

Office of Appeals U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Washington, D.C. 20410-0001

In the Matter of:

Miguel Soto,

Petitioner

Miguel Soto 1011 Hoke Smith Dallas, TX 75224

James W. Webster, Esq. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of General Counsel for Midwest Field Offices 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604 HUDOA No. 10-H-CH-LL61 Claim No. 7-801664240A

Pro Se

For the Secretary

## **RULING AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Petitioner filed a timely request to present evidence that the debt was not past-due or not legally enforceable. Pursuant to 24 C.F.R. §§ 17.152 and 17.153, the Administrative Judges of the HUD Office of Appeals are authorized to determine whether the debt that is the subject of this proceeding is past due and legally enforceable. As a result of Petitioner's hearing request, referral of the debt to the U.S. Department of the Treasury was temporarily stayed by this Office. (Notice of Docketing, Order, and Stay of Referral, dated February 26, 2010.)

On August 12, 2010, a Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice was filed on behalf of the Secretary advising this Office

1. That, on August 10, 2010 Petitioner executed a repayment plan with the Secretary. (Exhibit A)

- 2. That the Secretary has agreed to the repayment plan. (Exhibit B)
- 3. That the terms of the repayment plan require that Petitioner pay the Secretary the sum of \$250 per month until the debt is paid in full.

Therefore, without objection, the Secretary's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**. It is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Secretary shall not seek to collect the claimed debt of petitioner by means of administrative offset of any federal payment due Petitioner.

This matter is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

Vanessa L. Hall Administrative Judge

August 17, 2010