

SOME NEW MOLS OF ORDER 2^np FOR p A PRIME POWER

R.J.R. Abel and Y.W. Cheng

School of Mathematics,
University of New South Wales,
Kensington, N.S.W. 2033, Australia

Abstract

This paper describes a method of obtaining MOLS of order $v = 2^np$ for p a prime power. In particular, it gives 5, 7 and 9 MOLS of orders 48, 40 and 80 respectively. If $x = \text{Min}(2p-1, 2^n-1, 4n-2)$, it is conjectured that x MOLS of order v are always obtainable by this method.

1. Introduction

A transversal design, $TD(k, \lambda, v)$ consists of a set X of $k\nu$ points divided into k groups of size v plus a collection of k -element subsets of X called blocks so that:

- (i) Each block contains one point from each group
- (ii) Any two points in different groups appear together in λ blocks

The parameter λ is usually omitted if it equals 1; the design is then just called a $TD(k, v)$.

Such a design is called α -resolvable (or just resolvable if $\alpha = 1$) if its blocks can be partitioned into classes so that each point appears in α blocks from each class. If $\alpha = 1$, such a class is called a parallel class. The following result is well known:

Theorem 1.1:

A $TD(k+1, \lambda, v)$ exists if and only if a λ -resolvable $TD(k, \lambda, v)$ exists.

2. Constructions Using Difference Families

There are many TD constructions using different families. The following theorem gives one such construction:

Theorem 2.1

Suppose G is an additive abelian group of size v and there exists a $\lambda v \times k$ array A with entries from G so that for any $j_1, j_2 \in \{1 \dots k\}$, each element of G occurs λ times amongst the differences $A_{i,j_2} - A_{i,j_1}$ ($i=1 \dots \lambda v$). Then a resolvable $TD(k, \lambda, v)$ exists.

Proof (outline): Here, and throughout this paper, rows in any difference array denote blocks. The v points in each group of the TD are written as elements of G and points in different groups are distinguished by the convention that the i' th element in any block comes from the i' th group of the TD. Let $B_i = \{A_{i,1}, A_{i,2}, \dots, A_{i,k}\}$ and let $B_i + g$

denote the block obtained by adding g to all elements of B_i . It is readily confirmed that the blocks $B_i + g$ ($i=1 \dots \lambda v, g \in G$) form a resolvable $TD(k, \lambda, v)$ with parallel classes $R_i = \{B_i + g, g \in G\}$.

The array A in Theorem 2.1 is called a $TD(k, \lambda, v)$ difference array. Since being resolvable is a stronger condition than being α -resolvable, the conditions of Theorem 2.1 guarantee existence of a $TD(k+1, \lambda, v)$ (by Theorem 1.1).

3. $GF(2^n)$ as a Vector Space

Throughout this paper the variable z represents a given primitive root of unity in $GF(2^n)$. The elements of $GF(2^n)$ form the vector space of polynomials of degree less than n over $GF(2)$; thus certain vector space terms such as 'linearly independent' can be defined in the normal way on the elements of $GF(2^n)$. Also, two elements of $GF(2^n)$, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i z^i$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i z^i$ ($a_i, b_i \in Z(2)$) are called orthogonal if $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i b_i = 0 \pmod{2}$.

4. $TD(k, 2^n, p)$ Difference Arrays

For all the new $TD(k, v)$'s in this paper, v is of the form $2^n p$ for p an odd prime power and the group G is $GF(p) \times GF(2^n)$. In addition, calculation of the difference array A for these TDs is simplified due to existence of an automorphism group of order 2^{n-1} which permutes the rows of A ; thus only $\lambda v / 2^{n-1} = 2p$ rows of A need to be specified. From here on A^* will denote the array consisting of these $2p$ generating rows. The following theorem is fundamental for determining the $GF(p)$ components for the entries in A^* :

Theorem 4.1

Suppose p is an odd prime power. Then:

- (i) No TD($k,2,p$) difference array exists for $k > 2p$.
- (ii) A TD($k,2,p$) difference array exists for $k = 2p$.

Proof: See Corollary 8.3.7 in [1] for (i). Our proof of (ii) is a slight variation of their Theorem 8.3.14. Let m be any non-square in $G = GF(p)$. We show the required difference array can be taken as Q R where:

S T

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 Q_{x,y} = xy & R_{x,y} = (x-y)^2 \\
 S_{x,y} = xy + ((m-1)/4m)y^2 & T_{x,y} = m(x-y)^2 \quad (x,y \in GF(p))
 \end{array}$$

It is easily confirmed that if $U \in \{ Q,R,S,T \}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in GF(p)$ then $U_{x,y_1} - U_{x,y_2}$ is linear in x and hence U is a TD(k,p) difference array over $GF(p)$. It remains to show that for any $y_1, y_2 \in GF(p)$ each element of $GF(p)$ appears twice amongst the differences $R_{x,y_1} - Q_{x,y_2}$ and $T_{x,y_1} - S_{x,y_2}$ ($x \in GF(p)$). A little calculation gives:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 R_{x,y_1} - Q_{x,y_2} = (x - y_1 - y_2/2)^2 - y_2^2/4 - y_1 y_2 \\
 T_{x,y_1} - S_{x,y_2} = m(x - y_1 - y_2/2m)^2 - y_2^2/4 - y_1 y_2 ;
 \end{array}$$

since m is a non-square, this gives the required results.

5. An Example

Before going into the exact conditions required for our method to give a TD($k,2^n p$), a small example is given - for $v = 48$, $p = 3$, $n = 4$, $k = 6$. Let z be a primitive element of $GF(16)$ satisfying $z^4 = z + 1$. As mentioned in the previous section, A^* will denote the array consisting of the $2p$ generating rows of A . Also, $T(y)$ will represent the total of the $GF(2^n)$ entries in column y of A^* . For the current example, A^* and T are:

A*:	(0,0)	(0,0)	(0,0)	(0,0)	(1,0)	(1,0)
	(0,0)	(1,0)	(2,1)	(1,z ³ +z)	(0,z ³ +z)	(1,z ³)
	(0,0)	(2,0)	(1,z ² +z)	(1,z+1)	(1,z ² +z)	(0,z+1)
	(0,0)	(2,z ³)	(2,z ³ +z ²)	(0,z ²)	(2,z ³ +z)	(2,z ² +z)
	(0,0)	(0,z ³)	(1,z ² +z+1)	(2,z ³)	(0,z ² +z)	(2,z ² +1)
	(0,0)	(1,z ³)	(0,z ³ +z)	(2,0)	(2,z ²)	(0,z)
T:	0	z ³	z ² +z	z ² +1	z ²	z ³ +z

Also relevant to this design is the following 3x6 array Y:

<u>Y</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
Y(1,y)	0	1	z ²	z ² +z	z ³ +1	z ³ +z ²
Y(2,y)	0	z	z ³	z ³ +z ²	1	z ³ +z+1
Y(3,y)	0	z ²	z+1	z ³ +z+1	z	z ² +1

The rows of the required TD(6,48) difference array are now obtained as follows:

If $A^*(x,y) = (a,b)$ then define three automorphisms τ_i ($i=1,2,3$) by $\tau_i(A^*(x,y)) = (a, b+Y(i,y))$. Applying the automorphism group generated by τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 to all 6 rows of A^* gives 48 distinct rows; these form a suitable TD(6,48) difference array A.

6. Obtaining the Array A* in General

All TD($k, 2^n p$) difference arrays in this paper are obtained by a method similar to that used for the TD(6,48) in the previous section. First, a $2p \times k$ array A^* with entries from $GF(p) \times GF(2^n)$ and a $(n-1) \times k$ array Y with entries from $GF(2^n)$ are given. For convenience two additional arrays B^*, C^* are defined as follows: if $A^*(x,y) = (a,b)$ then $B^*(x,y) = a$ and $C^*(x,y) = b$. Next, automorphisms τ_i ($i=1 \dots n-1$) are defined as follows: if $A^*(x,y) = (a,b)$ then $\tau_i(x,y) = (a, b+Y(i,y))$. Finally, let A be the array consisting of the $2^n p$ rows obtained by applying the automorphism group generated

by τ_i ($i = 1 \dots n-1$) to the rows of A^* . A will be a $TD(k, 2^n p)$ over $GF(p) \times GF(2^n)$ if conditions 6.1 - 6.3 below hold for any y_1, y_2 and any $g \in GF(p)$.

6.1: $Y(i, y_2) - Y(i, y_1)$ ($i = 1 \dots n-1$) are linearly independent.

6.2: There are exactly two values of x in $\{1 \dots 2p\}$ such that $B^*(x, y_2) - B^*(x, y_1) = g$.

6.3: If x_1, x_2 are the two x values in 6.2 then exactly one of $C^*(x_i, y_2) - C^*(x_i, y_1)$ ($i = 1, 2$) lies in $V(y_1, y_2)$ where $V(y_1, y_2)$ is the $n-1$ dimensional vector space over $GF(2)$ spanned by $(Y_{iy_2} - Y_{iy_1})$ ($i = 1 \dots n-1$).

If conditions 6.1 - 6.3 hold for any given y_1, y_2 and all $g \in GF(p)$ then columns y_1, y_2 of A^*, B^*, C^* and Y are said to be perpendicular.

Note that condition 6.2 holds for all y_1, y_2, g if and only if B^* is a $TD(k, 2, p)$ difference array. Also each of the $n-1$ dimensional vector spaces $V(y_1, y_2)$ in 6.3 is most easily specified by giving the element $H(y_1, y_2)$ of $GF(2^n)$ orthogonal to all its elements. For the $TD(6, 48)$ given earlier, the $H(y_1, y_2)$ values for $y_1 < y_2$ are:

y_1	y_2	2	3	4	5	6
1		z^3	$z+1$	z^3+z^2+z	z^2	z^3+z^2+1
2			z^2+1	z^2+z	z^2+z+1	z
3				1	z	z^2+z+1
4					z^3+1	z^3+z+1
5						z^2+1

Four convenient assumptions that will be made are:

$$\mathbf{6.4} \quad Y(i,1) = 0 \quad (i = 1 \dots n-1)$$

$$\mathbf{6.5} \quad Y(1,2) = 1$$

$$\mathbf{6.6} \quad C^*(x,1) = 0 \quad (x = 1 \dots 2p)$$

$$\mathbf{6.7} \quad C^*(1,y) = 0 \quad (y = 1 \dots k)$$

From 6.3, exactly p of the values $C^*(x,y_2) - C^*(x,y_1)$ must be orthogonal to $H(y_1,y_2)$ for any y_1,y_2 ; since p is odd, this means that $T(y_2) - T(y_1) =$

$\sum_{x=1}^{2p} (C^*(x,y_2) - C^*(x,y_1))$ is not orthogonal to $H(y_1,y_2)$. If 6.4, 6.6 hold, this condition will

be met if $T(2)$ is not orthogonal to $H(1,2)$ and the entries in T,Y are obtained using the following formulae:

$$\mathbf{6.8} \quad T(y) = \frac{I(2)}{Y(1,2)} \cdot Y(1,y)$$

$$\mathbf{6.9} \quad Y(x,y) = \frac{Y(x,2)}{Y(1,2)} \cdot Y(1,y)$$

To use these formulae, the only entries in T,Y that need to be specified are $T(2)$ plus the first row and second column of Y . Note that the entries in T,Y for the TD(6,48) in Section 5 satisfy 6.8 and 6.9.

If 6.8 and 6.9 hold, we can also assume:

$$\mathbf{6.10} \quad C^*(x,2) \in \{0, T(2)\} \text{ for all } x.$$

Proof: If 6.10 does not hold for any given x then there is an automorphism in the group generated by $\tau_i (i = 1 \dots n - 1)$ which adds:

$Y(1,y) \cdot C^*(x,2)$ to $C^*(x,y)$ ($y = 1 \dots k$) if $C^*(x,2) \in V(1,2)$ or
 $Y(1,2)$

$Y(1,y) \cdot [C^*(x,2) + T(2)]$ to $C^*(x,y)$ ($y = 1 \dots k$) if $C^*(x,2) \notin V(1,2)$
 $Y(1,2)$

After applying this automorphism to row x of C^* we obtain $C^*(x,1) = 0$ and
 $C^*(x,2) = 0$ (in the first case) or $C^*(x,2) = T(2)$ (in the second case).

7. Obtaining C^* and a Practical Upper Limit on k

Section 3 gave a possible formula for B^* ; thus the major part of the work in finding these designs is obtaining a solution for C^* . It is easy to show that if $\alpha < k$ and B^* , T and Y plus α columns of C^* are specified then finding the remaining columns of C^* comes down to solving a set of linear equations mod 2. For $0 \leq t \leq n - 1$, let:

$CC^*(x,y,t) =$ coefficient of z^t in $C^*(x,y)$

$TT^*(x,y,t) =$ coefficient of z^t in $T^*(x,y)$

$HH^*(y_1,y_2,t) =$ coefficient of z^t in $H^*(y_1,y_2)$

The equations required to determine the entries of C^* are as follows:

- To give the correct column totals $T(y)$:

$$\mathbf{7.5} \quad \sum_{x=1}^{2p} CC^*(x,y,t) = TT(y,t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq n-1, \alpha+1 \leq y \leq k)$$

- To ensure perpendicularity of columns y_1, y_2 in B^*, C^*, Y :

7.6 Whenever x_1, x_2 satisfy $B^*(x_1, y_2) - B^*(x_1, y_1) = B^*(x_2, y_2) - B^*(x_2, y_1)$ then

$$\sum_{t | HH^*(y_1, y_2, t) = 1} [CC^*(x_2, y_2, t) - CC^*(x_2, y_1, t) + CC^*(x_1, y_2, t) - CC^*(x_1, y_1, t)] = 1$$

$$(\alpha + 1 \leq y_1 \leq k, 1 \leq y_2 \leq y_1 - 1).$$

Two obvious upper limits on k are (i) $k \leq 2p$ (since by Theorem 3.1, a $TD(k, 2, p)$ difference array cannot exist for $k > 2p$) and (ii) $k \leq 2^n$ (since the values $Y_{1,y}$ ($y = 1 \dots k$) must all be distinct). From here on, we assume the entries in C^* , Y and T satisfy conditions 6.4 - 6.10. Given this, we now show that provided $\alpha \geq 2$, the number of non-redundant variables equals the number of non-redundant linear equations to be solved if $k = 4n - \alpha + 1$. When $\alpha = 2$ this gives $k = 4n - 1$; thus in most practical cases, the maximum possible value of k is likely to be approximately $\min(2p, 2^n, 4n - 1)$.

When $\alpha \geq 2$, 6.10 does not affect the number of non-redundant variables. In this case, the only redundant variables $CC^*(x, y, t)$ are for $x = 1$ (by 6.7). The non-redundant variables for which solutions have to be found are $CC^*(x, y, t)$ for $2 \leq x \leq 2p$, $\alpha + 1 \leq y \leq k$ and $0 \leq t \leq n - 1$. In other words, the number of non-redundant variables is $(2p - 1)(k - \alpha)n$ ($= (4n - 2\alpha - 1)n(2p - 1)$ if $k = 4n - \alpha - 1$).

We now calculate the number of non-redundant linear equations.

As mentioned earlier when 6.4 - 6.10 hold, $T(y_2) - T(y_1)$ does not lie in $V(y_1, y_2)$. With this condition, any $p - 1$ of the p equations in 7.6 for given y_1, y_2 plus the equations in 7.5 for $y = y_1, y_2$ imply the p 'th equation in 7.6 for y_1, y_2 . Thus, for all y_1, y_2 , the p 'th equation in 7.6 can be considered redundant and there are:

$$(\rho - 1)(k - \alpha)(\alpha + (k - 1))/2 \text{ non-redundant equations in 7.6 for all } y_1, y_2$$

$$n(k - \alpha) \text{ equations in 7.5 for all } y.$$

Thus when $k = 4n - \alpha + 1$, the total number of non-redundant equations is $(4n - 2\alpha + 1)[(\rho - 1)2n + n] = (4n - 2\alpha + 1)n(2\rho - 1)$, the total number of non-redundant variables as required.

8. Concluding Remarks

A few computer runs showed that for some choices of α , B^* , Y and T the linear equations in 7.5 and 7.6 may have no solution even if the first α columns of Y , B^* and C^* are perpendicular and satisfy conditions 6.4 - 6.10. However, the following two problems remain open:

(i) If p is an odd prime power and $k = \text{Min}(2p, 2^n, 4n-1)$ then can a $\text{TD}(k, 2^n p)$ difference array always be obtained by the method described?

(ii) Are there any values of p, n, k with $k > 4n - 1$ for which the method described can give a $\text{TD}(k, 2^n p)$ difference array?

For p prime (not a prime power), either (i) $n \leq 5$ $p \leq 17$ or (ii) $n \leq 7$ $p \leq 7$, $p < \text{Min}(2^n, 4n-2)$ and $k = \text{Min}(2p, 2^n, 4n-1)$ we tried to obtain by computer a $\text{TD}(k, 2^n p)$ difference array by the method described. Solutions were found for all possible values of n, p, k . Two of these difference arrays, namely $\text{TD}(8, 40)$ and $\text{TD}(10, 80)$ are given in Appendix A. The larger ones will appear in the first author's thesis. The values of k and $v = 2^n p$ for which we found a $\text{TD}(k, v)$ difference array (and hence also $k - 1$ MOLS of order v) are given in Table 8.1.

Table 8.1

k	v	k	v
6	24, 48	15	176, 208
8	40, 56	19	352, 416, 544
10	80, 160, 640		
14	112, 224, 896		

Alternative constructions for 7 MOLS of order 56 and 5 MOLS of order 24 are known (see [2],[3]). However, for the other values of v, k in Table 8.1, no set of $k - 1$ MOLS of order v appears to have been published, although C. Colbourn has informed us that C. Roberts has obtained 5 MOLS of order 48.

Appendix A

Here suitable arrays A^* and Y are given for TD(8,40) and TD(10,80) difference arrays. For convenience, the elements of $GF(2^n)$ (but not $GF(p)$) are given exponentially; i.e. if z is a root of the given irreducible polynomial for $GF(2^n)$, the element z^i is specified as i . Also, the zero element of $GF(2^n)$ is specified as Z .

A TD(8,40) array:

Irreducible polynomial for $GF(2^3)$: $z^3 + z + 1$.

Y:	Z	0	1	6	5	4	3	2
	Z	1	2	0	6	5	4	3

A*:	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(1,Z)	(4,Z)
	(0,Z)	(1,Z)	(2,5)	(3,4)	(4,6)	(1,2)	(0,3)	(1,3)
	(0,Z)	(2,2)	(4,5)	(1,4)	(3,Z)	(4,6)	(1,2)	(0,0)
	(0,Z)	(3,2)	(1,2)	(4,0)	(2,3)	(4,4)	(4,5)	(1,1)
	(0,Z)	(4,2)	(3,6)	(2,5)	(1,4)	(1,0)	(4,Z)	(4,0)
	(0,Z)	(2,Z)	(3,4)	(3,Z)	(2,1)	(0,6)	(2,3)	(3,1)
	(0,Z)	(3,Z)	(0,0)	(1,0)	(1,Z)	(2,3)	(0,0)	(2,5)
	(0,Z)	(4,Z)	(2,1)	(4,1)	(0,4)	(3,6)	(2,2)	(0,Z)
	(0,Z)	(0,2)	(4,1)	(2,2)	(4,0)	(3,5)	(3,0)	(2,1)
	(0,Z)	(1,2)	(1,6)	(0,3)	(3,2)	(2,5)	(3,Z)	(3,Z)

A TD(10,80) array:

Irreducible polynomial for $GF(2^4)$: $z^4 + z + 1$.

Y:	Z	0	1	14	12	7	2	11	3	6
	Z	1	2	0	13	8	3	12	4	7
	Z	2	3	1	14	9	4	13	5	8

A*:

(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(0,Z)	(1,Z)	(4,Z)	(4,Z)	(1,Z)
(0,Z)	(1,Z)	(2,8)	(3,11)	(4,8)	(1,8)	(0,6)	(1,9)	(4,6)	(4,9)
(0,Z)	(2,3)	(4,6)	(1,0)	(3,14)	(4,14)	(1,1)	(0,7)	(1,2)	(4,1)
(0,Z)	(3,Z)	(1,3)	(4,9)	(2,14)	(4,12)	(4,4)	(1,1)	(0,Z)	(1,0)
(0,Z)	(4,3)	(3,3)	(2,0)	(1,12)	(1,1)	(4,13)	(4,8)	(1,13)	(0,4)
(0,Z)	(2,Z)	(3,13)	(3,7)	(2,10)	(0,1)	(2,6)	(3,5)	(3,8)	(2,5)
(0,Z)	(3,3)	(0,13)	(1,8)	(1,10)	(2,8)	(0,14)	(2,14)	(3,2)	(3,Z)
(0,Z)	(4,Z)	(2,6)	(4,10)	(0,3)	(3,12)	(2,9)	(0,0)	(2,0)	(3,5)
(0,Z)	(0,3)	(4,14)	(2,10)	(4,5)	(3,6)	(3,0)	(2,Z)	(0,14)	(2,Z)
(0,Z)	(1,3)	(1,12)	(0,11)	(3,8)	(2,1)	(3,3)	(3,0)	(2,Z)	(0,Z)

References

- [1]. T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, "Design Theory", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England, 1986.
- [2]. W.H. Mills, "Some Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares", *Proc. 8th S-E Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (1977), 473-487.
- [3]. C. Roberts, "Sets of Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares with 'like subsquares' ", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 61, (1992), 50-63.

(Received 17/1/94)