# Theoretical Concepts in Particle Physics (2)

Yuval Grossman

Cornell



HEP theory (2)

### **Contact details**

- Email: yg73@cornell.edu
- Some "homework" for these lectures: http://lepp.cornell.edu/~yuvalg/CERNsummer/
- Office while at CERN: 4-2.046

### Yesterday...

- What is mechanics:  $x(t) \Rightarrow L \Rightarrow$  symmetries
  - Q: the implication of  $x_1 \rightarrow -3x_2$  and  $x_2 \rightarrow -x_1/3$ ?
  - A:  $q_1 = x_1 3x_2$ ,  $q_2 = x_2 + 3x_1$  and we have  $V(q_1)$
- What is field theory:  $\phi(x,t)$  are the coordinates. x and t are parameters
- We can get the same axioms to field theories as for mechanics

# Solving field theory

We also have an E-L equation for field theories

$$\partial_{\mu}\left(rac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi
ight)}
ight) = rac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\phi}$$

- We have a way to solve field theory
- Just like in Newtonian mechanics, we want to get L from symmetries!

# Free field theory

The "kinetic term" is promoted

$$T \propto \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 \Rightarrow T \propto \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d\phi}{dx}\right)^2 \equiv \left(\partial_\mu \phi\right)^2$$

Free particles fields have only kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^2 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}$$

- An  $\mathcal{L}$  of a free field gives a wave equation
- As in Newtonian mechanics, what used to be the starting point, here is the final result
- Why did we get the field equation as output?

### Harmonic oscillator



HEP theory (2)

### The harmonic oscillator

Why do we care so much about harmonic oscillators?

- Because we really care about springs?
- Because we really care about pendulums?



### The harmonic oscillator

Why do we care so much about harmonic oscillators?

- Because we really care about springs?
- Because we really care about pendulums?

Because almost any function around its minimum can be approximated as a harmonic function!

- Indeed, we usually expand the potential around one of its minima
- We identify a small parameter, and keep only few terms in a Taylor expansion

### Classic harmonic oscillator

$$V = \frac{kx^2}{2}$$

We solve the E-L equation and get

$$x(t) = A\cos(\omega t)$$
  $k = m\omega^2$ 

- The period does not depend on the amplitude
- Energy is conserved

Which of the above two statements is a result of the approximation of keeping only the harmonic term in the expansion?

### **Coupled oscillators**



HEP theory (2)

# **Coupled** oscillators

- There are normal modes
- The normal modes are not "local" as in the case of one oscillator
- The energy of each mode is conserved
- This is an approximation!
- Once we keep non-harmonic terms energy moves between modes

$$V(x,y) = \frac{k_1 x^2}{2} + \frac{k_2 y^2}{2} + \alpha x^2 y$$

What determines the rate of energy transfer?

# Things to think about

- Relations between harmonic oscillators and fields
- When can we treat an oscillator as harmonic?





HEP theory (2)

# Quantum mechanics



HEP theory (2)

# What is QM?

- Many ways to formulate QM
- For example, we promote  $x \to \hat{x}$
- We solve QM if we know the wave function  $\psi(x,t)$
- How many wave function describe a system?
- The wave function is mathematically a field

# The quantum SHO

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 x^2}{2}$$
  $E_n = (n+1/2)\hbar\omega$ 

We also like to use

$$H = (a^{\dagger}a + 1/2)\hbar\omega \qquad a, a^{\dagger} \sim x \pm ip \qquad x \sim a + a^{\dagger}$$

• We call  $a^{\dagger}$  and a creation and annihilation operators

$$|a|n\rangle \propto |n-1\rangle \qquad a^{\dagger}|n\rangle \propto |n+1\rangle$$

So far this is abstract. What can we do with it?

# **Couple oscillators and Fields**

- With many DOFs,  $a \to a_i \to a(k)$
- And the states

$$|n\rangle \rightarrow |n_i\rangle \rightarrow |n(k)\rangle$$

And the energy

$$(n+1/2)\hbar\omega \to \sum (n_i+1/2)\hbar\omega_i \to \int [n(k)+1/2]\hbar\omega dk$$

- Just like in mechanics, we expand around the minimum of the fields, and to leading order we have SHOs
- In QFT fields are operators while x and t are not

# SHO and photons

I have two questions:

- What is the energy that it takes to excite a SHO by one level?
- What is the energy of the photon?



HEP theory (2)

# SHO and photons

I have two questions:

- What is the energy that it takes to excite a SHO by one level?
- What is the energy of the photon?

Same answer

#### $\hbar\omega$

Why the answer to both question is the same? Can we learn anything from it?

### What is a particle?

# Excitations of SHOs are particles





HEP theory (2)

# More on QFT



HEP theory (2)

#### What about masses?

A SHO give a "free" Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^2$$

We can add "potential" terms (without derivatives)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^2 + m^2 \phi^2$$

- $\checkmark$  Here m is the mass of the particle. Still free particle
- (HW) Show that it is a mass by showing that  $\omega^2 = k^2 + m^2$

#### What about other temrs?

- We can add terms. How do we choose what to add?
- Must be invariant under the symmetries
- We keep some leading terms (usually, up to  $\phi^4$ )
- Lets add  $\lambda \phi^4$ . We get the non-linear wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = 4\lambda \phi^3$$

We do not knwo how to solve it classically, nor in QM

### What about fermions?

- We see how photons are related to SHO
- We can construct a fermion SHO

$$[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \{b, b^{\dagger}\} = 1$$

- No classical analogue since  $b^2 = 0$
- We can then think of fermionic fields. They can generate only one particle in a given state

# A short summary

- Particles are excitations of fields
- The fundeumental Lagrangian is giving in term of fields
- Our aim is to find L
- We can only solve the linear case, that is, the equivalent of the SHO.

# Perturbation theory



HEP theory (2)

### Perturbation theory

#### $H = H_0 + H_1 \qquad H_1 \ll H_0$

- In many cases pertubation theory is a mathematical tool
- There are cases, however, that PT is a better way to describe the physics
- Many times we prefer to work with EV of H (why?)
- Yet, at times it is better to work with EV of  $H_0$  (why?)

### PT for 2 SHOs

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{4ky^2}{2} + \alpha x^2 y$$

- $\checkmark$  Classically  $\alpha$  moves energy between the two modes
- How it goes in QM?
- Recall the Fermi golden rule

$$P \propto |\mathcal{A}|^2 \times \text{P.S.} \qquad \mathcal{A} \sim \langle f | \alpha x^2 y | i \rangle$$

If the initial state is  $|0,1\rangle$  what transitions are alowed?

$$x \sim a_x + a_x^{\dagger} \qquad y \sim a_y + a_y^{\dagger}$$

Y. Grossman

HEP theory (2)

# PT for 2 SHOs again

$$V(x,y) = \frac{kx^2}{2} + \frac{4ky^2}{2} + \alpha x^2 y$$

• since the perturbation is  $x^2y$  we see that  $f=|2,0\rangle$ 

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \langle 2, 0 | \alpha x^2 y | 0, 1 \rangle \sim \langle 2, 0 | (a_x + a_x^{\dagger}) (a_x + a_x^{\dagger}) (a_y + a_y^{\dagger}) | 0, 1 \rangle$$

- $a_y$  in y annihilates the y "particle" and  $(a_x^{\dagger})^2$  in  $x^2$  creates two x "particles"
- It is a decay of a particle y into two x particles with lifetime of  $\tau\propto\alpha^2$

### Even More PT

$$V' = \alpha x^2 z + \beta x y z \qquad \omega_z = 10, \ \omega_y = 3, \ \omega_x = 1$$

**•** Calculate  $y \rightarrow 3x$  using 2nd order PT

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \langle 3, 0, 0 | \mathcal{O} | 0, 1, 0 \rangle \qquad \mathcal{O} \sim \sum \frac{\langle 3, 0, 0 | V' | n \rangle \langle n | V' | 0, 1, 0 \rangle}{E_n - E_{0,1,0}}$$

• What intermediate states contribute?  $|1,0,1\rangle$  and  $|2,1,1\rangle$ 

$$|0,1,0\rangle \rightarrow |1,0,1\rangle \rightarrow |3,0,0\rangle + |0,1,0\rangle \rightarrow |2,1,1\rangle \rightarrow |3,0,0\rangle$$

What is the meaning of the  $1/\Delta E$ ?

Y. Grossman

HEP theory (2)