ be a positive integer, and assume that there exist ODCs \mathcal{G}_i of $K_{n,n}$ by G_i for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Then there exists an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by $G_0 \cup G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_{m-1}$ ” (see Theorem 5.11 in [2]). In the special case when all the G_i ’s are isomorphic to H , the above statement is: “Let $m \neq 2, 6$ be a positive integer, and assume that there exists an ODC \mathcal{G} of $K_{n,n}$ by H . Then there exists an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by mH .” If $G = mK_2$, then Theorem 2.1 is: “Let $m \neq 2, 6$ be a positive integer. If there is an ODC of $K_{n,n}$ by H , then there is an ODC of $K_{mn,mn}$ by $mK_2 \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H$.” Since $mK_2 \times_{\frac{1}{2}} H \cong mH$, this is the special case of El-Shanawany and Gronau.

Acknowledgement

The first author would like to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, for its financial support through Project Grant No. SR/S4/MS:217/03.

References

- [1] R. Balakrishnan and K. Ranganathan, *A textbook of Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [2] H.-D.O.F. Gronau, M. Grüttmüller, S. Hartmann, U. Leck and V. Leck, On orthogonal double covers of graphs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 27 (2002), 49–91.

(Received 8 Apr 2008; revised 19 July 2010)