International Journal of Modern Physics A (c) World Scientific Publishing Company

# NEW RESULTS ON PRECISION STUDIES OF HEAVY VECTOR BOSON PHYSICS

B.F.L. WARD

Department of Physics, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97316 Waco, Texas 76798-7316, USA

C. GLOSSER

Department of Physics, Southern Illinois University, Box 1654 Edwardsville, IL 62026-1654, USA

#### S. JADACH

Institute of Nuclear Physics, ul. Radzikowskiego 152 31-342 Kraków, Poland Theory Division, CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

W. PLACZEK

Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, ul. Reymonta 4 30-059 Kraków, Poland

M. SKRZYPEK

Institute of Nuclear Physics, ul. Radzikowskiego 152 31-342 Kraków, Poland

Z. WĄS

Institute of Nuclear Physics, ul. Radzikowskiego 152 31-342 Kraków, Poland

S. A. YOST

Department of Physics, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97316 Waco, Texas 76798-7316, USA

We present new results for two important heavy vector boson physics processes: (1), virtual corrections to hard bremsstrahlung which are relevant to precision predictions for the radiative return process in Z boson production at and beyond LEP2 energies; and, (2), electric charge screening effects in single W production with finite  $p_T$ , multiple photon radiation in high energy collider physics processes. In both cases we show that we improve the respective precision tag significantly. Phenomenological implications are discussed.

Keywords: Bremsstrahlung; W/Z Bosons; Screening.

2 B.F.L. WARD, C. GLOSSER, S. JADACH, W. PLACZEK, M. SKRZYPEK, Z. WAS, S.A. YOST

#### 1. Introduction

Electroweak(EW) [1] and QCD [2] loop corrections are established: precision LEP [3] physics,  $m_t$  [4], ..., set a stage for 1 GeV - 1 TeV high precision Standard Model [1,2] tests via theoretical predictions for both signal and background processes in high energy colliding beam environments. In the EW sector, this now requires exact  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3 L^3)$ , where L is the respective big log, on an event-by-event basis in such studies as radiative return from 1-2 GeV to the  $\pi\pi$  resonance regime in Daphne and the asymmetric B-Factories, radiative return from 200 GeV to the Z pole in final LEP2 data analysis, Z factory physics at ILC, ....

In this paper, we present new results on two aspects of such precision studies: (1), the virtual correction to  $1\gamma$ -bremsstrahlung; (2), electric charge screening in 1W production [5] – see also Ref. [6] in this connection.

### 2. Virtual Corrections to Hard Bremsstrahlung

For the process  $e^+e^- \to \bar{f}f + \gamma$ , we compare in Fig. 1 the calculations in Refs. [7–10] at the  $\bar{\beta_1}^{(2)}$  level for initial state radiation, where  $\{\bar{\beta}_n\}$  are the standard YFS [11] residuals. The result by Ref. [7], labeled IN in the figure, is exact and fully differential but without complete mass corrections, the result in Ref. [8], labeled BVNB, is exact with the complete mass corrections but is integrated over the photon azimuthal angle, the result of Ref. [9], labeled JMWY, is fully differential with the complete mass corrections following the method of Ref. [12] whereas the exact result of Ref. [10], labeled KR, is also fully differential with complete mass corrections included in an entirely different way from that used in Ref. [9]. The agreement shown in the figure is at the  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  level in units of the Born  $e^+e^- \to \bar{f}f$  cross section for an energy cut at  $v_{max} = 0.9625$ .

### 3. Electric Charge Screening Effects in 1W Production

Electric charge screening(ECS)/Leading Log scale transmutation(LLST) [5, 6] is known from low angle Bhabha scattering  $[13] - L(s) \equiv ln \frac{s}{m_e^2} \Rightarrow L(|t|)$  in the LL expansion. In Ref. [5], we have found in the toy model

$$\mu^{-}(p_{a}) + \mu^{+}(p_{b}) \to \mu^{-}(p_{c}) + \mu^{+}(p_{d}) + \gamma(k)$$
(1)

the ECS corrected weight

$$\hat{S}_{ab}(k)W_{\rm ECS}(k) \tag{2}$$

for the ISR IR emission factor  $\tilde{S}_{ab}(k)$  [11,13] where

$$W_{\rm ECS}(k) = \frac{\tilde{S}_{abcd}(k)}{\tilde{S}_{ab}(k) + \tilde{S}_{cd}(k)},\tag{3}$$

## NEW RESULTS ON PRECISION STUDIES OF HEAVY VECTOR BOSON PHYSICS 3 Monte Carlo Results



Fig. 1. Sub-NLL contribution  $\beta_1^{(2)} - \beta_{1NLL}^{(2)}$ .

in a standard YFS notation. For the single W production  $e^-e^+ \to f_c(p_c) + \bar{f}_d(p_d) + f_e(p_e) + \bar{f}_f(p_f)$  we find that we can do the same:

$$W_{\rm ECS}^{real} = \prod_{i} w^{R}(k_{i}), \quad w^{R}(k) = \frac{\tilde{S}_{ab}(k) + \tilde{S}_{CD}(k) + \tilde{S}_{aC}(k) + \tilde{S}_{bD}(k) + \tilde{S}_{aD}(k) + \tilde{S}_{bC}(k)}{\tilde{S}_{ab}(k) + \tilde{S}_{CD}(k)}$$
(4)

for the effective [5] final particles 'C' and 'D' close to the incoming beams, as we illustrate in Fig. 2. A factor  $\exp(\Delta U)$  cancels *exactly* the dummy [5] IR  $\epsilon$ dependence and compensates *approximately* for the normalization change due to the  $\langle W_{\text{ECS}}^{real} \rangle$  weight and the effective coupling is also that at |t|, by standard renormalization group [14] arguments; this all is realized [5] with the normalization correction(here, $\gamma_r \equiv \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} (L(|r|) - 1), \bar{\gamma}_t \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_t + \gamma_s))$ 

$$W_{ECS}^{norm} = \exp\left(\frac{3}{4}(\bar{\gamma}_t - \gamma_s)\right) \exp\left(\Delta U(\epsilon)\right)$$
  
$$\Delta U(\epsilon) = U(\epsilon) - U_R(\epsilon), \quad U(\epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon\sqrt{s}/2}^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{d^3k}{k^0} \tilde{S}_{ab}(k), \quad U_R(\epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon\sqrt{s}/2}^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{d^3k}{k^0} \tilde{S}_{ab}(k) w^R(k). \tag{5}$$

to maintain the exact IR cancellation in the MC (KoralW [5,15], for example).

The only purpose of the weight  $W_{\text{ECS}}^{real}$  is to restore the ECS effect due to ISR $\otimes$ FSR interference. We do not aim at re-creating the FSR. This would be formally possible with a similar weight; however, the resultant weight distribution would be bad and the attendant MC calculation would not be convergent. We get  $W_{\text{ECS}}^{real} \rightarrow 1$  for photons collinear with the FS effective fermions C and D. This ensures a very good weight distribution. The FSR can be treated separately, either inclusively (calorimetric acceptance) or exclusively, generated with the help of

4 B.F.L. WARD, C. GLOSSER, S. JADACH, W. PLACZEK, M. SKRZYPEK, Z. WAS, S.A. YOST



Fig. 2.  $\log_{10}$  of  $d\sigma/d\log_{10} \tan(\theta_{\gamma}/2)$  with (red dots) and without (blue open squares) the ECS correction, arbitrary units. In boxes the values of fits are shown.

PHOTOS [16] <sup>a</sup>. The precision tag of  $\leq 2\%$  is realized [5] – good enough for final LEP2 data analysis.

## Acknowledgments

Five of the authors (S.J.,W.P.,M.S.,B.F.L.W.,Z.W.) would like to thank Prof. G. Altarelli of the CERN TH Div. and Prof. D. Schlatter and the ALEPH, DEL-PHI, L3 and OPAL Collaborations, respectively, for their support and hospitality while part of this work was completed. B.F.L.W. would like to thank Prof. C. Prescott of Group A at SLAC for his kind hospitality while part of this work was in its developmental stages. Work supported in part by US DoE contract DE-FG05-91ER40627, by NATO grants PST.CLG.97751,980342, by Polish Government grants KBN 5P03B09320, 2P03B00122, by European Commission 5-th framework contract HPRN-CT-2000-00149 and by the Polish-French Collaboration within IN2P3 through LAPP Annecy.

#### References

- S.L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22 (1961) 579; S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264;
   A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, ed. N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksells, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367; G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys.B 44, 189 (1972) and B50, 318 (1972); G. 't Hooft, *ibid.* 35, 167 (1971); M. Veltman, *ibid.* 7, 637 (1968).
- D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 1343; H. David Politzer, *ibid.***30** (1973) 1346; see also , for example, F. Wilczek, in *Proc. 16th International Symposium*

<sup>a</sup>Care has to be taken to implement ECS for FSR, if necessary.

NEW RESULTS ON PRECISION STUDIES OF HEAVY VECTOR BOSON PHYSICS 5

on Lepton and Photon Interactions, Ithaca, 1993, eds. P. Drell and D.L. Rubin (AIP, NY, 1994) p. 593, and references therein.

- D. Abbaneo et al., hep-ex/0212036; see also, M. Gruenewald, hep-ex/0210003, in Proc. ICHEP02, eds. S. Bentvelsen et al. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003) 280.
- 4. See J. G. da Costa, in *Proc. ICHEP04*, in press; D. Denisov, , *ibid.*, and refrences therein.
- S. Jadach, W. Placzek, M. Skrzypek, B.F.L. Ward and Z. Was, *Eur. Phys. J.* C27, 19 (2003).
- 6. Nucl. Phys. B578, 3 (2000); B619, 313 (2001), and references therein.
- 7. M. Igarashi and N. Nakazawa, Nucl. Phys. B288, 301 (1987).
- 8. F.A. Berends, W.L. Van Neerven and G.J.H. Burgers, *Nucl. Phys.* **B297**, 429 (1988) and references therein.
- 9. S. Jadach, M. Melles, B.F.L. Ward and S.A. Yost, Phys. Rev. D65, 073030 (2002).
- H. Kuhn and G. Rodrigo, Eur.Phys.J. C25, 215 (2002); H. Czyz, A. Grzelinska, J.H. Kuhn and G. Rodrigo, Eur.Phys.J. C33, 333 (2004).
- D. R. Yennie, S. C. Frautschi, and H. Suura, Ann. Phys. 13, 379 (1961); see also K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Rev. 126, 329 (1962), for a related analysis.
- F.A. Berends, P. De Causmaecker, R. Gastmans, R. Kleiss, W. Troost and T.T. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B264 (1986) 243, 265.
- See S. Jadach *et al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **102**, 229 (1997); *ibid.* **70**, 305 (1992);
   S. Jadach, M. Skrzypek and B.F.L. Ward, *Phys. Rev.D* **55**, 1206 (1997), and references therein.
- 14. B.F.L. Ward, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 939.
- 15. S. Jadach et al., Comp. Phys. Commun.119, 272 (1999); ibid.140, 475 (2001).
- 16. E. Barberio and Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Commun.79, 291 (1994), and references therein.