W here to nd a dark m atter sterile neutrino?

A. Boyarsky $r^{1/2}$ A. Neronov r^{3} O. Ruchayskiy r^{4} M. Shaposhnikov $r^{2/1}$ and I. Tkachev^{1/2}

¹CERN, Theory department, CH-1211 Geneve 23, Switzerland

²Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, CH-1015, Switzerland

³INTEGRAL Science Data Center, Chem in d'Ecogia 16, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁴ Institut des Hautes Etudes Scienti ques, Bures-sur-Yvette, F-91440, France

W e propose a strategy of how to look for dark matter (DM) particles possessing a radiative decay channel and derive constraints on their parameters from observations of X-rays from our own G alaxy and its dwarf satellites. W hen applied to the sterile neutrinos in keV mass range, it allows a signi cant im provement of restrictions to its parameters, as compared with previous works.

Introduction. It was noticed long ago that a sterile neutrino with the mass in the keV range appears to be a viable DM candidate [1]. Moreover, being a warm DM, sterile neutrino ease the tension between observations and predictions of the cold DM model on small scales. The interest to this scenario is revitalized since the discovery of neutrino oscillations (see e.g. [2] for review). Indeed, one of the sim plest ways to explain this data is to add to the Standard M odel several (at least two) gauge singlet ferm ions { right handed, or sterile neutrinos. It has been demonstrated recently [3] that a sim ple extension of the Standard M odel by three singlet ferm ions with masses smaller than the electroweak scale, dubbed the MSM in [3], allows to accommodate the data on neutrino masses and mixings (with the exception of the LSND anom aly), provides a candidate for DM particle in the form of sterile neutrino, and allows to explain baryon asymmetry of the Universe. The simplicity of the model, the similarity of its quark and lepton right-handed sectors, together with a considerable num ber of phenom ena it can sim ultaneously describe, forces us to take this model seriously and thus provides additional motivations for study of keV mass range sterile neutrino as a DM candidate.

The sterile neutrino has a radiative decay channel, em itting a photon with energy $E = m_s=2$ (m_s being the m assofsterile neutrino). Param etrically, the decay width is proportional to $m_s^5 \sin^2 2$ [4], where is the m ixing angle between active and sterile neutrino.

If such a neutrino is a main ingredient of the DM, it is potentially detectable in various X -ray observations. The most obvious candidates include di use extragalactic X ray background (XRB) [5, 6, 7, 8]; clusters of galaxies [6, 9, 10]; galaxies [6], including our own.

The aim of the present Letter is to discuss the best strategy to search for a DM sterile neutrino and to derive the constraints on its properties. A lthough we concentrate on sterile neutrino, the constraints we get can be applied to any DM candidate with a radiative two-body decay channel in a keV range. We analyze various types of astrophysical objects and show that the strongest constraints on sterile neutrino are coming from neutrino decays in the Milky W ay hab and in particular in the hab dwarf galaxies. These objects were not considered previously in this context. The existing XMM Newton and HEAO -1 data allow us to improve over previous constraints, highlighting the potential of new optimal cites for the searches of the signal from the sterile neutrino decay.

D ark m atter halo of the M ilky W ay (M W). Energy ux produced by the DM decay from a given direction into a solid angle $_{\rm fov}$ 1 is given by

$$F = \frac{fov}{8} dm (r) dr ; \qquad (1)$$

where is the radiative decay width of sterile neutrino. To determ ine the MW contribution into the ux (1), one needs to know the distribution of the DM in the halo.

M ass distribution within MW has been modeled by many authors. Characteristics which are relevant for our study are tightly constrained by the wealth of detailed data available for this system . As a reference we will choose the mass distribution derived recently in [11], where physically interesting models were selected by imposing additional constraints based on a theory of halo form ation. At large r the halo density can be described by the Navarro-Frenk-W hite (NFW) prole $_{nfw}(r) = \frac{M \text{ vir}}{4} \frac{1}{r(r_s+r)^2}$. The MW halo parameters of favorite models obtained in [11] correspond to M $_{vir} = 1:0$ 10^{12} M, $r_s = 21.5$ kpc and num erical constant ' 1:64.

In the region of r relevant for our study the halo density can be also approximated by the isothermal prole

$$_{halo} = \frac{v_h^2}{4 G_N} \frac{1}{r_c^2 + r^2}; \qquad (2)$$

where v_h corresponds to contribution of the DM halo into the G alactic rotation curve in its at part, v_h 170 km/s, see e.g. [11].

The NFW density pro les and (2) produce identical uses from the direction of the Galactic anti-center if $r_c = 4 \text{ kpc}$, giving $r_{r-halo} dr = 0.7 = 10^2 \text{ GeV} = \text{cm}^2$. They also follow closely each other in the range 3 kpc < r < 80 kpc (di erence being less than 5%). Therefore, in estimates of the ux from directions which are outside of 20 circle around the Galactic center both NFW and (2) give the same results.

The halo density pro le is less certain in the region r < r. In [11] two distinct types of models were con-

sidered, with and without exchange of angular m om entum between DM and baryons. In the model without momentum exchange the DM density pro le at r < 10kpc diverges even faster than NFW pro le. In the model with angularm om entum exchange the DM density pro-

le at 2 kpc < r < 10 kpc is less singular and rather resembles the isotherm alsphere Eq. (2) with r_{c} 4 kpc, but the DM density is larger at r < 2 kpc as compared to the isotherm alsohere. Therefore, one can use Eq. (2) with $r_c = 4$ kpc as a lower limit on the DM density when calculating uxes from DM decays, and, therefore, for putting a conservative bound on the sterile neutrino m ixing angle (e.g. the halo density at the Sun position in the model Eq. (2) is 0:25 G eV = cm^3 , which is smaller than the accepted value $0:3 \text{ G eV} = \text{cm}^3$ [12]). U tilizing the NFW pro leat all r can only strengthen the bounds.

In the model Eq. (2) the DM ux from the direction (b; l) (in galactic coordinates) into the solid angle for 1, m easured by an observer on Earth is given by Q

$$F_{MW}() = \frac{L_0}{R} \xrightarrow{<} \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{r \cos}{R}; 0 \xrightarrow{2};$$

$$: \arctan \frac{R}{r j \cos j}; \frac{1}{2} < (3)$$

 $\frac{1}{32 \frac{1}{2} r_{\rm G}^2 N}$, R = $\frac{q}{r_{\rm c}^2 + r^2 \sin^2}$, and cos = where L₀ cosb cosl. For example, $F_{M W}$ (90)= $F_{M W}$ (180) ' 1:52. Search for a preferred observation. Let us com pare the Galaxy contribution to the DM decay ux, com puted above, with those of other astrophysical objects.

(i) XRB.Although the DM has a very narrow radiative decay width, the cosm ological DM decay contribution to the XRB gets signi cantly broaden due to the contributions from various red shifts. The resulting di erential ux for $E < m_s=2$ is given by

$$\frac{d^2 F_{xrb}}{dE d} = \frac{0}{4 H_0 m_s} \frac{P \frac{0}{dm} (2E)^{3=2}}{8E^3 + m_s^3}; \quad (4)$$

where ${}^0_{dm} = 1.2$ 10 6 G eV = cm 3 is the average DM and m are the cosm ological density in the Universe, constant and matter contributions to the density of the Universe. The paper [5] looked at restrictions from XRB, assuming that DM is uniform up to very small z. This question was further addressed in [6, 7] and nally the m ost stringent constraint in the $(\sin^2 2; m_s)$ plane from XRB was obtained recently in [8].

One can compare ux (4), integrated over all E with the galactic contribution: $F_{MW} = F_{xrb} = R r_c H_0$, where $^{0}_{MW} = ^{0}_{dm}$ 10° is overdensity of the Galaxy as R com pared to the average density of DM in the universe. 4 kpc, one arrives to the conclusion that With r_c 1, i.e. Galactic contribution is com para-F_{MW} =F_{xrb} ble with the total DM decay ux from all red shifts.

However, for a modern X-ray instrument with good m s (e.g. XMM -Newton) one spectral resolution E should com pare contributions from the Galaxy and from uniform cosm ological distribution into XRB within E. The ratio $F_{M W} = F_{xrb}$ then gets enhanced by the factor

E = E which is 10 50 for EPIC cameras on board of XMM -Newton, i.e. for XMM the Galactic signal is 1 to 2 orders of m agnitude stronger than the contribution from uniform distribution of DM in the universe.

(ii) Clusters. Let us now analyze the ux enhancement from Com a and Virgo clusters of galaxies [6, 10]. The DM distribution in these clusters can be approximated by isotherm al -m cdel [13, 14]

$$_{chuster}(\mathbf{r}) = \ {}_{chuster}^{0} \frac{3 + (\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{chuster})^{2}}{(1 + (\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{chuster})^{2})^{2}} : \qquad (5)$$

Integrating it according to Eq. (1) and using the fact that the Com a cluster is located perpendicularly to the Galactic plane, and has core radius $r_{\rm cluster}$ ' 0:3 M pc and central density $^{0}_{\text{C om a}} = 10^{2} \text{ G eV} = \text{cm}^{3}$ (see e.g. [10] for discussion), we get F_{MW} (90)= F_{Coma} 0:25. Sim ilar estim ate for the center of the V irgo cluster ($_{\rm V~irgo}^{\rm 0}$ / 2:3 G eV = m^3 , $r_{cluster}$ 10 kpc) shows that G alactic contribution is 10%. Therefore, one could conclude that clusters are preferable objects for DM detection [6,9].

These results have been recently reanalyzed by [10], where it was shown that the actual restriction from clusters is only by about a factor of $3\{4$ (in ² for given m_s) better than those from XRB.The reason for such a modest in provem ent is the following. The detection of the DM decay line in galaxy clusters is complicated by the fact that most of them show strong emission precisely in keV range. Indeed, the virial theorem immediately tells us that the tem perature of the intercluster m edium $G_{\rm N} \, m_{\rm p} R \, {}^0_{\rm dm} d^2$, where d is characteristic size. is T_{gas} For overdensity R 10° and size d 1 M pc, the tem – perature T_{gas} is always in the keV range, which makes it hard to detect a DM decay line against a strong X-ray continuum .

(iii) Dwarf galaxies. There should be an enhancement of the ux in directions of dwarf spheroidal galaxies, which are satellites of the MW. Prom ising satellites with large m ass to light ratio are D raco and U rsa M inor. Density pro les of both galaxies can be modeled by the isotherm al sphere with vh 22 km /s and r_e 100 pc [15]. This gives for the contribution to the ux from the dwarf galaxy (along the line which passes through the core of the satellite) dr 3:3 10^2 G eV = cm².

Contribution of the Galaxy halo ux in directions of $1\hat{\theta}^2$ G eV = cm². Therefore, in diboth satellites 1:0 rections of both satellites the 4-fold local enhancem ent of the ux is expected, while the total ux matches the ux from the central region of the MW and the ux from clusters. The advantage of observing the dwarf satellites, as com pared to clusters or to the G alactic center, is low er level of X -ray background contam ination and clear signature of the signal, namely, local ux enhancement within single eld of view of X-ray telescope.

Therefore, we see that the local DM halo (especially dwarf satellite galaxies) can provide the strongest restriction on the param eters of the sterile neutrino as DM candidates.

Restrictions from local halo DM contribution.



FIG.1: Upper panel: M ethod used to obtain restrictions on DM decay in MW from blank sky XRB observations. The data is tted by a power law (reduced $^2 = 1.07$ for 82 d.o.f.) and XSPEC v11.3.2 is used to put a 3 lim it on the presence of DM line (via command "error <line norm> 9.0").

Low er Panel: M ethod used to obtain restrictions from LMC. Flux rapidly decreases for E & 2 keV, m ost of the data points at higher energies are zero within statistical uncertainty. The solid green line is the sum of the total ux plus 3 per energy bin. At E & 2 keV the bound is dom inated by errors and therefore can be improved signi cantly by increasing statistics.



FIG.2:3 restrictions on DM decay line from M ilky way halo $(X M M \text{ and } H \pm A O - 1 \text{ observations})$. D ashed line: total ux + 3 restriction from LM C.A lso shown the previous strongest limit from the clusters of galaxies [10].

W e have analyzed XM M blank sky observations of [16] (exposure time 200 ksec), taking into account MW contribution and putting a 3 bound on the DM line ux in the region 1 keV < E < 7 keV (FIG.1). The exclusion plot in the region $m_s > 6 \text{ keV}$ was obtained by using the HEAO -1 m easurem ents of XRB [17]. As spectral resolution of HEAO -1 is about 25%, the resulting correction due to MW is not so drastic as com pared to

the results of R ef. [8].

Unfortunately, X -ray observations of D raco and U rsa M inor dwarfs are not available currently. However, approximately the same signal is expected from the Large M agellanic C louds (LM C) albeit with larger uncertainties. U sing isotherm all sphere, with the following halo parameters, $v_h = 50 \text{ km/s}$ and $r_c = 1 \text{ kpc}$ [8], we obtain dr 2:8 $1\theta^2$ GeV = cm² for the LM C contribution, while M W halo contribution in the direction of LM C is

while MW halo contribution in the direction of LMC is again 1:0 $1\hat{\sigma}^2 \text{ GeV} = \text{cm}^2$ (the use of the NFW pro le gives even larger value for ux).

Therefore, as an illustration we have processed one of the observations of the LMC (XMM obs ID: 0127720201, exposure 20 ksec). Subtracting the blank sky background [16], one sees that the ux is zero within statistical uncertainty for E & 2 keV. Sim ilar reduction of the background is expected for dwarf satellites. In this situation we put an upper limit on the ux of the DM decay line, by dem anding it to be smaller than total ux plus its 3 error in an energy bin equal to spectral resolution, see Fig.1. O ne can see that increasing the exposure time, one can signi cantly lower the restriction especially in the region E & 2 keV.

D iscussion. In this paper, we have shown that the best objects for the search of the DM with radiative decay channel is the MW halo, including dwarf satellite galaxies (e.g. D raco and U rsa M inor). To illustrate this, we put the strongest restrictions on param eters of sterile neutrino (i) searching for the MW DM decay signal in the blank sky XRB; (ii) using LMC observations. O ne can see that in proving statistics one can signi cantly in – prove bounds from such objects. O ur analysis also show s that in provem ent of the spectral resolution of X -ray instrum ents (even by m eans of decreasing in aging capabilities) is crucial to continue the search of DM decay line.

O fcourse, all constraints on the sterile neutrino m ixing angle, derived from X-ray observations, su er from the uncertainties in the DM pro les. How ever, in our analysis we tried to be as conservative as possible. Namely, we present only the results coming from the study of directions which are away of the G alactic center. In this case the most relevant parameter, which in uences the line of sight integral Eq. (1) is v_h , corresponding to the contribution of the DM halo to the G alactic rotation curve at large distances, away form the core. The total (DM plus baryons) rotational curve is measured directly. A lthough the subtraction of the baryon contribution is model dependent, this dependence is weakest for the directions opposite to the G alactic center.

M oreover, we have found, quite rem arkably, that the line of sight integral Eq. (1) is roughly the same for all studied DM dom inated objects, from cosm obgical background to clusters of galaxies to dwarfs satellites. This suggests that the expected DM decay signal should be roughly the same for all of them. Better constrains are obtained for the objects whose X-ray background is low er. This makes dwarf satellites m ore suitable as com -

4

pared to clusters. In addition, in the most DM dominated satellites, such as D raco and U rsa M inor, not only the X-ray background is lower, but uncertainties due to subtraction of baryonic component from the galactic rotational curve are also m inim ized. The restrictions, based on the di erent (types of) objects, furtherm inim ize these uncertainties.

The limits we derived here allow to strengthen the bounds on sterile neutrinos in di erent models of particle physics, shed light on the possible mechanisms of their production in the early universe, and constrain different astrophysicalphenom ena that might be related to their existence.

(i) In the Standard M odel with addition of just one sterile neutrino (assuming the absence of sterile neutrinos above the tem perature 1 G eV and charge neutrality of the plasm a) the relic abundance of sterile neutrinos can be expressed through m $_{\rm s}$ and []. This relation (quite uncertain, since the sterile neutrinos are mainly produced at tem peratures O (150) M eV, exactly where the description of the strongly interacting plasm a ism ost complicated [20]) allows one to nd, potentially, an upper lim it on the sterile neutrino mass in this particular scenario. Taking as a rough estimate the computation of [9] and our X -ray constraints we arrive to an upper bound m_s . 3 keV. This may be contrasted with the lower bound on the mass of sterile neutrino (derived in the sam em odelw ith the sam e assum ptions) com ing from the analysis of the Ly- forest data [21]: $m_s > 2 \text{ keV}$ [22], $m_s > 1:7$ keV [23] leaving a very limited allowed mass range for a sterile neutrino. If a m ore recent result of [24], $m_s > 14$ keV is proven to be correct, and uncertainties related to poor know ledge of ${\tt QCD}\,$ at the relevant range of tem peratures happen to be not substantial, this scenario

will be ruled out by cosm ological and astrophysical observations. The same conclusion is true [25] for the MSM if the same assumptions about the initial state are taken for granted. This would make the production mechanisms of the sterile neutrinos related to in ation [26] or large lepton asymmetries [27] more important.

(ii) The X-ray observations allow to predict the m asses of active neutrinos in the $\,$ M SM . In [19] was shown, that the XRB lim its of [8] in ply that the lightest active neutrino m ust have a m ass m $\,<\,3\,$ 10 3 , provided m $_{\rm s}\,>\,1.8\,$ keV. In case of norm al hierarchy two other m asses are given by the observed m ass square di erences m $^2\frac{2}{m}$ (8:5 9:5) 10 3 eV and m $^2\frac{2}{m}$ (8:5 9:5) 10 3 eV and m $^2\frac{2}{m}$ (10:04 $\,$ 0:06 eV. (In the case of inverted hierarchy m asses of both neutrinos are m $^2\frac{2}{m}\frac{2}{atm}$). W ith the improved bound, derived in this paper, this result is true for even sm aller sterile neutrino m asses, m $_{\rm s}\,>\,1:3\,$ keV .

(iii) O ur constraints, com bined with those of [24], put severe bounds on explanation of pulsar kick velocities by dark matter sterile neutrinos, proposed in [28] and on the mechanism of early reionization by radiative decays of sterile neutrinos, suggested in [29].

A cknow ledgem ents. We thank A Kusenko and M M arkevitch for discussions. M S was supported in part by the Swiss Science Foundation. OR was supported by a M arie C urie International Fellowship within the FP-6 Programme.

N ote added. A fter nishing this paper we became aware of an independent analysis, where the possibility of measuring decaying DM particles from our Galaxy, using Chandra blank sky data, was considered [30]. The conclusions, reached in that paper on MW, are similar to ours.

- [1] S.D odelson and L.M.W idrow, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72, 17
 (1994).
- [2] A. Strum ia and F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. B 726, 294 (2005).
- [3] T.Asaka, S.Blanchet, and M. Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett. B 631, 151 (2005); T.Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, ibid. B 620, 17 (2005).
- [4] P. B. Pal and L. W olfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 25, 766 (1982); V. D. Barger, R. J. N. Phillips, and S. Sarkar, Phys.Lett. B 352, 365 (1995).
- [5] A.D.Dolgov and S.H.Hansen, A stropart. Phys. 16, 339 (2002).
- [6] K.Abazajian, G.M.Fuller, and W.H.Tucker, ApJ. 562, 593 (2001).
- $\ensuremath{\left[7\,\right]}$ M .M apelli and A .Ferrara, M NRAS 364, 2 (2005) .
- [8] A.Boyarsky et al., (2005), astro-ph/0512509.
- [9] K.Abazajjan, Phys. Rev. D 73, 063506 (2006).
- [10] A.Boyarsky et al., (2006), astro-ph/0603368.
- [11] A.K lypin, H.Zhao, and R.S.Som erville, ApJ 573, 597 (2002).
- [12] S.Eidelm an et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1+ (2004)
- [13] A.Cavaliere and R.Fusco-Fem iano, A&A 49, 137 (1976).

- [14] C.L. Sarazin and J.N. Bahcall, ApJS 34, 451 (1977).
- [15] M. I.W ilkinson et al., (2006), astro-ph/0602186.
- [16] A.M. Read and T.J. Ponman, A&A 409, 395 (2003).
- [17] D.E.G ruber et al., A pJ 520, 124 (1999).
- [18] R. van der Marel et al., A pJ 124, 2639 (2002).
- [19] A. Boyarsky et al., JETP Letters 83, 133 (2006).
- [20] T. Asaka, M. Laine, and M. Shaposhnikov (2006), hepph/0605209.
- [21] S.H.Hansen et al.MNRAS 333, 544 (2002).
- [22] M.Vieletal, Phys. Rev. D 71, 063534 (2005).
- [23] K.Abaza jan, Phys. Rev. D 73, 063513 (2006).
- [24] U. Seljak et al. (2006), astro-ph/0602430.
- [25] T.Asaka, A.Kusenko, and M.Shaposhnikov (2006), hepph/0602150.
- [26] M. Shaposhnikov and I. Tkachev (2006), hepph/0604236.
- [27] X.-d. Shi and G.M. Fuller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2832 (1999).
- [28] A.Kusenko and G.Segre, Phys.Lett.B 396,197 (1997);
 G.M.Fuller et al., Phys. Rev. D 68, 103002 (2003);
 M.Barkovich, J.C.D 'O livo, and R.M ontem ayor, Phys. Rev. D 70,043005 (2004).

(2006),astro-ph/0603661.

- [29] P.L.Bierm ann and A.Kusenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 091301 (2006).
- [30] S. Riemer-Sorense, S. H. Hansen, and K. Pedersen