

Generation of two “independent” points on an elliptic curve of j -invariant $\neq 0, 1728$

Dimitri Koshelev*
dimitri.koshelev@gmail.com

Parallel Computation Laboratory, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France

Abstract. This article is dedicated to a new generation method of two “independent” \mathbb{F}_q -points P_0, P_1 on almost any ordinary elliptic curve E over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of large characteristic. In particular, the method is relevant for all standardized and real-world elliptic curves of j -invariants different from 0, 1728. The points P_0, P_1 are characterized by the fact that nobody (even a generator) knows the discrete logarithm $\log_{P_0}(P_1)$ in the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Moreover, only one square root extraction in \mathbb{F}_q (instead of two ones) is required in comparison with all previous generation methods.

Keywords: endomorphism rings · generation of “independent” points · isotrivial elliptic curves · Mordell–Weil lattices.

1 Introduction

Despite ongoing calls for standardization and adaptation of post-quantum cryptography (PQC), elliptic curve cryptography (ECC) is now experiencing the all-time flourishing due to its active application when protecting most blockchain technologies, including cryptocurrencies. Their emphasis on ECC stems from concerns about efficiency of cryptographic protocols. Blockchains use multi-party computation (MPC) with plenty of parties, so the transition to PQC would lead to a catastrophic slowdown.

This article looks at an ECC optimization that is especially relevant to modern (blockchain) protocols. It is about the task of generating transparently several “independent” \mathbb{F}_q -points on an elliptic curve E over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . “Independence” means that non-trivial linear relations between the points are unknown to anyone. We will focus on the case when the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q is large and $E: y^2 = f(x)$ is an ordinary (a.k.a. non-supersingular) curve. Today, other pairs E/\mathbb{F}_q are considered to be suspicious and hence they are (almost) never used.

At the moment, in order to obtain one point in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ people frequently give preference to the naive try-and-increment method (represented, e.g., in [22, Section 8.2.1]) iterating the x -coordinate. Alternatively, one can resort to any constant-time map to $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In recent years, there are breakthroughs in constructing such maps [30, Section 3]. Nevertheless, it is worth stressing that

* <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/dimitri-koshelev>

constant-timeness is in fact superfluous in our context, because the seed of a generation process is not secret. On the contrary, it must be as public as possible so that everyone can make sure in honesty of a generator. That is why, we can forget about tricky deterministic maps without loss of generality.

Given $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the condition $\sqrt{f(x)} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ can be checked without computing the square root, namely via the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{f(x)}{q}\right)$. Generally speaking, this symbol (with whatever argument from \mathbb{F}_q) should be determined by Euclidean-type algorithms running in a bit time $O(\log^2(q))$. Moreover, they work well in practice [26,35]. Meanwhile, two x -coordinates are on average enough to meet the desired condition. As is well known (e.g. from [38]), extracting $\sqrt{\cdot} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ costs $O(\log^3(q))$ bit operations, but never $O(\log^2(q))$ ones. So, the primitive $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is an order of magnitude more expensive than $\left(\frac{\cdot}{q}\right)$. Finally, when one needs more than one \mathbb{F}_q -point on E , nothing prevents from repeating multiple times the try-and-increment method with another seed.

A novel approach for solving the generation task is suggested in [29]. That article dwells on the extreme, but important case of j -invariant 0. The current article follows the same concept, but in the general case, that is, without significant restrictions on j -invariant. In contrast to [29], we will succeed in constructing just two “independent” points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Nonetheless, this already significantly affects performance, taking into account the cumulative effect. Indeed, it seemed earlier that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ “independent” points cannot be generated faster than by finding n roots (usually square) in the field \mathbb{F}_q . We will justify that $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ quadratic roots are sufficient for that purpose.

Throughout the text, $D < 0$ stands for the complex multiplication (CM) discriminant of E . As we will see, unlike $j(E) = 0$ (equivalently, $D = -3$), a new difficulty appears whenever D is large in absolute value. Fortunately, we will successfully circumvent this obstacle. It is worth noting that conservative cryptographers prefer and, at the same time, regulators standardize elliptic curves of huge CM discriminants, because they seem more secure as opposed to others. For instance, the source [9] recommends taking $D = D_0 c^2$ with $c \in \mathbb{N}$ and the square-free natural $-D_0 > 2^{100}$. The given condition is fulfilled for a random curve E with a high probability.

The problem of “independent” points has long history. For example, such points occur in the notorious *Dual Elliptic Curve Deterministic Random Bit Generator (Dual_EC_DRBG)* invented by NIST. It was heavily criticized, because NIST proposed its own points P, Q without any transparent explanation of their origin. Therefore, the cryptographic community was suspicious concerning a possible backdoor (see, e.g., [10,11]). In addition, Dual_EC_DRBG had other disadvantages [16,39], which raised even more doubts about the suitability of its use. Under public pressure, the last actual version of the standard [8] no longer contains this generator. Nevertheless, as shown in [23], it can be modified to be safe if the discrete logarithm between the points is truly unknown.

It is also worth mentioning a *Password-Authenticated Key Exchange (PAKE)* protocol under the name *SPAKE2* [1,2]. It was one of the candidates (but not the winner) of the relatively recent public selection process [20] under the auspices

of CFRG. SPAKE2 likewise requires two “independent” points denoted by M, N in the RFC [32]. Bearing in mind the bitter experience of Dual_EC_DRBG, the given RFC explicitly indicates where the points come from.

The third classical example is the so-called *Pedersen hash function* to the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (a.k.a. *Pedersen commitment*) [34, Section 3], which is also contained in [18, Section 3]. The Pedersen hash is naturally generalized to an arbitrary number n of “independent” points (see, e.g., [14]). The larger the number n , the better the compression provided by the hash function, since it always returns one \mathbb{F}_q -point on E regardless of n . Thereby, it is tempting to take huge values of n (such as 2^{28}), which really happens in practice [17].

Entities of any cryptographic scheme operating with a large number of “independent” points face a difficult choice. They are obliged either to store/transmit the points or to regenerate them (or others) every time from a short seed. The first approach requires large amount of memory or a channel with good bandwidth, respectively. In turn, the second one forces entities to spend a part of their running time on repetitive point regeneration. Consequently, any noticeable acceleration of the given subroutine deserves attention to lean towards the second solution.

To be honest, little attention is paid to the problem of generating “independent” points, since it is not the bottleneck in contrast to other primitives on elliptic curves such as a *Multi-Scalar Multiplication (MSM)* [13]. By the way, MSM is essentially evaluating the Pedersen hash function. Nevertheless, the problem under consideration should be rigorously discussed by the scientific society. Otherwise, there is a risk that an ad hoc speed up proposed by a junior developer may come to a catastrophic security error not identified until the release of a software product.

The mathematics underlying the new generation method concerns elliptic curves over the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_q(u)$ and their *Mordell–Weil lattices* (see, e.g., [40], [42, Chapter III] as sources on the topic). The author hopes that Mordell–Weil lattices will sooner or later find other exciting applications in ECC or PQC, just as this was done in the past by pairings and isogenies, respectively. Such a prospect has a right to exist, because any \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny $E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ between elliptic \mathbb{F}_q -curves is realized as a point of E_1 over the function field $\mathbb{F}_q(E_0)$. In fact, \mathbb{F}_q -isogenies can be also imagined as points of a certain non-constant elliptic $\mathbb{F}_q(u)$ -curve. This view enables to establish in Appendix an interesting relationship between isogeny-based cryptography (IBC) and yet another type of PQC.

2 Mathematical preliminaries

Consider $E: y^2 = f(x) := x^3 + ax + b$, an elliptic curve over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic 5 or greater. Since the curve E is ordinary by our assumption, its endomorphism ring is an order $\mathbb{Z}[\tau] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ in some imaginary quadratic field. Throughout the present paper, we will freely use basic facts from the theory of such quadratic orders (see, e.g., [19, Section 7]).

As above, denote by $D < 0$ the complex multiplication discriminant of E , that is, the discriminant of $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$. As is customary, $\widehat{\tau}$ stands for the dual, i.e., the complex conjugate of τ . The integers

$$d := \deg(\tau) = \tau\widehat{\tau}, \quad t := \operatorname{tr}(\tau) = \tau + \widehat{\tau}$$

are commonly called the degree (or norm) and trace of τ , respectively. Note that t is not in general the Frobenius trace of E , which is usually associated with this letter in the literature on elliptic curves. Clearly,

$$\tau = \frac{t + \sqrt{D}}{2}, \quad \widehat{\tau} = \frac{t - \sqrt{D}}{2}$$

are the roots of the quadratic polynomial $m_\tau(x) := x^2 - tx + d$ with discriminant $D = t^2 - 4d$. Besides, it will be convenient to have before our eyes the elementary formula

$$\deg(n_0 + n_1\tau) = n_0^2 + n_0n_1t + n_1^2d, \quad (1)$$

where $n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let's extend the field \mathbb{F}_q to the (infinite) function field $F := \mathbb{F}_q(u)$ in one variable u . Now, fix the quadratic twist $E^g : g(u)y^2 = f(x)$ by means of a function $g \in F^*$. Certainly, E^g is the trivial twist if $\sqrt{g} \in F$ because of the isomorphism

$$E^g \rightarrow E \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, \sqrt{g} \cdot y).$$

Evidently, $j(E^g) = j(E)$ and, conversely, every elliptic F -curve with the given j -invariant $\neq 0, 1728$ is isomorphic over F to the twist E^h for some $h \in F^*$. Such curves are said to be *isotrivial*. Thus, without loss of generality, we can deal with the form E^g rather than with the conventional Weierstrass form.

Hereafter, put $\mathcal{E} := E^g$ to simplify the notation. Recall that the (finitely generated) Mordell–Weil group $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is equipped with the so-called *naive height*

$$h : \mathcal{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \quad h(P) := \begin{cases} \deg(x) & \text{if } P = (x, y), \\ 0 & \text{if } P = (0 : 1 : 0). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $h(P) = h(-P)$. Based on h and on [42, Theorem III.4.3], we get the *canonical height* and group *height pairing*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{h} : \mathcal{E}(F) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} & \widehat{h}(P) &:= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^n P)}{4^n}, \\ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E}(F)^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} & \langle P, Q \rangle &:= \widehat{h}(P + Q) - \widehat{h}(P) - \widehat{h}(Q), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

respectively. It is useful to remember that $2\widehat{h}(P) = \langle P, P \rangle$ for each point P and $\widehat{h}(P) = 0$ if and only if the order of P is finite. The fact that \widehat{h} always takes rational values will be at the core of proving Theorem 1. Curiously, as stressed in [42, Remark III.4.3.1], the analogue of \widehat{h} for elliptic curves over number fields (probably) takes transcendental values at all non-torsion points.

Since \mathcal{E} is a twist of E , the endomorphism rings of E and \mathcal{E} are identical. Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is not only a group, but also a $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ -module.

Lemma 1. *For any $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ and $P, Q \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ there are the identities*

$$\widehat{h}(\varphi(P)) = \deg(\varphi)\widehat{h}(P), \quad \langle \varphi(P), \varphi(Q) \rangle = \deg(\varphi)\langle P, Q \rangle.$$

Proof. The second identity immediately follows from the first one, hence we can concentrate on establishing the former. For compactness, we introduce the even function $\varphi_x := x \circ \varphi$ whose $\deg(\varphi_x) = 2 \deg(\varphi)$. We lack the generalized naive height

$$h_{\varphi_x}(P) := \deg(\varphi_x(P)) = h(\varphi(P))$$

from [43, Section VIII.6]. In this notation, $h = h_x$. Note that

$$h_{\varphi_x}(2^n P) = h(\varphi(2^n P)) = h(2^n \varphi(P))$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By virtue of [43, Proposition VIII.9.1], we have:

$$\widehat{h}(P) = \widehat{h}_{\varphi_x}(P) := \frac{1}{\deg(\varphi_x)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{\varphi_x}(2^n P)}{4^n} = \frac{\widehat{h}(\varphi(P))}{\deg(\varphi)}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Suppose that we are given two short F -points P_i on the curve \mathcal{E} , where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. “Short” means that their canonical heights $\widehat{h}_i := \widehat{h}(P_i)$ as well as $e := \langle P_0, P_1 \rangle$ are small and hence they can be found. Also, we need the values $v_i := \langle P_i, \tau(P_{i+1}) \rangle$. Provided that D is large, we cannot directly compute them. Fortunately, one value is expressed through the other.

Lemma 2. *The linear relation $v_0 + v_1 = te$ holds.*

Proof. We see that

$$dv_1 = \langle dP_1, \tau(P_0) \rangle = \langle \tau\widehat{\tau}(P_1), \tau(P_0) \rangle = d\langle \widehat{\tau}(P_1), P_0 \rangle = d\langle P_0, \widehat{\tau}(P_1) \rangle.$$

The third equality is due to Lemma 1. So, $v_1 = \langle P_0, \widehat{\tau}(P_1) \rangle$ and ultimately

$$v_0 + v_1 = \langle P_0, (\tau + \widehat{\tau})(P_1) \rangle = \langle P_0, tP_1 \rangle = te.$$

We obtain the statement of the lemma. \square

Define the (symmetric) Gram matrix

$$M_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \langle P_0, P_0 \rangle & \langle P_0, P_1 \rangle \\ * & \langle P_1, P_1 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\widehat{h}_0 & e \\ * & 2\widehat{h}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with determinant $\det(M_2) = 4\widehat{h}_0\widehat{h}_1 - e^2$. Since the canonical height is positive definite on $\mathcal{E}(F)/\mathcal{E}(F)_{\text{tor}}$, the points P_0, P_1 are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} (in the strict sense) if and only if the matrix M_2 is non-degenerate (see [42, Lemma III.11.5]). We are able to say more by virtue of the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If $\Delta := -D \det(M_2)$ is not a square in \mathbb{Q} , then the points P_0, P_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$.*

Proof. Let's introduce yet another (symmetric) Gram matrix

$$M_4 := \begin{pmatrix} \langle P_0, P_0 \rangle & \langle P_0, \tau(P_0) \rangle & \langle P_0, P_1 \rangle & \langle P_0, \tau(P_1) \rangle \\ * & \langle \tau(P_0), \tau(P_0) \rangle & \langle \tau(P_0), P_1 \rangle & \langle \tau(P_0), \tau(P_1) \rangle \\ * & * & \langle P_1, P_1 \rangle & \langle P_1, \tau(P_1) \rangle \\ * & * & * & \langle \tau(P_1), \tau(P_1) \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying Lemma 1 and the formula (1), it is easily verified that

$$M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\hat{h}_0 & t\hat{h}_0 & e & v_0 \\ * & 2d\hat{h}_0 & v_1 & de \\ * & * & 2\hat{h}_1 & t\hat{h}_1 \\ * & * & * & 2d\hat{h}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The points P_0, P_1 are independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ if and only if $P_0, \tau(P_0), P_1, \tau(P_1)$ are independent over \mathbb{Z} , that is, the matrix M_4 is non-degenerate. To avoid manual computation of its determinant the reader can resort to the code [28] written in Magma. It says that after the substitution $v_0 = te - v_1$ (cf. Lemma 2) we have:

$$\det(M_4) = \rho(v_1)^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho(v_1) := v_1^2 - tev_1 + (de^2 + D\hat{h}_0\hat{h}_1).$$

Further, the discriminant of the quadratic \mathbb{Q} -polynomial ρ equals

$$\text{disc}(\rho) = (te)^2 - 4(de^2 + D\hat{h}_0\hat{h}_1) = D(e^2 - 4\hat{h}_0\hat{h}_1),$$

which is nothing but Δ in the statement of the theorem. If $\sqrt{\Delta} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then the polynomial ρ does not have roots in the field \mathbb{Q} . Since v_1 is on the contrary a rational number, $\rho(v_1) \neq 0$ and thus the matrix M_4 is non-degenerate. \square

Lemma 3. *Assume that the initial curve E is not isogenous to a curve of j -invariant 1728 (i.e., of $D = -4$). If in addition $e = 0$ and $\hat{h}_0 = \hat{h}_1$, then the premise of Theorem 1 is fulfilled.*

Proof. Under the lemma conditions, $\Delta = -4D\hat{h}_1^2$. Consequently, $\sqrt{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Q}$ or, equivalently, $D = -4c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that E is isogenous (necessarily over \mathbb{F}_q) to a curve of $j = 1728$ as stems, e.g., from [25, Theorems 25.1.2 and 25.4.6]. The lemma is proved. \square

3 Generation of two “independent” points

First of all, recall for completeness (in Algorithm 1) the naive try-and-increment method of generating just one point in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

In this section, we will deal solely with points $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$. In particular, they are of infinite order. It is necessary to give a strict

<p>Algorithm 1: Naive generation method of one point</p> <p>Data: a seed $\in \{0, 1\}^*$ and a cryptographic hash function $\eta: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$.</p> <p>Result: a point in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.</p> <pre> begin $i := 0$; $x := \eta(\text{seed} i)$; while $\left(\frac{f(x)}{q}\right) = -1$ do $i := i + 1$; $x := \eta(\text{seed} i)$; end $y := \sqrt{f(x)}$; return (x, y). end </pre>
--

definition of “independent” \mathbb{F}_q -points on E . It is reasonable to consider for this role the specializations $P_0(u), P_1(u)$ at an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In addition, the reduction $\mathcal{E}(u)$ is assumed to be \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphic to E , that is, $\sqrt{g(u)} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We are obliged to require independence of P_0, P_1 over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$, not only over \mathbb{Z} . The fact is that in the cryptographic context the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is cyclic. Thereby, the endomorphism τ acts on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ as the scalar multiplication $[\lambda]$, where λ is one of the two roots of the polynomial m_τ modulo the group order.

Evidently, there are at most a finite number of elements u at which P_0, P_1 , or g is not correctly defined. Besides, it is worth emphasizing that $\log_{P_0(u)}(P_1(u))$ may be in principle a simple instance of the discrete logarithm problem (DLP) for specific values u . For a finite number of them, the equality $P_0(u) = P_1(u)$ even takes place. However, the DLP between $P_0(u), P_1(u)$ seems intractable for general u . Otherwise, it would be surprising and perhaps would affect solving the DLP for two abstract points of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The new try-and-increment method of generating two “independent” points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is formalized in Algorithm 2. Up to an F -isomorphism of \mathcal{E} , it is enough to take $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[u]$. This permits to avoid the inversion operation in \mathbb{F}_q during the evaluation $g(u)$. It is of paramount importance to pick a canonical seed and a cryptographically strong hash function η . Failing that, a dishonest entity can choose a value u (and then a preimage from $\eta^{-1}(u)$) for which the samples $P_0(u), P_1(u)$ are weak from the viewpoint of the DLP. The same security requirement has to be respected in the case of Algorithm 1 executed twice.

3.1 Mestre–Kuwata–Wang’s formulas

It remains to concretize the second data line of Algorithm 2. Given two elliptic \mathbb{F}_q -curves E_i of j -invariant $\neq 0, 1728$ (equivalently, the coefficients $a, b \neq 0$), Mestre [33] and Kuwata–Wang [31] separately found a function $g \in F$ and two non-torsion points $P_i \in E_i^g$. Whenever E_1 is a quadratic twist of E_0 (unique over \mathbb{F}_q), their result gives rise to a natural deterministic map $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow (E_0 \times E_1)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ or just $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow E_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if we do not need a point in $E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The latter map is known

Algorithm 2: New generation method of two “independent” points

Data: a seed $\in \{0, 1\}^*$ and a cryptographic hash function $\eta: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, a polynomial $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[u]$ and points $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1) \in E^g(F)$ independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$.

Result: two “independent” points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

```

begin
   $i := 0$ ;
   $u := \eta(\text{seed}||i)$ ;
  while  $\left(\frac{g(u)}{q}\right) = -1$  do
     $i := i + 1$ ;
     $u := \eta(\text{seed}||i)$ ;
  end
   $v := \sqrt{g(u)}$ ;
  return  $(x_0(u), vy_0(u)), (x_1(u), vy_1(u))$ .
end

```

in the cryptographic literature under the name *simplified SWU map* [15, Section 7], [46, Section 4.1]. By the way, its bottleneck likewise consists in extracting one square root in \mathbb{F}_q .

Here, we are on the contrary interested in the tweaked case $E_0 = E_1 =: E$. Constant-timeness is sacrificed for the second point on the same curve. In this case, Mestre–Kuwata–Wang’s formulas have the form

$$x_0 := \frac{b(u^6 - 1)}{au^2(1 - u^4)}, \quad x_1 := x_0u^2, \quad y := u^3.$$

These functions satisfy the equation $f(x_0)y^2 = f(x_1)$. Therefore, we possess the points

$$P_0 := (x_0, 1), \quad P_1 := (x_1, y) \tag{3}$$

on the twist $\mathcal{E} = E^g$ with respect to

$$g := f(x_0) = \frac{f(x_1)}{y^2} = \frac{\text{num}}{\text{den}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{num} &:= b(b^2u^{12} + 3b^2u^{10} + (a^3 + 6b^2)u^8 + (2a^3 + 7b^2)u^6 + (a^3 + 6b^2)u^4 + 3b^2u^2 + b^2), \\ \text{den} &:= -(au^2(u^2 + 1))^3. \end{aligned}$$

All the above formulas are verified in Magma [28].

Furthermore, this computer algebra system allows to compute the canonical height (pairing). Note that Magma’s height \widehat{h} is two times larger than in the definition (2), while the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is consistent. It turns out that $\widehat{h}_0 = \widehat{h}_1 = 2$ and $e = 0$ for the current points P_0, P_1 . Consequently, $\det(M_2) = 16 \neq 0$ and so P_0, P_1 are independent over \mathbb{Z} , confirming the fact already established in [33]. Thus, the *Mordell–Weil rank* r of \mathcal{E} , i.e., the rank of $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is no less than 2.

In accordance with Theorem 1 and Lemma 3, the points P_0, P_1 are moreover independent over $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ (in particular, $r \geq 4$) unless E is isogenous to a $j = 1728$ curve. If so, this does not imply that there is a $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ -dependency between P_0, P_1 . We just do not know an answer concerning this question.

3.2 Elliptic curves for which $-D$ is a perfect square

Let E_4 denote an elliptic curve with j -invariant 1728. Even if $E \sim E_4$, another pair of short F -points on \mathcal{E} probably exists for which the premise of Theorem 1 holds. Nonetheless, there is no essential advantage of such a curve E as compared with E_4 itself. First, a (multi-)scalar multiplication on E is slower than on E_4 , because the latter enjoys the GLV decomposition technique [25, Section 11.3.3] with an order 4 automorphism as τ . And second, the curve E is not much secure than E_4 , generally speaking. With rare exception, we are able to reduce the DLP from E to E_4 by evaluating an \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny $E \rightarrow E_4$ (of degree $c = \sqrt{-D}/2$) in a polylogarithmic time. These words are justified by the recent breakthrough [36] (cf. [37]) in evaluating isogenies of large prime degrees, not to mention those of smooth degrees (see, e.g., [25, Section 25.6]).

In fact, \mathbb{F}_q -curves E_4 are not so popular among implementers in contrast to \mathbb{F}_q -curves E_3 of j -invariant 0. This is due to the fact that $\text{Aut}(E_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6$ is greater than $\text{Aut}(E_4) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4$. As a consequence, curves E_3 have more symmetries than ones E_4 , which impacts on performance of various cryptographic primitives such as pairings [22, Section 3.2.5]. To sum up, Algorithm 2 instantiated by the points (3) is always relevant in real-world cryptography unless $j(E) = 0$. In turn, the previous source [29] treats this special case by providing a generator up to four “independent” \mathbb{F}_q -points on E_3 . If desired, a multi-point generator can be likewise constructed without any problems for curves E_4 . The author is sure about that, because as well as for F -curves of $j = 0$, there are many F -curves of $j = 1728$ having moderate Mordell–Weil ranks (see again [33]).

Acknowledgements. The author expresses his gratitude to Damien Stehlé and Michael Tsfasman for introducing him deeper to the theory of Euclidean lattices. Also, it is necessary to note the help with Mordell–Weil lattices, provided in different years on www.mathoverflow.net by Joseph H. Silverman, Noam D. Elkies, and Will Sawin.

References

1. Abdalla, M., Barbosa, M.: Perfect forward security of SPAKE2 (2019), <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1194>
2. Abdalla, M., Pointcheval, D.: Simple password-based encrypted key exchange protocols. In: Menezes, A. (ed.) Topics in Cryptology – CT-RSA 2005. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3376, pp. 191–208. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2005)
3. Akiyama, K., Goto, Y.: An algebraic surface public-key cryptosystem. In: ISEC2004-80. vol. 104, pp. 13–20 (2004)

4. Akiyama, K., Goto, Y.: A public-key cryptosystem using algebraic surfaces. In: Post-Quantum Cryptography. PQCrypto 2006. pp. 119–138 (2006)
5. Akiyama, K., Goto, Y., Miyake, H.: An algebraic surface cryptosystem. In: Jarecki, S., Tsudik, G. (eds.) Public Key Cryptography – PKC 2009. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5443, pp. 425–442. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2009)
6. Akiyama, K., Goto, Y., Okumura, S., Takagi, T., Nuida, K., Hanaoka, G.: A public-key encryption scheme based on non-linear indeterminate equations. In: Adams, C., Camenisch, J. (eds.) Selected Areas in Cryptography – SAC 2017. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10719, pp. 215–234. Springer, Cham (2018)
7. Akiyama, K., Goto, Y., Okumura, S., Takagi, T., Nuida, K., Hanaoka, G., Shimizu, H., Ikematsu, Y.: A public-key encryption scheme based on non-linear indeterminate equations (Giophantus) (2017), <https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/1241>
8. Barker, E., Kelsey, J.: Recommendation for random number generation using deterministic random bit generators (NIST Special Publication 800-90A Revision 1) (2015), <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-90a/rev-1/final>
9. Bernstein, D.J., Lange, T.: CM field discriminants (2013), <https://safecurves.cr.yp.to/disc.html>
10. Bernstein, D.J., Lange, T., Niederhagen, R.: Dual EC DRBG (2013), <https://projectbullrun.org/dual-ec>
11. Bernstein, D.J., Lange, T., Niederhagen, R.: Dual EC: A standardized back door. In: Ryan, P.Y.A., Naccache, D., Quisquater, J.J. (eds.) The New Codebreakers. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9100, pp. 256–281. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2016)
12. Beullens, W., Castryck, W., Vercauteren, F.: IND-CPA attack on Giophantus (2018), <https://csrc.nist.gov/CSRC/media/Projects/Post-Quantum-Cryptography/documents/round-1/official-comments/Giophantus-official-comment.pdf>
13. Botrel, G., El Housni, Y.: Faster Montgomery multiplication and multi-scalar-multiplication for SNARKs. IACR Transactions on Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems (TCHES) **2023**(3), 504–521 (2023)
14. Bottinelli, P.: Breaking Pedersen hashes in practice (2023), <https://research.nccgroup.com/2023/03/22/breaking-pedersen-hashes-in-practice>
15. Brier, E., Coron, J.S., Icart, T., Madore, D., Randriam, H., Tibouchi, M.: Efficient indifferentiable hashing into ordinary elliptic curves. In: Rabin, T. (ed.) Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 2010. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 6223, pp. 237–254. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2010)
16. Brown, D.R.L., Gjøsteen, K.: A security analysis of the NIST SP 800-90 elliptic curve random number generator. In: Menezes, A. (ed.) Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 2007. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4622, pp. 466–481. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2007)
17. Buterin, V.: Open problem: Ideal vector commitment (2020), <https://ethresear.ch/t/open-problem-ideal-vector-commitment/7421>
18. Chaum, D., van Heijst, E., Pfitzmann, B.: Cryptographically strong undeniable signatures, unconditionally secure for the signer. In: Feigenbaum, J. (ed.) Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 1991. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 576, pp. 470–484. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1992)
19. Cox, D.A., with contributions by Lipsett, R.: Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication, AMS Chelsea Publishing, vol. 387. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 3 edn. (2022)

20. Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG): PAKE selection process (2020), <https://github.com/cfrg/pake-selection>
21. Ding, J., Deaton, J., Schmidt, K.: Giophantus distinguishing attack is a low dimensional learning with errors problem. *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **14**(4), 573–577 (2020)
22. El Mrabet, N., Joye, M. (eds.): Guide to pairing-based cryptography. *Cryptography and Network Security Series*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York (2017)
23. Farashahi, R.R., Schoenmakers, B., Sidorenko, A.: Efficient pseudorandom generators based on the DDH assumption. In: Okamoto, T., Wang, X. (eds.) *Public Key Cryptography – PKC 2007*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 4450, pp. 426–441. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2007)
24. Faugère, J.C., Spaenlehauer, P.J.: Algebraic cryptanalysis of the PKC’2009 algebraic surface cryptosystem. In: Nguyen, P.Q., Pointcheval, D. (eds.) *Public Key Cryptography – PKC 2010*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 6056, pp. 35–52. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2010)
25. Galbraith, S.D.: *Mathematics of public key cryptography*. Cambridge University Press, New York (2012)
26. Hamburg, M.: Computing the Jacobi symbol using Bernstein–Yang (2021), <https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/1271>
27. Ivanov, P., Voloch, J.F.: Breaking the Akiyama–Goto cryptosystem. In: Lachaud, G., Ritzenthaler, C., Tsfasman, M.A. (eds.) *Arithmetic, Geometry, Cryptography and Coding Theory – AGCCT 2007*. *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 487, pp. 113–118. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2009)
28. Koshelev, D.: Magma code (2023), <https://github.com/dishport/Generation-of-two-independent-points-on-an-elliptic-curve-of-j-invariant-not-0-1728>
29. Koshelev, D.: Generation of “independent” points on elliptic curves by means of Mordell–Weil lattices. *Mathematical Cryptology* **4**(1), 11–22 (2024)
30. Koshelev, D.: Some remarks on how to hash faster onto elliptic curves. *Journal of Computer Virology and Hacking Techniques* **20**(4), 593–605 (2024)
31. Kuwata, M., Wang, L.: Topology of rational points on isotrivial elliptic surfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices* **1993**(4), 113–123 (1993)
32. Ladd, W.: SPAKE2, a password-authenticated key exchange (RFC 9382) (2023), <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc9382>
33. Mestre, J.F.: Rang de courbes elliptiques d’invariant donné. *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences. Série 1, Mathématique* **314**(12), 297–319 (1992)
34. Pedersen, T.P.: Non-interactive and information-theoretic secure verifiable secret sharing. In: Feigenbaum, J. (ed.) *Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 1991*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 576, pp. 129–140. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1992)
35. Pornin, T.: X25519 implementation for ARM Cortex-M0/M0+ (2020), <https://github.com/pornin/x25519-cm0>
36. Robert, D.: Evaluating isogenies in polylogarithmic time (2022), <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/1068>
37. Robert, D.: Breaking SIDH in polynomial time. In: Hazay, C., Stam, M. (eds.) *Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT 2023*. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 14008, pp. 472–503. Springer, Cham (2023)
38. Sarkar, P.: Computing square roots faster than the Tonelli–Shanks/Bernstein algorithm. *Advances in Mathematics of Communications* **18**(1), 141–162 (2024)
39. Schoenmakers, B., Sidorenko, A.: Cryptanalysis of the dual elliptic curve pseudorandom generator (2006), <https://eprint.iacr.org/2006/190>

40. Schütt, M., Shioda, T.: Mordell–Weil lattices, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 70. Springer, Singapore (2019)
41. Shioda, T.: Correspondence of elliptic curves and Mordell–Weil lattices of certain elliptic K3 surfaces. In: Nagel, J., Peters, C. (eds.) Algebraic Cycles and Motives: Volume 2. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 344, pp. 319–339. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007)
42. Silverman, J.H.: Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151. Springer, New York (1994)
43. Silverman, J.H.: The arithmetic of elliptic curves, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106. Springer, New York, 2 edn. (2009)
44. Sterner, B.: Commitment schemes from supersingular elliptic curve isogeny graphs. *Mathematical Cryptology* **1**(2), 40–51 (2021)
45. Voloch, J.F.: Commitment schemes and diophantine equations. In: Galbraith, S.D. (ed.) ANTS XIV – Proceedings of the Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium. The Open Book Series, vol. 4, pp. 1–5. Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Berkeley (2020)
46. Wahby, R.S., Boneh, D.: Fast and simple constant-time hashing to the BLS12-381 elliptic curve. *IACR Transactions on Cryptographic Hardware and Embedded Systems* **2019**(4), 154–179 (2019)

Appendix. Relationship of algebraic surface cryptography and isogeny-based cryptography

Consider two elliptic curves $E_i: y_i^2 = f_i(x_i) := x_i^3 + a_i x_i + b_i$ (where $i \in \{0, 1\}$) over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic > 3 . Let’s introduce the *Kummer surface* $K := (E_0 \times E_1)/[-1]$ discussed, e.g., in [40, Example 11.6 and Section 11.2.1]. It has the form

$$K: f_0(x_0)y^2 = f_1(x_1) \subset \mathbb{A}_{(x_0, x_1, y)}^3, \quad \text{where} \quad y := \frac{y_1}{y_0}.$$

For the sake of compactness, put $F := \mathbb{F}_q(x_0)$. The F -curve $K \subset \mathbb{A}_{(x_1, y)}^2$ is the quadratic twist of E_1 with respect to f_0 . In Section 3.1 we already encountered K in the case $E_0 = E_1$.

As said in [41, Proposition 3.1], there is the natural group isomorphism

$$\Phi: K(F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_0, E_1) \oplus E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)[2] \quad (X_1(x_0), Y(x_0)) \mapsto (X_1(x_0), Y(x_0)y_0),$$

where $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_1)$ is the group of all \mathbb{F}_q -isogenies $E_0 \rightarrow E_1$. Moreover, taking into account the definition (2), we have:

$$2\widehat{h}(P) = h(P) = \deg(\Phi(P))$$

for each $P \in K(F)$. In other words, $\text{Hom}(E_0, E_1)$ turns out to be a Mordell–Weil lattice (up to the multiplication by 2). Among other things, $K(F)_{\text{tor}} \simeq E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)[2]$ and $K(F)$ is of rank

$$r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E_0 \not\sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} E_1, \\ 2 & \text{if } E_0 \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} E_1 \text{ and } \text{End}(E_i) \text{ are quadratic orders,} \\ 4 & \text{if } E_0 \sim_{\mathbb{F}_q} E_1 \text{ and } \text{End}(E_i) \text{ are (maximal) quaternion orders.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here, $\text{End}(E_i) := \text{Hom}(E_i, E_i)$ as usual. In contrast to the first two cases, the last one is possible only for the supersingular curves E_i . In this way, K (as a surface) is said to be supersingular too (see, e.g., [40, Section 12.4]).

The above view on isogenies allows to reformulate the famous isogeny-finding problem (IFP) for the two given elliptic curves E_i . In the new notation, it consists in searching for a non-torsion F -point on K . Note that Mestre–Kuwata–Wang’s formulas give (if $a_i, b_i \neq 0$) a rational \mathbb{F}_q -curve on the Kummer surface K , but it is not the image of an F -point on K as a curve. The task under consideration is a specific instance of the so-called *section-finding problem (SFP)* on an irreducible (not necessarily elliptic) F -curve $C \subset \mathbb{A}^2$. Let’s dive into a brief historical excursion on the topic.

Based on the SFP, Akiyama–Goto invented (in a series of works [3,4,5]) a type of PQC under the name *algebraic surface cryptography (ASC)*. To be more precise, they proposed several versions of some public-key encryption scheme. Afterwards, all their ciphers were fully broken in [24,27]. The last noteworthy attempt to repair the ASC encryption scheme was timed to the NIST PQC competition. Akiyama–Goto in collaboration with many other Japanese cryptographers announced a cryptosystem dubbed *Giophantus* [6,7]. However, the latter was also successfully attacked in [12,21].

It is worth stressing that the original SFP itself remains resistant (even to a quantum computer) provided an appropriate choice of parameters. Generally speaking, the given problem boils down (in a natural way) to solving a large system of polynomial equations, which is intractable at the moment. In the situation $C = K$, the SFP in addition becomes equivalent to the IFP. This makes the SFP more reliable, because numerous isogenists fail to break the IFP. Besides, it happened historically that in ASC the coordinates of F -points on C have small degrees. Nevertheless, without any problems they can have smooth degrees to resemble even more chains of short isogenies.

Several years ago, Voloch [45] tried to revive ASC. Instead of public-key encryption, he discusses possibility of constructing a commitment scheme based on the SFP. This is relevant, because the Pedersen commitment is not quantum-safe. In order to prevent from obvious cheating in Voloch’s commitment, the curve C has to have a unique F -point. This requirement seems quite restrictive and thereby affects negatively ease of generating the desired curve C .

One year later, Sterner [44] invented a similar commitment scheme in the language of supersingular isogenies, that is, for the supersingular $C = K$. Unfortunately, he missed Voloch’s article. According to (4), there are a lot of F -points on K , hence this curve does not meet the uniqueness requirement. Sterner circumvented this trouble with the help of a trusted setup, whose goal is to return a random starting curve E_0 with unknown $\text{End}(E_0)$. In this circumstance, the committer knows solely the initial F -point on K corresponding via Φ to a cyclic isogeny. The fact is that having another “cyclic” F -point amounts to obtaining a non-trivial endomorphism on E_0 .

To summarize, the present appendix establishes an unexpected connection between two areas of PQC that earlier seemed too far from each other. Con-

sequence of the new notice is twofold. On the one hand, this should animate research on ASC, which is in long-term decline in comparison with popular IBC. Perhaps, certain isogeny-based schemes may be carried over to the more general setting $C \neq K$. Who knows if this will lead to a faster or more compact cryptosystem for a carefully chosen curve C . On the other hand, attacks discovered in ASC may be potentially extended to some suspicious protocols on isogenies. The author hopes that the isomorphism Φ (despite its elementary form) will become the cornerstone to bring together representatives of the two PQC communities.