# Reconstructing Supersymmetry at ILC /LHC

G.A.Blair <sup>ab</sup> , A.Freitas <sup>c</sup> , HU.Martyn <sup>d</sup> , G.Polesello <sup>e</sup> , W.Porod <sup>f</sup> , and P.M.Zerwas <sup>a</sup>	
$^{\rm a}$ D eutsches E lektronen-Synchrotron D E SY , D –22603 H am burg , G erm any	
$^{\rm b}{\rm R}$ oyalHollow ay U niversity of London , Egham , Surrey. TW 200EX , UK	
$^{\circ}$ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Zurich, CH–8057 Zurich, Switzerland	
$^{\rm d}$ I.Physik.Institut, RW TH Aachen, D-52074 Aachen, Germany	
$^{ m e}$ CERN, Department of Physics, CH–1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland	DESY 05{240
<sup>f</sup> IF IC – Instituto de F sica Corpuscular, E-46071 Valencia, Spain	IF IC /05{64 ZH {TH 25/05

Coherent analyses of experimental results from LHC and ILC will allow us to draw a comprehensive and precise picture of the supersymmetric particle sector. Based on this platform the fundamental supersymmetric theory can be reconstructed at the high scale which is potentially close to the Planck scale. This procedure will be reviewed for three characteristic examples: minimal supergravity as the paradigm; a left-right symmetric extension incorporating interm ediate m ass scales; and a speci c realization of string e ective theories.

#### 1. Introduction

It is widely accepted that particle physics is rooted at the Planck scale where it is intim ately linked with gravity. A stable bridge between the two vastly dierent scales, the electrow eak scale of order 100 G eV and the grand uni cation / P lanck scale of order  $10^{16}$  /  $10^{19}$  GeV , is built by supersymmetry which is characterized by a typical scale of order TeV. If this picture is realized in nature, m ethods m ust be developed which allow us to reconstruct the fundam ental physics scenario near the grand uni cation / Planck scale. Elements of the picture can be provided by experin ents observing proton decay, neutrino physics within the seesaw fram e, various aspects of cosmology and, last not least, high-precision experim ents at high energies [1].

The reconstruction of a physical scenario more than fourteen orders of magnitude above accelerator energies is a dem anding task. N evertheless, the extrapolation of the gauge couplings to the grand uni cation scale by renorm alization group m ethods is an encouraging exam ple [3]. In supersymmetric theories a rich ensemble of soft breaking param eters can be investigated. Sym m etries and the impact of high-scale parameters can be studied which will reveal essential elements of the fundam ental physics scenario [4].

The proton-collider LHC and the  $e^+e^-$  linear collider ILC, now in the design phase, are a perfect tandem for exploring supersymmetry. The heavy colored supersymm etric particles, squarks and gluinos, can be generated for masses up to 3 TeV with large rates at LHC. Subsequent cascade decays give access to low erm ass particles [5]. The properties of the potentially lighter non-colored particles, charginos/neutralinos and sleptons, can be studied very precisely at an e<sup>+</sup> e linear collider [6] by exploiting in particular polarization phenom ena at such a lepton facility [7]. A fter the properties of the light particles are determ ined precisely, the properties of the heavier particles can subsequently be studied in the cascade decays with similar precision. Coherent LHC and ILC analyses [1] will thus provide us with a com prehensive and high-precision picture of supersym metry at the electroweak scale, de ning a solid platform for the reconstruction of the fundam ental supersymm etric theory near the Planck scale.

This procedure will be described for three char-

acteristic examples in this report { m in in al supergravity, a left-right symmetric extension, and a string e ective theory.

(a) <u>M inim al supergravity [m SUGRA]</u> [8] denes a scenario in which these general ideas can be quantiled m ost easily due to the rather sim ple structure of the theory. Supersymmetry is broken in a hidden sector and the breaking is transm itted to our eigen-world by gravity. This suggests the universality of the soft SUSY breaking parameters { gaugino / scalar m asses and trilinear couplings { at the high scale which is generally identiled with the grand unication scale of the gauge couplings.

(b) Left-right symmetric extension: If in LR supersymmetric theories [9] non-zero neutrino m asses are introduced by means of the seesaw mechanism [10], intermediate scales associated with the righ-handed neutrino masses of order  $10^{10}$  to  $10^{14}$  GeV a ect the evolution of the supersymmetry parameters from the electroweak to the unication scale [11,4]. If combined with universality at the unication scale, the intermediate scalem odi es the observable scalarm ass parameters in the lepton sector of the third generation at the electroweak scale. Thise ect can be exploited to estimate the seesaw scale [12], thus giving indirectly experimental access to the fundamental high-scale parameters in the neutrino sector.

(c) <u>String e ective theory</u>: In orbifold com – pacti cations of heterotic string theories, the universality of the scalar m ass param eters is broken if these m asses are generated by interactions with m oduli elds [13]. Since the interactions are determ ined by m odular weights that are integer num bers, the pattern of scalar m asses is characteristic for such a scenario [4]. Thus this type of string theories can be tested stringently by m easuring the m odular weights.

## 2. M inim al Supergravity

The m SUGRA reference point SPS1a<sup>0</sup> [14], a derivative of the Snowm ass point SPS1a [15], is characterized by the following values of the soft



Figure 1. Supersymmetric mass spectrum for SPS1a'scenario.

param eters at the grand un cation scale:

$$M_{1=2} = 250 \text{ GeV} \qquad M_{0} = 70 \text{ GeV} \\ A_{0} = 300 \text{ GeV} \qquad \text{sign()} = + (1) \\ \tan = 10$$

The universal gaugino m ass is denoted by M  $_{1=2}$ , the scalar mass by M  $_{\rm 0}$  and the trilinear coupling by A<sub>0</sub>; the sign of the higgsino mass param eter is chosen positive and tan , the ratio of the vacuum -expectation values of the two Higgs elds, in the medium range. The modulus of the higgsino mass parameter is xed by requiring radiative electroweak symmetry breaking so that nally = + 396 GeV. The form of the supersymmetric mass spectrum in SPS1a<sup>0</sup> is shown in Fig. 1. In this scenario the squarks and gluinos can be studied very well at the LHC while the non-colored gauginos and sleptons can be analyzed partly at LHC and in comprehensive and precise form at an et e linear collider operating at a total energy up to 1 TeV with high integrated lum inosity of 1 ab  $^{1}$ .

At <u>LHC</u> the masses can best be obtained by analyzing edge e ects in the cascade decay spectra [16]. The basic starting point is the identi cation of a sequence of two-body decays:  $q_L ! ~_2^0 q! ~_R' q! ~_1^0' q$ . The kinematic edges and thresholds predicted in the invariant mass



Figure 2. Excitation curve for  $e ! e_R e_R$  near threshold, including background, initial-state radiation, beam strahlung and Couloum b correction. The cross-section rises steeply in S-wave production. Ref. [18].

distributions of the two leptons and the jet determ ine the masses in a model-independent way. The four sparticle masses  $[q_L, \sim_2^0, r_R]$  and  $\sim_1^0]$ are used subsequently as input for additional decay chains like  $g \mid \tilde{D}_1 b \mid \sim_2^0 bb$ , and the shorter chains  $q_R \mid q \sim_1^0$  and  $\sim_4^0 \mid r'$ , which all require the know ledge of the sparticle masses down stream of the cascades. Residual ambiguities and the strong correlations between the heavier masses and the LSP mass are resolved by adding the results from ILC measurements which improve the picture signi cantly.

At <u>ILC</u> very precise m ass values can be extracted from threshold scans and decay spectra [17]. The excitation curves for chargino production in S-waves rise steeply with the velocity of the particles near the thresholds and they are thus very sensitive to their mass values; the sam eholds true form ixed-chiral selectron pairs in  $e^+e$  !  $e^+_R e^-_L$  and for diagonal pairs in e e !  $e^-_R e^-_R$ ;  $e^-_L e^-_L$  collisions, cf. Fig. 2. O ther scalar sferm ions, as well as neutralinos, are produced generally in P-waves, with a less steep threshold behavior proportional to the third power of the velocity. Additional inform ation, in particular on the lightest neutralino  $\sim^0_1$ , can be obtained from the very sharp edges of 2-body decay spec-



Figure 3. Energy sprectum of the muon from the decay  $\sim_{\rm R}$  !  $\sim_{\rm 1}^{0}$ , with in portant backgrounds and including initial-state radiation and beam – strahlung e ects. Ref. [19].

tra, such as  $I_R$  ! 1 ~ $^0_1$ , cf. Fig. 3.

The values of typical mass parameters and their related measurement errors are presented in Tab. 1: \LHC" from LHC analyses and "LC" from LC analyses. The third column \LHC+ LC" presents the corresponding errors if the experimental analyses are performed coherently, i.e. the light particle spectrum, studied at LC with high precision, is used as input set for the LHC analysis.

M ixing parameters must be extracted from m easurements of cross sections and polarization asymmetries, in particular from the production of chargino pairs and neutralino pairs, both in diagonal or mixed form [20]:  $e^+e^-! - {}_i^+ - {}_j$  [i,j = 1,2] and  ${}_i^0 - {}_j^0$  [i,j = 1,:::,4]. The production cross sections for charginos are binom ials of cos 2 LR, the mixing angles rotating current to m ass eigenstates. Using polarized electron and positron beam s, the mixings can be determined in a model-independent way.

The fundam ental SUSY param eters can be derived to low est order in analytic form [20]:

> $j j = M_W [ + [\cos 2_R + \cos 2_L]]^{l=2}$  $M_2 = M_W [ (\cos 2_R + \cos 2_L)]^{l=2}$

Particle	M ass	\LHC"	\ILC"	\LHC+ILC"
h <sup>0</sup>	116:9	0:25	0:05	0:05
Н 0	425:0		1:5	1:5
~01	97 <b>:</b> 7	4:8	0:05	0:05
~20	183:9	4:7	1:2	0:08
~_4^0	413:9	5:1	3 5	2:5
~1	183:7		0 <b>:</b> 55	0:55
e <sub>R</sub>	125:3	4:8	0:05	0:05
eL	189:9	5 <b>:</b> 0	0:18	0:18
~1	107:9	58	0:24	0:24
q	547 <b>:</b> 2	7 12		5 11
qL	564 <b>:</b> 7	8 <b>:</b> 7		4:9
ĩ,	366 <b>:</b> 5		1:9	1:9
$\tilde{D}_1$	506:3	7:5		5 <b>:</b> 7
đ	607 <b>:</b> 1	8 <b>:</b> 0		6:5

#### Table 1

A couracies for representative m ass m easurem ents of SUSY particles in individual LHC, ILC and coherent  $\LHC + ILC$  " analyses for the reference point SPS1a<sup>0</sup> [m assess in G eV].  $q_R$  and  $q_L$  represent the avors q = u;d;c;s. [Errors presently extrapolated from SPS1a simulations.]

where =  $(m_{\tilde{\nu}_{2}}^{2} m_{\tilde{\nu}_{1}}^{2})=(4M_{W}^{2})$  and =  $(m_{\tilde{\nu}_{2}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\nu}_{1}}^{2})=(2M_{W}^{2})$  1. The signs of , M<sub>1;3</sub> relative to M<sub>2</sub> follow from sim ilar relations and from cross sections for ~ production and g processes.

The mass parameters of the sferm ions are directly related to the physical masses if mixing effects are negligible:

$$m_{f_{L,R}}^{2} = M_{L,R}^{2} + m_{f}^{2} + D_{L,R}$$
 (3)

with  $D_L = (T_3 e_f \sin^2_W) \cos 2 m_Z^2$  and  $D_R = e_f \sin^2_W \cos 2 m_Z^2$  denoting the D-term s. The non-trivial mixing angles in the sferm ion sector of the third generation follow from the sferm ion

production cross sections [21] for longitudinally polarized e<sup>+</sup>/e beams, which are bilinear in  $\cos/\sin 2_{\rm f}$ . Them ixing angles and the two physical sferm ion m asses are related to the tri-linear couplings A<sub>f</sub>, the higgsino m ass parameter and tan (cot ) for down (up) type sferm ions by:

A<sub>f</sub> tan (cot ) = 
$$\frac{m_{f_1}^2 m_{f_2}^2}{2m_f} \sin 2_f$$
 (4)

 $A_f$  m ay be determ ined in the f sector if has been measured in the chargino sector. This procedure can be applied in the stop sector. Heavy Higgs H; A decays to stau pairs may be used to determ ine the A parameter in the stau sector [22].

R e ned analysis program shave been developed which include one-loop corrections in determ ining the Lagrangian param eters from m asses and cross sections [23,24] (see also [?]).

These m easurem ents de ne the initial values for the evolution of the gauge couplings and the soft SUSY breaking parameters to the grand uni cation scale. The values at the electrow eak scale are connected to the fundam ental param – eters at the GUT scale by the renorm alization group equations. To leading order,

gauge couplings	: $i = Z_i U$	(5)
gaugino m asses	: $M_{i} = Z_{i}M_{1=2}$	(6)
scalarm asses	: P.	

$$M_{\dagger}^{2} = M_{0}^{2} + c_{j}M_{1=2}^{2} + {}^{2} = {}^{1}c_{j}^{0} M^{2}$$
 (7)

trilinear couplings :  $A_k = d_k A_0 + d_k^0 M_{1=2}$  (8)

The index i runs over the gauge groups i = SU(3), SU(2), U(1). To this order, the gauge couplings, and the gaugino and scalar m ass param eters of soft(supersym m etry breaking depend on the Z transporters

$$Z_{i}^{1} = 1 + b_{i} \frac{U}{4} \log \frac{M_{U}}{M_{Z}}^{2}$$
 (9)

with  $b[SU_3;SU_2;U_1] = 3;1;33=5$ ; the scalar m assparam eters depend also on the Y ukawa couplings  $h_t$ ,  $h_b$ , h of the top quark, bottom quark and lepton. The coe cients  $c_j$  for the slepton and squark doublets/singlets, and for the two Higgs doublets, are linear com binations of the evolution coe cients Z; the coe cients  $c_j^0$  are of order unity. The shifts M<sup>2</sup>, depending in plicitly on all the other param eters, are nearly zero for the st two fam ilies of sferm ions but they can be rather large for the third fam ily and for the Higgs mass parameters. The coe cients d<sub>k</sub> of the trilinear couplings  $A_k$  [k = t;b; ] depend on the corresponding Y ukaw a couplings and they are approximately unity for the rst two generations while being O (10  $^{1}$ ) and sm aller if the Yukawa couplings are large; the coe cients  $d_k^0$ , depending on gauge and Yukawa couplings, are of order unity. Beyond the approxim ate solutions, the evolution equations have been solved num erically in the present analysis to two { loop order [26 ] and the threshold e ects have been incorporated at the low scale in one-loop order [27]. Solutions of the renorm alization group equations have been obtained meanwhile up to three-loop order [28], matching the new two-loop order results of the threshold corrections [29]. The 2-loop e ects as given in R ef. [30] have been included for the neutralHiggs bosons and the parameter.

# 2.1. Gauge Coupling Uni cation

M easurem ents of the gauge couplings at the electroweak scale support very strongly the unication of the couplings at a scale M  $_{\rm II}$  ' 2 10<sup>16</sup> G eV [3]. The precision, at the per{cent level, is surprisingly high after extrapolations over fourteen orders of magnitude in the energy from the electroweak scale to the grand unication scale M  $_{\rm U}$  . Conversely, the electroweak m ixing angle has been predicted in this approach at the per{ m ille level. The evolution of the gauge couplings from low energies to the GUT scale  $M_{\rm U}$  has been carried out at two { loop accuracy in the  $\overline{DR}$ scheme. The gauge couplings do not meet exactly, cf. Fig. 4 and Tab. 2. The di erences are to be attributed to high-threshold e ects at the uni cation scale M  $_{\rm U}$  and the quantitative evolution in plies in portant constraints on the particle content at M U [31].

2.2. G augino and Scalar M ass Param eters The results for the evolution of the mass param eters from the electroweak scale to the GUT scale M<sub>U</sub> are shown in Fig. 5. On the left of Fig. 5 the evolution is presented for the gaugino param eters M<sub>i</sub><sup>1</sup>. It clearly is under excellent control for the m odel-independent reconstruction of the param eters and the test of universality in the SU(3) SU(2) U(1) group space. In the same way the evolution of the scalarm ass param – eters can be studied, presented in Fig. 5 (b) for the rst/second generation. W hile the slepton param eters can be determined very accurately, the accuracy deteriorates for the squark param eters and the H iggs param eter M<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub><sup>2</sup>.

#### 3. Left-right sym m etric extension

The complex structure observed in the neutrino sector has interesting consequences for the properties of the sneutrinos, the scalar supersymmetric partners of the neutrinos. These novel elements require the extension of the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model MSSM, e.g., by a super eld including the right-handed neutrino eld and its scalar partner [9]. If the sm all neutrino m asses are generated by the seesaw m echanism [10], a similar type of spectrum is induced in the scalar sector, splitting into light TeV-scale and very heavy masses. The intermediate seesaw scales will a ect the evolution of the soft mass term swhich break the supersymm etry at the high (GUT) scale, particularly in the third generation with large Yukawa couplings [4,12]. This will provide the opportunity to measure, indirectly, the interm ediate seesaw scale of the third generation.

If sneutrinos are lighter than charginos and the second lightest neutralino, as encoded in SPS1a<sup>0</sup>, they decay only to nal states  $\sim_1 ! _1 \sim_1^0$  that are invisible and pair-production is useless for studying these particles. How ever, in this con guration sneutrino m asses can be m easured in chargino decays to sneutrinos and leptons [12]:

$$\sim_1 ! 1 \sim_1^{()};$$
 (10)

with the charginos pair-produced in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. These two-particle decays develop sharp edges at the endpoints of the lepton energy spectra. Sneutrinos of all three generations can be explored this way. The errors for the rst and second generation sneutrinos are expected at the level of 400 M eV, doubling for the more involved



Figure 4. (a) Running of the inverse gauge couplings. (b) C lose-up of the uni cation region. The thin lines represent uncertainties based on present data, the solid areas dem onstrate the im provem ent expected by future G igaZ analyses.

	Present/"LHC"	GigaZ/"LHC+LC"
M <sub>U</sub>	(2:36 0:06) 1 <sup>b6</sup> G eV	(2:360 0:016) 1 <sup>06</sup> G eV
1 U	24:19 0:10	24 <b>:</b> 19 0 <b>:</b> 05
1 1 3 U	0:97 0:45	0:95 0:12

Table 2

Precision of extraction of the uni ed gauge coupling  $_{\rm U}$ , derived from the meeting point of  $_{1}$  with  $_{2}$ , and the strong coupling  $_{3}$  at the GUT scale M  $_{\rm U}$ . The columns demonstrate the results for the expected precision from LEP and LHC data, as well as the improvement due to a G igaZ linear collider analysis.

analysis of the third generation.

The m easurem ent of the seesaw scale will be illustrated in an SO (10) model in which the m atter super elds of the three generations belong to 16-dim ensional representations of SO (10) and the standard H iggs super elds to 10-dim ensional representations while a H iggs super eld in the 126dim ensional representation generates M a jorana m asses for the right-handed neutrinos. As a result, the Y ukaw a couplings in the neutrino sector are proportional to the up-type quark m ass m atrix, for which the standard texture is assum ed. The SO (10) symmetry is broken to the Standard M odelSU (3) SU (2) U (1) symmetry at the grand uni cation scale M  $_{\rm U}$  directly. For sim plicity the soft m asses in the H iggs sector will be identied with the matter sector.

A ssum ing that the Yukawa couplings are the same for up-type quarks and neutrinos at the GUT scale and that the M a jorana mass matrix of the right-handed neutrinos has a sim ilar structure, one obtains a (weakly) hierarchical neutrino mass spectrum and nearly bi-maxim alm ixing for the left-handed neutrinos [32]. In this class of models the masses of the right-handed neutrinos are also hierarchical, very roughly /  $m_{up}^2$ , and the mass of the heaviest neutrino is given by M  $_{R_3}$  m  $_t^2$ =m  $_3$ . For m  $_3$  5 10  $^2$  eV, the heavy neutrino mass of the third generation amounts to 6  $10^{14}$  GeV, i.e. a value close to the grand uni cation scale M  $_{\rm U}$ .

Since the  $_{\rm R}$  of the third generation is unfrozen



Figure 5. Evolution, from low to high scales, of (a) gaugino m ass parameters and (b) rst-generation sferm ion m ass parameters and the Higgs m ass parameter M  $_{H_2}^2$ .



Figure 6. Evolution of third generation slepton m ass parameters and H iggs m ass parameters M  $_{\rm H_2}^2$  in LR-SUGRA.

only beyond  $Q = M_{R}$  the impact of the LR extension becomes visible in the evolution of the scalar m ass param eters only at very high scales. In Fig. 6 the evolution of M  $_{\rm F'}^2$  , M  $_{\rm T_2}^2$  and M  $_{\rm H_2}^2$ is displayed for illustrative purposes. The full lines include the e ects of the right { handed neutrino, which should be compared with the dashed lines where the  $_{\rm R}$  e ects are rem oved. The scalar m ass param eter M  $_{\mathrm{E}_3}^2$  appears una ected by the right{handed sector, while M  $_{\rm L_3}^2$  and M  $_{\rm H_2}^2$  clearly are. Only the picture including  $_{\rm R}$  ,  $_{\rm \tilde{R}}$  is compatible with the uni cation assumption. The kinks in the evolution of M $_{\rm E_3}^2$  and M $_{\rm H_2}^2$  can be traced back to the fact that around 10<sup>14</sup> G eV the third generation (s)neutrinos becom e quantum m echanically e ective, given a large enough neutrino Yukawa coupling to in uence the evolution of these mass param eters.

To leading order, the solutions of the renormalization group equations for the masses of the scalar selectrons and the e-sneutrino can be expressed by the high scale parameters  $m_{16}$  and  $M_{1=2}$ , and the D-term s. Analogous representations can be derived, to leading order, for the scalar masses of the third generation, com plemented how ever by additional contributions and from the standard tau Yukawa term and the Yukawa term in the tau neutrino sector, respectively:

$$m_{\gamma_{R}}^{2} = m_{e_{R}}^{2} + m^{2}$$
 (11)

$$m_{\sim L}^2 = m_{e_L}^2 + m^2;$$
 (12)

$$m_{\nu_{L}}^{2} = m_{\nu_{eL}}^{2}$$
 : (13)

The contribution =  $M_R$  ] carries the information on the value of the heavy right-handed neutrino mass.

The e ect of the right-handed neutrinos can be identied by evaluating the sum rule for the parameter:

2 
$$[M_{R_3}] = (3m_{\tilde{e}_{E}}^2 m_{\tilde{e}_{E}}^2 m_{\tilde{e}_{R}}^2)$$
  
 $(3m_{\tilde{e}_{L}}^2 m_{\tilde{e}_{R}}^2 m_{\tilde{e}_{R}}^2) 2m^2$ ; (14)

This relation holds exactly at tree-level and gets modi ed slightly only by small corrections at the one-loop level. The particular form of eq. (14) implies that the e ects of the Yukawa coupling cancel. It follows from the renormalization group equations that  $M_{R_3}$ ] is of the order Y<sup>2</sup> logM  $_{GUT}^2 = M_{R_3}^2$ . Since the Yukawa coupling Y can be estimated in the seesaw mechanism by the mass m  $_3$  of the third light neutrino, Y<sup>2</sup> = m  $_{3}M_{R_3} = (v \sin)^2$ , the parameter  $M_{R_3}$ ] depends approximately linearly on the

m ass M  $_{R_3}$ :

$$[M_{R_{3}}]' \frac{m_{3}M_{R_{3}}}{16^{-2}(v \sin )^{2}}$$

$$3m_{16}^{2} + A_{0}^{2} \log \frac{M_{GUT}^{2}}{M_{R_{3}}^{2}};$$
(15)

so that it can be determ ined very well. Inserting the value for m  $_{16}$ , pre-determ ined in the charged slepton sector, and the trilinear coupling A $_0$  from stop m ixing [21], M  $_{\rm R_3}$ , cf. Fig. 7, can nally be calculated. Assuming hierarchical neutrino m asses, one obtains

$$M_{R_3} = 3:7 ::: 6:9 \quad 10^{14} \text{ GeV};$$
 (16)

to be compared with the initial value M  $_{R_3}$  =  $10^{14}$  GeV. This analysis thus provides us

with a unique estimate of the high-scale  $_{\rm R}$  mass parameter M  $_{\rm R_3}$  .

### 4. String E ective Theories

In the supergravity models analyzed above the supersymmetry breaking mechanism in the hidden sector is shielded from the eigen{world. Four{dimensional strings however give rise to a minimal set of elds for inducing supersymmetry breaking, the dilaton S and the moduli T super elds which are generically present in large classes of 4{dimensional heterotic string theories [13]. The vacuum expectation values of S and T, generated by genuinely non{perturbative e ects, determ ine the soft supersymmetry breaking param eters.

The properties of the supersymmetric theories are quite di erent for dilaton and moduli dom inated scenarios. This can be quantied by introducing a mixing angle , characterizing the S and T wave functions of the G oldstino, which is associated with the breaking of supersymmetry and which is absorbed to generate the mass of the gravitino:  $G = \sin S + \cos T$ . The mass scale is set by the second parameter of the theory, the gravitino mass m  $_{3=2}$ .

A dilaton dom inated scenario, i.e. sin ! 1, leads to universal boundary conditions of the soft supersymmetry breaking parameters. Universality is broken only slightly by small loop e ects. On the other hand, in moduli dom inated scenarios, cos ! 1, the gaugino mass parameters are universal to lowest order, but universality is not realized for the scalar mass parameters. The breaking is characterized by modular weights  $n_j$ which quantify the couplings between the matter and the moduli elds in orbifold compacti – cations. W ithin one generation signi cant di erences between left and right eld components and between sleptons and squarks can occur.

In leading order the masses [33] are given by the following expressions for the gaugino sector,

$$M_{i} = g_{i}^{2}m_{3=2}s \frac{p_{3}}{3}sin + ...$$
(17)

and for the scalar sector,

$$M_{j}^{2} = m_{3=2}^{2} 1 + n_{j} \cos^{2} + ...$$
 (18)



Figure 7. Shift in the evolution of the tau-neutrino mass as calculated from the renormalization group equations, eq. (15) (blue band) and compared with low -energy mass measurements, eq. (14) (green band). The widths of the bands indicate estimated one-standard-deviations errors of the experimental input parameters. The red crossing region is the statistical combination which determines the neutrino seesaw scale  $M_{R_3}$  of the third generation.

A m ixed dilaton/m oduli superstring scenario has been analyzed in detail with dom inating dilaton component,  $\sin^2 = 0.9$ , and with di erent couplings of the moduli eld to the (L R) sleptons, the (L R) squarks and to the Higgs elds, corresponding to O {I representation  $n_{L_1} = 3$ ,  $n_{E_1} = 1$ ,  $n_{H_1} = n_{H_2} = 1$ ,  $n_{Q_1} = 0$ ,  $n_{D_1} = 1$  and  $n_{U_1} = 2$ , an assignment that is adopted quite frequently in the literature. The gravitino m ass is chosen to be 180 G eV in this analysis.

G iven this set of superstring induced param eters, the evolution of the gaugino and scalar m ass param eters can be exploited to determ ine the m odular weights n. The result is shown in Fig. 8 which demonstrates quite nicely how stringently this theory can be tested by analyzing the integer character of the entire set of weights.

T hus, high-precision m easurem ents at high energy proton and  $e^+e$  linear colliders provide access to crucial derivative param eters in string theories.

### 5. Conclusions

In supersymmetric theories stable extrapolations can be performed, by renormalization group techniques, from the electroweak scale to the grand uni cation scale close to the Planck scale. Such extrapolations are made possible by highprecision measurements of the low energy param – eters. In the near future an enormous corpus of information is expected to become available if measurements at LHC and prospective e<sup>+</sup> e linear colliders are combined to draw a comprehensive and high-precision picture of supersymmetric particles and their interactions.

Supersymmetric theories and their breaking mechanisms have simple structures at high scales. Extrapolations to these scales are therefore crucial to reveal the fundamental supersymmetric theory, including its symmetries and its parameters. The extrapolations can thus be used to explore physics phenomena at a scale where, eventually, particle physics is linked to gravity.

We have reviewed three interesting scenarios in this context. The universality of gaugino and scalar m ass parameters in m inim al supergravity can be demonstrated very clearly. Intermediate scales, like seesaw scales in left-right symmetric theories, a ect the evolution of the scalar m ass parameters. Their e ect on the mass parameters of the third generation can be exploited to determine the seesaw scale. Finally it has been shown



Figure 8. Extraction of parameters of superstring inspired supersymmetry breaking scenario from gaugino and scalar evolution.

that integerm odular weights can be measured accurately in string e ective theories, scrutinizing such approaches quite stringently.

M any m ore re nem ents of the theoretical calculations and future experim ental analyses will be necessary to expand the pictures we have described in this review. Prospects of exploring elem ents of the ultim ate uni cation of the interactions provide a strong im petus to this endeavor.

## REFERENCES

- B. C. Allanach, G. A. Blair, S. Kraml, H.U. Martyn, G. Polesello, W. Porod and P.M. Zerwas, hep-ph/0403133; short version to be published in [2].
- LHC/LC Study G roup W orking Report, eds.
   G.Weiglein et al., hep-ph/0410364; Phys.
   Rep.C in preparation.
- 3. S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 1681; L.E. Ibanez and G.G. Ross, Phys.Lett.B 105 (1981) 439; U. Amaldi, W. de Boer and H. Furstenau, Phys.Lett.B 260 (1991) 447; P. Langacker

and M.x.Luo, Phys.Rev.D 44 (1991) 817; J.R.Ellis, S.Kelley and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys.Lett.B 260 (1991) 131.

- 4. G.A.Bair, W. Porod and P.M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 017703 and Eur. Phys. J. C 27 (2003) 263; P.M. Zerwas et al, Proceedings, Int. HEP Conf., Am sterdam 2002, hep-ph/0211076.
- I. Hinchli e et al., Phys. Rev. D 55, 5520 (1997); Atlas Collaboration, Technical Design Report 1999, Vol. II, CERN/LHC/99-15, AT – LAS TDR 15.
- 6. TESLA Technical Design Report (Part 3), R. D. Heuer, D. J. Miller, F. Richard and P. M. Zerwas (eds.), DESY 010-11, hep-ph/0106315; American LC Working Group, T. Abe et al., SLAC-R-570 (2001), hep-ex/0106055-58; ACFA LC Working Group, K. Abe et al., KEK-REPORT-2001-11, hep-ex/0109166; CLIC Group, M. Battaglia, A. De Roeck, J. Ellis and D. Schulte (eds.), hep-ph/0412251.
- LC Polarization G roup, G.M oortgat-Pick et al., hep-ph/0507011, Phys. Rep. C in prepa-

R econstructing Supersym m etry at ILC /LHC  $\,$ 

ration.

- A.H.Cham seddine, R.A mow itt and P.N ath, Phys.Rev.Lett. 49 (1982) 970; H.P.N illes, Phys.Rept.110 (1984) 1.
- K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev.Lett. 70 (1993) 2845; for a review see: S.F.King, Rept. Prog. Phys. 67 (2004) 107.
- 10. P. M inkow ski, Phys. Lett. B 67 (1977) 421; M.Gell-M ann, P.R am ond, and R. Slansky, in Proc. of the W orkshop on Com plex Spinors and Uni ed Theories, Stony Brook, New York, North-Holland, 1979; T. Yanagida, (KEK, T sukuba), 1979; R.N. M ohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912.
- 11.H. Baer, C. Balazs, J. K. M izukoshi and X. Tata, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 055011.
- 12.A. Freitas, W. Porod and P. M. Zerwas, hep-ph/0509056.
- 13. M. Cvetic, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, D. Lust and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 361 (1991) 194; A. Brignole, L. E. Ibanez and C. M unoz, Nucl. Phys. B 422 (1994) 125 [Erratum -ibid. B 436 (1995) 747]; A. Love and P. Stadler, Nucl. Phys. B 515 (1998) 34.
- 14. Supersymmetry Parameter Analysis (SPA) Project, http://spa.desy.de/spa/; J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra et al., hep-ph/0511344.
- 15.B.C.Allanach et al., Eur. Phys.J.C 25 (2002) 113.
- 16.B.C.Allanach, C.G.Lester, M.A.Parker and B.R.Webber, JHEP 0009 (2000) 004;
  B.K.G jelsten, D.J.M iller and P.Osland, JHEP 0412 (2004) 003 and B.K.G jelsten,
  D.J.M iller and P.Osland, JHEP 0506 (2005) 015.
- 17. A. Freitas, H. U. Martyn, U. Nauenberg and P. M. Zerwas, in Proc. of the International Conference on Linear Colliders 2004, Paris, France, hep-ph/0409129.
- 18.A.Freitas,D.J.M iller and P.M.Zerwas,Eur. Phys.J.C 21 (2001) 361; A.Freitas, A.von Manteu el and P.M.Zerwas,Eur.Phys.J. C 34 (2004) 487.
- 19. H.U.Martyn, contribution to 3rd Workshop of Extended ECFA/DEST LC Study, 2002, Prague, Czech Republic, LC-PHSM -2003-071, hep-ph/0406123.

- 20. S.Y. Choi, A.D jouadi, M.Guchait, J.K alinowski, H.S. Song and P.M. Zerwas, Eur. Phys.J.C 14 (2000) 535; S.Y. Choi, J.K alinowski, G.M oortgat-Pick and P.M. Zerwas, Eur.Phys.J.C 22 (2001) 563 and Eur.Phys. J. C 23 (2002) 769.
- 21. A. Bartl, H. Eberl, S. K ram l, W. M a jerotto and W. Porod, Eur. Phys. J. directC 2 (2000) 6; E. Boos, H. U. Martyn, G. Moortgat-Pick, M. Sachwitz, A. Sherstnev and P.M. Zerwas, Eur. Phys. J. C 30, 395 (2003).
- 22.S.Y.Choi, H.U.Martyn and P.M.Zerwas, hep-ph/0508021.
- 23.R. Lafaye, T. Plehn and D. Zerwas, hep-ph/0404282, in [2].
- 24. P. Bechtle, K. Desch and P. W ienemann, in Proc. of the International Linear Collider W orkshop 2005, Stanford, California, USA, hep-ph/0506244.
- 25.J.R euter et al., hep-ph/0512012.
- 26.S.M artin and M.Vaughn, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2282 (1994); Y.Yam ada, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3537 (1994); I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, Phys.Lett. B 333 (1994) 372.
- 27.J. Bagger, K. Matchev, D. Pierce, and R. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B 491 (1997) 3.
- 28. I. Jack, D. R. T. Jones and A. F. Kord, Annals Phys. 316 (2005) 213.
- 29.S.P.Martin, Phys.Rev.D 71 (2005) 116004.
- 30. G. Degrassi, P. Slavich and F. Zwimer, Nucl. Phys. B 611 (2001) 403; A. Brignole, G. Degrassi, P. Slavich and F. Zwimer, Nucl. Phys. B 631 (2002) 195; Nucl. Phys. B 643 (2002) 79; A. Dedes and P. Slavich, Nucl. Phys. B 657 (2003) 333; A. Dedes, G. Degrassi and P. Slavich, Nucl. Phys. B 672 (2003) 144.
- 31.G G. Ross and R G. Roberts, Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 571.
- 32.W .Buchm uller and D.W yler, Phys.Lett.B 521 (2001) 291.
- 33. P.B inetruy, M.K.G aillard and B.D.Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B 604 (2001) 32.