

Implementation of K-matrix formalism in the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^$ amplitude model

By Rudin Petrossian-Byrne

Supervisors: Dr. Marco Gersabeck & Stefanie Reichert

CERN Summer Student Programme 2014

Before The Physics.... Hi!



The big picture Mixing and CPV

$D^0 \to \overline{D^0} \to D^0 \to \overline{D^0} \to D^0$ Mixing



$$K^0$$
 – strange quark
 B^0 – bottom quark

The big picture Mixing and CPV

$D^0 \to \overline{D^0} \to D^0 \to \overline{D^0} \to D^0$ Mixing





The big picture Mixing and CPV with Charm

- 2007 First Evidence from BaBar and Belle for mixing in charmed neutral mesons
 ×10
- 2012 LHCb find > 5σ evidence for mixing in a single measurement
 - Mixing parameters very small

$$x = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\Gamma}$$
 $y = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{2\Gamma}$ $\propto (10^{-2})$

R

> No significant evidence for CPV in charm to date

- SM 'predicts' <u>small</u> CPV
 - → Observation at current sensitivity would imply <u>New Physics</u>

sian-Byrne

in Charm Quark ×10⁻³ • Data — Mixing fit

--- No-mixing fit

Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 101802



LHCb

 t/τ

 $\rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ D



Allows measuring **x** and **y** parameters directly



7



 $^{0} \rightarrow K_{s}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$

Allows measuring **x** and **y** parameters directly

Protons collide in the VELO

Ρ

Very short life-time of charm quark D⁰ travels ~2 mm, then decays

Ρ



detected by TT tracker. bend through magnet. detected by T1, T2, T3.





π

π

10m

 $\rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$



Allows measuring **x** and **y** parameters directly

> 3-Body Decay

Unlike 2-body decays, energy of daughter particles not well-defined Range of possible values.



11

 $\rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$



Allows measuring **x** and **y** parameters directly

> 3-Body Decay

Unlike 2-body decays, energy of daughter particles not well-defined Range of possible values.



 $D^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$

Probability $|M|^2 \neq const$

Not homogenously populated with events Some daughter energies more probable



 $D^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$

Not homogenously populated with events Some daughter energies more probable

 $(K_{s}^{0}\pi^{-})$ [GeV²] 90 80 70 60 50 40 0.5 LHCb Simulation 2.5 1.5 m² (K⁰_cπ⁺) [GeV²]

Probability $|M|^2 \neq const$

What we observe: Dalitz plot is characterised by **Resonances** + non-resonant background **Resonances** (bands of high $|M|^2$) Corresponds to $D^0 \rightarrow r K_s \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ Intermediate particle, e.g. ρ^0 $D^0 \rightarrow r \pi^+ \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^ D^0 \rightarrow r \pi^- \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$

$|M|^2$ is clearly affected by resonances

$$D^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$$

THE ISOBAR MODEL

- > Resonant decay treated as a superposition of 2-body decays e.g. $D \rightarrow rK_s$ and $r \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
- Each resonance has a probability amplitude M_r

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \sum_{r} a_{r} \boldsymbol{M}_{r}$$

$$\boldsymbol{M_r} = \langle \pi^+ \pi^- | \boldsymbol{r} \rangle \boldsymbol{\Delta_r} (\boldsymbol{m_{\pi^+ \pi^-}}) \langle K_s \boldsymbol{r} | D^0 \rangle$$

Approximate by a relativistic Breit–Wigner probability distribution function



PROBLEM

If Resonances overlap Total probability > 1



Not good
Theorists are unhappy
Should probably do something about that...



Curtis A. Meyer, Carnegie Mellon University, 'A k-matrix tutorial' (2008)

ALTERNATIVE MODEL

Makes use of **K-Matrix formalism** to describe resonances $D^0 \rightarrow K_s r \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ with spin(r) = 0 $(\pi \pi - S wave)$

Assumption: $D^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ equivalent dynamics to K_s scattering off $\pi^+ \pi^-$

Need to consider all possible decays of *r* in the analysis

- $r \to \pi \pi$
- $r \to KK$
- $r \to \pi \pi \pi \pi$
- $r \rightarrow \eta \eta$
- $r \rightarrow \eta \eta'$



K-Matrix Formulation

$$F = (I - iK\rho)^{-1}P$$

<u>Pseudo-propagator</u> Comes from scattering data. <u>Production Vector</u> Describes Couplings of resonances to D⁰

K = K - Matrix $\rho = phase-space factor$

$$\boldsymbol{M_r} = \langle \pi^+ \pi^- | \boldsymbol{r} \rangle \boldsymbol{F} \langle K_s \boldsymbol{r} | D^0 \rangle$$

Upholds unitarity by construction!



Curtis A. Meyer, Carnegie Mellon University, 'A k-matrix tutorial' (2008)

Implementation: CODING

My Job: Implement **K-matrix** description in **fitting** code for $D^0 \rightarrow K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$

implement $F = (I - iK\rho)^{-1}P \rightarrow$ fit to data

Programming language: CUDA (~ C++)
Running on a GPU (Graphical Processing Unit)
- extremely fast parallelisation

What are we fitting to data? $F = (I - iK\rho)^{-1}P$

- **K** K-matrix: comes entirely from scattering data
- p phase space matrix: depends only on masses
- P production vector: describes coupling to resonances

$$P_{j} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\beta_{\alpha}^{0} g_{\alpha j}^{0}}{m_{\alpha}^{2} - m_{\pi\pi}^{2}} + f_{\pi\pi j}^{pr} \frac{1 - s_{0}^{pr}}{m_{\pi\pi}^{2} - s_{0}^{pr}}$$

21 floating variables β_{α}^{0} and $f_{\pi\pi j}^{pr}$ are complex



Need to fit

Wrestling with errors

- Observing things (printing to screen) changes variables.
- \rightarrow memory issues probably to blame
- > This Week: Code Working on a CPU!
 - Positive cross-checks (e.g. D^0 decay time τ)
 - → Implementation complete
- > GPU memory issues currently being addressed





THANK YOU



THE ART OF PROGRAMMING - PART 2: KISS





Spare Slides

What are we fitting to data?

K-Matrix

$$K_{ij} = \left(\sum_{\alpha} \frac{g_{\alpha i}^{0} g_{\alpha j}^{0}}{m_{\alpha}^{2} - m_{\pi\pi}^{2}} + f_{ij}^{sc} \frac{1 - s_{0}^{sc}}{m_{\pi\pi}^{2} - s_{0}^{sc}}\right) \left[\frac{1 - s_{0}^{A}}{m_{\pi\pi}^{2} - s_{0}^{A}} \left(m_{\pi\pi}^{2} - \frac{a_{A} m_{\pi}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]$$

Production vector

$$P_{j} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\beta_{\alpha}^{0} g_{\alpha j}^{0}}{m_{\alpha}^{2} - m_{\pi\pi}^{2}} + f_{\pi\pi j}^{pr} \frac{1 - s_{0}^{pr}}{m_{\pi\pi}^{2} - s_{0}^{pr}}$$

Sum over poles Non resonant term Correction term

21 floating variables β_{α}^{0} and $f_{\pi\pi j}^{pr}$ are complex \rightarrow both real and imaginary parts



Fig. 1. The widths and mass differences of the physical states of the flavoured neutral mesons. The width corresponds to the inverse lifetime while the mass difference determines the oscillation frequency.

