

Linac Commissioning Overview

Jacob (Jake) Van Dyk
Western University
London, Ontario, Canada

26 October 2017

Objective

- To provide an overview of linac commissioning process
 - Relates to ‘sensitivity to infrastructure’

Consider Basic 6 MV Linac with MLC, EPID & 3D CRT

- Assume technology is mature
- Steps in clinical implementation
 - Installation ... by vendor
 - Acceptance testing ... by Medical Physicist with vendor
 - Commissioning ... by Medical Physicist
 - On-going QC ... by MP, MP assistant, or RTT

Requirements for Clinical Implementation

- Qualified Medical Physicist
- Dosimetry tools
 - Calibrated ion chamber + thermometer, barometer
 - 3D water phantom, dosimetry scanning system
 - Additional ancillary tools: front pointer, level, ...

Acceptance Testing

- 3-5 days
- To prove accelerator meets specifications
- Radiation safety tests
- Interlocks
- Mechanical tests
- Light/radiation field tests
- Parameter readouts ... collimator, gantry, couch, lasers
- Isocentre coincidence (radiation/mechanical)
- Beam energy, flatness, symmetry
- Dose delivery ... reproducibility

Commissioning

- ~2-3 months
- Data for treatment planning system
- Beam calibration
- Depth dose
- Dose profiles
- Output factors
- Beam modifier or beam mode measurements
- Data for special procedures
 - TBI, stereotactic, ...
- Staff training
- Documented operational policies and procedures
- Procedures for scheduled & unscheduled down-times

Quality Control

- On-going tests to prove compliance
 - Daily (possibly by RTTs)
 - Weekly
 - Monthly
 - Yearly
- Documented process with tolerances and policies and procedures
 - What to do when measurements are outside of tolerance
- Responsibility ... Medical Physicist

Year: _____

Machine type: _____

Month: _____

Unit: _____

A/S	Test	Date											
		Frequency	M	T	W	T	F	M	T	W	T	F	
1	Calibration/flatness	2/week											
2	Emergency switches	Daily											
		Signature:											
R/T													
1	Control warning lights												
2	Room warning lights												
3	Door interlock												
4	TV monitor												
5	Intercom												
6	Treatment stop/counter												
7	Gantry angle												
8	Optical distance indicator												
9	Wall lights												
10	Light/numerical field x-rays												
11	Cross-hair alignment												
12	Dead-man switches												
13	Couch locks/hand pendant												
14	Asymmetric jaws												
15	Light/rad'n. field vs. readout												
16	Electron cone inspection												
17	Electron cone/radiation field												
18	Electron cone/light field												
19	Shield inspection												
20	Wedge/accessory rails												
21	Tangential breast accessory												
22	Wedge inspection												
23	Emergency pendant/ backup counter												
24	Portal imager												
25	MLC leaf motion												
26	MLC communication link												
27	Couch position readout												
28	Ext. interlock/auto setup												
		Signature:											

A/S = Accelerator service staff

Monthly QA of log done

R/T = Radiation therapy staff

(Date)

(Signature)

Other Options

- Multiple photon and electron energies
 - Similar data for TPS for each energy
 - Added QC
- IMRT/VMAT
 - See AAPM TG and other reports
 - Treatment planning integrity
 - MLC delivery
 - Patient specific QC
- IGRT
 - See AAPM TG and other reports
 - Geometric & image registration integrity

Task Group 142 report: Quality assurance of medical accelerators^{a)}

Eric E. Klein^{b)}

Med. Phys. 36: 4197-4212; 2009

Quality assurance for image-guided radiation therapy utilizing CT-based technologies: A report of the AAPM TG-179

Jean-Pierre Bissonnette^{a)}

Med. Phys. 39: 1946-1963; 2012

New Technologies

- TomoTherapy
 - Installed precommissioned
 - Acceptance testing is almost \equiv commissioning
 - Time from installation to clinical treatment much reduced

QA for helical tomotherapy: Report of the AAPM Task Group 148^{a)}

Katja M. Langen^{b)} *et al.*

Med. Phys. 37: 4817-4853; 2010

- Varian Halcyon
 - Similar precommissioning
 - Varian ... “accelerated installation timeframes, expedited commissioning, simplified training, and automated treatment.”
- Requires stable technologies with reproducible characteristics from factory to clinic

Linac Commissioning/QC Summary

- Linac implementation and QC is challenging
- Common QA elements to fully loaded linac commissioning
 - Team effort
 - Training
 - Safety
 - Detailed commission measurements
 - Dose delivery accuracy
 - Detailed TPS commissioning
 - Image quality
 - Geometric fidelity
 - Scaling
 - Treatment-imaging isocenter coincidence
 - Registration/table shifts
 - Policies/procedures/criteria for adjustment