# A PROGRAMME FOR HIGH-RESOLUTION RESEARCH WITH THE EUROPEAN HYBRID SPECTROMETER IN 1982-1983: A SUMMARY

L. Montanet

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

#### 1. OUR AIM

- To determine accurately the properties of the short-lived ( $\sim$  10<sup>-13</sup> s) particles (c, b, ...,
  - τ ...):
  - lifetimes,
  - branching ratios (Cabibbo-allowed or not),
  - spectroscopy:  $D^*$ ,  $\Sigma_C^*$ ,  $\Lambda_C^*$ , ...
- To study production mechanisms (hadronic and photonic):
  - cross-sections (SPS/ISR),
  - correlations (short-range, flavour flow,  $\ldots$ ),
  - diffraction/fragmentation/central production,
  - dependence upon E<sub>inc</sub>, quark<sub>inc</sub>, A, ....

# 2. WHAT DO WE NEED?

- Resolution of the vertex detector:

```
50 \mu m for \tau \approx 8 \times 10^{-13} s,
```

20 
$$\mu m$$
 for  $\tau \approx 2 \times 10^{-13}$  s,

10 
$$\mu m$$
 for  $\tau \approx 10^{-13}$  s.

These numbers (the first two at least) are based on experience, scanning efficiencies, etc., for bubble-chamber film with good contrast, hadronic production at SPS energies, etc.

- Rates, i.e. not necessarily  $10^4$  events badly reconstructed, but a few hundreds of well-constrained, well-identified events.

Two factors can be considered:

- a) The intrinsic rate of data acquisition given by rapid-cycling bubble chambers, including scanning-analysis rates (not useful to produce 10<sup>6</sup> events if we can digest 10<sup>4</sup>/year!).
- b) Acceptance of downstream spectrometer, gamma detectors, particle identifiers, which are essential for the identification of rare events.

With HOLEBC + EHS, classical optics, and hadron beams, we can expect:

20 tracks/expansion, duty cycle  $\sim$  2%, 30 Hz,

10 cm of  $H_2$ , interaction trigger of  $\sim$  20 mb

 $\rightarrow$  1 evt/µb/day

(at least the same rate with RCBC, and probably more).

With HOLEBC holography, these rates may be increased by 20 to 50 but a more sophisticated trigger will then be necessary.

## 3. ACCOMPLISHED IN 1979-1981

1979 - NA13 - "bare" LEBC - 50 μm, 13 'candidates' → cross-section.

1980 - NA16 - LEBC + EHS  $\rightarrow$  D<sup>0</sup>, D<sup> $\pm$ </sup> lifetimes and production characteristics.

1981 - NA26 - HOLEBC + EHS  $\rightarrow$  20  $\mu m$  with classical optics.

## 4. PLANS FOR 1982

- To accumulate a few hundreds of  $D^0$ ,  $D^{\pm}$ , and a few tens of  $F^{\pm}$ ,  $\Lambda_C^{\pm}$ , with HOLEBC (classical optics) and EHS.

15 days of 360 GeV  $\pi^-$ p and 15 days of 360 GeV/c proton-proton, giving 10<sup>6</sup> pictures.

The total of completely reconstructed c's could be of the order of 30 F $^{\pm}$ , 150 D $^{\pm}$ , 150 D $^{0}$ , 100  $\Lambda_{C}^{\pm}$ .

- To test RCBC with three normal views plus one high-resolution channel giving  $\sim$  35  $\mu m$  over 40 cm (and only used for scanning).

 $10^6$  pictures taken with RCBC could provide > 150  $D^{\pm}$  completely reconstructed, the associated charm being searched for by effective mass and kinematics.

### 5. PHOTOPRODUCTION IN 1983

Using HOLEBC in the holographic mode (R  $\leq$  10  $\mu$ m) triggering on hard photons and hadronic final states, a sensitivity of 10 evt/nb could be reached. Many technical questions need to be solved (holography, tagged photon beam, trigger, electromagnetic background, upgrading of spectrometer for  $\sim$  70 GeV incident particles, etc.).

If technically feasible, this experiment could provide several thousands of c's and several tens of b's.

I believe that such a major step should be taken in the best possible conditions, by adding to the spectrometer a good streamer chamber in the vertex magnet of EHS (see E. Johansson's talk). This streamer chamber would increase significantly the acceptance and efficiency for low-momentum tracks, would allow the detection of a sizeable fraction of strange particles associated with c's and b's, and could provide particle identification.

#### 6. MORE PROPOSALS

With the advent of high-resolution bubble chambers and the completion of EHS (more particle identification, gamma detection, calorimetry), we have a beautiful tool to study heavy flavours. There are many other possibilities than those discussed above (see Niels Doble's talk on beam possibilities). We need more proposals.