Independence and 2-domination in trees

Mostafa Blidia Mustapha Chellali

Department of Mathematics
University of Blida
B.P. 270, Blida, Algeria
mblidia@hotmail.com m_chellali@yahoo.com

Odile Favaron

L.R.I., URM 8623
Bât. 490, Université de Paris-Sud
91405-Orsay cedex, France
of@lri.fr

Abstract

In a graph a vertex is said to dominate all its neighbours. A 2-dominating set of a graph G=(V,E) is a dominating set S that dominates every vertex of V-S at least twice. The 2-domination number of G, which is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating set of G, is denoted by $\gamma_2(G)$ and the independence number by $\beta(G)$. We compare the value of γ_2 and β in trees and give bounds on these two parameters in terms of the order and the number of leaves of the tree. More precisely we show that for a nontrivial tree T, $\beta(T) \leq \gamma_2(T) \leq 3\beta(T)/2$, $(n+\ell+2)/3 \leq \gamma_2(T) \leq (n+\ell)/2$ and $\beta(T) \leq (n+\ell-1)/2$. We characterize the trees achieving equality in each bound.

1 Introduction

For notation and graph theory terminology, we in general follow [1, 6]. In a graph G = (V, E), the neighbourhood of a vertex $v \in V$ is $N(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$. If S is a subset of vertices, its neighbourhood is $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$. The order of a graph G is denoted by n(G) and abbreviated to n if there is no confusion. The degree of a vertex v of G, denoted by $\deg_G(v)$, is the order of its neighbourhood. A vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex or a leaf and its neighbour is called a support vertex. If v is a support vertex of a tree T then L_v will denote the set of the leaves attached at v. A support vertex v is called strong if $|L_v| > 1$. We also denote the set of leaves of T by L(T) and let $|L(T)| = \ell(T)$. The trivial tree is K_1 . A tree T is a double star if it contains exactly two vertices that are not leaves. A double star with respectively p and q leaves attached at each support vertex is denoted by

 $S_{p,q}$. A subdivided star SS_q is obtained from a star $K_{1,q}$ by subdividing each edge by exactly one vertex. The corona $G \circ K_1$ of a graph G is obtained from G by adding a leaf at each of its vertices.

A subset $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of G if for every vertex v of V - S, $|N(v) \cap S| \ge 1$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G. The domination independence number i(G) and the independence number $\beta(G)$ are respectively the minimum and the maximum cardinality of a set that is both dominating and independent. A graph G is well covered if $i(G) = \beta(G)$. The class of well covered trees, denoted here by \mathcal{WCT} , consists of the coronas of all trees. We are interested in dominating sets S with the additional property that S dominates each vertex in V-S at least twice. Formally, a subset S of V is a 2-dominating set of G if for every vertex $v \in V - S$, $|N(v) \cap S| \ge 2$. The 2-domination number $\gamma_2(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating set of G. Note that 2-domination in graphs is a type of multiple domination in which each vertex not in S (S is a dominating set) is dominated at least k times for a fixed positive integer k. Multiple domination was introduced by Fink and Jacobson [5]. For any parameter $\mu(G)$ associated to a graph property \mathcal{P} , we refer to a set of vertices with Property \mathcal{P} and cardinality $\mu(G)$ as a $\mu(G)$ -set. For a comprehensive treatment of domination in graphs, see [6, 7].

In this paper we study some properties of $\beta(G)$ and $\gamma_2(G)$ when G is a tree. In general the independence number and the 2-domination number are not comparable and the difference $\beta(G) - \gamma_2(G)$ as well as the ratio $\beta(G)/\gamma_2(G)$ can be arbitrarily large. This can be seen for instance on the complete bipartite graph $K_{p,q}$ with $4 \le p < q$ for which $\beta = q$ and $\gamma_2 = 4$. We show that every nontrivial tree T satisfies $\beta(T) \le \gamma_2(T) \le 3\beta(T)/2$ and we give sharp upper bounds on $\gamma_2(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ and a lower bound on $\gamma_2(T)$ as a function of $n(T) + \ell(T)$. For each bound we characterize the class of extremal trees. Note that a lower bound of a similar form was previously given on $\beta(T)$ by the third author.

Theorem A (Favaron [3]) Every tree T with n vertices and ℓ leaves satisfies $\beta(T) \ge (n+\ell)/3$ with equality if and only if the tree is well covered.

We begin by some straightforward observations.

Observation 1 • For a star $K_{1,p}$ with $p \geq 2$, $\beta(K_{1,p}) = \gamma_2(K_{1,p}) = p$.

- For a double star $S_{p,q}$ with $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, $\beta(S_{p,q}) = \gamma_2(S_{p,q}) = p + q$.
- For a double star $S_{1,q}$ with $q \ge 1$, $\beta(S_{1,q}) = 1 + q$ and $\gamma_2(S_{1,q}) = 2 + q$.
- For a subdivided star SS_q , $\beta(SS_q) = \gamma_2(SS_q) = q + 1$.
- For an odd path P_{2q+1} , $\beta(P_{2q+1}) = \gamma_2(P_{2q+1}) = q+1$.
- For an even path P_{2q} , $\beta(P_{2q}) = q$ and $\gamma_2(P_{2q}) = q + 1$.

Observation 2 In a graph G, there exists a $\beta(G)$ -set containing all the leaves of G. Moreover if u is a strong support vertex then every $\beta(G)$ -set contains L_u . A leaf of a graph G is contained in every $\gamma_2(G)$ -set.

Observation 2 can be completed by the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let G be a graph different from a star. Let u be a support vertex of G having only one nonpendant neighbour and let $G' = G - (L_u \cup \{u\})$. Then $\beta(G') = \beta(G) - |L_u|$, there exists a $\gamma_2(G)$ -set not containing u and $\gamma_2(G') \leq \gamma_2(G) - |L_u|$. If moreover u is a strong support vertex, then $\gamma_2(G') = \gamma_2(G) - |L_u|$.

Proof. Let v be the unique nonpendant neighbour of u. If I is a $\beta(G)$ -set, then $I - L_u$ is a $\beta(G')$ -set and conversely if I' is a $\beta(G')$ -set, then $I' \cup L_u$ is a $\beta(G)$ -set. Hence $\beta(G') = \beta(G) - |L_u|$.

Let S be a $\gamma_2(G)$ -set. By Observation 2, $L_u \subset S$. If $u \in S$ then $(S - \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$ is another $\gamma_2(G)$ -set not containing u. Let D be a $\gamma_2(G)$ -set not containing u. Then $D - L_u$ is a 2-dominating set of G' and thus $\gamma_2(G') \leq \gamma_2(G) - |L_u|$. If moreover $|L_u| \geq 2$, let conversely D' be a $\gamma_2(G')$ -set. Then $D' \cup L_u$ is a 2-dominating set of G and thus $\gamma_2(G) \leq \gamma_2(G') + |L_u|$, implying equality. \blacksquare

2 Comparison between $\gamma_2(T)$ and $\beta(T)$

We show in this section that for every tree T, the 2-domination number is bounded below by the independence number.

Theorem 4 If T is a tree then $\gamma_2(T) \geqslant \beta(T)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order of T. Clearly the result holds for n=1,2 establishing the basis cases. Let $n\geqslant 3$ and assume that for every tree T' of order n'< n we have $\gamma_2(T')\geqslant \beta(T')$. Let T be a tree of order n. If T is a star then $\gamma_2(T)=\beta(T)=n-1$ and hence the result is valid. So assume that T is not a star and let u be a support vertex of T for which the subgraph induced by $V(T)-L_u\cup\{u\}$ is a tree (for instance, u is the support vertex of a leaf of maximum eccentricity). Let $T'=T-L_u\cup\{u\}$. Since T is not a star, T' has order at least two and u has a unique neighbour in T'. By Lemma 3, $\gamma_2(T')\leqslant \gamma_2(T)-|L_u|$ and $\beta(T')=\beta(T)-|L_u|$. By the induction hypothesis applied to T', we have $\gamma_2(T')\geqslant \beta(T')$ implying that $\gamma_2(T)\geqslant \beta(T)$.

In order to characterize the trees with equal 2-domination and independence numbers we introduce the family \mathcal{F} of all trees T that can be obtained from a sequence T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k $(k \geq 1)$ of trees, where T_1 is a star $K_{1,t}$ $(t \geq 2)$ of center vertex $w, T = T_k$, and, if $k \geq 2$, T_{i+1} is obtained recursively from T_i by one of the three operations defined below. Put $A(T_1) = L_w$.

- Operation \mathcal{O}_1 : Add a star $K_{1,p}$, $p \ge 1$, centered at a vertex x and join x by an edge to a leaf y of T_i . Put $A(T_{i+1}) = A(T_i) \cup L_x$.
- Operation \mathcal{O}_2 : Add a star $K_{1,p}$, $p \geqslant 1$, centered at a vertex x and join x by an edge to a nonpendant vertex y of $A(T_i)$. Put $A(T_{i+1}) = A(T_i) \cup L_x$.

• Operation \mathcal{O}_3 : Add a star $K_{1,p}$, $p \ge 2$, centered at a vertex x and join x by an edge to a vertex y of $V(T_i) - A(T_i)$. Put $A(T_{i+1}) = A(T_i) \cup L_x$.

We also define \mathcal{F}_1 as the subfamily of \mathcal{F} consisting of trees constructed from T_1 by recursively applying Operation \mathcal{O}_1 . For instance an odd path P_{2q+1} belongs to \mathcal{F}_1 since it is obtained from $K_{1,2}$ by applying q-1 times \mathcal{O}_1 with each p equal to 1.

We give in the following lemma some properties of the trees in \mathcal{F} . The first ones will be of use in this section, the other ones in Sections 3 and 4.

Lemma 5 1. If T is a tree of \mathcal{F} then A(T) is both the unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set and the unique $\beta(T)$ -set.

2. If T is obtained from T_1 by applying $r \geq 0$ times Operations \mathcal{O}_2 or \mathcal{O}_3 and any number of times Operation \mathcal{O}_1 , then $|A(T)| = (n(T) + \ell(T) - r - 1)/2$. If moreover $r \geq 1$ (that is if $T \in \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_1$), then $n(T) + \ell(T) \geq 3r + 7$ with equality if and only if T is a subdivided star SS_q with $q \geq 3$ or the double star $S_{2,2}$.

Proof. 1. Let T be a tree of \mathcal{F} . From the way in which T is constructed, A(T) is both a 2-dominating set and an independent set. Thus $\beta(T) \geqslant |A(T)| \geqslant \gamma_2(T)$. The equality follows from Theorem 4.

To show that A(T) is both the unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set and the unique $\beta(T)$ -set, we proceed by induction on k where k-1 is the number of operations performed to construct T from T_1 . If k=1, then $T=K_{1,t}$ with $t\geq 2$ and so A(T) is the unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set and the unique $\beta(T)$ -set. This establishes the basis case. Assume now that $k\geqslant 2$ and the result holds for all trees of $\mathcal F$ that can be constructed from a sequence of at most k-2 operations. Let T be a tree of $\mathcal F$ constructed by k-1 operations, $T'=T_{k-1}, x$ the center of the star $K_{1,p}$ added to T' to get T, and T' then T' then

Suppose that $A(T) = A(T') \cup L_x$ is not the unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set and let D be a second $\gamma_2(T)$ -set. Then D must contain x for otherwise A(T') and $D - L_x$ are two different $\gamma_2(T')$ -sets, a contradiction. Also $y \notin D$ and y is dominated by x and by exactly one vertex of $V(T') \cap D$ (else $D - (L_x \cup \{x\})$ would be a 2-dominating set of T of order less than $\gamma_2(T')$). Then $(D \cap V(T')) \cup \{y\}$ is a 2-dominating set of T' of order $|D| - (|L_x| + 1) + 1 = |A(T)| - |L_x| = |A(T')|$. By the unicity of the $\gamma_2(T')$ -set, $(D \cap V(T')) \cup \{y\}$ is the independent set A(T'). This contradicts the fact that y has a neighbour in $V(T') \cap D$. Consequently, A(T) is a unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set.

Let I be a $\beta(T)$ -set. If L_x is not contained in I then by Observation 2, $|L_x|=1$, T is constructed from T' by Operation \mathcal{O}_1 or \mathcal{O}_2 , and $y\in A(T')$. If u is the leaf of T adjacent to $x, u\notin I$, $x\in I$ and $y\notin I$. The set $I_1=(I-\{x\})\cup\{u\}$ is a $\beta(T)$ -set such that $I_1\cap V(T')$ is a $\beta(T')$ -set and $I_1\cap V(T')=I\cap V(T')$. By the unicity of the $\beta(T')$ -set, $I\cap V(T')=A(T')$ contradicting $y\in A(T')$ but $y\notin I$. Therefore $L_x\subset I$ and $I-L_x=I\cap V(T')$ is a $\beta(T')$ -set. By the unicity of the $\beta(T')$ -set, $I-L_x=A(T')$ and thus I=A(T).

2. If a tree T is obtained from a tree T' of \mathcal{F} by an operation \mathcal{O}_1 , then n(T) = n(T') + p + 1, $\ell(T) = \ell(T') + p - 1$, $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + p$ and thus $\gamma_2(T) - (n(T) + \ell(T))/2 = \gamma_2(T') - (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2$. If T is obtained from T' of \mathcal{F} by an operation \mathcal{O}_2 or \mathcal{O}_3 , then n(T) = n(T') + p + 1, $\ell(T) = \ell(T') + p$, $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + p$ and thus $\gamma_2(T) - (n(T) + \ell(T))/2 = \gamma_2(T') - (n(T') + \ell(T') + 1)/2$. Hence the value of $\gamma_2 - (n + \ell)/2$ is unchanged in each application of \mathcal{O}_1 and decreases by 1/2 in each of the $r \geq 0$ applications of \mathcal{O}_2 or \mathcal{O}_3 . Since for $T_1 = K_{1,t}$ with $t \geq 2$, $\gamma_2(T_1) = (n(T_1) + \ell(T_1) - 1)/2$, we get $|A(T)| = (n(T) + \ell(T) - r - 1)/2$. We note in particular that if T is in \mathcal{F}_1 then r = 0 and $\beta(T) = \gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - 1)/2$.

Each operation \mathcal{O}_3 increases n by $p+1\geq 3$ and ℓ by $p\geq 2$. Hence if the tree T of \mathcal{F} is constructed from $T_1=K_{1,t}$ by $r\geq 1$ operations \mathcal{O}_3 and any number of operations \mathcal{O}_1 , $n(T)\geq n(T_1)+3r=3r+t+1$, $\ell(T)\geq \ell(T_1)+2r=2r+t$ and $n(T)+\ell(T)\geq 5r+2t+1\geq 3r+7$ since $t\geq 2$ and $r\geq 1$. Moreover $n(T)+\ell(T)=3r+7$ if and only if t=2 and in the construction of T, no operation \mathcal{O}_1 and exactly one operation \mathcal{O}_3 with p=2 has been used, that is if T is the double star $S_{2,2}$.

If the construction of T uses at least one operation \mathcal{O}_2 joining the center x of a star $K_{1,p}$ to a vertex y of T', then y is a nonpendant vertex of A(T'). This implies that at least one operation \mathcal{O}_1 has been performed before \mathcal{O}_2 . Hence n(T) is at least equal to $n(T_1)$ plus two vertices added in \mathcal{O}_1 plus 2r vertices added in the r operations \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 (exactly 2r if and only if these r operations are of type \mathcal{O}_2 with p=1). Similarly, $\ell(T)$ is at least equal to $\ell(T_1)$ plus r leaves added in the r operations \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 (exactly r if and only if these r operations are of type \mathcal{O}_2 with p=1). In this case, $n(T) \geq t+3+2r$, $\ell(T) \geq t+r$ and $n(T)+\ell(T) \geq 3r+2t+3 \geq 3r+7$. Moreover, $n(T)+\ell(T)=3r+7$ if and only if T is constructed from $K_{1,2}$ by using one operation \mathcal{O}_1 and $r \geq 1$ operations \mathcal{O}_2 , each of them with p=1. This tree T is a subdivided star SS_q with $q=r+2 \geq 3$.

We are now ready to characterize the trees achieving equality in Theorem 4.

Theorem 6 Let T be a tree. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- a) $\gamma_2(T) = \beta(T)$,
- b) $T = K_1 \text{ or } T \in \mathcal{F}$,
- c) T has a unique $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that also is a unique $\beta(T)$ -set.

Proof. Let T be a tree of order n.

- $(\mathbf{b}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{c})$. The result is obvious if $T = K_1$ and it follows from Lemma 5 if $T \in \mathcal{F}$.
- $(\mathbf{c}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{a})$. Obvious.
- (a) \Rightarrow (b). We proceed by induction on the order of T. If n=1 then $T=K_1$. The only tree T of order n=2 does not satisfy $\gamma_2(T)=\beta(G)$. If n=3 then $T=K_{1,2}$ is a tree T_1 of \mathcal{F} . Let $n\geqslant 4$ and assume that any tree T' of order n'< n with $\gamma_2(T')=\beta(T')$ is in \mathcal{F} .

Let T be a tree of order n such that $\gamma_2(T) = \beta(T)$. If T is a star then $T \in \mathcal{F}$, so assume that T is not a star. Let x be a support vertex of T such that $T - (L_x \cup \{x\})$ is

a tree denoted by T' and let y be the unique neighbour of x in T'. If T' has order two, then $\beta(T) = |L_x| + 1$ and $\gamma_2(T) = |L_x| + 2$, a contradiction. Hence T' has order at least three. Then by Lemma 3, $\beta(T') = \beta(T) - |L_u|$ and $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - |L_u|$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \beta(T')$ and by Theorem 4, $\gamma_2(T') = \beta(T')$. By induction hypothesis, T' is in \mathcal{F} . The set $A(T') \cup L_x$ is then both a $\beta(T)$ -set and a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set. The addition of the star $\{x\} \cup L_x = K_{1,p}$ to T' in an operation \mathcal{O}_1 if y is a leaf of T', \mathcal{O}_2 if y is a nonpendant vertex of A(T'), \mathcal{O}_3 if $y \notin A(T')$ (in this case $|L_x| \geqslant 2$ else $A(T') \cup L_x$ is not a 2-dominating set of T). Therefore $T \in \mathcal{F}$.

3 Upper bounds on γ_2 and β

Theorem 7 If T is a nontrivial tree of order n then $\gamma_2(T) \leq (n + \ell(T))/2$.

Proof. Let T be a nontrivial tree. If T is a star then the result holds so we assume that T is not a star. The tree T' obtained from T by removing all its leaves is not trivial and admits a bipartition A, B. Every vertex of degree one in T' is a support vertex in T that is adjacent to at least one vertex of L(T). Every vertex of degree at least two of A (resp. B) is dominated twice by B (resp. by A). Thus $L(T) \cup A$ and $L(T) \cup B$ are two 2-dominating sets of T. So $\gamma_2(T) \leq \min\{|L(T) \cup A|, |L(T) \cup B|\} \leq \ell(T) + (n - \ell(T))/2 = (n + \ell(T))/2$.

In order to characterize the nontrivial trees attaining the upper bound in Theorem 7, we introduce the collection \mathcal{G} of all trees T that can be obtained from a sequence $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k \ (k \geq 1)$ of trees, where T_1 is the path $P_2, T = T_k$, and if $k \geq 2$, T_{i+1} is obtained recursively from T_i by one of the two operations listed below.

- Operation \mathcal{T}_1 : Add a star $K_{1,p}$, $p \ge 2$, centered at a vertex x and join x by an edge to a leaf y of T_i .
- Operation T₂: Add a path P₂ = xz, join x by an edge to a leaf y of T_i, and add k≥ 0 new vertices adjacent to y.

For instance an even path P_{2q} is constructed from P_2 by performing q-1 times \mathcal{T}_2 with k=0. Hence $P_{2q} \in \mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 8 Let T be a nontrivial tree. Then $\gamma_2(T) = (n + \ell(T))/2$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. We first prove the part "if" by induction on the number k-1 of operations performed to construct T from $T_1 = P_2$. If k = 1, then $T = P_2$, and so $\gamma_2(T) = (n + \ell(T))/2 = 2$. This establishes the basis case.

Assume now that $k \geq 2$ and that the result holds for all trees in \mathcal{G} that can be constructed from less than k-1 operations. Let $T = T_k \in \mathcal{G}$, and let $T' = T_{k-1}$. By induction hypothesis applied to T' we have $\gamma_2(T') = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2$. We consider two cases depending on whether T is obtained from T' by using operation \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_2 .

Case 1. T is obtained from T' by using operation \mathcal{T}_1 .

Let $|L_x|=p\geq 2$. Then n(T)=n(T')+p+1 and $\ell(T)=\ell(T')+p-1$. By Lemma 3,

$$\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + |L_x| = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2 + p = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$$
.

Case 2. T is obtained from T' by using operation \mathcal{T}_2 .

If Y denotes the set (possibly empty) of vertices attached at y by this operation, n(T) = n(T') + |Y| + 2 and $\ell(T) = \ell(T') + |Y|$. By Observation 2, let S be a 2-dominating set of T not containing x (but necessarily containing $Y \cup \{z\}$). To 2-dominate x, S also contains y which implies that $S - (Y \cup \{z\})$ is a 2-dominating set of T'. Hence $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - |Y| - 1$. On the other hand if S' is a $\gamma_2(T')$ -set then it contains the leaf y of T' and thus $S' \cup (Y \cup \{z\})$ is a 2-dominating set of T. Hence $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T') + |Y| + 1$. Therefore

$$\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + |Y| + 1 = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2 + |Y| + 1 = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$$
.

We prove the part "only if" by induction on the order of T. If n=2 then $T=P_2$ which belongs to $\mathcal G$. The only tree of order three does not satisfy $\gamma_2(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$. One of the two trees of order four, namely P_4 , satisfies $\gamma_2(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$ and it belongs to $\mathcal G$. For $n\geqslant 5$, suppose that every tree of order less than n and satisfying $\gamma_2(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$ is in $\mathcal G$ and let T be a tree of order n satisfying $\gamma_2(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$. By Observation 1 on stars and double stars, the only trees of diameter 2 or 3 satisfying $\gamma_2(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$ are the double stars $S_{1,q}$ with $q\geqslant 1$. These trees are obtained from P_2 by using one operation $\mathcal T_2$ with k=q-1 and thus belong to $\mathcal G$. So we suppose $diam(T)\geqslant 4$ and consider a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set S of T. We root T at a vertex T of maximum eccentricity. Let T0 be a support vertex at maximum distance from T1, T2 the parent of T3 and T4 and T5. Since T5 diam(T7) and T7 is not trivial and has order T8. We consider two cases.

Case 1. v is a strong support vertex and thus $\gamma_2(T) = \gamma_2(T') + |L_v|$ by Lemma 3. If $\deg_T(u) \geqslant 3$, then $l(T') = l(T) - |L_v|$. By Theorem 7 we have

$$\gamma_2(T) = |L_v| + \gamma_2(T') \le |L_v| + (n(T') + l(T'))/2 = (n(T) + l(T))/2 - 1/2$$

 $< (n(T) + \ell(T))/2,$

a contradiction. So u is a leaf of T' and $l(T') = l(T) - |L_v| + 1$. Hence

$$\gamma_2(T') = \gamma_2(T) - |L_v| = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2 - |L_v| = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2.$$

By induction hypothesis applied to T', we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. Since T is obtained from T' by performing \mathcal{T}_1 , $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Case 2. From now on we may assume that no child of u is a strong support vertex. Let v' be the unique leaf adjacent to v. We claim that u has no child besides v as a support vertex. Suppose to the contrary that a child w of u is a support vertex with $L_w = \{w'\}$ and let T' be the nontrivial tree $T - (L_v \cup \{v\})$. Let S' be

a $\gamma_2(T')$ -set not containing w. Then S' contains w' and u. Hence $\{v'\} \cup S'$ is a 2-dominating set of T and so by Theorem 7, $\gamma_2(T) \leqslant 1 + |S'| \leqslant 1 + (n(T') + l(T'))/2$. Since n(T') = n(T) - 2 and l(T') = l(T) - 1, we get $\gamma_2(T) < (n(T) + l(T))/2$, a contradiction. Thus every child (if any) of u besides v is a leaf.

Now let $T'' = T - (L_u \cup L_v \cup \{v\})$. Then T'' is not trivial. If S is a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set not containing v, then S contains u to 2-dominate v, $S - (\{v'\} \cup L_u)$ is a 2-dominating set of T'' and thus $\gamma_2(T'') \le \gamma_2(T) - 1 - |L_u|$. On the other hand every $\gamma_2(T'')$ -set S'' contains the leaf u of T'' and thus $S'' \cup (L_u \cup \{v'\})$ is a 2-dominating set of T, implying $\gamma_2(T) \le \gamma_2(T'') + 1 + |L_u|$. Since $n(T) = n(T'') + 2 + |L_u|$ and $\ell(T) = \ell(T'') + |L_u|$ we get

$$\gamma_2(T'') = \gamma_2(T) - 1 - |L_u| = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2 - 1 - |L_u| = (n(T'') + \ell(T''))/2$$
.

By induction hypothesis applied to T'', we have $T'' \in \mathcal{G}$. Since T is obtained from T'' by using \mathcal{T}_2 , $T \in \mathcal{G}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following observation will be used in Section 5.

Observation 9 From the part "only if" of the previous proof we deduce that if a tree T satisfying $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$ and having no strong support vertex is rooted at a vertex r of maximum eccentricity, then a vertex at distance $\operatorname{diam}(T) - 2$ from r has exactly one child as a support vertex.

Theorems 4 and 7 show that every nontrivial tree satisfies $\beta(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$. The following theorem slightly improves this bound.

Theorem 10 If T is a nontrivial tree then $\beta(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T) - 1)/2$ with equality if and only if $T \in \mathcal{F}_1$.

Proof. In the inequalities chain $\beta(T) \leq \gamma_2(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$, $\beta(T)$ and $\gamma_2(T)$ are integers. Hence each equality $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$ or $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - 1)/2$ implies $\beta(T) = \gamma_2(T)$ and thus, by Theorem 6 and Lemma 5, $\beta(T) = \gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - r - 1)/2$ for some $r \geq 0$. Therefore $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$ is impossible and $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - 1)/2$ implies $T \in \mathcal{F}$ with r = 0, that is $T \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Conversely we already observed that each tree T in \mathcal{F}_1 satisfies $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - 1)/2$.

4 Lower bound on γ_2

From Theorem A and Theorem 4 we deduce that every nontrivial tree satisfies $\gamma_2(T) \geq (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$. In this section we slightly improve this bound.

Theorem 11 If T is a nontrivial tree then $\gamma_2(T) \geq (n(T) + \ell(T) + 2)/3$ with equality if and only if T is a subdivided star SS_q with $q \geq 3$ or the double star $S_{2,2}$.

Proof. In the inequalities chain $\gamma_2(T) \geq \beta(T) \geq (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$, $\beta(T)$ and $\gamma_2(T)$ are integers. Hence each of the equalities $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$, $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) + 1)/3$, $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) + 2)/3$ implies $\gamma_2(T) = \beta(T)$ and thus, by Theorem 6 and Lemma 5, $\gamma_2(T) = \beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T) - r - 1)/2$ and $n(T) + \ell(T) \geq 3r + 7$ for some $r \geq 0$. But if $\gamma_2(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T) + 1)/3$ then $(n(T) + \ell(T) - r - 1)/2 \leq (n(T) + \ell(T) + 1)/3$, thus implying $n(T) + \ell(T) \leq 3r + 5$ which is impossible. Therefore $\gamma_2(T) \geq (n(T) + \ell(T) + 2)/3$ with equality if and only if $n(T) + \ell(T) = 3r + 7$. The result follows from Lemma 5.

5 Upper bounds on $\gamma_2(T)/\beta(T)$ and $\gamma_2(T)-\beta(T)$

The upper bound on $\gamma_2(T)$ and the lower bound on $\beta(T)$ show that $\gamma_2(T)$ cannot be arbitrarily large with respect to $\beta(T)$.

We introduce the class \mathcal{H} of all trees T that can be obtained from a sequence T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_k of trees where T_1 is a path $P_4 = x_1^1 y_1^1 y_1^2 x_1^2$, $T = T_k$ and if $k \geq 2$, T_{i+1} is obtained recursively from T_i by the operation defined below.

• Operation Ω : Add four vertices forming a path $P_4 = x_{i+1}^1 y_{i+1}^1 y_{i+1}^2 x_{i+1}^2$ and join by an edge an internal vertex y_{i+1}^1 or y_{i+1}^2 of this path to a nonpendant vertex of T_i .

Theorem 12 If T is a nontrivial tree then $\gamma_2(T)/\beta(T) \leq 3/2$ with equality if and only if T belongs to \mathcal{H} .

Proof. By Theorem A and Theorem 7, $\gamma_2(T)/\beta(T) \leq 3/2$ with equality if and only if $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$ and $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$.

Let $T=T_k$ be a tree of \mathcal{H} . Then every $\gamma_2(T)$ -set must contain at least three vertices of each of the k paths P_4 . Hence $\gamma_2(T)\geqslant 3k=(4k+2k)/2=(n(T)+\ell(T))/2$. On the other hand, T is the corona of a tree and thus by Theorem $A,\ \beta(T)=(n(T)+\ell(T))/3$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T)/\beta(T)=3/2$.

We prove the part "only if" by induction on n. For $2 \le n \le 4$, the only tree T satisfying $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$ and $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$, namely P_4 , is in \mathcal{H} .

For $n \geq 5$ we suppose this property true for every tree of order less than n and we consider a tree T of order n such that $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$ and $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$. By Theorem A, T is the corona of a tree. In particular T has no strong support vertex. We root T at a vertex r of maximum eccentricity. Let v be a support vertex at distance diam(T) - 1 from r, $L_v = \{v'\}$, u the parent of v and $L_u = \{u'\}$. From Observation 9, v is the unique child of u that is a support vertex. The tree $T' = T - \{u, u', v, v'\}$ is not trivial, otherwise u would be a strong support vertex, and n(T') = n(T) - 4. Since the parent x of u is a support vertex, the deletion of $\{u, u', v, v'\}$ does not create any new leaf and $\ell(T') = \ell(T) - 2$. Clearly $\beta(T') = \beta(T) - 2$ and thus

$$\beta(T') = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3 - 2 = (n(T') + \ell(T') + 6)/3 - 2 = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/3.$$

On the other hand, Let S' be a $\gamma_2(T')$ -set. Then $S' \cup \{u, u', v\}$ is a 2-dominating set of T. Hence

$$\gamma_2(T) \leqslant \gamma_2(T') + 3 \leqslant (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2 + 3 \leqslant (n(T) + \ell(T))/2.$$

Since $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$, we get $\gamma_2(T') = (n(T') + \ell(T'))/2$. Therefore $\gamma_2(T')/\beta(T') \leq 3/2$. By induction hypothesis, $T' \in \mathcal{H}$. The tree T is obtained from T' by using Ω (the internal vertex u of the path $P_4 = u'uvv'$ is joined by an edge to the nonpendant vertex x of T'). Therefore $T \in \mathcal{H}$ which completes the proof. Note that this means that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{WCT} \cap \mathcal{G}$.

In a similar way, Theorem A and Theorem 7 show that $\gamma_2(T) - \beta(T) \le (n(T) + \ell(T))/6$ and that the upper bound is attained if and only if $\beta(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$ and $\gamma_2(T) = (n(T) + \ell(T))/2$. Therefore we get the following

Corollary 1 If T is a nontrivial tree then $\gamma_2(T) - \beta(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T))/6$ with equality if and only if T belongs to \mathcal{H} .

Concluding remarks For the other domination parameters, ir (the irredundance number), γ and i, which are related in every graph G by the inequalities $ir(G) \leq \gamma(G) \leq i(G)$, the upper bound $i(T) \leq (n(T) + \ell(T))/3$ is known to hold in every tree [3] and is sharp even for ir. But since $ir(K_{1,p}) = \gamma(K_{1,p}) = i(K_{1,p}) = 1$ for arbitrarily large stars, ir(T), $\gamma(T)$ and i(T) cannot admit any lower bound of the form $(n(T) + \ell(T))/c$ where c is a constant. However there exist other lower bounds on these parameters in terms of n and ℓ . Lemańska [8] proved that in every tree, $\gamma(T) \geq (n(T) - \ell(T) + 2)/3$ and characterized the class of extremal trees. Actually, since the connected domination number $\gamma_c(T)$ of a tree T is equal to $n(T) - \ell(T)$, the bound on $\gamma(T)$ given in [8] is the adaptation to trees of a result by Duchet and Meyniel [2] who proved that in every connected graph G, $\gamma(G) \geq (\gamma_c(G) + 2)/3$. This last result was improved to $ir(G) \geq (\gamma_c(G) + 2)/3$ in [4].

References

- [1] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs: Third Edition*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
- [2] P. Duchet and H. Meyniel, On Hadwiger's number and the stability number, *Annuals of Discrete Math.* 13 (1982), 71–74.
- [3] O. Favaron, A bound on the independent domination number of a tree, Vishwa Internat. J. Graph Theory 1(1) (1992), 19–27.
- [4] O. Favaron and D. Kratsch, Ratios of domination parameters, in *Advances in Graph Theory*, 173–182, Vishwa, Gulbarga, 1991.
- [5] J.F. Fink and M.S. Jacobson, n-domination in graphs, in Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer, Y. Alavi and A.J. Schwenk (eds.), pp. 283–300, (Kalamazoo, MI 1984), Wiley, 1985.

- [6] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [7] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater (eds), *Domination in Graphs:* Advanced Topics, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [8] M. Lemańska, Lower bound on the domination number of a tree, to appear in Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 24 (2) (2004), 165–169.

(Received 17 Feb 2004; revised 23 July 2005)