

RESULTS FRAMEWORK FOR 2022-23 BIENNIUM

DIMENSION	TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE				
High-level indicator of organizational performance	Baseline (2020- 21)	Target (Cumulative figure for the 2022- 23 biennium)	Results (2022)	Results (2023)	Results (2022-23)
Immediate Outcome 1	The Centre has further expanded its	s service outreach			
Outcome indicator 1.A: Percentage of growth in training outreach over the previous biennium among direct training beneficiaries	182 per cent	26 per cent		26 per cent	
Outcome indicator 1.B: Percentage of growth in training outreach over the previous biennium among indirect training beneficiaries	N/A	150 per cent		306 per cent	
Source : Enrolments in e-Campus, External eCampus platforms	Num enrolments: 6 018		Num enrolments: 24 411		
Outputs linked to Outcome 1					
Output indicator 1.1a: Number of participants reached through face-to-face training activities , disaggregated by gender, tripartite constituents and showing the share of these face-to- face learners involved in blended learning activities Source: MAP	7 891 participants, with 27 per cent of them women Among them: 141 employer participants 865 worker participants 248 labour ministry participants 11 per cent involved in blended training	20 000 participants	6 929 enrolments with 35 per cent of them women Among them: 200 employer participants 530 worker participants 499 labour ministry participants 18 per cent involved in blended training	6 816 enrolments with 48 per cent of them women Among them: 310 employer participants 802 worker participants 857 labour ministry participants 33 per cent involved in blended training	13 745 enrolments with 41 per cent of them women Among them: 510 employer participants 1 332 worker participants 1 356 labour ministry participants 25 per cent involved in blended training
Output indicator 1.1b: Number of participants reached through distance learning activities, disaggregated by gender, tripartite constituents and distance learning modality Source: e-Campus, SoliComm, MAP Unique distance learners are counted once in the biennium even if they were UDL in both years.	73 275 unique distance learners accounting for 124 863 enrolments with 41 per cent of them women Among them: 7 083 employer participants 11 516 worker participants 8 916 labour ministry participants	100 000 enrolments	74 473 enrolments with 39 per cent of them women Among them: 1 495 employer participants (+ 8 621 enrolments on external platforms) 4 928 worker participants 4 083 labour ministry participants 50 993 unique distance learners	79 026 enrolments with 39 per cent of them women Among them: 1 058 employer participants (+ 7 711 enrolments on external platforms) 3 284 worker participants 3 480 labour ministry participants 51 447 unique distance learners	153 499 enrolments with 39 per cent of them women Among them: 2 553 employer participants (+ 16 332 enrolments on external platforms) 8 212 worker participants 7 563 labour ministry participants 97 344 Unique distance learners



Output indicator 1.1c: Gender distribution and affiliation to ILO core constituents for both face-to-face and distance learning	40 per cent women participants 22 per cent learners affiliated with the ILO core constituency	45 per cent women participants 30 per cent learners affiliated with the ILO core constituency	38 per cent women participants 22 per cent learners affiliated with the ILO core constituency* *Amended to include enrolments on external platforms hosted by the Centre	40 per cent women 26 per cent affiliated with the ILO core constituency* *including enrolments on external platforms hosted by the Centre	39 per cent women 24 per cent affiliated with the ILO core constituency *including enrolments on external platforms hosted by the Centre
Output indicator 1.2: Number of enrolments in activities run by institutional intermediaries via online platforms hosted by the Centre Source: External eCampus platforms	5 145 enrolments	15 000 enrolments	11 190 enrolments	12 818 enrolments	24 008 enrolments
Output indicator 1.3: Number of Master students enrolments Source: MAP (All Masters participants)	743 students	450 students	338 enrolments	245 enrolments	479 enrolments A student is counted only once in biennial Masters
Output indicator 1.4: Percentage of training activities designed and/or delivered in institutional partnership with ILO technical departments and/or ILO field offices	64 per cent	66 per cent	62 per cent	64 per cent	63 per cent
Output indicator 1.5: Number of ILO staff trained by the Centre Source: e-Campus, SoliComm, MAP	206 (Face-to-face) 3 094 (Unique Distance Learners)	800 (Face-to-face) 3 500 (Unique Distance Learners)	142 (Face-to-face) 1 639 (Unique Distance Learners)	495 (Face-to-face) 1788 (Unique Distance Learners)	637 (Face-to-face) 3 255 (Unique Distance Learners)
Output indicator 1.6: Percentage of training activities designed and/or delivered in partnership with other organizationsSource: MAP	25 per cent	25 per cent	28 per cent	16 per cent	22 per cent
Output indicator 1.7: Share of non-training services in the capacity development portfolio of the Centre Source: MAP	35 per cent	36 per cent	33 per cent	41 per cent	37 per cent
Output indicator 1.8: Number of organizations reached with Institutional capacity development advisory services	8	10	13	17	25
Immediate Outcome 2	The Centre has further increased it	s service impact			
Outcome indicator 2.A: Percentage of participants in training activities who agreed or strongly agreed that the courses were relevant to their needs	(2021) 95.4	75 per cent	95 per cent	96.9 per cent	95.9 per cent



					1
Outcome indicator 2.B:	(2021)				
Percentage of participants in training activities who agreed or strongly agreed that the courses that the courses translated theory into practice	92.3 per cent	75 per cent	90.5 per cent	93.8 per cent	92 per cent
Source: External evaluation survey					
Outcome indicator 2.C:	(2021)				
Percentage of participants in training activities who agreed or strongly agreed that they can apply the knowledge in their work setting.	94.3 per cent	75 per cent	94.2 per cent	93.6 per cent	93.9 per cent
Source: External evaluation survey					
Outcome indicator 2.D:	57 per cent	50 per cent	52.3 per cent	75.4 per cent	62.5 per cent
Percentage of participants in training activities who provided concrete knowledge application examples		The original target of 60% (P&B 2022-23) has been re-adjusted in line with the changes that took place to the assessment			
Source: External evaluation survey.		method since 2021			
Outputs linked to Outcome 2					
Output indicator 2.1:	82 per cent	85 per cent	85 per cent	83 per cent	84 per cent
Percentage of participants who acquire new knowledge during training.				·	·
Source: Post-KAT					
Output indicator 2.2:	4.46	4.5	4.49	4.58	4.54
End-of-activity level of participant satisfaction with the overall quality of training activities.	Face-to-face training: 4.38 Distance learning: 4.46		Face-to-face training: 4.52 Distance learning: 4.49	Face-to-face training: 4.6 Distance learning: 4.56	Face-to-face training: 4.57 Distance learning: 4.52
Source: Eval	Diotanos rouming. 1. 10			January January	
Output indicator 2.3:	N/A	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2
Average participants satisfaction level with meeting and event facilitation services.					
Source: Post-event satisfaction questionnaire					
Output indicator 2.4:					
Average participants satisfaction level with consultancy services.	N/A	4.5	5	5	5
Source: Post-service performance evaluation questionnaire					
0.10.10.10.05	N/A	4.5	N/A	5	5
Output indicator 2.5:	I IN/A				



Output indicator 2.6: Average participants satisfaction level with product development services.	N/A	4.5			
Output indicator 2.7: Average participants satisfaction level with knowledge management services.	N/A	4.5	Classification and quality assurance processes of these non-training services along the ISO recommended PDCA cycle are to be re-visited during the 2024-2025 biennium		
Output indicator 2.8: Average participants satisfaction level with project management services.	N/A	4.5			
Output indicator 2.9: Share of training activities bundled as diploma Source: MAP	N/A	25 per cent	8 per cent	17 per cent	12 per cent
Output indicator 2.10: Rating of the Centre's learning analytics system on the LA sophistication pathway Source: LA system sophistication matrix	Level 2.5	Level 3	Meso-level learning analytics system in progress	Level 3	Level 3
DIMILNSION	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE				
DIMENSION	THANOIAE I EN ONMANCE				
Immediate Outcome 3	The Centre has further diversified in	ts revenue mix			
		ts revenue mix 56 per cent	59 per cent	60 per cent	59.7 per cent
Immediate Outcome 3 Outcome indicator 3.A: Share of total net contribution from earned revenue to total net contribution to cover fixed expenditure,	The Centre has further diversified in 54 per cent		59 per cent	60 per cent	59.7 per cent
Immediate Outcome 3 Outcome indicator 3.A: Share of total net contribution from earned revenue to total net contribution to cover fixed expenditure, contingency and institutional investments.	The Centre has further diversified in 54 per cent		59 per cent 29 per cent	60 per cent 12 per cent	59.7 per cent 24.5 per cent
Outcome indicator 3.A: Share of total net contribution from earned revenue to total net contribution to cover fixed expenditure, contingency and institutional investments. Outputs linked to Outcome 3 Output indicator 3.1: Percentage of growth in the number of funding	The Centre has further diversified in 54 per cent Source: ORACLE N/A	56 per cent			



Immediate Outcome 4	The Centre has improved its operational efficiency					
Outcome indicator 4.A: Ratio of support service staff to the total staff.	33 per cent Source: HRS	30 per cent	31 per cent	27 per cent	27 per cent	
Outputs linked to Outcome 4						
Output indicator 4.1: Number of business processes streamlined and digitalized during the reporting period.	4 Source: FINSERV/ICTS	6	2	4	9	
Output indicator 4.2: Share of indirect staff costs in total staff costs.	31.4 per cent Source: ORACLE	29.75 per cent	30.3 per cent	29.75 per cent	29.82 per cent	
DIMENSION	ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE					
Immediate Outcome 5	The staff of the Centre have been u	pskilled to perform their new ro	les			
Outcome indicator 5.A: Percentage of recommendations from the 2021 skills gap survey implemented.	N/A Source: HRS	100 per cent	90 per cent	100 per cent	100 per cent	
Outputs linked to Outcome 5						
Output indicator 5.1: HR strategy document released.	N/A Source: HRS	By June 2022	Released on time	100 per cent	100 per cent	
Output indicator 5.2: Performance appraisals linked to indicators cascaded from this results framework	N/A Source: HRS	By December 2022	Transition period started in March 2023 – new policy in force January 2024	100 per cent	100 per cent	
Output indicator 5.3: Share of job descriptions reviewed in consultation with incumbents and supervisors	N/A Source: HRS	100 per cent	50 per cent	50 per cent	50 per cent	
Output indicator 5.4: Number of staff graduating from the in-house "leadership accelerator" programme	N/A Source: HRS	5	10	9	19	
Immediate Outcome 6	Part of the campus facilities have been upgraded to meet the requirements of the new operational model					
Outcome indicator 6.A: Level of participant satisfaction with refurbished campus facilities in Pavilions Africa 10&11	(2019) 4.26 ————————————————————————————————————	4.5	To be defined	4.5	4.5	



Outputs linked to Outcome 6						
Output indicator 6.1: Implementation of Phase I of the refurbishment plan completed	N/A Source: FIS	By July 2022	Completed	Completed	Completed	
Output indicator 6.2: Tender for Phase II of the refurbishment plan issued	N/A Source: FIS	By July 2023	Planned for the second half of 2023	Completed	Completed	
Immediate Outcome 7	The Information and Communication	n Technology deployed by the	Centre is inclusive and compliant with	global security standards		
Outcome indicator 7.A: ISO 27001 security certification	Certified compliantSource: ICTS	Retain certification	Retained	Retained		
Outputs linked to Outcome 7						
Output indicator 7.1: Percentage of open online courses compliant with Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 at level AA	N/A Source: ICTS	70 per cent	0 per cent Accessibility was improved but not yet up to 2.1 at level AA	61 percent of open courses of 2023	28 per cent of the open courses of the biennium	
Output indicator 7.2: Share of cloud-based communication and digital collaboration services	30 per cent Source: ICTS	100 per cent	48 per cent	48 per cent 61 per cent		
Output indicator 7.3: Reduction in service level agreement downtime (the number of days IT services are down)	3 days Source: ICTS	2 days	2 days			
Immediate Outcome 8	The Centre complies with global sta	andards of good governance				
Outcome indicator 8.A: Board confirmation of Senior management's proper discharge of duties	N/ASource: DIR (annually)	Confirmed	Confirmed	Confirmed	Confirmed	
Outputs linked to Outcome 8						
Output indicator 8.1: Annual unqualified audits	Obtained Source: FINSERV	To be retained	Retained	Retained	Retained	
Output indicator 8.2: Periodic (quarterly) performance updates for Board members	N/ASource: DIR/TDIR via a public online dashboard	Quarterly updates	In 2022, the Centre introduced data visuals on its website that are periodically updated based on MAP information. The Centre also releases quarterly digital briefs and monthly web articles to inform Board members about its work.			



DIMENSION	CROSS-CUTTING STRATEGY DRIVERS					
Outcome CCSD	The Centre is aligned with higher-level ILO guidance documents to promote Innovation, gender Equality and Diversity, International Labour Standards, Tripartism and Social Dialogue					
Outcome indicator: ILO Governing Body acknowledges the alignment of the Centre with the ILO strategy	N/A Source: GB notes covering the institutional segment (annually)	Acknowledged	Acknowledged	Acknowledged	Acknowledged	
Outputs linked to Outcome CCSD						
Output indicator A1: Percentage of open courses of the Centre explicitly referencing ILO messages on ILS and SDT	ILS: 27 per cent SDT: 22 per cent	ILS: 40 per cent SDT: 30 per cent	ILS: 26 per cent SDT: 19 per cent	ILS: 31 per cent SDT: 19 per cent	ILS: 28 per cent SDT: 19 per cent	
Output indicator A2: Percentage of participants of training courses with an ILS marker of 2 or higher stating that ILS/SDT had been explicitly referenced during training	ILS: 92 per cent SDT: 87 per cent	ILS: 90 per cent SDT: 75 per cent	ILS: 91 per cent SDT: 86 per cent	ILS: 90 per cent SDT: 88 per cent	ILS: 91 per cent SDT: 87 per cent	
Output indicator B1: Percentage of open courses explicitly referencing ILO messages on Gender Equality and Diversity	25 per cent	40 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent	
Source: MAP (Gender marker values) Output indicator B2: Percentage of females among ILO staff participants in ITCILO training activities	53 per cent	54 per cent	51 per cent	53 per cent	53 per cent	
Source: Enrolments in MAP, eCampus, Solicomm Output indicator B3: Percentage of males among ILO staff participants in ITCILO gender-specific training activities Source: MAP	10 per cent	25 per cent	28 per cent	6 per cent	16 per cent	
Output indicator C: Percentage of ILO staff acknowledging the Centre to be a learning innovation leader	N/A	90 per cent	In December 2023, the Centre was formally thus mandated from	y appointed by the Director General as custodian of c m January 2024 to promote innovation and learning a	output A.3. (innovation) of the ILO 2024-2 P&B, and is across the entire organization	