## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

In the Matter of:

Erica Greathouse,1

Petitioner.

Docket No. 23-VH-0077-AO-018

Claim No. 7-210200430B

March 15, 2024

## **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

In 24 C.F.R. §§17.69 (b) and 26.4 (c) it provides, in general, that failure by the Petitioner to submit evidence within 60 calendar days from the date of the Department's Notice of Intent will result in a dismissal of Petitioner's request for review by the HUD Office of Hearings and Appeals.

On March 28, 2023, in response to a Notice of Intent for Administrative Offset issued to Petitioner, Petitioner filed a *Request for Hearing* to review HUD's determination that Petitioner owed the subject debt. As stated in previous Orders issued by the Court, the limited documentary evidence presented by Petitioner with her *Hearing Request* only substantiated full payment of the primary mortgage but failed to substantiate full payment of the subject debt. To date, Petitioner has failed to comply with subsequent Court *Orders* on June 23, 2023 and December 1, 2023 to produce evidence to more sufficiently support her position. The *Order to Show Cause* issued by the Court to Petitioner on December 1, 2023 specifically stated "Failure to comply with this Order shall result in sanctions being imposed by the Court pursuant to 24 C.F.R. § 26.4 (c) and (d), including judgment being entered on behalf of the opposing party or dismissal of this action. (Emphasis added). Petitioner however failed to comply as ordered. The lapse of time since March 2023 has provided Petitioner with opportunities to comply with the Court's *Orders* but Petitioner has failed to do so. As a result, the Court is unable to determine the credibility of Petitioner's argument without such evidence.

Consistent with the provisions of 24 C.F.R. §§ 17.69 (b) and 26.4 (c) and (d), Petitioner's appeal is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** sua sponte due to Petitioner's non-compliance with the Court's Orders to produce sufficient evidence for the Court's review.

The stay of proceeding issued on March 29, 2023 is hereby **VACATED**.

Administrative Judge

SO ORDERED

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The documentary evidence offered by Petitioner along with her Hearing Request reflects the name of her and her spouse, Jared Greathouse. However, the Notice of Intent for Administrative Offset (Notice) issued to Petitioner only reflects Petitioner Erica Greathouse. To ensure accuracy of record, the amended caption noted above reflects the name identified on Petitioner's Notice because the name on the Notice is considered the subject of this proceeding.