FY2014 Annual Report of Environmental Health Surveillance for Air Pollution (Overview)

1. Method

In both the 3-year-old survey and the 6-year-old survey, a health survey and exposure assessment were conducted as shown below, to analyze and assess the correlation between the concentration of air pollutants and prevalence of asthma and other respiratory symptoms.

In the follow-up analysis, the correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma incidence was analyzed and assessed. Among the subjects of the FY2014 6-year-old survey implemented in 37 survey areas where a follow-up analysis was feasible, the analysis targeted those who also responded to a 3-year-old survey held in FY2010 and FY2011. Children who did not have asthma at the time of the 3-year-old survey but displayed asthma symptoms later at the time of the 6-year-old survey were deemed to have developed asthma.


	3-year-old survey	6-year-old survey				
Health	ATS-DLD simplified questionnaires	ATS-DLD simplified questionnaires				
survey	(MOE version) were sent to all	(MOE version) were sent to all				
	households with 3-year-old children in	households with first-grade children who				
	the survey areas. They were filled out	attend a public primary school in a				
	by the children's parents and collected	school district that includes the survey				
	by staff of 37 local municipalities.	area of the 3-year-old survey. They were				
	filled out by the children's parents a					
		collected by staff of 38 local				
		municipalities.				
Exposure	Using the three-year average of annual average concentrations of NO ₂ , NO _x , SO ₂					
assessment	and SPM at ambient air pollution monitoring stations in and surrounding the study					
	areas between FY2011 and FY2013, the concentration of these pollutants at					
	residential points of all study subjects was estimated.					

2. Results

(1) Number of children surveyed and response rate

The 3-year-old survey targeted 85,882 children and obtained 71,596 responses, corresponding to a response rate of 83.37%. The 6-year-old survey targeted 85,100 children and obtained 74,298 responses, corresponding to a response rate of 87.31%.

Response rate and number of areas




The follow-up analysis targeted 50,161 children, corresponding to 68.60% of the 73,121 respondents of the 6-year-old survey implemented in areas where a follow-up analysis was feasible.

(2) Results of the cross-sectional analysis of the 3-year-old survey and 6-year-old survey


1) Prevalence of respiratory symptoms at each background concentration level for each subject group


The prevalence of respiratory symptoms was analyzed by background concentration level of air pollutants with respect to each subject group, with the result showing no tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants in neither the 3-year-old survey nor the 6-year-old survey.

With respect to SO₂, it is thought that its range of background concentration levels was too limited to sufficiently analyze any trend.




3-year-old survey








(Note) Background SPM concentration in Naha city was treated as missing, as SPM measurement results for FY2011 and 2012 could not be obtained from the Naha monitoring station (also applies to survey results shown below).

6-year-old survey











Asthma prevalence by NOx concentration





2) Average background concentration and respiratory symptom prevalence in each survey area for each subject group

The correlation between average background concentration and the prevalence of respiratory symptoms was analyzed by survey area with respect to each subject group, but neither the 3-year-old survey nor 6-year-old survey indicated a tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations.



3-year-old survey

Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence (adjusted rates) -


All subjects (boys + girls)

^{*1} Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2014 Survey areas.

^{*2} The adjusted rates are the prevalence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects by the composition ratio of each type of allergy.

6-year-old survey

Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence (adjusted rates) – All subjects (boys + girls)



*1 Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2014 Survey areas.

*2 The adjusted rates are the prevalence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects by the composition ratio of each type of allergy.

3) Study of factors influencing asthma prevalence

In the 3-year-old survey, the study indicated that the following factors contribute to the prevalence of asthma symptoms: gender (male), smoking habits of family members at home (mother), the presence of pets (present), daytime caretaker (nursery center), and allergic history of subject and parents (positive history). In the 6-year-old survey, the influencing factors were: gender (boys), smoking habits of family members (mother), the presence of pets (present), and allergic history of the subject and parents (positive history). With regard to air pollutants, no correlation was found in which higher concentrations led to higher asthma prevalence.

		3-year-old surv	vey		6-year-old su	rvey
NO ₂	Per 10ppb increase	0.80	*		0.91	*
NOx	Per 10ppb increase	0.85	*		0.96	
SO_2	Per 10ppb increase	1.00			0.63	*
SPM	Per 10µg/m3 increase	0.94			1.08	
Gender	Male	$1.62 \sim 1.65$	*		$1.55 \sim 1.57$	*
	Female	1.00			1.00	
Smoking habits of	Mother	$1.67 {\sim} 1.71$	*		1.44	*
family	Other member	1.10			$1.08 \sim 1.09$	
members	No smoker	1.00			1.00	
Housing structure	Wooden with	$1.10 \sim 1.16$	_		$0.96 \sim 1.02$	
	wooden frame					
	Wooden with metal	$0.84{\sim}0.89$	*		$0.90{\sim}0.95$	
	frame					
	Reinforced concrete	1.00			1.00	
Heating method	No exhaust system	$0.98 {\sim} 1.01$			$0.91 {\sim} 0.93$	
	Exhaust system	1.00			1.00	
Dwelling period	Since birth	$0.75 {\sim} 0.79$	*	Since birth	$0.86{\sim}0.88$	*
	More than 1 year	$0.78 {\sim} 0.83$	*	More than 3 years	$0.87 {\sim} 0.89$	*
	Less than 1 year	1.00		Less than 3 years	1.00	
Pets	Yes	1.29	*		1.20	*
	No	1.00			1.00	
Daytime	Nursery center	$1.50 \sim 1.55$	*			
caretaker	Others	1.00				
Feeding during	Milk only	$1.13 \sim 1.18$			$1.13 \sim 1.15$	
the first 3 months	Breast feeding and	0.98			$0.96{\sim}0.98$	
after birth	milk					
	Breast feeding only	1.00			1.00	
History of	Yes	1.98	*		$2.24 \sim 2.27$	*
allergies (subject)	No	1.00			1.00	
History of	Yes	$2.36 \sim 2.43$	*		$2.06 \sim 2.10$	*
allergies (parents)	No	1.00			1.00	

Odds Ratios of Factors Influencing Asthma Prevalence

* All odds ratios estimated for each air pollutant (NO₂, NOx, SO₂, SPM) are statistically significant (P<0.05)

(Note 1) The numerical ranges shown in the above chart indicate the range between the minimum and maximum odds ratios that have been estimated for each air pollutant (NO₂, NO_x, SO₂, SPM).

(Note 2) To examine the correlation between asthma prevalence and major factors (items between "gender" and "history of allergies (parents)" above) in detail, odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression analysis using the major factors and air pollutant concentrations as explanatory variables.

(3) Longitudinal and comprehensive analysis of 3-year-old survey data*

In the longitudinal analysis of data from FY1997 to FY2014, a number of survey areas showed an increase or decrease in asthma prevalence during that time period. However, an overall declining trend in air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence was observed in the aggregated data of all survey regions.

In the comprehensive analysis of integrated data from FY1997 to FY2014, the prevalence of respiratory symptoms in each subject group according to the background levels of pollutant concentrations and according to the average background concentration level in each survey area, showed no tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants compared to areas with lower concentrations. Even in areas with low air pollutant concentrations, asthma prevalence was around the same level or in some cases higher than areas with high concentrations. The examination of odds ratios as for the prevalence of asthma showed a significance positive correlation, with an odds ratio of 1.02 for SPM (per $10\mu g/m^3$ increase).

* The data on asthma prevalence obtained in FY1996, the first year of the survey, was simply used as reference in the longitudinal and comprehensive analysis, because it showed values that were distinctly lower than data from other years.

(4) Longitudinal and comprehensive analysis of 6-year-old survey data

In the longitudinal analysis of data from FY2004 to FY2014, a number of survey areas showed an increase or decrease in asthma prevalence during that time period. However, an overall declining trend in air pollutant concentration and asthma prevalence was observed in all regions.


In the comprehensive analysis of integrated data from FY2004 to FY2014, the prevalence of respiratory symptoms in each subject group according to the background levels of pollutant concentrations and according to the average background concentration level in each survey area, showed no tendency for asthma prevalence to be higher in areas with higher concentrations of air pollutants compared to areas with lower concentrations. Even in areas with low air pollutant concentrations, asthma prevalence was around the same level or in some cases higher than areas with high concentrations. The examination of odds ratios as for the prevalence of asthma showed a significance positive correlation, with an odds ratio of 1.04 for SPM (per $10\mu g/m^3$ increase).

(5) Results of the follow-up analysis


1) Asthma incidence at each background concentration level


Asthma incidence was analyzed by background concentration of air pollutants with respect to each subject group, but there was no tendency for incidence rates to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations.


With respect to SO₂, it is thought that its range of background concentration levels was too limited to sufficiently analyze any trend.



As thma incidence by SO_2 concentration








Asthma incidence by SPM concentration

2) Average background concentration and asthma incidence in each survey area

The correlation between average background concentration and asthma incidence was analyzed by survey area with respect to each subject group, but asthma incidence did not tend to be higher in areas with higher air pollutant concentrations compared to areas with lower concentrations. In fact, some areas with low air pollutant concentrations had the same or higher incidence of asthma compared to areas with high air pollutant concentrations.



Correlation between air pollutant concentration and asthma incidence (adjusted rates) – All subjects (boys + girls)

*1 Numbers in the graph indicate survey areas. See <Reference> FY2014 Survey areas.

*2 The adjusted rates are the incidence of asthma after factoring in the allergic history of all subjects of the 3-year-old survey by the composition ratio of each type of allergy and the average of the follow-up analysis

*3 The background concentration level for each subject group in each survey area was taken from the background concentration level measured at the time of the 3-year-old survey.

3) Study of factors influencing asthma incidence

The study indicated that the following factors contribute to the incidence of asthma: gender (male), smoking habits of family members at home (mother), address change (change), the presence of pets (present) and allergic history of the subject and parents (positive history). With regard to air pollutants, no results were obtained that showed a correlation in which high concentrations led to higher incidence rates.

NO ₂	Per 10ppb increase	0.93	
NOx	Per 10ppb increase	0.96	
SO ₂	Per 10ppb increase	0.66	
SPM	Per 10µg/m3 increase	0.93	
Gender	Male	1.57~1.62	*
	Female	1.00	
Smoking habits of	Mother	1.57~1.60	*
family members	Other member	1.11~1.13	
	No smoker	1.00	
Housing structure	Wooden with wooden frame	1.05~1.09	
	Wooden with metal frame	0.97~1.00	
	Reinforced concrete	1.00	
Heating method	No exhaust system	0.92~0.94	
	Exhaust system	1.00	
Address change	Change of address	1.17~1.20	*
	Same address	1.00	
Pets	Yes	1.24~1.27	*
	No	1.00	
Daytime caretaker	Nursery center	0.83~0.85	*
	Others	1.00	
Feeding during the first	Milk only	0.97~0.98	
3 months after birth	Breast feeding and milk	0.97~0.98	
	Breast feeding only	1.00	
History of	Yes	1.99~2.03	*
allergies (subject)	No	1.00	
History of	Yes	1.89~1.91	*
allergies (parents)	No	1.00	

Odds Ratios of Factors Influencing Asthma Incidence

*All odds ratios estimated for each air pollutant (NO₂, NOx, SO₂, SPM) are statistically significant (P<0.05)

(Note 1) The numerical ranges shown in the above chart indicate the range between the minimum and maximum odds ratios that have been estimated for each air pollutant (NO₂, NO_x, SO₂, SPM).

(Note 2) To examine the correlation between asthma incidence and major factors (items between "gender" and "history of allergies (parents)" above) in detail, odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression analysis using the major factors and air pollutant concentrations as explanatory variables.