

*Article*



# **Age-Related Blood Levels of Creatine Kinase-MM in Newborns and Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Considerations for the Development of Newborn Screening Algorithms**

**Sarah Nelson [Pot](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3053-7453)ter 1,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8640-8992) Brooke Migliore 1,† [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0991-8371) Javan Carter <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5104-9283) Veronica R. Copeland <sup>1</sup> , Edward C. Smith <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0073-9377) Holly L. Peay <sup>1</sup> and Katerina S. Kucera 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4148-6599)**

- <sup>1</sup> RTI International, Research Triangle Park, Durham, NC 22709, USA; snpotter@rti.org (S.N.P.); bmigliore@rti.org (B.M.); jcarter@rti.org (J.C.); vcopeland@rti.org (V.R.C.); hpeay@rti.org (H.L.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27710, USA; edward.smith@duke.edu
- **\*** Correspondence: kkucera@rti.org; Tel.: +1-(919)-541-6000
- These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an X-linked progressive disorder and the most common type of muscular dystrophy in children. As newborn screening (NBS) for DMD undergoes evaluation for the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel and is already mandated in multiple states, refining NBS algorithms is of utmost importance. NBS for DMD involves measuring creatine kinase-MM (CK-MM) concentration—a biomarker of muscle damage—in dried blood spots. The current test is FDA-approved for samples obtained less than 72 h after birth. Separate reference ranges are needed for samples collected later than 72 h after birth. In this study, we investigated the relationship between age and CK-MM in presumed healthy newborns to inform NBS algorithm designs. In patients with DMD, CK-MM is persistently elevated in childhood and adolescence, while it may be transiently elevated for other reasons in healthy newborns. CK-MM decrease over time was demonstrated by a population sample of 20,306 presumed healthy newborns tested between 0 and 60 days of life and repeat testing of 53 newborns on two separate days. In the population sample, CK-MM concentration was highest in the second 12 h period of life (median =  $318 \text{ ng/mL}$ ) when only 57.6% of newborns tested below 360 ng/mL, the lowest previously published cutoff. By 72 h of age, median CK-MM concentration was  $97 \text{ ng/mL}$ , and  $96.0\%$  of infants had concentrations below 360 ng/mL. Between 72 h and 60 days, median CK-MM concentration ranged from 32 to 37 ng/mL. Establishing age-related cutoffs is crucial for optimizing the sensitivity and specificity of NBS for DMD.

**Keywords:** creatine kinase-MM; newborn screening; dried blood spot; neuromuscular disorder; Duchenne muscular dystrophy

### **1. Introduction**

Creatine kinase (CK) catalyzes the conversion of creatine to phosphocreatine, which is used to regenerate adenosine triphosphate in cells. CK is particularly concentrated in tissues with high energy demand. Cellular damage in these tissues can cause CK to leak from cells to blood serum; therefore, elevated serum CK is a secondary biomarker of damage in tissues such as the heart, skeletal muscle, kidneys, and brain.

CK is a dimeric molecule composed of subunits M and B. The combination of these subunits results in three tissue-specific isoenzymes: -MM, -MB, and -BB. The CK-MM isoenzyme is the most abundant isoform in skeletal muscle, accounting for 98% of total skeletal muscle CK. Transient CK-MM increase in blood has been associated with trauma, myocardial infarction, polymyositis, stroke, cerebral disease, and exercise [\[1\]](#page-8-0), while sustained CK-MM elevation is a biomarker of neuromuscular disease [\[2,](#page-8-1)[3\]](#page-8-2).



**Citation:** Potter, S.N.; Migliore, B.; Carter, J.; Copeland, V.R.; Smith, E.C.; Peay, H.L.; Kucera, K.S. Age-Related Blood Levels of Creatine Kinase-MM in Newborns and Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Considerations for the Development of Newborn Screening Algorithms. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2024**, *10*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns10020041>

Academic Editor: Raquel Yahyaoui

Received: 23 April 2024 Revised: 13 May 2024 Accepted: 31 May 2024 Published: 19 June 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is the most common degenerative neuromuscular disorder. DMD is caused by pathogenic variants in the X-linked *DMD* gene that lead to the absence of the protein dystrophin, which causes deterioration of structural stability in muscle cells [\[4\]](#page-8-3). Symptoms of DMD typically appear between 2 and 3 years of age, with a mean age of diagnosis around 5 years [\[5,](#page-8-4)[6\]](#page-8-5). DMD eventually leads to progressive and severe muscle wasting and premature death. The incidence of DMD is approximately 1 in 5000 live male births [\[7\]](#page-8-6). Females are typically asymptomatic carriers or have mild symptomology. Female cases are exceedingly rare [\[8\]](#page-9-0). However, manifesting females, including those with disease severity comparable to males, exist as a result of X chromosome monosomy in individuals with Turner syndrome and bi-allelic inherited and de novo pathogenic variants or as a consequence of non-random X chromosome inactivation. In such cases, the X-chromosome with the unaffected copy of *DMD* is inactivated in most or all cells, resulting in insufficient production of the DMD protein. Additionally, up to approximately 19% of carriers develop skeletal muscle symptoms, and up to approximately 17% develop cardiomyopathy [\[9\]](#page-9-1). Given that symptomatic females exist, it is important to identify them early and ensure equitable access to early treatments and services.

The detection of CK-MM in newborn dried blood spots (DBSs) by the recently developed isoform-specific fluoroimmunoassay [#3311-001U, Revvity] has improved the specificity of screening compared to previous screening for total CK (all isoforms, namely -MM, -MB, and -BB, combined). However, because CK-MM is an indirect marker of DMD and can also be elevated because of other causes of muscle damage [\[10–](#page-9-2)[22\]](#page-9-3), the risk for false positives remains. Indeed, recent studies have shown that transiently elevated CK-MM in the early newborn period could be a significant cause of false-positive NBS referrals. This is because, for most newborns, NBS DBSs are collected in the first 24 to 48 h of life when elevated CK-MM resulting from traumatic birth events (e.g., the use of forceps, vacuum extraction, fractures, or a nuchal cord) may still be returning to normal [\[23\]](#page-9-4). The level of CK-MM in blood at the time of typical NBS may therefore be indistinguishable among newborns with DMD and those recovering from birth trauma [\[21](#page-9-5)[,24\]](#page-9-6). The transient nature of nongenetic hyperCKemia thus presents an opportunity for implementing repeated CK-MM testing to differentiate newborns with DMD experiencing sustained hyperCKemia and to minimize false-positive referrals for newborns whose CK-MM levels normalize within the first few days of life [\[10](#page-9-2)[,14,](#page-9-7)[16,](#page-9-8)[21\]](#page-9-5).

Most NBS programs use a one-screen model where newborns are only screened at birth, typically between 24 and 48 h of life. However, established repeat testing mechanisms can be utilized in the development of NBS algorithms for DMD. For example, 12 U.S. states use a two-screen model where all newborns are screened at birth and then a second time at 1 to 2 weeks of age, often during a "well-baby" visit [\[25\]](#page-9-9). In addition, repeat specimen collection and testing are used in either scenario if the initial specimen did not meet quality control criteria (e.g., poor quality or collected before 24 h of life) or after an initial abnormal or borderline result.

The Genetic Screening Processor (GSP) Neonatal Creatine KinaseMM kit is FDAapproved for use from birth to 72 h of age, and specimen collection and handling requirements indicate that the DBS collection timeframe should occur 24 to 72 h after birth [#3311-001U, Revvity]. Therefore, each laboratory considering repeat specimen testing as a part of the NBS algorithm will need to evaluate its use outside of this age range. In addition, *DMD* sequencing is another effective strategy that may be considered in algorithm development to increase specificity. Incorporating *DMD* sequencing into NBS algorithms has been discussed elsewhere [\[12,](#page-9-10)[26\]](#page-9-11).

Multiple NBS pilot studies have reported various age-related CK-MM cutoffs. Some of these studies have also determined sex, birthweight (BW), and gestational term-related (i.e., preterm vs. full-term) cutoffs (Table [1](#page-2-0) and Figure [1\)](#page-3-0). The New York State (NYS) NBS and RTI International's Early Check NBS voluntary research programs have both implemented cutoffs for CK-MM that decrease as the age at sample collection increases [\[12](#page-9-10)[,16](#page-9-8)[,17](#page-9-12)[,20,](#page-9-13)[21\]](#page-9-5). NYS set both borderline and referral cutoffs; new specimens were requested for any

borderline samples. Early Check also established cutoffs based on BW given that BW and gestational age are positively correlated with CK-MM levels [\[16,](#page-9-8)[17,](#page-9-12)[20,](#page-9-13)[22\]](#page-9-3). Additionally, National Taiwan University Hospital implemented separate cutoffs for full-term  $(750 \text{ ng/mL})$  versus preterm  $(650 \text{ ng/mL})$  newborns [\[10\]](#page-9-2), whereas the supplemental DMD NBS program at Brigham and Women's Hospital, which only included full-term newborns, had separate cutoffs for males (1080 ng/mL) and females (958 ng/mL) [\[19\]](#page-9-14). Two studies in China only included males [\[13,](#page-9-15)[14\]](#page-9-7), and multiple studies have found that CK-MM levels are slightly higher in males compared to females [\[16,](#page-9-8)[17,](#page-9-12)[27\]](#page-9-16). However, despite the X-linked nature of DMD, female carriers can be symptomatic, and given recent improvements in testing and interventions, implementing NBS for DMD for both males and females is beneficial [\[28\]](#page-9-17). Additionally, with the exception of Parad et al. (2021), who relied on the previously established cutoffs for males and females (Revvity), other recent studies have implemented cutoffs between the 99th and 99.985th percentiles based on study-specific population distributions [\[19\]](#page-9-14). Generally, past studies have demonstrated that in unaffected newborns, CK-MM levels are, on average, higher at birth and decrease (i.e., stabilize) at approximately 1 week of life or sooner [\[17,](#page-9-12)[20\]](#page-9-13).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Age-related creatine kinase-MM cutoffs in newborn screening pilot studies.



*Note*. CK-MM: creatine kinase-MM. NBS: newborn screening. <sup>1</sup> Sample size for 2-year pilot study; B: borderline cutoff (new specimen requested for repeat screening); R: referral cutoff; additional specimen requested for samples collected <24 h; percentile cutoff for borderline range. <sup>2</sup> BW: birthweight cutoffs; a provisional cutoff of 1626 ng/mL (based on 99.5th percentile) was used at the beginning of the study. <sup>3</sup> Full-term newborns only. <sup>4</sup> Males only.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Age-related creatine kinase-MM cutoff levels in newborn screening pilot studies. Although **Figure 1.** Age-related creatine kinase-MM cutoff levels in newborn screening pilot studies. Although the x-axis ends at 240 h (i.e., 10 days), four groups did not have upper limits on their age at collection cutoffs: NYS, Early Check/RTI, the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank, and Guangzhou NBS Center, at ≥168, >72, ≥48, and ≥24 h, respectively. In the other studies, the time frames indicated were based on the times of sample collection. Refer to Table [1](#page-2-0) for the full names of NBS pilot studies and  $N_{\rm BH}$  is contradicted. additional information.

NBS for DMD is currently being evaluated for the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel in the United States and has been mandated in several states, including Minnesota, New York, and Ohio. Internationally, Taiwan is the only country that routinely screens From Ferry line Fried Internationally, Intervals are study, Collins, Indicated Service in the study, Intervals<br>for DMD as a part of population-based NBS [\[10,](#page-9-2)[29\]](#page-9-20). Population data are still lacking in various age groups to fully refine reference intervals and screening algorithms. In this various age groups to rany refine reference intervals and sereening algorithmic. In this study, we investigated the relationship between age and CK-MM levels in newborns and stady, we investigated the relationship between tige and CK-MM levels in hewborns and<br>in patients with DMD and defined reference intervals beyond the FDA-approved GSP **2. Materials and Methods** Neonatal CK-MM kit to help inform NBS algorithms for DMD.

# **2. Materials and Methods**

#### $\Omega$  material  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega$  at the North Carolina State La- $\Omega$  at the North Carolina State La- $\Omega$ *2.1. Specimens*

Newborn male and female residual DBSs from NBS at the North Carolina State Laboratory of Public Health were stored in airtight, desiccated bins held at room temperature ratory of Fabric Freamt were block in analysit, debited either held at foolit temperature<br>until testing. Between November 2020 and July 2023, both deidentified specimens and the results. Detween two ember 2020 and jury 2020, both detachmical specimens age range (0, 68) hours, repeat specimen age range (38, 656) hours) [16,18]. Additional specimens from the Early Check NBS research study were included either as singletons or as paired DBSs from the same individual at different ages (*n* = 53, initial specimen age range (0, 68) hours, repeat specimen age range (38, 656) hours) [\[16,](#page-9-8)[18\]](#page-9-18). Additional DBSs were created as previously described [\[30\]](#page-9-21) from venous blood (EDTA) from 15 male patients with DMD (ages 9–25 years) followed at Duke Children's Neuromuscular Clinic.

#### *2.2. Testing Instrument and Assay Kit*

Testing was performed on one GSP (#2021-0010) using the FDA-approved GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit as previously described [\[24\]](#page-9-6). DBSs were punched (3.2 mm) using a DBS puncher (Perkin Elmer/Revvity: Part Number: 1296-071) in singlicate into 96-well test plates containing controls and calibrators as per the kit insert [Revvity Cat. No 3311-001U]. CK-MM levels were quantified through the reportable range of 29.2–8000 ng/mL. Values with results  $\langle 29.2 \text{ and } 28000 \text{ ng/mL}$  were recorded for a subset of specimens using a configuration in the GSP software (version 12-0-4-2), with the understanding that they are not accurate because they lie outside of the linear range of the assay.

#### *2.3. Statistical Analyses*

Data analysis was performed using Stata, R (version 4.3.1, 16 June 2023), and RStudio (v 2023.12.1+402). Descriptive summaries and tabulations were performed using Stata MP/17.0. Plots were created in R with ggplot2 (version 3.4.2). Linear regression equations, correlation coefficients, and plots with 95% confidence intervals were generated in R using the ggplot2 geom\_smooth lm and stat\_regline\_equation functions. For samples at the lower limit of linearity of the assay (<29.2 ng/mL), the midpoint between 0 and 29.2 ng/mL  $(14.6 \text{ ng/mL})$  was used for statistical analyses as previously described by Kucera et al. (2024) [\[16\]](#page-9-8).

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. CK and CK-MM Levels in Patients with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy*

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Total CK and CK-MM levels were elevated in childhood and into teenage years in the 15 patients with DMD (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Total CK and CK-MM levels were strongly correlated  $(R2 = 0.89)$ . Both total CK and CK-MM levels were negatively correlated with age, presumably because of severe muscle loss [\[31](#page-9-22)[,32\]](#page-10-0).

![](_page_4_Figure_10.jpeg)

**Figure 2.** Total CK and CK-MM levels are correlated in patients with DMD. (**A**) Total CK and CK-MM scatter plot and correlation. (**B**) CK-MM correlation with age in years and (**C**) total CK correlation with age in years. Gray shading outside of trendlines indicates 95% confidence intervals.

### *3.2. CK-MM Levels in Paired Initial and Repeat Newborn Specimens*

CK-MM concentration in paired specimens collected on different days from each of the 53 newborns who were presumed healthy indicated rapid CK-MM normalization soon after birth for individuals with initially elevated CK-MM levels. In most newborns (94.3%), CK-MM concentration decreased over time or remained at or below the lower limit of the reportable range (29.2 ng/mL) (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). Only three individuals (5.6%) experienced a CK-MM increase. Two of those cases had a marginal increase: one increased from 192 to

 $208$  ng/mL (8.3% increase) between 49 and 66 h old, and the other increased from 87 to 94 ng/mL (8.0% increase) between 25 and 109 h. One case had an unexplained CK-MM<br>increase from 147 to 382 ng/mL (159.9% increase) between 33 and 200 h increase from 147 to 382 ng/mL (159.9% increase) between 33 and 209 h.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure 3.** CK-MM levels in paired specimens from 53 newborns. The initial specimen results (black **Figure 3.** CK-MM levels in paired specimens from 53 newborns. The initial specimen results (black dots) and repeat specimen results (red-filled dots) collected from the same newborn on different days are connected by solid black lines. Example cutoffs (horizontal dashed lines) from a previous days are connected by solid black lines. Example cutoffs (horizontal dashed lines) from a previous study [16] are shown for reference. study [\[16\]](#page-9-8) are shown for reference.

#### *3.3. Age-Based CK-MM Ranges in the Newborn Period*

*3.3. Age-Based CK-MM Ranges in the Newborn Period* CK-MM screening results were analyzed for groups within and outside of the kit trating the relatively rapid CK-MM concentration change in the early newborn period. The remaining groups are displayed in day ranges up to 60 days of life. The red-filled<br>have highlight the distributions of CK MM layels in the argume of newborns for wharm trating the relatively rapid CK-MM concentration change in the early newborn period. DBSs were collected after 72 h of age, which is outside of the FDA-approved kit specifications. CK-MM concentrations do not follow a normal distribution; therefore, medians and specifications (Figure [4,](#page-6-0) Table [2\)](#page-6-1). The first 2 days are displayed in 12 h increments, illusboxes highlight the distributions of CK-MM levels in the groups of newborns for whom percentiles were compared.

ercentiies were compared.<br>On average, newborns who are tested in the first 2 days of life have higher levels of CK-MM concentration than those who are tested later. By 49 to 72 h/day 3, median CK-MM concentration was 97 ng/mL, and 96.0% of newborns had concentrations below 360 ng/mL. The percentile analysis and assessment of the proportion of the sample below<br>the lowest proviously used sutoff (260 ng/mL) [16] indicated permelization of CK MM concentration to baseline from day 4 to day 10. After day 10, over 99% of CK-MM values were below 360 ng/mL. Between days 11 and 60, the mean and median CK-MM values were closely aligned, and the distributions in these groups were highly right-skewed with<br>only soven newborns (0.58%) baying CK MM concentrations above 360 ng/mJ ng/mL. The percentile analysis and assessment of the proportion of the sample below t the lowest previously used cutoff (360 ng/mL) [\[16\]](#page-9-8) indicated normalization of CK-MM only seven newborns (0.58%) having CK-MM concentrations above 360 ng/mL.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure 4.** Log10 CK-MM concentration by hour/day range. The box and whisker plots for each age range within (white fill) and outside (red fill) the kit specifications represent the inner quartile range within (white fill) and outside (red fill) the kit specifications represent the inner quartile ranges (i.e., 50% of the data distributions in each group) with the median indicated by a horizontal line. The  $\,$ whiskers represent the upper and lower quartiles, and the notches show differences in the medians. The midpoint between 0 and 29.2 ng/mL (14.6 ng/mL) was used for values below the lower limit of the reportable range of the assay (<29.2 ng/mL). Values above the upper limit of the reportable range able range (>8000 ng/mL) are included but not considered quantitative. (>8000 ng/mL) are included but not considered quantitative. **Figure 4.** Log10 CK-MM concentration by hour/day range. The box and whisker plots for each age

![](_page_6_Picture_972.jpeg)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 2.** CK-MM concentration by hour/day range (*N* = 20,306).

**4. Discussion** *Note*. The lower limit of the reportable CK-MM range is 29.2 ng/mL; this value was found in each group. Four CK-MM values above the 8000 ng/mL upper limit of the reportable range were excluded from this analysis.

## defined reference intervals beyond the FDA-approved GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit. As ex-**4. Discussion**

We investigated the relationship between age and CK-MM levels in newborns and the levels observed in the general population during childhood and adolescence. Both CK NM 10. defined reference intervals beyond the FDA-approved GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit. As expected, both total CK and CK-MM levels in patients with DMD remained elevated above<br>expected, both total CK and CK-MM levels in patients with DMD remained elevated above the levels observed in the general population during childhood and adolescence. Both CK and CK-MM were found to be negatively correlated with age.

Conversely, the rapid stabilization of blood CK-MM in healthy newborns can be readily detected with repeat testing within a few days after birth. Repeat specimens were not available from newborns with DMD for comparison; however, given the sustained CK-MM elevation in older children, and previously reported cases of repeat testing in newborns with neuromuscular conditions [\[10](#page-9-2)[,14](#page-9-7)[,21\]](#page-9-5), repeat CK-MM testing is expected to

distinguish DMD cases from unaffected newborns and significantly improve the positive predictive value of NBS.

A considerable proportion of newborns screened in the first 3 days after birth, when NBS typically occurs, had higher levels of CK-MM relative to the newborns who were screened after 72 h of age, indicating that age-based CK-MM levels must be considered during assay verification and evaluation of options for appropriate NBS algorithms. Multiple cutoffs have been previously proposed by different NBS programs based on variables, including age [\[11\]](#page-9-23). Considering age in NBS algorithm design is significant to optimize both positive and negative predictive values.

Most newborns undergo NBS within the first week of life; however, some newborns may not be tested for several weeks. Furthermore, for programs that implement repeat NBS, tests are performed after the 72 h period that is currently covered by FDA approval for the commonly used GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit. The temporal profile of the CK-MM reference intervals beyond 72 h of age informs about appropriate cutoffs for newborns who may receive NBS at an older age and about the appropriate timing of second specimen collection for repeat testing that will reflect the expected CK-MM normalization to baseline.

We previously compared CK-MM levels in newborn males and females and determined that the population difference does not warrant separate NBS cutoffs for these groups [\[16\]](#page-9-8). Females identified through CK-MM-based NBS are expected to have significant muscle damage, resulting in CK-MM values above the NBS threshold, while CK-MM levels in most asymptomatic females may be indistinguishable from the general population. The early identification of symptomatic females and females at risk of becoming symptomatic will improve equitable access to treatments and services; however, evidence is needed to determine the rate of identification of symptomatic and asymptomatic female cases and carriers using CK-MM-based NBS and the benefits of early intervention for identified symptomatic females.

Multiple algorithms involving repeat CK-MM testing and *DMD* sequencing have been piloted and considered for NBS implementation. Repeat CK-MM testing and targeted genomic sequencing of the *DMD* gene are important strategies to consider for NBS algorithms to increase testing specificity. However, it has yet to be determined how feasible and time-effective NBS algorithms that involve or do not involve sequencing and repeat CK-MM will be. Additionally, residual clinical sensitivity limitations remain with respect to *DMD* sequencing; therefore, a subset of DMD cases may test positive with CK-MM screening but will not be detected by current targeted next-generation sequencing tests [\[33](#page-10-1)[–35\]](#page-10-2). Repeat CK-MM testing may reduce the need for sequencing; however, a new specimen procurement for repeat CK-MM testing will incur a separate cost and may delay diagnosis. Considerations around program-specific facilitators and barriers (e.g., differences in state specimen collection requirements [one- vs. two-screen states], newborn age requirements for first and second DBS collection, newborn population demographics, accessibility to in-house or outsourced *DMD* sequencing, and historical rates of repeat specimen collection) will be important in decision making as NBS programs prepare to implement DMD screening for their specific populations.

#### **5. Conclusions**

Factors unrelated to DMD (e.g., birth trauma) can affect CK-MM levels in the newborn period and lead to transiently elevated CK-MM levels detected in DBSs collected within the first days of life for NBS. This may interfere with differentiating healthy newborns from newborns with DMD, who are characterized by sustained CK-MM elevation at birth, during childhood, and during the teenage years. Many previous studies have developed age-specific cutoffs to optimize the sensitivity and specificity of NBS for DMD with the GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit that are informative for public health implementation options. The results from this study provide guidance for the use of the FDA-approved GSP Neonatal CK-MM kit beyond 72 h of age. Each program needs to consider population- and programspecific factors when designing their algorithms, including the minimum age of DBS

collection at which transiently elevated CK-MM has normalized. We show that collection of repeat DBSs should occur at least after 72 h of age, when 96% of newborns have CK-MM levels below the lowest previously used cutoff (i.e., 360 ng/mL) [\[16\]](#page-9-8). By 10 days of life, CK-MM normalizes for over 99% of newborns.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, B.M. and K.S.K.; data curation, S.N.P., B.M., J.C. and V.R.C.; formal analysis, S.N.P. and K.S.K.; funding acquisition, H.L.P.; methodology, S.N.P., B.M. and K.S.K.; project administration, H.L.P. and K.S.K.; supervision, H.L.P. and K.S.K.; validation, B.M. and K.S.K.; visualization, S.N.P. and K.S.K.; writing—original draft, S.N.P., B.M. and K.S.K.; writing—review and editing, S.N.P., B.M., J.C., V.R.C., E.C.S., H.L.P. and K.S.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by Sarepta Therapeutics and the Muscular Dystrophy Association (MDA), with additional support from the John Merck Fund. In-kind support for equipment and laboratory supplies and reagents was provided by Revvity (formerly PerkinElmer).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (protocol code #18-0009; approval date: 10 June 2020).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Consent was obtained from Early Check participants. Consent was not required for the deidentified specimens used for assay validation.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data are not publicly available due to privacy restrictions.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (NC DHHS), Division of Public Health, and the patients at Duke Children's Neuromuscular Clinic for contributing samples. The findings and conclusions in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the NC DHHS, Division of Public Health. We thank all Early Check families for their contributions. The color palette in this publication was inspired by the film *The Wonderful Story of Henry Sugar*.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The salaries of the following authors were supported in part by Sarepta Therapeutics and MDA: S.N.P., B.M., J.K.C., V.R.C., H.L.P. and K.S.K. Additionally, E.C.S. received support from Sarepta Therapeutics. H.L.P. received support from Sarepta Therapeutics, the Muscular Dystrophy Association, Duchenne UK, Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy, the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust, JDRF International, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Travere Therapeutics, and Orchard Therapeutics. K.S.K. received research support from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the NIH, the Angelman Syndrome Foundation, the Foundation for Angelman Syndrome Therapeutics, the Foundation for Prader-Willi Research, Dup15q Alliance, the National MPS Society, Ultragenyx, and Ionis Pharmaceuticals.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Baird, M.F.; Graham, S.M.; Baker, J.S.; Bickerstaff, G.F. Creatine-kinase- and exercise-related muscle damage implications for muscle performance and recovery. *J. Nutr. Metab.* **2012**, *2012*, 960363. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/960363)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Gatheridge, M.A.; Kwon, J.M.; Mendell, J.M.; Scheuerbrandt, G.; Moat, S.J.; Eyskens, F.; Rockman-Greenberg, C.; Drousiotou, A.; Griggs, R.C. Identifying Non-Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy-Positive and False Negative Results in Prior Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Newborn Screening Programs: A Review. *JAMA Neurol.* **2016**, *73*, 111–116. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.3537)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Lovering, R.M.; Porter, N.C.; Bloch, R.J. The muscular dystrophies: From genes to therapies. *Phys. Ther.* **2005**, *85*, 1372–1388. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/85.12.1372)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Hoffman, E.P.; Brown, R.H., Jr.; Kunkel, L.M. Dystrophin: The protein product of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy locus. *Cell* **1987**, *51*, 919–928. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90579-4)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. Ciafaloni, E.; Fox, D.J.; Pandya, S.; Westfield, C.P.; Puzhankara, S.; Romitti, P.A.; Mathews, K.D.; Miller, T.M.; Matthews, D.J.; Miller, L.A.; et al. Delayed diagnosis in duchenne muscular dystrophy: Data from the Muscular Dystrophy Surveillance, Tracking, and Research Network (MD STARnet). *J. Pediatr.* **2009**, *155*, 380–385. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.02.007)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. Thomas, S.; Conway, K.M.; Fapo, O.; Street, N.; Mathews, K.D.; Mann, J.R.; Romitti, P.A.; Soim, A.; Westfield, C.; Fox, D.J.; et al. Time to diagnosis of Duchenne muscular dystrophy remains unchanged: Findings from the Muscular Dystrophy Surveillance, Tracking, and Research Network, 2000–2015. *Muscle Nerve* **2022**, *66*, 193–197. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.27532)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. Kariyawasam, D.; D'Silva, A.; Mowat, D.; Russell, J.; Sampaio, H.; Jones, K.; Taylor, P.; Farrar, M. Incidence of Duchenne muscular dystrophy in the modern era; an Australian study. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2022**, *30*, 1398–1404. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-022-01138-2)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>8. Duan, D.; Goemans, N.; Takeda, S.; Mercuri, E.; Aartsma-Rus, A. Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2021**, *7*, 13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-021-00248-3)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>9. Ishizaki, M.; Kobayashi, M.; Adachi, K.; Matsumura, T.; Kimura, E. Female dystrophinopathy: Review of current literature. *Neuromuscul. Disord.* **2018**, *28*, 572–581. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmd.2018.04.005)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>10. Chien, Y.H.; Lee, N.C.; Weng, W.C.; Chen, L.C.; Huang, Y.H.; Wu, C.S.; Hwu, W.L. Duchenne muscular dystrophy newborn screening: The first 50,000 newborns screened in Taiwan. *Neurol. Sci.* **2022**, *43*, 4563–4566. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-022-06128-2)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>11. Dantonio, P.; Tavakoli, N.P.; Migliore, B.; McCown, E.; Lim, T.; Park, S.; Caggana, M.; Kucera, K.S.; Phan, H.; Street, N.; et al. Multi-Laboratory Evaluation of Prototype Dried Blood Spot Quality Control Materials for Creatine Kinase-MM Newborn Screening Assays. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2023**, *9*, 13. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns9010013)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>12. Hartnett, M.J.; Lloyd-Puryear, M.A.; Tavakoli, N.P.; Wynn, J.; Koval-Burt, C.L.; Gruber, D.; Trotter, T.; Caggana, M.; Chung, W.K.; Armstrong, N.; et al. Newborn Screening for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: First Year Results of a Population-Based Pilot. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2022**, *8*, 50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns8040050)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>13. Jia, X.; Jiang, X.; Huang, Y. A pilot study of newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy in Guangzhou. *Heliyon* **2022**, *8*, e11071. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11071)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>14. Jia, C.; Zhao, D.; Li, Y.; Gao, Y.; Zhang, X.; Li, X.; Lv, S.; Li, R.; Zhu, X.; Liu, S. Newborn screening and genomic analysis of duchenne muscular dystrophy in Henan, China. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2023**, *539*, 90–96. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2022.11.024)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>15. Ke, Q.; Zhao, Z.Y.; Griggs, R.; Wiley, V.; Connolly, A.; Kwon, J.; Qi, M.; Sheehan, D.; Ciafaloni, E.; Howell, R.R.; et al. Newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy in China: Follow-up diagnosis and subsequent treatment. *World J. Pediatr.* **2017**, *13*, 197–201. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-017-0036-3)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>16. Kucera, K.S.; Boyea, B.L.; Migliore, B.; Potter, S.N.; Robles, V.R.; Kutsa, O.; Cope, H.; Okoniewski, K.C.; Wheeler, A.; Rehder, C.W.; et al. Two years of newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy as a part of the statewide Early Check research program in North Carolina. *Genet. Med.* **2024**, *26*, 101009. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2023.101009)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>17. Maloney, B.; Park, S.; Sowizral, M.; Brackett, I.; Moslehi, R.; Chung, W.K.; Gruber, D.; Brower, A.; Lloyd-Puryear, M.; Caggana, M.; et al. Factors influencing creatine kinase-MM concentrations in newborns and implications for newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Clin. Biochem.* **2023**, *118*, 110614. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2023.110614)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>18. Migliore, B.A.; Zhou, L.; Duparc, M.; Robles, V.R.; Rehder, C.W.; Peay, H.L.; Kucera, K.S. Evaluation of the GSP Creatine Kinase-MM Assay and Assessment of CK-MM Stability in Newborn, Patient, and Contrived Dried Blood Spots for Newborn Screening for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2022**, *8*, 12. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns8010012)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>19. Parad, R.B.; Sheldon, Y.; Bhattacharjee, A. Implementation of Hospital-Based Supplemental Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Newborn Screening (sDMDNBS): A Pathway to Broadening Adoption. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2021**, *7*, 77. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns7040077)
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>20. Park, S.; Maloney, B.; Caggana, M.; Tavakoli, N.P. Creatine kinase-MM concentration in dried blood spots from newborns and implications for newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Muscle Nerve* **2022**, *65*, 652–658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.27533)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>21. Tavakoli, N.P.; Gruber, D.; Armstrong, N.; Chung, W.K.; Maloney, B.; Park, S.; Wynn, J.; Koval-Burt, C.; Verdade, L.; Tegay, D.H.; et al. Newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy: A two-year pilot study. *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.* **2023**, *10*, 1383–1396. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51829)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>22. Timonen, A.; Lloyd-Puryear, M.; Hougaard, D.M.; Meriö, L.; Mäkinen, P.; Laitala, V.; Pölönen, T.; Skogstrand, K.; Kennedy, A.; Airenne, S.; et al. Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Newborn Screening: Evaluation of a New GSP(®) Neonatal Creatine Kinase-MM Kit in a US and Danish Population. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* **2019**, *5*, 27. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns5030027)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>23. Amato, M.; Nagel, R.; Hüppi, P. [Creatine-kinase MM in the perinatal period]. *Klin. Padiatr.* **1991**, *203*, 389–394. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-1025460)
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>24. Moat, S.J.; Korpimäki, T.; Furu, P.; Hakala, H.; Polari, H.; Meriö, L.; Mäkinen, P.; Weeks, I. Characterization of a Blood Spot Creatine Kinase Skeletal Muscle Isoform Immunoassay for High-Throughput Newborn Screening of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. *Clin. Chem.* **2017**, *63*, 908–914. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2016.268425)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>25. Health Resources & Services Administration. Newborn Screening Process. Available online: [https://newbornscreening.hrsa.](https://newbornscreening.hrsa.gov/newborn-screening-process) [gov/newborn-screening-process](https://newbornscreening.hrsa.gov/newborn-screening-process) (accessed on 11 March 2024).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>26. Farrar, M.A.; Kariyawasam, D.; Grattan, S.; Bayley, K.; Davis, M.; Holland, S.; Waddel, L.B.; Jones, K.; Lorentzos, M.; Ravine, A.; et al. Newborn Screening for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy. *J. Neuromuscul. Dis.* **2023**, *10*, 15–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3233/jnd-221535)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>27. Neal, R.C.; Ferdinand, K.C.; Ycas, J.; Miller, E. Relationship of ethnic origin, gender, and age to blood creatine kinase levels. *Am. J. Med.* **2009**, *122*, 73–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2008.08.033)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>28. Gruber, D.; Lloyd-Puryear, M.; Armstrong, N.; Scavina, M.; Tavakoli, N.P.; Brower, A.M.; Caggana, M.; Chung, W.K. Newborn screening for Duchenne muscular dystrophy-early detection and diagnostic algorithm for female carriers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* **2022**, *190*, 197–205. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.32000)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>29. Chien, Y.H.; Hwu, W.L. The modern face of newborn screening. *Pediatr. Neonatol.* **2023**, *64* (Suppl. S1), S22–S29. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2022.11.001)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>30. Lee, S.; Taylor, J.L.; Redmond, C.; Hadd, A.G.; Kemppainen, J.A.; Haynes, B.C.; Shone, S.; Bailey, D.B., Jr.; Latham, G.J. Validation of Fragile X Screening in the Newborn Population Using a Fit-for-Purpose FMR1 PCR Assay System. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **2020**, *22*, 346–354. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2019.11.002)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>31. Van de Velde, N.M.; Koeks, Z.; Signorelli, M.; Verwey, N.; Overzier, M.; Bakker, J.A.; Sajeev, G.; Signorovitch, J.; Ricotti, V.; Verschuuren, I.; et al. Longitudinal Assessment of Creatine Kinase, Creatine/Creatinine(ratio), and Myostatin as Monitoring Biomarkers in Becker Muscular Dystrophy. *Neurology* **2023**, *100*, e975–e984. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000201609)
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>32. Zatz, M.; Rapaport, D.; Vainzof, M.; Passos-Bueno, M.R.; Bortolini, E.R.; Pavanello Rde, C.; Peres, C.A. Serum creatine-kinase (CK) and pyruvate-kinase (PK) activities in Duchenne (DMD) as compared with Becker (BMD) muscular dystrophy. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **1991**, *102*, 190–196. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x(91)90068-i)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>33. De Palma, F.D.E.; Nunziato, M.; D'Argenio, V.; Savarese, M.; Esposito, G.; Salvatore, F. Comprehensive Molecular Analysis of DMD Gene Increases the Diagnostic Value of Dystrophinopathies: A Pilot Study in a Southern Italy Cohort of Patients. *Diagnostics* **2021**, *11*, 1910. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11101910)
- 34. Fratter, C.; Dalgleish, R.; Allen, S.K.; Santos, R.; Abbs, S.; Tuffery-Giraud, S.; Ferlini, A. EMQN best practice guidelines for genetic testing in dystrophinopathies. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2020**, *28*, 1141–1159. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-020-0643-7)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>35. Wei, X.; Dai, Y.; Yu, P.; Qu, N.; Lan, Z.; Hong, X.; Sun, Y.; Yang, G.; Xie, S.; Shi, Q.; et al. Targeted next-generation sequencing as a comprehensive test for patients with and female carriers of DMD/BMD: A multi-population diagnostic study. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **2014**, *22*, 110–118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2013.82)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.