

THE EU CHARTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

in Latvia

[N]ational authorities (judicial authorities, law enforcement bodies and administrations) are key actors in giving concrete effect to the rights and freedoms enshrined in the Charter"

European Parliament (2015), Resolution on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013–2014) 2014/2254(INI)), Strasbourg, 8 September 2015, recital P.

CHARTER COUNTRY-SHEET



The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union is the EU's bill of human rights. It contains 50 articles with substantive rights and principles, followed by four articles with general provisions. Member States have a duty to respect the rights and observe the principles of the Charter whenever they are acting within the scope of binding EU law. Where the Charter provisions are sufficiently precise and unconditional, they can have a direct effect at the national level – for instance in national courtrooms. Charter provisions that are 'principles' can only be invoked before a court if implemented by legislative or executive acts.

Member States have an explicit duty to promote the Charter's application. This countrysheet supports that effort by giving examples of the Charter's use and highlighting how it adds value.

The EU Charter as an obligation: when are Latvian authorities required to apply it?

- ★ Given that EU law is predominantly implemented at national level, national judges, parliamentarians, government officials and legal practitioners are core 'Charter agents' on whom the EU system relies.
- ★The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights primarily addresses the EU itself. It binds EU Member States "only when they are implementing Union law" (Article 51 of the Charter). However, a significant part of national law- and policymaking is directly or indirectly influenced by EU law. Wherever a legislative file, a judicial case or factual situation falls within the scope of binding EU law, the EU Charter applies and can be used by and invoked before national authorities.
- ★It is not always easy to draw the borders of the Charter's field of application. The question of whether the Charter applies is central to the proper implementation of EU law. FRA's handbook on Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in law and policymaking at national level provides some guidance on this issue.



How is the Charter used in Latvia?

The Latvian constitution

The Constitution of Latvia

- ★ It was adopted on 15 February 1922. It has eight chapters and 116 articles.
- * Most of the provisions on fundamental rights are enshrined in Chapter VIII, entitled Fundamental Human Rights (Articles 89–116). This chapter was integrated in the constitution in 1998, modifying the original version of the constitution, which contained only some fundamental rights provisions (e.g. voting rights).
- ★The fundamental rights enlisted in Chapter VIII contain civil and political rights as well as social and economic rights formulated as individual rights (e.g. right to social security) and cultural rights.

The constitution, the EU Charter and the ECHR

- ★ There is no mention of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU primary law, or the European Convention of Human Rights in the constitution.
- *However, Article 89 of the constitution states that the state shall recognise and protect fundamental human rights in accordance with international agreements binding upon Latvia.

All EU Member States apply the EU Charter – but not always to its full potential. The Charter is sometimes referred to in the context of upcoming legislation or debates in parliaments. National authorities and courts also sometimes refer to the Charter in their decisions and rulings. Examples from Latvia include:

* National legislation: freedom of movement (Article 45)

In 2017, Latvia amended its **National Security Law** in response to security concerns relating to radicalisation and extremism in Europe. The amendment introduced the right of the Minister of the Interior to issue a decision prohibiting an individual from leaving the country if there is sufficient ground to believe that they intend to engage in terrorist activities or join armed conflicts abroad. The legislative amendment was assessed to ensure its compliance with Article 45 of the EU Charter (freedom of movement), with the review pointing out that freedom of movement can be subject to certain restrictions imposed by law, if it is in the public interest.

* National courts: respect for private and family life (Article 7) and protection of personal data (Article 8)

In 2017, the Supreme Court (A420471613 (SKA-276/2017)) referred to Articles 7 and 8 EU Charter, when handling a case regarding the right to publish an online version of an article published earlier in paper format. Amongst others, the question arose whether the right to create an archive containing the article – derived from the right to freedom of expression – should be restricted based on the grounds of data protection, if the subject of the previously published data had not expressly consented to it.

★ National courts: right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial (Article 47)

In 2017, the Latgale Regional Court (C12242916 (CA-0143-17)) dealt with the question of whether an applicant who is exempt from paying court expenses but lost the case is also exempt from covering the costs of the respondent's legal aid. When concluding that the right to legal representation is a fundamental right of both a natural and a legal person, the court referred to Article 47 of the EU Charter.

The Charter's added value

The Charter is a legally binding document. It includes civil and political rights as well as economic, social and cultural rights. Moreover, it benefits from the strength of EU law, which often has direct effect and, in principle and unlike international law, must be granted supremacy over national law. However, in many contexts it may not be possible to directly invoke the Charter – for instance, because the respective Charter provision is a principle and not a right and was not implemented by a legislative or executive act; or is otherwise not directly applicable; or does not apply at all because the case at hand falls outside the scope of EU law. In any case, the Charter increases the visibility of rights. It explicitly spells out rights and principles that are often not expressly laid out in other international human rights documents, such as the ECHR (as shown in Figure 1). Unlike the Council of Europe's European Social Charter, the EU Charter does not offer the possibility to be bound only by selected provisions; Member States are bound by all of its provisions.

Given the breadth of rights explicitly covered by the Charter, it can help to increase the visibility of rights at national level. Moreover, national courts do sometimes use the Charter to interpret or further develop national law, even outside the scope of EU law.

No ECHR equivalent
More extensive than ECHR
Equivalent protection to ECHR
EU context-specific

Note: The figure is based on the Explanations on the Charter and a textual comparison of the two documents in order to show how the Charter increases the visibility of entitlements (some of the rights not explicitly contained in the ECHR are covered by the case law, which however is less visible to a non-expert).

Source: FRA, 2018

Figure 1: What rights are covered? Comparing the Charter and the ECHR

Charter articles and text of the ECHR: differences and equivalence in coverage



47 Effective remedy and fair trial

50 Ne bis in idem

Presumption of innocence; right of defence

49 Legality and proportionality of offences and penalties




When comparing the Charter with the constitutions of EU Member States, it becomes evident that the text of the Charter is often more explicit about certain rights. For example, in Latvia, various Charter rights appear not to be fully mirrored in national constitutional law – for instance, freedom to conduct a business (Article 16), workers' right to information and consultation within the undertaking (Article 27), right of access to placement services (Article 29), protection in the event of unjustified dismissal (Article 30), access to services of general economic

interest (Article 36), right to access of documents (Article 42) and the right not to be tried or punished twice for the same criminal offence (Article 50).

The absence of certain rights from a constitutional text by no means implies that they are not protected by the legal order. However, explicit guarantees in a constitutional text make these rights more visible and so also more accessible. In this sense, the Charter can strengthen less well-known rights.

Figure 2: Does the Charter add to the visibility of rights? Comparing the Charter and national constitutions

Number of EU Member States that do not have equivalent/explicit provisions for this Article in their constitutional texts



Note: The figure is based on a textual comparison of the Charter and written constitutional law of the EU Member States (excluding the United Kingdom) in order to show under which provisions the Charter is most likely to increase the visibility of entitlements. EU-specific entitlements (the Charter provisions that are in Figure 1 marked in pale yellow) were considered as reflected in national constitutions if a comparable provision could be identified (for instance a constitutional provision concerning a national Ombudsperson).

Source: FRA, 2018

The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: a young instrument

- ★ A European Convention drafted the Charter. The Convention was composed of 15 representatives of the then 15 EU Member States, 46 parliamentarians (16 members of the European Parliament and 30 members of national parliaments), and one European Commission representative. The Convention also consulted civil society.
- ★ The European Parliament, the European Commission and the Council of the EU solemnly proclaimed the Charter in December 2000.
- ★ With the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty on 1 December 2009, the Charter became legally binding. It is a relatively new legal instrument that is increasingly used at national level.

Further information

- ★ The EU Charter, available on EUR-Lex.
- ★ The **Explanations relating to the Charter of fundamental rights**, by the Presidium of the European Convention.
- ★ Charterpedia a FRA webspace bringing together Charter-related information, including national case law.
- ★ EU Charter app a FRA app to access EU Charter rights anytime, anywhere, as well as national and CJEU case law using the Charter.
- * FRA (2018), Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in law and policymaking at national level, Luxembourg, Publications Office.
- ★ FRA (2018), Opinion 4/2018, **Challenges and opportunities for the implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights.**
- **★ Thematic FRA-CoE/ECtHR handbooks on European law**: Non-discrimination (2018), Asylum (2014), Data protection (2018), Children's rights (2015), and Access to justice (2016).
- ★ FRA's annual *Fundamental Rights Report* contains **a specific chapter** dedicated to the use of the Charter at national level.
- ★ European Commission, Annual reports on the application of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.

FRA - EUROPEAN UNION AGENCY FOR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Tel: +43 158030-0 - Fax: +43 158030-699 fra.europa.eu - info@fra.europa.eu

f facebook.com/fundamentalrights

twitter.com/EURightsAgency

in linkedin.com/company/eu-fundamental-rights-agency

© FRA, 2019 ISBN 978-92-9474-113-4 doi:10.2811/418502 TK-01-18-748-EN-N

