

$\Sigma(1660) 1/2^+$  $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*

For results published before 1974 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition *Physics Letters* **111B** 1 (1982).

 **$\Sigma(1660)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1630 to 1690 (<math>\approx 1660</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1633 $\pm 3$	GAO	12	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1665.1 $\pm 11.2$	<sup>1</sup> KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
1670 $\pm 10$	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1679 $\pm 10$	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1676 $\pm 15$	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1668 $\pm 25$	VANHORN	75	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$
1670 $\pm 20$	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1565 or 1597	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1660 $\pm 30$	<sup>3</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1671 $\pm 2$	<sup>4</sup> PONTE	75	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

 **$\Sigma(1660)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>40 to 200 (<math>\approx 100</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
121 $\begin{matrix} + 4 \\ - 7 \end{matrix}$	GAO	12	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
81.5 $\pm 22.2$	<sup>1</sup> KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
152 $\pm 20$	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
38 $\pm 10$	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
120 $\pm 20$	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
230 $\begin{matrix} +165 \\ - 60 \end{matrix}$	VANHORN	75	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$
250 $\pm 110$	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
202 or 217	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
80 $\pm 40$	<sup>3</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
81 $\pm 10$	<sup>4</sup> PONTE	75	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

 **$\Sigma(1660)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\bar{K}$	10–30 %
$\Gamma_2$ $\Lambda\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $\Sigma\pi$	seen

## $\Sigma(1660)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.1 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	
$0.12 \pm 0.03$	GOPAL    80    DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
$0.10 \pm 0.05$	ALSTON-...    78    DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
$< 0.04$	GOPAL    77    DPWA    See GOPAL 80
$0.27$ or $0.29$	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN    77    DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1660) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
$-0.064^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$	GAO    12    DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
$< 0.04$	GOPAL    77    DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$0.12^{+0.12}_{-0.04}$	VANHORN    75    DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
$-0.10$ or $-0.11$	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN    77    DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$-0.04 \pm 0.02$	<sup>3</sup> BAILLON    75    IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
$+0.16 \pm 0.01$	<sup>4</sup> PONTE    75    DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1660) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
$-0.13 \pm 0.04$	<sup>1</sup> KOISO    85    DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
$-0.16 \pm 0.03$	GOPAL    77    DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$-0.11 \pm 0.01$	KANE    74    DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●	
$-0.34$ or $-0.37$	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN    77    DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
not seen	HEPP    76B    DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

### $\Sigma(1660)$ FOOTNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> The evidence of KOISO 85 is weak.
- <sup>2</sup> The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.
- <sup>3</sup> From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.
- <sup>4</sup> From solution 2 of PONTE 75; not present in solution 1.

### $\Sigma(1660)$ REFERENCES

GAO	12	PR C86 025201	P. Gao, J. Shi, B.S. Zou	(BHEP, BEIJT)
Also		NP A867 41	P. Gao, B.S. Zou, A. Sibirtsev	(BHEP, BEIJT+)
KOISO	85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP

MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEPP	76B	PL 65B 487	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
BAILLON	75	NP B94 39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
PONTE	75	PR D12 2597	R.A. Ponte <i>et al.</i>	(MASA, TENN, UCR) IJP
VANHORN	75	NP B87 145	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
Also		NP B87 157	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP

---