

graph theory notes*

The combinatorial nullstellensatz and Schauz's coefficient formula

In [2], Alon and Tarsi introduced a beautiful algebraic technique for proving the existence of list colorings. Alon [1] further developed this technique into the *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*. Fix an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} . We write f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} for the coefficient of $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ in the polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (Alon). *Suppose $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i \in [n]} k_i = \deg(f)$. If $f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \neq 0$, then for any $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|A_i| \geq k_i + 1$, there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ with $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$.*

Michałek [5] gave a very short proof of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz just using long division. Schauz [6] sharpened the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz by proving the following coefficient formula. Versions of this result were also proved by Hefetz [3] and Łasów [4]. Our presentation is similar to Łasów's.

Coefficient Formula (Schauz). *Suppose $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i \in [n]} k_i = \deg(f)$. For any $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|A_i| = k_i + 1$, we have*

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{N(a_1, \dots, a_n)},$$

where

$$N(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \prod_{i \in [n]} \prod_{b \in A_i \setminus \{a_i\}} (a_i - b).$$

We first give Michałek's proof of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz and use this to derive the coefficient formula.

Proof of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. Suppose the result is false and choose $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which it fails minimizing $\deg(f)$. Then $\deg(f) \geq 2$ and we have $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i \in [n]} k_i = \deg(f)$ and $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|A_i| \geq k_i + 1$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$. By symmetry, we may assume that $k_1 > 0$. Fix $a \in A_1$ and divide f by $x_1 - a$ to get $f = (x_1 - a)Q + R$ where the degree of x_1 in R is zero. Then the

*clarifications, errors, simplifications \Rightarrow landon.rabern@gmail.com

coefficient of $x_1^{k_1-1}x_2^{k_2}\cdots x_n^{k_n}$ in Q must be non-zero and $\deg(Q) < \deg(f)$. So, by minimality of $\deg(f)$ there is $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (A_1 \setminus \{a\}) \times \cdots \times A_n$ such that $Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. Since $0 = f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_1 - a)Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) + R(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we must have $R(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. But x_1 has degree zero in R , so $R(a_1, \dots, a_n) = R(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. Finally, this means that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_1 - a)Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) + R(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Coefficient Formula. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i \in [n]} k_i = \deg(f)$. Also, let $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|A_i| = k_i + 1$. For each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$, let $\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{(a_1, \dots, a_n)\}$; that is $\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}: A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ with $\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ when $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ otherwise. Consider the function

$$F = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

Then F agrees with f on all of $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ and hence $f - F$ is zero on $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$. We will apply the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to $f - F$ to conclude that $(f - F)_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = 0$ and hence $f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = F_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ where F_{k_1, \dots, k_n} will turn out to be our desired sum. To apply the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we need to represent F as a polynomial, we can do so by representing each $\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ as a polynomial as follows. For $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$, let

$$N(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \prod_{i \in [n]} \prod_{b \in A_i \setminus \{a_i\}} (a_i - b).$$

Then it is readily verified that

$$\chi_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\prod_{i \in [n]} \prod_{b \in A_i \setminus \{a_i\}} (x_i - b)}{N(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

Using this to define F we get

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n} f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \frac{\prod_{i \in [n]} \prod_{b \in A_i \setminus \{a_i\}} (x_i - b)}{N(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

Now $\deg(F) = \sum_{i \in [n]} (|A_i| - 1) = \sum_{i \in [n]} k_i = \deg(f)$. Since $f - F$ is zero on $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$, applying the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to $f - F$ with k_1, \dots, k_n and sets A_1, \dots, A_n gives $(f - F)_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = 0$ and hence

$$f_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = F_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{N(a_1, \dots, a_n)}.$$

\square

1 Applications to graph coloring

Let G be a loopless multigraph with vertex set $V := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and edge multiset E . The *graph polynomial* of G is

$$p_G(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{\substack{\{x_i, x_j\} \in E \\ i < j}} (x_i - x_j).$$

To each orientation \vec{G} of G , there is a corresponding monomial $m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ given by choosing either x_i or $-x_j$ from each factor $(x_i - x_j)$ according to \vec{G} . Precisely, given an orientation \vec{G} of G with edge multiset \vec{E} , put

$$m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left(\prod_{\substack{(x_i, x_j) \in \vec{E} \\ i < j}} x_i \right) \left(\prod_{\substack{(x_j, x_i) \in \vec{E} \\ i < j}} -x_j \right).$$

Then $p_G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\vec{G}} m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where the sum is over all orientations \vec{G} of G .

Each $m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has coefficient either 1 or -1 . We are interested in collecting up all monomials of the form $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$. Let $DE_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)$ be the orientations of G where $m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ and $DO_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)$ the orientations of G where $m_{\vec{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$. Write $p_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)$ for the coefficient of $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ in $p_G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then we have

$$p_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G) = |DE_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)| - |DO_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)|.$$

This gives a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of p_G , but unfortunately it quantifies over all orientations of G . For applying the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, it is useful to have a single orientation of G as a certificate that $p_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G) \neq 0$. This can be achieved in terms of Eulerian subgraphs. A digraph is Eulerian if the in-degree and out-degree are equal at every vertex. Let $EE(\vec{G})$ be the spanning Eulerian subgraphs of \vec{G} with an even number of edges and let $EO(\vec{G})$ be the spanning Eulerian subgraphs of \vec{G} with an odd number of edges.

Eulerian Reduction. *If \vec{G} is an orientation of G , then we have*

$$| |EE(\vec{G})| - |EO(\vec{G})| | = | |DE_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)| - |DO_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)| |.$$

Proof. For $D \in DE_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G) \cup DO_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)$, let $\vec{G} \oplus D$ be the spanning subgraph of \vec{G} with edge set

$$\left\{ (x_i, x_j) \in E(\vec{G}) \mid (x_j, x_i) \in E(D) \right\}.$$

Then $\vec{G} \oplus D$ is Eulerian since all vertices have the same out-degree in \vec{G} and D . If \vec{G} is even, this gives bijections between $DE_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)$ and $EE(\vec{G})$ as well as between $DO_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)$ and $EO(\vec{G})$. When \vec{G} is odd, the bijections are between $DE_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)$ and $EO(\vec{G})$ as well as between $DO_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)$ and $EE(\vec{G})$. In either case, we have

$$| |EE(\vec{G})| - |EO(\vec{G})| | = | |DE_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)| - |DO_{d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_1), \dots, d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_n)}(G)| |.$$

□

Therefore, if \vec{G} is an orientation of G with $d_{\vec{G}}^+(x_i) = k_i$ for all $i \in [n]$, then

$$|p_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(G)| = | |EE(\vec{G})| - |EO(\vec{G})| |.$$

References

- [1] N. Alon, *Combinatorial nullstellensatz*, Combinatorics Probability and Computing **8** (1999), no. 1–2, 7–29.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, *Colorings and orientations of graphs*, Combinatorica **12** (1992), no. 2, 125–134.
- [3] Dan Hefetz, *On two generalizations of the Alon–Tarsi polynomial method*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **101** (2011), no. 6, 403–414.
- [4] Michał Lasoń, *A generalization of combinatorial nullstellensatz*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **17** (2010), no. 1, N32.
- [5] Mateusz Michałek, *A short proof of combinatorial nullstellensatz*, The American Mathematical Monthly **117** (2010), no. 9, 821–823.
- [6] Uwe Schauz, *Algebraically solvable problems: describing polynomials as equivalent to explicit solutions*, Electron. J. Combin **15** (2008), no. 1.