Conceptual Study of High Power Proton Linac for Accelerator Driven Subcritical Nuclear Power System[*]

YU Qingchang OUYANG Huafu XU Taoguang

Institute of High Energy Physics, The Chinese
Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100039

GUAN Xialing

China Nuclear Data Center, CIAE, Beijing, 102413

Abstract: As a prior option of the next generation of energy source, the accelerator driven subcritical nuclear power system (ADS) can use efficiently the uranium and thorium resource, transmute the high-level long-lived radioactive wastes and raise nuclear safety. The ADS accelerator should provide the proton beam with tens megawatts. The superconducting linac is a good selection of ADS accelerator because of its high efficiency and low beam loss rate. The ADS accelerator presented by the authors consists of a 5 MeV radio-frequency quadrupole, a 100 MeV independently phased superconducting cavity linac and a 1 GeV elliptical superconducting cavity linac. The accelerating structures and main parameters are determined and the research and development plan is considered.

Key words: High power proton linac, ADS, Conseptual study

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of the national economy asks for sufficient energy supply. It is more pressing for China, whose economy increases at a rate of about 8%. Now our electrical supply mainly comes from coal burning. It brings us serious troubles in transportation and environment. To burn coal

[*] Presented at the Asian Particle Accelerator Conference, Beijing, 2001.

is a great waste because coal is a precious chemical material and its deposits in the world are limited.

Nuclear energy is an effective, clean and safe energy resource. But now, there are some shortages in commercial nuclear fission energy system: the resource utilization is very low and a great amount of high-level long-lived radioactive wastes exist in spent fuel. Recently the accelerator driven subcritical nuclear power system (ADS) attracts the interest of the international nuclear community. In ADS a heavy nucleus target in the subcritical reactor is bombarded by the high power proton beam from an accelerator and a large amount of spallation neutrons are produced in order to keep the chain fission reaction. The extra neutrons can transmute radioactive wastes and breed nuclear fuel. The ADS can utilize efficiently the uranium and thorium resource, supply electric energy without production of a great amount of radioactive wastes and raise the safety of the system.

Based on the calculations of C. Rubbia and his colleagues, as a stable and reliable industrial power system, the proton beam power cannot be less than $25 \sim 30$ MW [1]. To achieve this object, we have much to do in decreasing beam loss, increasing the accelerator efficiency and raising reliability.

The number of spallation neutron produced by proton bombardment depends on proton energy, beam current, target geometry and target material. Above 1.3 GeV the neutron production rate for a given power is nearly independent of the proton energy. The construction and operation cost of the accelerator mainly depends on the energy and the construction difficulty on the beam current. As a compromise, we select a 1 GeV, 30 mA proton linac. It will operate on CW mode in order to decrease the beam loss as a result of the space charge effect and transition effect due to the sudden change of beam current.

The superconducting cavity linac (SCL) is a good selection of ADS accelerator because of its many advantages [2, 3]:

(1) Negligible wall power losses, which increase the power efficiency and saves the cost of operation;

(2) Higher accelerating gradient, which reduces the accelerator length and saves the cost of construction;

(3) Larger beam bore, which reduces the beam loss rate;

(4) Fewer cells per accelerating cavity, which extends the velocity acceptance.

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of our ADS accelerator, which is divided into two main parts: the low energy part up to 100 MeV and the high energy part from 100 MeV to 1000 MeV. Above 100 MeV the multicell elliptical superconducting cavities are adopted. For 5~100 MeV we use the single gap reentrant superconducting cavities because the velocity acceptance of multicell cavities is poor in low β range. An RF frequency of 352 MHz has been chosen for low energy part and 704 MHz for high energy part.

| 80 keV	5 MeV	100 MeV	217 MeV	412 MeV	1 GeV
IS	LEBT	RFQ	ISCL	SCL1	SCL2	SCL3	30 mA

Fig. 1 The block diagram of the ADS accelerator

1 LOW ENERGY PART

The low energy part consists of a microwave ion source (IS), a low energy beam transport system (LEBT), a radio frequency quadrupole (RFQ) and an independently phased superconducting cavity linac (ISCL).

We select the microwave ion source because it can guarantee the expected performances in terms of proton fraction, emittance, reproducibility, reliability and stability. In particular, it has no parts subjected to consumption and can work for weeks without any maintenance.

The salient feature of the RFQ is that it bunches, focuses and accelerates charged particles by using RF fields only. It is certainly the best machine in existing accelerators today for low energy ions.

Our RFQ of four-vane type consists of three sections. Each section is made up of two segments brazed together. In order to decrease the space charge effect of the low energy proton beam we adapt a higher input energy 80 keV. The list of the main parameters is shown in Table 1.

Table 1   The main parameters of RFQ

Input Energy /keV	80
Output Energy /MeV	5
Beam Current /mA	30
Working Frequency /MHz	352
Normal Transverse RMS Emittance /πmm • mrad	0.2
Normal Longitudinal RMS Emittance /πMeV • deg	0.2
Total Length /m	7.1
Intervane Voltage /kV	70
Transmission	95
Synchronous Phase/deg	−90～−30
Modulation	0.29～0.32
Average Aperture/cm	1～1.94
Cavity RF Losses/MW	0.58
Beam Power /MW	0.15
Total RF Power /MW	0.73

Table 2 The main parameters of ISCL

Output Energy/MeV	100
Beam Current/mA	30
Working Frequency/MHz	352
Total Length/m	48
Number of Cavities	230
Cavity Length/cm	8
Accelerating Gradient/MV • m^{-1}	～6
Beam Hole Radius/cm	1.5
Synchronous Phase /deg	−40
Beam Power /MW	2.85

The extension of the superconducting RF technology to lower energy has obvious advantages for a moderate current CW proton linac. We considered an ISCL with reentrant cavities similar to Italian research program TRASCO because it has good velocity acceptance[4]. It is cylindrically symmetric and therefore theoretically dipole free. Each cavity is fed with a 15

kW single solid state amplifier. A FODO focusing structure with a period 8 $\beta\lambda$ is used. As the proton energy increases, a larger number of cavities can be installed between the quadrupoles. The list of the main parameters is shown in Table 2.

2 HIGH ENERGY PART

For convenience of manufacture, the SCL is divided into three sections with different geometric β value β_0 (SCL1, SCL2, SCL3). Every section is of a periodic focussing structure. Every focussing period includes a cryomodule and a focussing quadrupole doublet. The superconducting accelerating cavities are laid in the cryomodules for maintaining necessary low temperature. In the same section the parameters of all the components and their relative positions in the period are the same.

For saving the cost of construction and operation, we hope to use as few cavities and low power as possible. For an accelerating cavity with N identical cells, the proton energy gain is

$$\Delta W = qNLE_a T_{cav}\cos\phi$$

Where q is the proton charge, L is the cell length, E_a is the accelerating gradient, T_{cav} is the relative transit time factor of the cavity, ϕ is the synchronous phase [3, 5]. Fig. 2 shows the variations of T_{cav} with β and N. We adopt the 5-cell superconducting cavities.


Fig. 2 The variations of T_{cav} with β and N

The RF power required per cavity, which is approximately equal to the product of the proton current and the proton energy gain, cannot exceed RF coupler capability 350 kW. The surface electric field cannot be higher than 25 MV/m to avoid multipacting. We must carefully select the main parameters of SCL within these permitted limits.

We adopt the constant gain mode. To maintain constant energy gain per cavity ΔW in a section, the accelerating gradient E_a can be chosen to compensate for the variations of the relative transit time factor of the cavity T_{cav} with the proton velocity. As an exception, the first four cavities of SCL1 operate in the constant gradient mode, where E_a=8.8 MV/m.

Table 3 The main parameters of SCL

Section	1	2	3
Design β	0.50	0.62	0.76
Output Energy /MeV	217	412	1000
Beam Current /mA	30	30	30
Working Frequency /MHz	704	704	704
Section Length /m	80.0	74.1	163.8
Number of Cavities	40	39	84
Cavity Length /cm	52	66	80
Accelerating Gradient /MV · m^{-1}	6.6∼8.8	8.7∼9.8	10∼11
Energy Gain per Cavity/MeV	2∼3	5	7
Cavity Radius/cm	18.6	18.7	18.9
Beam Hole Radius /cm	5	5	5
Ratio of Peak Surface Electric Field to Accelerating Gradient	2.85	2.58	2.08
Synchronous Phase /deg	−30	−30	−30
Focussing Period Length/m	4.0	5.7	7.8
Number of Cryomodules	20	13	21
Cavities per Cryomodule	2	3	4
Cavities per Klystron	2	3	2
Beam Power /MW	3.51	5.85	17.64

The cell geometric parameters determine its electromagnetic performances. The cell radius is used for the RF frequency tuning. The beam bore radius should be larger than 30 times of beam radius. It determines the cavity-coupling factor. The shapes of ellipses determine the peak surface electric field and peak surface magnetic field on the cavity walls. For determination of the cell geometry that has the necessary electromagnetic performances we do the RF electromagnetic field computation by means of the software SUPERFISH. For determination of a cavity geometry a separate iterative procedure is needed for the end cells because their geometry is different from that of the inner cells. Then we do the particle trace computation by particle dynamics software PARMILA. The results are satisfied. The main parameters of SCL are listed in Table 3.

3 FURTHER WORK

(1) We will build a 3.5 or 5 MeV RFQ with average current of $2\sim3$ mA and duty factor of 5%.

(2) In recent years a superconducting cavity laboratory will be built for research and development of the superconducting cavities.

Moreover, a proposal for constructing a spallation neutron source in China is presented [6]. The construction of its injector (a 150 MeV H$^-$ ion linac) will provide helpful experience for us.

REFERENCES

1   Rubia C & Rubio J A. A Tentative Programme Towards a Full Scale Energy Amplifier, CERN/LHC/96-11(EET)

2   Prome M. Major Projects for the Use of High Power Linacs, Proc. Inter. Linac Conf., 1996. 9

3   YU Qingchang, OUYANG Huafu, XU Taoguang. Study on Design of Superconducting Proton Linac for Accelerator Driven Subcritical Nuclear Power System, Proc. 3th Asian Symp. On Applied Electromagnetics, Hangzhou, 2001

4   Pisent A, et al. TRASCO 100 MeV High Intensity Proton Linac, Proc. Euro. Part. Accel. Conf., 2000

5   Wangler T P, et al. Beam Dynamics Design and Simulation Studies of the APT Superconducting Linac,
    Proc. Part. Accel. Conf., 1999

6   YU Qingchang, XU Taoguang, OUYANG Huafu, GUAN Xialing. A proton accelerator complex for
    spallation neutron source and other application (in this collections)

加速器驱动次临界核能系统高功率
质子直线加速器概念研究

郁庆长 欧阳华甫 徐韬光
中国科学院高能物理研究所，北京，100039
关遐龄
中国原子能科学研究院， 北京，102413

摘　　要： 加速器驱动次临界核能系统（ADS）是下一代能源的首选
方向。它能有效地利用铀和钍资源，嬗变长寿命高放射性废物和提高
核安全水平。ADS 加速器应能提供几十兆瓦质子束。超导直线加速
器（SCL）效率高，束流损失少，是 ADS 加速器的最佳选择。作者
介绍的 ADS 加速器由 5 MV 射频四极加速器，100 MV 独立调相超导
腔加速器和 1 GV 椭圆超导腔加速器组成。确定了加速结构和主要参
数，考虑了研究和发展计划。

关键词： 高功率质子直线加速器　ADS　概念研究