



Office of Appeals
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Washington, D.C. 20410-0001

In the Matter of:

Clare Giles,

Petitioner

HUDOA No. 10-H-CH-LL30
Claim No. 7-709600340A

Clare Giles
5520 Stonewall Street
Houston, TX 77020

Pro se

James W. Webster, Esq.
U.S. Department of Housing and
Urban Development
Office of Regional Counsel
for Midwest Field Offices
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, IL 60604

For the Secretary

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In 24 C.F.R. § 17.152(b) it provides that failure by the Petitioner to submit evidence, within 65 calendar days from the date of the Department's Notice of Intent, will result in a dismissal of Petitioner's request for review by the HUD Office of Appeals. Petitioner alleged "I do not believe this is my debt. I am requesting a review of HUD's determination that I owe this delinquent debt." (Petitioner's Request for Hearing, filed February 17, 2010). Petitioner was ordered three times to submit documentary evidence in support of her claim, but failed to comply with any of the Orders. (Notice of Docketing, Order, and Stay of Referral, dated February 23, 2010; Ruling on Secretary's Motion to Dismiss and Allow Offset and Order, dated March 10, 2010; and Order to Show Cause, dated June 2, 2010.)


Furthermore, Rule 26.3 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations provides:

If a party refuses or fails to comply with an Order of the hearing officer, the hearing officer may enter any appropriate order necessary to the disposition of the hearing including a *determination against a noncomplying party*. (emphasis added).

Accordingly, because Petitioner has also failed to comply with any of the Orders issued by this Office, I find that Petitioner's non-compliance to the Orders issued by this Office provides a basis for rendering a decision against Petitioner pursuant to Rule 26.3 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Upon due consideration of Petitioner's failure to comply with 24 C.F.R. § 17.152(b) and Rule 26.3 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Petitioner's appeal is **DISMISSED** *sua sponte*. It is hereby

ORDERED that this matter be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.



Vanessa L. Hall
Administrative Judge

June 30, 2010