# Lattice Perturbation Theory in Noncommutative Geometry and Parity Anomaly in 3D Noncommutative QED

Jun Nishim ura

D epartm ent of Physics, N agoya U niversity Furo-cho, C hikusa-ku, N agoya 464-8602, Japan E-m ail: nisimura@eken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

MiguelA.Vazquez-Mozo

Theory Division, CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland E-m ail: Miguel.Vazquez-Mozo@cern.ch

A bstract:W e formulate lattice perturbation theory for gauge theories in noncommutative geometry. W e apply it to three-dimensional noncommutative QED and calculate the e ective action induced by D irac fermions. In particular \parity invariance" of a massless theory receives an anomaly expressed by the noncommutative C herm-Simons action. The coe cient of the anomaly is labelled by an integer depending on the lattice action, which is a noncommutative counterpart of the phenomenon known in the commutative theory. The parity anomaly can also be obtained using G insparg-W ilson fermions, where the masslessness is guaranteed at nite lattice spacing. This suggests a natural de nition of the lattice-regularized C herm-Sim ons theory on a noncommutative torus, which could enable nonperturbative studies of quantum H all system s.

K eyw ords: Lattice G auge Field T heories, N on-C om m utative G eom etry, A nom alies in Field and String T heories, C hern-S im ons T heories.

## C ontents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Lattice perturbation theory in noncommutative geometry	3
	2.1 Noncommutative QED on the lattice	3
	2.2 Feynm an rules	7
	2.3 Perturbative evaluation of the elective action	8
3.	The parity anom aly in 3D noncommutative QED	9
	3.1 A brief review of the commutative case	10
	3.2 The noncommutative case	11
	3.2.1 Fundamental fermions	11
	3.2.2 Adjoint ferm ions	14
4.	N oncom m utative C hern-Sim ons theory on the lattice	18
5.	Concluding rem arks	20
Α.	Functional determ inants	21
в.	Evaluation of the Feynm an integrals	24
	B.1 Fundam ental ferm ions	24
	B.2 Adjoint ferm ions	25

# 1. Introduction

Q uantum eld theory on noncom mutative spaces has been a subject of much activity in recent years (see [1,2] for com prehensive reviews). This attention was originally triggered by its intim ate relationship with string theory, but the study of noncom mutative eld theories has interest in its own. From a mathematical physics point of view they provide us with a class of nonlocal quantum eld theories which nonetheless seem to be wellde ned. A lso, since noncom mutative eld theories are essentially theories of dipoles [3] they can be also useful in the analysis of system s with dipolar excitations in condensed matter physics.

In this context, noncom mutative Chern-Sim ons (NCCS) theory in (2 + 1)-dimensions is specially appealing because of its applications to quantum Hall system s [4,5]. Here the noncom mutativity is introduced only in the spatial direction and the resulting deform ation of the ordinary gauge invariance into \star-gauge invariance" is essential for the description of the system which has the area preserving di eom orphism invariance [4]. Rem arkably, a nite-N matrix model of NCCS theory is found to have physical states which have one-toone correspondence with Laughlin-type wave functions [6]. It has also been pointed out that instabilities of the NCCS theory can describe the transition to the W igner crystal, where spontaneous breakdown of translational invariance is caused by the noncommutativity [7].

In studying noncommutative eld theories it is often useful to consider its matrixm odel description. This is rem iniscent of its string/M -theoretic connections [8,9]. Here the space-time degrees of freedom and the internal (\color") degrees of freedom are treated on equal footing, and \star-gauge invariance" is simply described by the global U(1) symmetry which acts on the matrix indices. The matrix model description is also useful for regularizing noncommutative eld theories [9,10,11,12,13], since nite-N twisted reduced models [14] are interpreted as a lattice formulation of noncommutative eld theories [11, 12,13]. Such a lattice form ulation provides the most reliable method to study the quantum dynam ics of noncom mutative eld theories in a fully nonperturbative manner. Recently, the lattice regulatization has been applied to two-dimensional noncommutative Yang-Mills theory [15, 16], where (nonperturbative) renorm alizability was demonstrated for the rst time in a noncommutative eld theory (see also [17]). There the same theory was shown to have an intriguing infrared property which may be described as the Aharonov-Bohm e ect with the magnetic eld identied with the inverse noncom mutativity parameter. The lattice formulation has also been used to explore the phase diagram of noncommutative scalar eld theories [16, 18], which is expected to be richer than in the commutative case, as indicated by a self-consistent Hartree approximation [19]. In particular, as conjectured by R ef. [19], the ordered phase is found to split into a uniform ly ordered phase and a phase dom inated by the stripe pattern. In the latter phase, nonzero momentum modes acquire vacuum expectation values, and therefore translational invariance is spontaneously broken.

The aim of this paper is two-fold. First we form ulate perturbation theory for the lattice noncom mutative gauge theory. Although the most important virtue of the lattice reqularization lies in its capability of nonperturbative studies, it has also been used to clarify subtle issues in perturbative aspects of gauge theories. W e consider this particularly im portant because the lattice construction of noncom mutative chiral gauge theories suggests a new mechanism of gauge anom aly cancellation, which is not yet known in the continuum [20]. As an application of the lattice perturbation theory, we pick up a noncommutative version of three-dimensional QED, where the lattice calculation indeed plays a crucial role in revealing peculiar properties of the parity anom aly, given in terms of noncommutative Chem-Sim onsaction. The coe cient of the anom aly is labelled by an integer depending on the lattice action, which is a counterpart of the phenom enon observed by C oste and Luscher [21] in the commutative theory. The commutative limit is smooth when the fermions are in the fundam ental representation, but it is not for ferm ions in the ad pint representation due to a characteristic property of noncommutative eld theories known as the UV/IR mixing [22]. As a special case our result includes C hu's result [23] obtained in the continuum by using the Pauli-V illars regularization.

A nother aim of this work is to construct a lattice regularization of NCCS theory, which has important applications to quantum Hall system s as mentioned above. In general, de n-ing a Chem-Sim ons term on the lattice is not straightforward due to its topological nature.

A recent proposal [24] is to utilize G insparg-W ilson ferm ions in odd dimensions and to de ne the Chem-Simons term using the parity violating part of the elective action induced by the ferm ion. We show that this proposal works also in the noncommutative case. As we mentioned above, the parity anomaly in the three-dimensional noncommutative QED is given by the NCCS term in the continuum limit of the lattice theory we started with. The same result can be obtained from G insparg-W ilson ferm ions, where the masslessness is guaranteed at nite lattice spacing. This suggests a natural de nition of the lattice-regularized Chem-Sim ons theory on a noncommutative torus, which could enable nonperturbative studies of quantum H all system s. In this regard, we recall that a nite-N matrix model has been proposed as a regularized description of NCCS theory on a cylinder [25]. A lthough our theory can also be mapped to a nite-N matrix model, the two proposals appear to be quite di erent.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the lattice form ulation of noncommutative gauge theories and form ulate a perturbation theory based on Feynman rules. In Section 3, we present calculations of the parity anomaly in noncommutative QED. In Section 4 we discuss the parity anomaly using G insparg-W ilson fermions, which leads to a proposal for a lattice-regularized noncommutative Chem-Sim on theory. Section 5 is devoted to summary and discussions. Finally, in Appendix A a calculation of the parity-violating terms in the elective action by the direct evaluation of the fermionic determinant is presented, while Appendix B contains some details of the computation of the Feynman integrals involved in the diagrammatic calculation of Section 3.

## 2. Lattice perturbation theory in noncommutative geometry

In this section we begin by reviewing the lattice formulation of noncommutative gauge theories developed in Ref. [11, 12, 13] (see [26, 27, 28] for reviews). In the literature it is common to start from a nite-N matrix model, which is then shown to be equivalent to the lattice formulation of a noncommutative eld theory. Indeed, the matrix model representation has proven useful for num erical analyses [15, 16, 18, 20]. Here we will work directly with the lattice formulation and derive the Feynman rules, which are used in the perturbative evaluation of the elective action induced by fermions. A lthough we will focus on noncommutative QED in d = 2m + 1 dimensions, the lattice perturbation theory can be formulated for any other noncommutative eld theories in the same way.

#### 2.1 Noncommutative QED on the lattice

In noncommutative geometry, space-time coordinates are treated as Hermitian operators obeying the commutation relation  $[\hat{x}; \hat{x}] = i$ , where is a real anti-symmetric matrix. In (2m + 1) dimensions, due to a property of antisymmetric matrices, coordinates can always be chosen in such a way that one of them commutes with all the others, resulting in

$$[\hat{x}_{i};\hat{x}_{j}] = i_{ij}; \qquad [\hat{x}_{i};\hat{x}_{d}] = 0; \quad (i;j = 1;...;2m); \qquad (2.1)$$

where, for sim plicity, the 2m

1 2m noncommutativematrix ij is taken to be of the form

W e regard the commuting coordinate  $\hat{x}_d$  as the Euclidean time after the W ick rotation. Field theories on a noncommutative geometry can be obtained by replacing an ordinary eld

(x) by an operator ( $\hat{x}$ ). An equivalent way to describe noncommutative eld theories, which we are going to use in what follows, is to keep the ordinary eld (x) but to replace the ordinary product of elds, say  $_1(x)$  and  $_2(x)$ , by the star-product

$$_{1}(x)?_{2}(x) = _{1}(x) \exp \frac{i}{2} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2(x):$$
 (2.3)

In order to consider the lattice regularization of such theories, we introduce a (2m + 1)-dimensional toroidal lattice  $L_{fT}$  de ned by

$$L_{T} = (x_{1}; ...; x_{d}) 2 aZ^{d} \qquad a \frac{L}{2} \qquad x \qquad a \frac{L}{2} \qquad ; \qquad (2.4)$$

where a is the lattice spacing and  $L_1 = L_2 = 2\overline{m} \equiv L, L_d = T.$  We have assumed L;T 2 N to be odd [13]. The dimensionful extent of the lattice is ' = aL in the 2m spatial directions and = aT along the Euclidean time. The elds on the lattice are assumed to obey the periodic boundary condition in all directions<sup>1</sup>.

In order to construct a lattice counterpart of the star-product (2.3), we de ne the Fourier transform v

$$(p) = a^4 (x) e^{ip x};$$
 (2.5)

where the lattice m om entum p is discretized as

$$p = \frac{2 n}{aL}$$
; n 2 Z; (2.6)

and the Fourier m odes  $\sim$  (p) are periodic under n ? n + L . Then the lattice star-product can be de ned through its Fourier transform as

$$\hat{1}_{1} ? _{2}(p) = \frac{1}{a^{d}L^{d-1}T} \bigvee_{q}^{X} \exp \frac{i}{2} _{ij}(p q) q_{j} ~_{1}(p q) ~_{2}(q); \qquad (2.7)$$

where the noncommutativity parameter is taken to be

$$= \frac{1}{2} L a^2$$
: (2.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To form ulate a nite-tem perature eld theory, the boundary condition in the tim e direction has to be taken anti-periodic for ferm ions.

Here and henceforth we assume that the summation over a momentum is restricted to the Brillouin zone; namely (2.6) with (L 1)=2 n (L 1)=2.

The above lattice form ulation naturally results from matrix model description of noncommutative eld theories, and most importantly it preserves all the algebraic properties of the star-product. Moreover the de nition (2.7) is consistent with the periodicity of the lattice momentum (2.6) due to (2.8). One can also rewrite this de nition (2.7) in an integral form as

$${}_{1}(\mathbf{x};t)? {}_{2}(\mathbf{x};t) = \frac{1}{L^{2m}} X X {}_{1}(\mathbf{y};t) {}_{2}(\mathbf{z};t) e^{2i(-1)_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i})(\mathbf{x}_{j} \mathbf{z}_{j})};$$
(2.9)

where the sum mation over y and z is taken only over the spatial lattice. This expression is consistent with the periodicity of the elds again due to (2.8). As is clear from these observations, the lattice regularization of noncommutative eld theories inevitably requires the noncommuting directions to be compactified in a particular way (2.8) consistent with the noncommutativity. This rejects the UV/IR mixing [22] at a fully nonperturbative level [12,13].

Ultimately we have to take the continuum limit a ! 0, and the lattice size should be sent to in nity L;T ! 1. These two limits should be taken more carefully in noncommutive eld theories than in commutative ones because we have an extra scale parameter related to a and L by (2.8). In any case we have a hierarchy of the scales

in the regularized theory. In order to obtain nite , the physical extent of the spatial direction ' = aL should inevitably go to in nity. The extrem e case ! 0 is generally di erent from the commutative theory (where = 0 for nite a), as we see later in concrete examples. The lim it T ! 1 in the time direction can be taken as in commutative theories, and one can have arbitrary independently of and '.

The U(1) gauge elds can be put on the lattice by

$$U (x) = P \exp_{2} ig A (s)ds$$

$$= \begin{cases} x^{d} & Z x^{*} & Z x_{+a^{*}} & Z x_{+a^{*}} & Z x_{+a^{*}} \\ (ig)^{n} & d_{1} & d_{2} & d_{n} \\ & & & 1 & & & 1 \\ A (1)^{2}A (2)^{2} & (2A); \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

where A (x) is the (real) gauge eld in the continuum. The path-ordering is necessary even in the U(1) case, because of the noncommutativity arising from the star-product. Note also that U (x) is not unitary, but it is \star-unitary",

$$U(x)?U(x) = U(x)?U(x) = 1$$
: (2.12)

The continuum gauge eld A (x) transform s under the \star-gauge transform ation" as

A (x) 
$$\overline{y}$$
 g(x)? A (x)? g(x)<sup>y</sup>  $\frac{i}{g}$ g(x)?  $\frac{\theta}{\theta x}$  g(x)<sup>y</sup>; (2.13)

where g(x) is also star-unitary. Under this transform ation, the link eld U (x) de ned by (2.11) transform s as

$$U(x) \ \ g(x) \ \ (x) \ \ g(x + a^{-})$$
 : (2.14)

The lattice action for the gauge eld is given by

$$S_{G} = U(x)?U(x+a^{2})?U(x+a^{2})?U(x); \qquad (2.15)$$

which is invariant under star-gauge transform ation (2.14).

The ferm ion action is de ned by

$$S_F = a^3 X$$
 (x)? (D<sub>w</sub> M) (x); (2.16)

where  $D_{\mbox{\sc w}}$  is the D irac-W ilson operator

$$D_{w} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{d}} (r + r) + rar r :$$
 (2.17)

The expression of the forward and backward covariant derivatives depends on the transformation properties of the fermion eld. In the case where (x) transforms in the fundamental representation

(s) 
$$\mathbb{T}$$
 g(x)? (x); (x)  $\mathbb{T}$  (x)? g(x); (2.18)

they are given respectively by

$$r = \frac{1}{a} [U (x)? (x + a^{2}) (x)]$$
  

$$r = \frac{1}{a} [(x) U (x a^{2})? (x a^{2})]: (2.19)$$

On the other hand, when ferm ions transform in the adjoint representation

the forward and backward covariant derivatives are respectively de ned by

$$r = \frac{1}{a} [U (x)? (x + a^{2})?U (x) (x)]$$
  

$$r = \frac{1}{a} [(x) U (x a^{2})? (x a^{2})?U (x a^{2})]: (2.21)$$

In either case, the ferm ion action (2.16) is star-gauge invariant.

The second term in the Dirac-W ilson operator (2.17) is the W ilson term, which is introduced to give species doublers a mass of order O (1=a). In the original proposal, the coe cient r was taken to be unity, but it can take other values, even negative ones, as far as its magnitude is of order one.

## 2.2 Feynm an rules

Let us proceed to form ulate the perturbation theory for the noncommutative QED on the lattice. As in the commutative case we start with expanding the link variable U (x) in terms of the lattice gauge eld A (x) as

U (x) = 
$$\exp_2 fiagA$$
 (x)g  
= 1 + igaA (x)  $\frac{g^2a^2}{2}A$  (x)?A (x) + :::: (2.22)

Note that U (x) is star-unitary if and only if A (x) is real. The Feynman rules are read o from the action (2.16) expressed in terms of the Fourier transform ed elds  $A^{\sim}$  (p),  $^{\sim}$ (p) and  $^{\sim}$  (p). The ferm ion propagator is given by

$$p \longrightarrow = M + \frac{1}{2}rap^2 \quad i \quad p \quad Q(p)^1; \quad (2.23)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\hat{p} = \frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{1}{2} a p$$
;  $p = \frac{1}{a} \sin (a p)$ : (2.24)

That the ferm ion propagator (2.23) is identical to the one for the commutative lattice QED is because the -dependent phase arising from the star-product (2.7) disappears trivially in the quadratic term in the action (Set p = 0 in (2.7) and consider the anti-symmetry of <sub>ij</sub>). The elect of the noncommutativity will show up only in the interaction vertices in the form of a phase depending on the momenta owing into them. For the one-photon vertex we nd

k; 
$$\sim q$$
 =  $W^{(1)}(p;q) V^{(1)}(p+q)$ ; (2.25)

where we have de ned

$$V^{(1)}(p) = ig \cos \frac{a}{2}p + ir \sin \frac{a}{2}p$$
 (2.26)

The factor  $W^{(1)}(p;q)$  represents a sum of -dependent phases, which depends on whether the ferm ions couple in the fundam ental or the adjoint representation

$$W_{\text{fund}}^{(1)}(p;q) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q)}$$
$$W_{\text{adj}}^{(1)}(p;q) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q)} :$$
(2.27)

Here we have denoted a b

 $\tilde{b}$  " $_{jk}a_{j}b_{k}$ , where " $_{jk}$  is the matrix de ned in (2.2).

For the vertex with two photons we nd,

$$\sum_{k;}^{l} \sum_{p}^{q} = W^{(2)}(p;q;k;l) V^{(2)}(p+q); \qquad (2.28)$$

where we de ned

$$V^{(2)}(p) = aq^2 r \cos \frac{a}{2}p + i \sin \frac{a}{2}p^2$$
 (2.29)

The factor W <sup>(2)</sup> (p;q;k;l) is now given by

$$W_{\text{fund}}^{(2)}(p;q;k;l) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q + K \cdot l)}$$

$$W_{\text{adj}}^{(2)}(p;q;k;l) = e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q + K \cdot l)} + e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q + K \cdot l)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot q + K \cdot l)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot l + K \cdot q)} e^{\frac{i}{2}(p \cdot k + l \cdot q)} : (2.30)$$

Above we assumed that the photon momenta are entering into the vertex.

In addition, for each vertex there is a K ronecker delta m om entum conservation

$$a^{d}L^{d} T_{p+k+} \eta \qquad (2.31)$$

together with a sum mation over an internal momentum for each loop  $\frac{1}{a^d L^{d-1}T}^P_p$ . Finally, each ferm ion loop will carry a minus sign. Vertices with more than two photon lines can be obtained in a similar way.

Together with the ferm ion propagator (2.23), the Feynm an rules of noncommutative lattice QED also requires the photon and ghost propagators as well as the photon-ghost and photon self-interaction vertices. In the case of the propagators, because the bilinear term s in the action are independent of the noncommutativity parameter, they are identical to the one for ordinary QED [29]. For the interaction vertices, as it is also the case in the continuum [30], they can be read o from the ones for nonabelian commutative gauge theories given in [29] by simply replacing the structure constants of the gauge group by the appropriate noncommutative phases. In the calculation of the elective action, how ever, we will need only the ferm ion-photon vertices.

#### 2.3 Perturbative evaluation of the e ective action

The e ective action for the gauge eld is de ned in terms of the ferm ion determ inant as

$$[U]_{e} = \log \frac{\det(D_{w} M)}{\det(D_{w,0} M)}; \qquad (2.32)$$

where  $D_{w,0}$  is the D irac-W ilson operator (2.17) evaluated for the trivial gauge conguration  $U^{(0)}(x) = 1$ . Expanding U (x) with respect to A (x) as in (2.22), the elective action [A]<sub>elect</sub> can be written in momentum space as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}_{e} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{a^{d} L^{d-1} T} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ p \end{bmatrix} (p) A (p) A$$

The kernels (p), (p;q) can be computed using the diagram matric expansion<sup>2</sup>.

$$(p) = - + (2.34)$$

$$(p;q) = + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + cyclic perm + \frac{1}{2} + (2.35)$$

In fact, diagrams containing vertices with three or more photons are irrelevant in the continuum limit since they are weighted with higher powers of the lattice spacing a [29,31]. Therefore we can omit the last diagram in (2.35). Applying the Feynman rules, we thus obtain the following expression.

where by \cyclic permutations" we indicate the contributions of the other two diagrams obtained from the second one in Eq. (2.35) by perform ing cyclic permutations on the labels of the external legs. The expressions for the commutative case can be obtained simply by om itting the factors W<sup>(1)</sup>, W<sup>(2)</sup> in the above equations.

## 3. The parity anom aly in 3D noncommutative QED

From now on we will consider the three-dimensional case (i.e. d 2m + 1 = 3) and study the parity anomaly in noncommutative QED on the lattice. Parity anomaly has been studied intensively in commutative gauge theories, both in the continuum [32, 33] and on the lattice [21]. It has a wide application in condensed matter physics [34] including the

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ The combinatorial factors in front of the diagram s take into account the overall factors of  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$  multiplying the corresponding term s in the elective action.

quantum Halle ect [35]. Wewill rst brie y review the known results in the commutative case.

## 3.1 A brief review of the commutative case

In three-dimensional massless QED there is a conict between parity symmetry and gauge invariance at the quantum level. As pointed out in [36] and elaborated in [32], a parity invariant regularization of the ferm ion determ inant leads to non-invariance of the one-loop e ective action under large gauge transform ations due to the spectral ow of the eigenvalues of the D irac operator, a phenom enon similar to the one behind W itten's global anom aly [37]. On the other hand, a gauge invariant regularization of the theory, like Pauli-V illars, induces a C hem-Sim ons action at one loop that breaks parity invariance, with precisely the coe cient required to compensate the variation of the massless ferm ion determ inant under large gauge transform ations.

Despite any similarities, parity anomaly in three-dimensional QED is dimensional of a continuous ordinary anomalies in that the coe cient of the anomaly depends on the regularization scheme. This peculiar aspect of parity anomaly has been clarified by Coste and Luscher [21] by using the lattice regularization, which provides the most rigid way to calculate the anomaly while preserving gauge invariance. Here we summarize the main results of Ref. [21]. First, when M ! 0, one obtains in the continuum limit

$$\lim_{M \downarrow 0} (p) = \frac{1}{2} n + \frac{1}{2} p + \frac{1}{16 \text{ jpj}} (p^2 \text{ pp}): \quad (3.1)$$

The term proportional to the Levi-C ivita tensor is parity odd, and hence signals the parity anom aly. (Note that the continuum action for a massless D irac ferm ion in three dimensions is invariant under parity transform ation.) The coe cient of the parity anom aly includes a parameter n, which can take any integer value depending on the lattice action chosen, i.e. on the details of the ultraviolet regularization. The essential point, how ever, is that this regularization ambiguity does not a ect the existence of the parity anom aly itself, since this is always nonzero for any n 2 Z. For the standard W ilson ferm ion, one obtains n = 0; 1, depending on whether the sign of the W ilson term is positive or negative. In this case the parity anom aly arises because the W ilson term breaks parity on the lattice, and this breaking persists in the continuum lim it. On the other hand, if one uses the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion, whose action is invariant under the generalized parity transform ation, the parity anom aly (3.1) arises from the measure [24], thus realizing Fujikawa's philosophy for anom alies at a fully regularized level.

In the in nite mass limit, on the other hand, the result for the vacuum polarization is given by

$$\lim_{M \text{ ! } +1} (p) = \frac{1}{2}n \quad p$$

$$\lim_{M \text{ ! } 1} (p) = \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \quad p ; \qquad (3.2)$$

where the integer n is the same parameter as the one introduced in (3.1) for the same lattice action. Thus in general the ferm ion does not decouple completely in the in nite

m ass lim it but it leaves behind a certain local term as a remnant. In fact the freedom of the integer parameter n in both (3.1) and (3.2) is closely related to the fact that the remnant (3.2) depends on the sign of the lim it M + 1. By choosing dimensional lattice action, one essentially introduces dimension of heavy fermions which have masses of order 0 (1=a). The sign of the masses can be assigned as one wishes, and this results in the arbitrariness represented by n.

#### 3.2 The noncom m utative case

Before we present our results on the noncommutative case, let us remark on what we mean by \parity" when we discuss parity anom ally in noncommutative QED.Conventional parity refers to a relection in one spatial direction. In the Euclidean formulation in three dimensions, one can combine the conventional parity transform ation with the 180 degrees rotation in the remaining two directions, to arrive at the transform ation

which leaves the massless D irac action in the continuum invariant. In the noncommutative case, the introduction of the noncommutativity matrix breaks parity in the conventional sense, but it preserves the invariance under (3.3). It is this invariance of the massless D irac action that we refer to when we say 'parity anomaly' in noncommutative QED.

O ur next task is to compute the elective action (2.32) as discussed in Section 2.3 and to see how the results of C oste and Luscher [21] are modiled by noncommutative geometry. W elevalyze separately the cases of fermions in the fundam ental and adjoint representation. W eleval use a representation of the three-dimensional D irac matrices satisfying

where the matrices are taken to be Herm itian Y = . Hereafter we will x the sign of M by demanding M 0.

## 3.2.1 Fundam ental ferm ions

We begin with the coe cient (p) of the bilinear term in the elective action (2.33) for the gauge eld. For fundamental fermions the noncommutative phases in Eq. (2.36) cancelout. The resulting expression is exactly the same as in the commutative case and in particular the result does not depend on how we take the limits L ! 1, T ! 1 and a ! 0, as far as the physical extent of the space-time (' = aL and = aT) goes to in nity. For instance we may take the large volume limit L ! 1 and T ! 1 at xed lattice spacing a and then take the continuum limit a ! 0. Then the rest of the calculation proceeds exactly as in R ef. [21]. Let us introduce the symbol

$$T_{k}(p)f(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{k}} \frac{1}{n! e^{t^{n}}} f(tp) \qquad ; \qquad (3.5)$$

which represents a Taylor subtraction at zero m om entum. Thus, in the in nite volum e limit,  $(p)_{fund}$  can be rewritten as

$$(p)_{\text{fund}} = g^2 \sum_{B}^{Z} \frac{d^3q}{(2)^3} [1 \quad T_0(p)] \text{tr } Q \quad q \quad \frac{p}{2} \stackrel{1}{=} (Q \quad q)Q \quad q + \frac{p}{2} \stackrel{1}{=} (Q \quad q) \quad ; (3.6)$$

where the large volume limit L ! 1 and T ! 1 has been taken and consequently the momentum sum has been replaced by the integral in the Brillouin zone B = fq 2R<sup>3</sup> j (=a) q (=a)g. The subtraction of the zero external momentum contribution comes from the tadpole diagram in the rst line of Eq. (2.34). By using the identity  $[1 \quad T_0(p)]f(p) = [1 \quad T_1(p)]f(p) + p \ 0 \ f(0)$  we can write, after some algebra,

$$(p)_{\text{fund}} = g^2 a_0 \qquad p \qquad (3.7)$$

$$+ g^2 \sum_{B} \frac{d^3 q}{(2)^3} [1 \quad T_1(p)] \text{tr } Q \quad q \quad \frac{p}{2} \stackrel{1}{=} 0 \quad Q(q) \quad Q \quad q + \frac{p}{2} \stackrel{1}{=} 0 \quad Q(q) \quad ;$$

and

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{48^{3}} \int_{B}^{Z} d^{3}q \quad \text{tr } Q(q)^{1} @ Q(q)Q(q)^{1} @ Q(q)Q(q)^{1} @ Q(q) : (3.8)$$

As shown in [21],  $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}n$  is a topological number, where the integer n depends on the parameter r, but not on the lattice spacing.

Because of the subtraction at zero m on entum, the integral in the second term on the right hand side of (3.7) has negative degree so, according to R eisz theorem [38], its continuum lim it is given by the integral over m on entum space of the lim it of the integrand when a ! 0.0 n symmetry grounds, the two-point function in the continuum has the form

$$(p) = A(p) \quad p + B(p)(p^2 \quad pp) + C(p) \frac{(p)(p)}{(p)^2};$$
 (3.9)

where (p) p. From the previous expressions, we nd for the case of ferm ions in the fundam ental representation

$$A (p)_{\text{fund}} = g^2 a_0 + \frac{g^2}{4} \int_{0}^{2} dx^{-1} dx^{-1} M M^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}O};$$
  

$$B (p)_{\text{fund}} = \frac{g^2}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dx x(1 - x) M^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}};$$
  

$$C (p)_{\text{fund}} = 0:$$
(3.10)

In the lim its M ! 0, M ! 1, we obtain results identical to the commutative case (3.1), (3.2).

Let us proceed to compute the coe cient (p) of the trilinear term in the e ective action (2.33) for the gauge eld. Two types of diagrams contribute to this term. In both cases it is easy to check that the corresponding noncommutative phases are identical and factor out of the sum over the loop momentum. The sum multiplying the global noncommutative phase is independent of  $\$ , and it can be evaluated in the same lim it as before. Thus we arrive at the expression

$$(p_{1};p_{2})_{\text{fund}} = e^{\frac{1}{2} (p_{1} p_{2})} \sum_{B} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} tr V^{(1)} (2q + p_{1})Q (q + p_{1})^{1}$$

$$V^{(1)} (2q + 2p_{1} + p_{2})Q (q + p_{1} + p_{2})^{1} V^{(1)} (2q + p_{1} + p_{2})Q (q)^{1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} (p_{1} p_{2})} \sum_{B} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} tr V^{(1)} (2q)Q q \frac{p_{1}}{2}^{1} V^{(2)} (2q)Q q + \frac{p_{1}}{2}^{1}$$

$$+ [cyclic permutations: (;p_{1})! (;p_{2})! (;p_{2})! (;p_{2} p_{2})];$$

$$(3.11)$$

where we have taken the large volume  $\lim it L ! 1$  and T ! 1, but the continuum  $\lim it$  is yet to be taken. In general, the three-point function can be written as

$$(p_1; p_2)_{\text{fund}} = e^{\frac{1}{2} (p_1 p_2)} A (p_1; p_2)_{\text{fund}} + \dots; \qquad (3.12)$$

where \::: "stands for terms proportional to rank-three tensors constructed in terms of the incoming momenta. By looking at the low momentum expansion we not that

$$A (p_1; p_2)_{\text{fund}} = g^3 a_0 + O (p^2); \qquad (3.13)$$

where  $a_0$  is given again by Eq. (3.8). The remaining momentum -dependent contribution can be obtained by evaluating

$$\sum_{B} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T_{0}(p_{1};p_{2}) \end{bmatrix} tr V^{(1)}(2q + p_{1})Q(q + p_{1})^{1}V^{(1)}(2q + 2p_{1} + p_{2}) Q(q + p_{1} + p_{2})^{1}V^{(1)}(2q + p_{1} + p_{2})Q(q)^{1}$$

$$(3.14)$$

and keeping the term s proportional to . Here we have denoted  $T_0(p;q)f(p;q) = f(0;0)$ . Note also that the second group of term s in (3.11) does not contribute to A  $(p_1;p_2)_{fund}$ , since they are symmetric in two of the indices.

In order to retrieve the continuum limit of (3.14) we need to check that the relevant terms of the integral on the right-hand side converges to the continuum Feynman integral. By writing the integrand as  $V(q;p_1;p_2;M;a)=C(q;p_1;p_2;M;a)$  we not that deg V 8, whereas deg C = 12, so the degree of divergence of the integrand is  $3 + \deg V \quad \deg C \quad 1$ , and the contribution of the integral in the continuum limit is given by the integral over the loop momentum of the a ! 0 limit of the integrand. A careful evaluation of the resulting integral using Feynman parameters shows that (see Appendix B for the details)

$$\lim_{a! 0} A (p_{1}; p_{2})_{\text{fund}} = g^{3}a_{0} \frac{g^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int_{1}^{2} M (M^{2} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{1}^{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int_{1}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \frac{(x_{1} + x_{2})(1 - x_{1} - x_{2})}{(M^{2} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ \frac{g^{3}}{4} M p_{1}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \frac{x_{2}(1 - x_{2})}{(M^{2} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ \frac{g^{3}}{4} M p_{2}^{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} \frac{x_{2}(1 - x_{2})}{(M^{2} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ \frac{g^{3}}{8} M p_{1} dp_{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} \frac{x_{1} + 2x_{2}(1 - x_{1} - x_{2})}{(M^{2} + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} ;$$
(3.15)

where for shorthand we have written

$$(x_1 + x_2)(1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2)p_1^2 + x_2(1 \quad x_2)p_2^2 + 2x_2(1 \quad x_1 \quad x_2)p_1 \quad p: \quad (3.16)$$

From these results we see that the cubic term in the noncommutative Chern-Sim ons action emerges in the limits  $M \ ! \ 0$  and  $M \ ! \ 1$  with coecients consistent with the quadratic term calculated above. This is expected from the star-gauge invariance, which is manifestly preserved in the lattice regularization.

It is straightforward to calculate now the elective action  $[A]_{e}^{(fund)}$  in position space for massless fermions. By using Eqs. (3.10) and (3.15) in the limit M ! 0 we not for the parity violating part

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}_{e}^{(\text{fund})} = \frac{g^{2}}{4} \quad n + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \quad A^{\sim}(p)A^{\sim}(p)p \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\frac{g^{3}}{6} \quad n + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{d^{3}p_{1}}{(2)^{3}} \quad \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} \quad A^{\sim}(p_{1})A^{\sim}(p_{2})A^{\sim}(p_{1} p_{2})e^{\frac{i}{2}(p_{1} p_{2})}:$$

Perform ing the inverse Fourier transform on  $A^{(p)}$  and dening  $A^{(x)} = igA^{(x)}$  we nally arrive at

$$[A]_{e}^{(\text{fund})} = \frac{i}{4} n + \frac{1}{2} d^{3}x \qquad A @ A + \frac{2}{3}A ? A ? A (3.18)$$

In particular, since the NCCS term is not invariant under the parity transform ation (3.3), we have a parity anom aly as in the commutative case.

It is in portant to notice that in the ! 0 lim it we retrieve the results obtained in R ef. [21] for ordinary (commutative) Q ED. The fact that the commutative lim it turned out to be smooth in the present case is due to the cancellation of the noncommutative phases involving loop m om enta, which would otherw ise cause the UV/IR m ixing. Such a cancellation can be understood in a transparent way [22] if one uses the so-called double-line notation known from large-N gauge theory. Feynm an rules should be re-derived accordingly and in particular each of the interaction vertices will have a single noncommutative phase factor instead of a sum of phases. U sefulness of the double-line notation in noncommutative

eld theories can be understood if one recalls that the algebraic property of the star-product is the sam e as that of m atrix product. In the double-line notation, \planar diagram s" can be de ned as diagram s which can be drawn on a plane w ithout any crossings of lines. In fact for any planar diagram s the noncom m utative phase factors out of the m om entum integration, leaving a global phase depending only on external m om enta [39, 40, 41]. For ferm ions in the fundam ental representation, the interaction w ith the gauge eld occurs only on one side of the ferm ion propagator (represented as a double-line), and therefore all the diagram s that appear in the calculation of the elective action are actually planar.

#### 3.2.2 Adjoint ferm ions

C ontrary to the case studied above, the e ective action for noncom mutative gauge theories with ferm ions in the adjoint representation does not have a sm ooth commutative lim it.

Note that the original theory in the ! 0 lim it is just a free massless ferm ion and a free photon, which is parity invariant. However, if we take the continuum lim it for nite

we will see that the adjoint ferm ions induce the NCCS action, which reduces to an ordinary (commutative) U (1) Chern-Simons term in the ! 0 limit. Using a Pauli-Villars ferm ion of mass M reg as a regulator in the continuum [23] this is the result of the fact that the two limits ! 0 and M reg ! 1 do not commute with each other, a phenom enon characteristic of noncommutative quantum eld theories both at zero [22] and nite tem perature [44]. This com es about because of the existence of nonplanar diagram s in which the UV divergences are regulated at the scale<sup>3</sup> jpj.

P lugging in the factors W  $^{(1)}$  , W  $^{(2)}$  for the ad pint ferm ions (2.27), (2.30), the expression (2.36) for the two-point function becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} (p)_{adj} = 2 \quad (p)_{find} \\ \frac{2}{a^{3}L^{2}T} & \text{tr } V^{(1)}(2q+p)Q \ (q+p)^{1} V^{(1)}(2q+p)Q \ (q)^{1} \cos[(p q)] \\ \frac{2}{a^{3}L^{2}T} & \begin{array}{c} x & h \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} (3.19) \end{array} \end{array}$$

where  $(p)_{fund}$  is the two-point function for ferm ions in the fundam ental representation calculated above. In the language of the double-line notation (See the end of Sec. 3.2.1), the rst term represents the contribution from the planar diagram and the other two terms correspond to the nonplanar contributions. Interestingly the third term, which is the non-planar contribution from the tadpole diagram, exactly vanishes in the continuum lim it. Therefore the e ect of the tadpole diagram is just to subtract the zero-m om entum contribution from the planar term s, which has the e ect of making the am plitude nite in the continuum lim it, as seen above. Note that the second term of (3.19) is nite by itself, since the noncommutative phase introduces an elective cuto to the loop momentum at the scale jpj.

To obtain the continuum lim it of the second term we have to take into account that this lim it has to be taken at the same tim e with the large volum e lim it in such a way that  $/ a^{2}L$  is kept xed. A long but straightforward calculation shows that the resulting integrals can be written in terms of modi ed Bessel functions of the second kind. The resulting two-point function has the form given in Eq. (3.9) now with (see Appendix B for details)

$$A (p)_{adj} = 2A (p)_{fund} + \frac{g^2}{2} r \frac{2}{-j} (p)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^2 M M^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 ]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$K_{\frac{1}{2}} pjM^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 j^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$B (p)_{adj} = 2B (p)_{fund} \frac{g^2}{2} r \frac{2}{-j} (p)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^2 x(1 - x)M^2 + x(1 - x)p^2 ]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The role of nonplanar amplitudes in the calculation of the chiral anom aly has attracted som e attention recently (see, for example, [42, 43]).

$$C (p)_{adj} = \frac{g^{2}}{4} r \frac{2}{-j} pj M^{2} + x(1 - x)p^{2} p^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$K_{\frac{3}{2}} pj M^{2} + x(1 - x)p^{2} p^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

$$K_{\frac{3}{2}} pj M^{2} + x(1 - x)p^{2} p^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$(3.20)$$

Using the asymptotic expansion for large arguments of the Bessel functions, K (z)  $e^{z} = \frac{p}{2} \frac{z}{z}$  it is easy to see that the nonplanar terms in the amplitude are exponentially suppressed in the limit of large M (cf. [23]). In the M ! 0 limit, on the other hand, one nds that the nonplanar contributions to the parity violating term vanishes. Thus in both limits we nd that the parity violating term in the elective action comes solely from the planar part, and its magnitude is twice the one for fundamental fermions. Later we will o er a physical explanation of this phenomenon.

For nite values of the noncom mutative parameter the three-dimensional Euclidean group SO (3) is broken down to SO (2), acting as rotations on the noncom mutative plane. An important consequence of the sm ooth commutative limit (!0) of noncommutative QED with fundamental fermions is that the full Euclidean group SO (3) is restored in that limit. This means that the \generalized parity" anomaly studied here is mapped to the usual parity anomaly of commutative QED. On the other hand, for adjoint fermions the situation is radically different, since the Euclid-breaking term in the elective action, third equation in (3.20), does not disappear in the commutative limit and actually induces divergences when !0, while keeping the SO (2) symmetry unbroken (see the discussion at the end of this subsection). The reason is that in this case, because of UV/IR mixing, the noncommutative theory is not a sm ooth deform ation of (free) commutative QED with neutral fermions.

Let us now turn to the evaluation of the three point function. A fter a short manipulation of the noncommutative phases, the three point function can be written as h

$$(p_{1};p_{2})_{adj} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(p_{1},p_{2})} e^{\frac{1}{2}(p_{1},p_{2})} A (p_{1};p_{2})_{fund} + A (p_{1};p_{2};)_{NP} + \dots$$

$$(3.21)$$

where A  $(p_1; p_2)_{\text{fund}}$  is the same function appearing in Eq. (3.12) and A  $(p_1; p_2; )_{NP}$  is the nonplanar contribution. A sabove the ellipsis denotes further term s whose tensor structure depends on the external momenta. The nonplanar function A  $(p_1; p_2)_{NP}$  is calculated by evaluating the sum

$$\frac{1}{a^{3}L^{2}T} \bigvee_{q}^{h} tr \bigvee_{q}^{(1)} (2q + p_{1})Q (q + p_{1})^{1} \bigvee_{q}^{(1)} (2q + 2p_{1} + p_{2})$$
(3.22)  
$$Q (q + p_{1} + p_{2})^{1} \bigvee_{q}^{(1)} (2q + p_{1} + p_{2})Q (q)^{1} \bigvee_{W}^{i} (p_{1};p_{2};q)_{NP}$$

and keeping the term s proportional to H ere the \nonplanar" part of the noncom mutative phase W (p<sub>1</sub>;p<sub>2</sub>;q)<sub>NP</sub> is given by

$$W (p_{1};p_{2};q)_{NP} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(p_{1},p_{2})} e^{i(q,p_{2})} e^{i(q,p_{1})} e^{i(q,p_{1})} e^{i(q,p_{1}+p_{2})} e^{i(q,p_{1}+p_{2})} e^{i(q,p_{1})} e^{i(q,p_{1}+p_{2})} (3.23)$$

A  $(p_1; p_2)_{NP}$  can be computed in the continuum limit a ! 0 at in nite volume with  $a^2L$  xed and expressed in terms of the modi ed Bessel functions of the second kind. In this limit, A  $(p_1; p_2; )_{NP}$  is expressed in terms of sum of integrals of the form

$$g^{3}M = \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{M^{2} + q^{2} + (p_{1} + p_{2}) q}{M^{2} + (q + p_{1})^{2} M^{2} + (q + p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} (M^{2} + q^{2})} e^{i (w q)}; \quad (3.24)$$

where  $w = p_1 + p_2$  (; = 0; 1) is a linear combination of the incoming momenta, that can be read of from Eq. (3.23). These integrals can be computed again in terms of modi ed Bessel functions of the second kind. As it was the case also for the two-point functions, all the term s contributing to A ( $p_1$ ; $p_2$ ; )<sub>NP</sub> vanish both in the lim it M ! 0, due to the global factor of M in front of the integral, and as M ! 1, this time due to the exponential damping of the modi ed Bessel function for large values of the argument. The nal conclusion is that in the continuum lim it

$$\lim_{M \downarrow 0} A(p_1; p_2; )_{NP} = \lim_{M \downarrow 1} A(p_1; p_2; )_{NP} = 0:$$
(3.25)

As a consequence, in these two limits, the coe cient of the Chern-Simons action is only determined by the rst term on the right-hand side of (3.21) and its value is consistent with the one calculated above from the two-point function. This, again, follows from the fact that the lattice regularization preserves star-gauge invariance.

W e can now study the parity-odd part of the induced e ective action in the lim it M ! 0. From the two- and three-point amplitudes calculated above we nd that  $[A]_e^{(adj)} = 2$   $[A]_e^{(find)}$  where  $[A]_e^{(find)}$  is given in Eq. (3.18). Note that we have considered that the adjoint ferm ions are of D irac type. The m inim alform of the anom aly, how ever, is obtained by in posing the M a jorana condition. In this case there is an extra factor of  $\frac{1}{2}$  in front of the ferm ionic determ inant and the e ective action for M a jorana ferm ions in the adjoint representation agrees with Eq. (3.18) [23].

To conclude, let us try to understand in physical terms the vanishing of the nonplanar contribution to the parity-violating part of the elective action in the limit of zero ferm ion mass. As mentioned in Section 3.1 the parity anomaly in commutative gauge theories results from the impossibility of nding a parity-invariant UV cuto which at the same time preserves Lorentz and gauge symmetries. This is clear from the analysis of [32] where the introduction of a parity-invariant UV cuto in the integrals results in the presence of a term  $g^2$  in the two-point function which breaks the W ard identity.

Because of the presence of the noncommutative phases depending on the loop momentum, we can see the nonplanar contribution to the two-point function of massless noncommutative Q ED as a regularization of the corresponding amplitude in ordinary (commutative) massless Q ED. In this case the noncommutative momentum j p j <sup>1</sup> plays the role of an UV cuto. Moreover, this cuto preserve the parity invariance of the theory. Therefore one expects that the parity-breaking terms in the amplitudes will vanish. Indeed, using the nonplanar part in (3.20) we not the two-point function of massless Q ED in this regularization to be

$$(p)_{QED} = \frac{q^2}{2 \dot{p}_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}} r \frac{2}{p} \frac{1}{2} (p^2 pp) \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx [x (1 x)]_{F}^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{p} [x (1 x)]_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{g^{2}}{2}^{r} \frac{1}{2} \dot{p}_{2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(p)(p)}{(p)^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx [x(1-x)]^{\frac{3}{4}} K_{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} [x(1-x)p^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (3.26)$$

i.e. the two-point function does not contain parity-breaking term s and satis es the W and identity. However, because of the presence of the last term, it breaks Euclidean symmetry. Thus the  $\$  -regularization" of m assless QED provides a regularization scheme in which both parity and gauge symmetries are maintained at the cost of breaking Euclidean (or Lorentzian) invariance. In the limit in which the cuto is sent to in nity, ! 1, the coe cient of the rst term in the right-hand side of (3.26) tends to g <sup>2</sup>=(16 pj) whereas the second Euclid-breaking term diverges linearly with . Euclidean invariance can be restored by introducing a Pauli-V illars ferm ion with m ass M reg which subtracts the divergent part of the two-point function (3.26). How ever, this procedure results in the breaking of parity invariance and as a consequence a Chern-Sim ons term is again induced in the limit M reg ! 1. This is som ew hat rem in iscent to the analysis of nonplanar anom alies in noncom m utative gauge theories presented in [43].

## 4. N oncom m utative C hern-Sim ons theory on the lattice

In this section our results in the previous sections are used to de ne a lattice-regularized noncom mutative C hem-Sim ons theory following the proposalm ade in R ef. [24] in the com-mutative case. The basic idea is to use the parity breaking part of the elective action induced by the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion. Since masslessness of the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion is guaranteed on the lattice, one obtains the correct noncommutative C hem-Sim ons action in the continuum limit without ne-tuning.

The D irac operator D for the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion is characterized by the G insparg-W ilson relation [45] which, in the form applicable to both even and odd dimensions, is given by [24]

$$D + D^{Y} = aD^{Y}D$$
 : (4.1)

The general solution to Eq. (4.1) can be written as

$$D = \frac{1}{a} (1 \quad V);$$
 (4.2)

where V is a unitary operator, which should turn into the identity operator in the naive continuum limit. In even dimensions, assuming further the  $\ _5$ -Hermiticity"

$$D^{Y} = {}_{5}D_{5};$$
 (4.3)

one arrives at the original G in sparg-W ilson relation [45]

$$D_{5} + {}_{5}D = aD_{5}D$$
: (4.4)

This relation guarantees that the ferm ion action including the operator D is invariant under a generalized chiral sym m etry [46], which reduces to the ordinary chiral sym m etry in the continuum limit. The role of the  $\_5$ -H erm iticity" is played in odd dimensions by the property

$$D (U)^{Y} = R D (U^{P})R$$
; (4.5)

where U  $^{\rm P}$  is the parity transform ed gauge con guration,

$$U^{P}(x) = U(x^{V};$$
 (4.6)

and R is the space-time relection operator, R : x ? x. Combining (4.1) and (4.5) one can show the invariance of the corresponding ferm ion action under a generalized parity transform ation [24]. Them easure, how ever, is not invariant under the same transform ation. As a consequence, the ferm ion determ inant is not invariant but transform s as

$$detD (U^{P}) = (detV) detD (U):$$

$$(4.7)$$

So far we have discussed general properties of the G insparg-W ilson operator, which satis es (4.1). In fact the unitary operator V has to be chosen appropriately in order to guarantee that the operator D has sensible properties as a D irac operator such as locality (with exponentially decaying tails) and the absence of species doublers. Such an operator has been derived from the overlap form alism [47], and it is given explicitly by [48]

$$A_w = 1$$
  $aD_w (r = 1)$ ; (4.9)

where  $D_w$  (r = 1) is the Dirac-W ilson operator, which has the form (2.17) with ordinary covariant derivatives. The noncommutative version of the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion can be obtained by simply using the covariant derivatives (2.19) or (2.21) depending on the representation, instead of the usual ones without star-products. In even dimensions G insparg-W ilson ferm ions played a crucial role in introducing chirality on a discretized noncommutative torus [20]. Recently an analogous construction has been worked out on a fuzzy sphere [49].

For the choice (4.8), detV in (4.7) is nothing but the phase of detA<sub>W</sub>, which is essentially the ferm ion determ inant of the W ilson-D irac operator with r = 1 and M = 1=a. Thus one can translate the result obtained for the W ilson ferm ion in the in nite mass limit into the parity anomaly for the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion. In the commutative case this is how the correct parity anomaly has been reproduced by [50] in the overlap form alism<sup>4</sup>. In the noncommutative case, on the other hand, our results in the previous section with r = 1 in the limit M ! 1 im plies that the parity anomaly obtained for G insparg-W ilson ferm ions with r = 1 in the massless limit M ! 0.

As in the commutative case [24], the parity anomaly for the G insparg-W ilson ferm ion suggests a natural de nition of the noncommutative Chern-Simons term on the lattice. Namely we de ne it as  $S_{CS}$  in

$$e^{iS_{cs}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\det A_{w}}{\det A_{w}j}; \qquad (4.10)$$

where  $A_w$  is defined by (4.9) with the covariant derivative (2.19) for the fundamental representation. Here we remaind the reader that  $A_w$  is related to the in nite-mass D irac

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$  See also [51] for an earlier work on the overlap form alism in odd dimensions, where a parity invariant phase choice has been made.

determ inant as we discussed in the previous paragraph. A coording to our calculations, the quantity  $S_{CS}$  indeed becomes the noncommutative Chem-Simons action in the continuum limit. In the continuum, on the other hand, noncommutative Chem-Simons term is known to transform as  $[52^{\frac{5}{2}}]$ 

$$S_{CS} \ \ S_{CS} + 2$$
; (4.11)

under a gauge transform ation, where is the winding number characterizing this gauge transform ation. The gauge invariance requires the coe cient of the noncommutative Chern-Sim ons action to be quantized. That Eq. (4.10) de nes  $S_{CS}$  only up to modulo 2 is therefore not a problem for most practical purposes. Note in this regard that the right-hand side of eq. (4.10) is indeed manifestly gauge invariant.

## 5. Concluding rem arks

In the present paper we have studied the energence of parity anomaly on the lattice for three-dimensional noncommutative QED, both with fermions in the fundamental and the adjoint representation. Induced Chern-Simons actions in noncommutative gauge theories have been studied in the continuum in [23,54] using the Pauli-V illars regularization (see also [55] for an incomplete list of references). However, them ain advantage of the lattice analysis presented here lies in making explicit the dependence of the coe cient of the induced Chern-Sim ons term on the regularization scheme used. Thus, the results obtained in Ref. [23] corresponds to the cases n = 0; 1 in our analysis using the lattice regularization. Notice that the quantization of the scheme-dependent term in the elective action is consistent with the star-gauge invariance of the fermion determinant under \large" transformations [52], as required by the fact that the lattice regularization respects star-gauge invariance.

We have also proposed a lattice regularized C hem-S in ons action on a noncom mutative torus using G insparg-W ilson ferm ions. As the lattice form ulation of noncom mutative eld theories has been useful to extract their interesting nonperturbative dynam ics [15, 16, 18], we hope that the lattice form ulation of noncom mutative C hem-S in ons action is useful to deepen our understanding of quantum Hall system s.

Finally we would like to emphasize that the lattice noncommutative eld theories studied in the present paper can be mapped on to a nite N matrix model. The anomaly calculation in matrix models has recently attracted attention in the context of large-N gauge theory [56, 57] and noncommutative geometry [58, 49]. We expect that the calculation method developed in this paper is useful to study various anomalies in noncommutative geometry. In particular we would like to revisit the gauge anomaly cancellation in chiral gauge theories on a noncommutative torus [20]. We hope that such developements will eventually lead us to a deeper understanding of the stringy nature of the space-time structure.

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ This gauge violation was also concluded in Ref. [53], although it was overlooked in its rst version.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank L.A lvarez-G aum e, A.A m oni, JLF.Barbon, M.G arc a-Perez, S.Iso, H.K awai, Y.K ikukawa, KE.K unze and D.M iller for helpfuldiscussions. The work of JN. is supported in part by G rant-in-A id for Scienti cR esearch (No.14740163) from the M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. MAV.M. acknow ledges partial support from Spanish Science M inistry G rants AEN 99-0315 and FPA 2002-02037.

## A . Functional determ inants

In the following we will provide an alternative calculation of the elective action  $[A]_e$  on the lattice by direct evaluation of the ferm ionic determ inant (2.32). From the denition of the Dirac-W ilson operator (2.17) and the expansion of the link eld U (x) in terms of the lattice gauge eld A (x) (2.22), one can write

$$D_{w} = \sum_{k=0}^{X^{d}} g^{k} D_{w,k} :$$
 (A.1)

#

U sing this expansion, the e ective action can be expressed as

$$[A]_{e} = \log \frac{\det(D_{w} M)}{\det(D_{w,0} M)} = \log \det 1 + (D_{w,0} M)^{1} \frac{X^{d}}{g^{k} D_{w,k}}$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr} \log 1 + \frac{X^{d}}{g^{k} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,k}}; \qquad (A.2)$$

which leads to the following series for  $\ \mbox{[A]}_{\rm e}$  :

$$[A]_{e} = g^{2} {}_{2}[A] + g^{3} {}_{3}[A] + ::: \qquad (A 3)$$

w ith

$${}_{2}[A] = \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,1}^{2} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,2}$$

$${}_{3}[A] = \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{3} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,1}^{3} + (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,1} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,2}$$

$${}_{0}(D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,3} :$$
(A.4)
(A.4)
(A.5)

By comparing with Eqs. (2.34) and (2.35) we can identify each term in the previous equations with the contribution of a particular Feynm an diagram.

A quick computation shows that for ferm ions in the fundam ental representation the operator  $D_{w,k}$  appearing in Eq. (A.1) is given by

$$D_{w,k}^{\text{(find)}}(x) = \frac{(ia)^{k}}{2ak!} X^{d} h^{(r+)} A(x)^{?k}? (x + a^{)} = 1 \\ + (1)^{k} (r) A(x a^{)?k}? (x a^{)}; (A.6)$$

whereas when the ferm ions are in the adjoint representation the result is

$$D_{w,k}^{(ad,j)}(x) = \frac{(ia)^{k}}{2ak!} X^{d} X^{k} (1)^{m} k^{h} (r+) A(x)^{?(k,m)}? (x+a^{h})?A(x)^{?m} + (r) A(xa^{h})^{?m}? (x+a^{h})?A(xa^{h})^{?m}? (x+a^{h})?A(xa^{h})^{?m} (A.7) z_{m}^{2}$$

In both expressions we have used the notation  $(x)^{2n}$  (x)? ...? (x).

In order to evaluate each term in Eq. (A.4)-(A.5) it is convenient to work in m om entum space. In the follow ing we will detail the calculation for fundam ental ferm ions, leaving the adjoint case for the reader. U sing Eq. (2.5) together with

$$A^{\sim}(p) = a^{3} \qquad A^{\sim}(x)e^{ip(x+\frac{1}{2}a^{\circ})}$$
(A.8)

one can easily nd the action of the operators in Eqs. (A .4)–(A .5) on  $\ ^{\sim}(p).$  For the free propagator we have

$$(D_{w,0} M)^{1} (p) = Q(p)^{1} (p);$$
 (A.9)

whereas the result for D  $_{\rm w\,,1}$  can be written as

$$gD_{1}^{(m)}(p) = \frac{ig}{a^{3}L^{2}T} X^{3} n + \frac{h}{cos} \frac{h}{2}(p+q) + irsin \frac{h}{2}(p+q) = i$$

$$K^{(p)}(p)^{(q)}(q) = \frac{1}{a^{3}L^{2}T} X^{3} V^{(1)}(p+q)W^{(1)}_{fund}(p;q)K^{(p)}(p-q)^{(q)}:$$

$$(A.10)$$

Here V  $^{(1)}(p)$  and W  $^{(1)}_{\rm fund}(p;q)$  are de ned in Eqs. (2.26) and (2.27) respectively. For D  $_{\rm w,2}$  we arrive at

$$g^{2}D_{w;2} \sim (p) = \frac{ag^{2}}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{2}} X^{3} n h^{2} n cos \frac{h}{2}(p+q) + i sin \frac{h}{2}(p+q)^{2} i o cos \frac{h}{2}(p+q)^{2} + i sin \frac{h}{2}(p+q)^{2} + i si sin \frac{h}{2}(p+q)^{2} + i sin \frac{h}{2}(p+q)^{2} + i si$$

As in the previous case we have introduced the vertex function and the noncommutative phase de ned in Eqs. (2.29) and (2.30) respectively. Finally, for D  $_{w,3}$  the result is

$$g^{3}D_{w,3} \sim (p) = \frac{ag^{3}}{3!(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{3}} X^{3}n h_{a} i h$$

This term is associated with the three-photon vertex in the diagram atic expansion that, as argued in Section 2.2, is irrelevant in the continuum limit.

We have seen that, when written in m om entum space, all operators appearing in Eqs. (A.4)-(A.5) are expressed as nite matrices,  $O^{(p)} = P_{q_{2B}} O(p;q)^{(q)}$ , whose traces can be easily calculated. Let us begin with  $_{2}$ [A]. The rst trace to be computed is

$$g^{2}Tr \frac{1}{2} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{2}} X^{3} X (p)A^{(p)}A^{(p)} (p)$$

$$h = \frac{1}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{2}} Y^{(1)}(2q+p)Q (q+p)^{1} V^{(1)}(2q+p)Q (q)^{1}$$

$$i = \frac{1}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{2}} X^{3} X (p)A^{(p)} (p)$$

where  $\tr"$  indicates the trace over D irac indices. In the sam e way, for the second trace in (A 4) the result is

$$g^{2}Tr (D_{w;0} M)^{1} D_{w;2} = \frac{1}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{2}} \overset{X^{3}}{\underset{i}{\overset{}}} \overset{X}{\underset{j}{\overset{}}} \overset{A^{*}}{\underset{j}{\overset{}}} (p)A^{*} (p)$$
  
h  $i = 1pA^{2}B_{i}$   
tr  $V^{(2)}(2q)Q(q)^{1}$ : (A.14)

Adding these two terms to get  $_{2}$  [A] and extracting the kernel (p) de ned in (2.33), we recover Eq. (2.36).

The cubic term  $_{3}$  [A ] in the electric action can be computed along similar lines. The rst term in (A .5) gives

$$\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}^{n} (D_{w,0} \quad M)^{1} D_{w,1}^{3} \stackrel{3^{\circ}}{=} \frac{1}{3(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{3}} \begin{array}{c} X^{3} \quad X \\ & X \\ \vdots & X \\$$

whereas the second trace renders

$$Tr (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,1} (D_{w,0} M)^{1} D_{w,2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(a^{3}L^{2}T)^{3}} X^{3} X (q)A^{(q)}$$

The third term  $\text{Tr} [D_{w,0} \quad M]^1 D_{w,3}$  corresponds to the contribution of the tadpole diagram which is irrelevant in the continuum limit. Adding together (A.15) and (A.16), and identifying the kernel , we recover the result of Eq. (2.37).

In the case of adjoint ferm ions, the calculation is analogous to the one describe above, the main di erence being the noncommutative phases. Again the results of Section 2.3 are recovered.

#### B. Evaluation of the Feynm an integrals

In this Appendix we will provide the reader with details of the calculation of some of the Feynm an integrals in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. As explained above, we consider a herm itian representation of the 2 2 gam m a matrices satisfying = +i . This implies the following trace identities:

$$tr( ) = 2i ;$$
  

$$tr( ) = 2( + );$$
  

$$tr( ) = 2i( + + );$$
  

$$tr( ) = 2i( + + );$$
  

$$tr( ) = 2( + );$$
  

$$tr($$

#### B.1 Fundam ental ferm ions

As shown in [21], the integrand of the second term in Eq. (3.7) has negative degree so the continuum limit exists and gives rise to the integral

$$I = q^{2} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} [1 \quad T_{1}(p)] \frac{\text{tr} f \quad M + i(q + p)]}{[M^{2} + (q + p)^{2}](M^{2} + q^{2})} : \quad (B.2)$$

By using the trace identities (B.1) and writing the denom inator as an integral over a Feynm an parameter

$$\frac{1}{[M^{2} + (q + p)^{2}](M^{2} + q^{2})} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dx (q + xp)^{2} + M^{2} + x(1 - x)p^{2} + \frac{1}{2}; \quad (B.3)$$

one arrives at

$$I = 2g^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} [1 T_{1}(p)]^{n} M p 2q + 2x(1 x)pp + M^{2} + q^{2} x(1 x)^{2} q^{2} + M^{2} + x(1 x)^{2} p^{2} : (B.4)$$

The nalresult (3.10) is readily obtained by computing the momentum integral. Note that, because of the zero-momentum subtraction, the integral in (B.4) is free of divergences.

We now evaluate the function A  $(p_1; p_2)_{fund}$  in (3.12). The relevant integral to calculate is the continuum limit of Eq. (3.14) which can be cast into

$$I = ig^{3} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} [1 \quad T_{0}(p_{1};p_{2})]$$

$$\frac{tr}{M} + i(q + p_{1})] M + i(q + p_{1} + p_{2})] (M + iq)$$

$$(B.5)$$

In order to compute A  $(p_1; p_2)_{fund}$  we need to retain only those terms proportional to the Levi-C ivita tensor . By expanding the trace in the num erator and using Eqs. (B.1) it

is straightforward to check that only two terms, proportional to M  $^3$  and M , contribute to A  $(p_1\,;p_2\,)_{\rm fund}$  , namely

$$A (p_{1};p_{2})_{fund} = 4M g^{3} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}q}{dx_{1}} \frac{d^{2}}{dx_{1}} \frac{d^{2}}{dx_{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{dx_{1}} \frac{d^{2}}{dx_{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{dx_{2}} \left[1 \quad T_{0}(p_{1};p_{2})\right] \frac{M^{2} + q^{2} + (p_{1} + p_{2}) \quad q}{\pi} \frac{d^{2}}{(q_{1} + (x_{1} + x_{2})p_{1} + x_{2}p_{2})^{2} + \sigma_{3}}; \quad (B.6)$$

where we have reduced the denom inator of (B.5) by introducing Feynm an parameters and

is de ned in Eq. (3.16). The integral can be easily evaluated using standard techniques to nd the result given in Eq. (3.15).

#### B.2 Adjoint ferm ions

In the case when the ferm ions are in the adjoint representation, the evaluation of the Feynm an integrals is more involved due to the presence of noncommutative phases dependent on the bop momentum. Let us focus rst on the two-point function. As explained above, contrary to the planar part where the tadpole diagram introduces the zero momentum subtraction that makes the whole amplitude nite, in the nonplanar sector the tadpole diagram cancels exactly. This can be seen by noticing that, up to a total derivative term that cancels in the continuum limit, the nonplanar contribution of the tadpole diagram in the continuum limit is given by

$$(p;)_{adj}_{tadpole} = 4q^{2} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{(M^{2} + q^{2})}{(q^{2} + M^{2})^{2}} \cos[(p q)]$$

$$+ 4q^{2} (p) \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{q}{q^{2} + M^{2}} \sin[(p q)]:$$

$$(B.7)$$

The relevant integrals can be easily solved in terms of modied Bessel functions of the second kind (! 2 R):

$${}^{Z} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{2q}{(q^{2} + !^{2})^{2}} e^{i(p \cdot q)} = \frac{1}{8} {}^{r} \frac{-2}{-} j! j^{\frac{3}{2}} (jp) j^{\frac{1}{2}} {}^{n} K_{\frac{1}{2}} (jp) j! j)$$

$$2K_{\frac{3}{2}} (jp) j! j) \frac{(p) (p)}{(p)^{2}} {}^{o}; \qquad (B.8)$$

$$\frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{e^{i} (p q)}{(q^{2} + !^{2})^{2}} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{r}{2} j! j^{\frac{1}{2}} (j p)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{\frac{1}{2}} (j p) j! j); \quad (B.9)$$

Substituting these expressions into (B.7) one nds a cancellation between the di erent term s.

As for the nonplanar part of the two-point function coming from the rst diagram in Eq. (2.34), the relevant integral to evaluate is

$$I = q^{2} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\text{tr f } [M + i(q + p)] [M + iq]p}{[M^{2} + (q + p)^{2}](M^{2} + q^{2})} e^{i(p q)}:$$
(B.11)

Here one can follow the same steps as in the case of fundam ental ferm ions, leading to

$$I = 2g^{2} \int_{0}^{2} dx \frac{d^{3}q}{(2)^{3}}$$
(B.12)  
$$\frac{M \quad p \quad 2q + 2x(1 \quad x)p \ p + M^{2} + q^{2} \quad x(1 \quad x)p^{2}}{[q^{2} + M^{2} + x(1 \quad x)p^{2}]^{2}} e^{i \ (p \ q)}:$$

Once more, by using (B.8)-(B.10) one readily nds the expressions (3.20).

To conclude, we outline the calculation of the function A ( $p_1; p_2; N_P$  in Eq. (3.21). As in the case of the two point function, the only di erence with respect to the planar part analyzed in the previous subsection [cf. Eq. (B.6)] is the presence of the noncommutative phase. This yields

A 
$$(p_1; p_2; )_{NP} = 4M g^3 \frac{Z}{(2)^3} \frac{d^3q}{0} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{Z}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{X}{0} \frac{X}{0}$$

where the phases are given in Eq. (3.23). Because of the structure of the noncommutative phases, A ( $p_1; p_2; N_P$  is indeed a sum of terms of the form (3.24). A fter shifting the loop momentum, the integral can be evaluated with the help of Eq. (B.9) together with

In our case the constant ! is replaced by  $\cdot$ . Thus, for large values of the ferm ion m ass and at xed incom ing m om enta the argum ent of the Bessel functions is very large and the corresponding integrals vanish exponentially. In the same way, if M ! 0 at nite m om enta

is nonzero and the corresponding integrals remain nite. Thus, because of the presence of an overall power of M in front of (B.13), all the integrals contributing to the function A ( $p_1$ ; $p_2$ ;  $N_P$  will vanish in that limit.

#### R eferences

- [1] M.R.Douglas and N.A.Nekrasov, \N oncommutative eld theory, "Rev.Mod.Phys.73, 977 (2001) [hep-th/0106048].
- [2] R.J.Szabo, \Quantum eld theory on noncommutative spaces," [hep-th/0109162].
- [3] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, \Open strings in a B-eld background as electric dipoles," Phys. Lett. B 455,129 (1999) [hep-th/9901080].

D.Bigatti and L.Susskind, \M agnetic elds, branes and noncommutative geometry," Phys. Rev.D 62,066004 (2000) [hep-th/9908056].

[4] L. Susskind, \The quantum Hall uid and non-commutative Chern Sim ons theory," hep-th/0101029.

- [5] A.P.Polychronakos, \Q uantum Hallstates as matrix Chem-Sim ons theory," J.High Energy Phys. 0104,011 (2001) [hep-th/0103013].
  E.Fradkin, V.Jejjala and R.G.Leigh, \N on-commutative Chem-Sim ons for the Q uantum Hall System and Duality," cond-m at/0205653.
- [6] S.Hellerm an and M. Van Raam sdonk, \Quantum Hallphysics equals noncommutative eld theory," J.High Energy Phys. 0110, 039 (2001) [hep-th/0103179].
- [7] J.L.Barbon and A.Paredes, \N oncommutative eld theory and the dynamics of quantum Hall uids," Int.J.M od.Phys.A 17, 3589 (2002) [hep-th/0112185].
- [8] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, \Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: Compactication on tori, J. High Energy Phys. 9802, 003 (1998) [hep-th/9711162].
- [9] H.Aoki, N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H.Kawai, Y.Kitazawa and T.Tada, Noncommutative Yang-Mills in IIB matrix model," Nucl. Phys. B 565 (2000) 176 [hep-th/9908141].
- [10] I. Bars and D. M inic, \N on-commutative geometry on a discrete periodic lattice and gauge theory," Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 105018 [hep-th/9910091].
- [11] J.Ambjm,Y.M.Makeenko,J.Nishim ura and R.J.Szabo, \Finite N matrix models of noncommutative gauge theory," J.High Energy Phys. 9911,029 (1999) [hep-th/9911041].
- [12] J.Ambjm,Y.M.Makeenko,J.Nishim ura and R.J.Szabo, Nonperturbative dynamics of noncommutative gauge theory," Phys.Lett.B 480, 399 (2000) [hep-th/0002158].
- [13] J.Ambjm,Y.M.Makeenko,J.Nishimura and R.J.Szabo, Lattice gauge elds and discrete noncommutative Yang-Mills theory," J.High Energy Phys. 0005,023 (2000) [hep-th/0004147].
- [14] A.Gonzalez-Arroyo and M.Okawa, \The Twisted Eguchi-KawaiModel: A Reduced Model For Large N Lattice Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D 27, 2397 (1983).
- [15] W .Bietenholz, F.Hofheinz and J.Nishim ura, \A non-perturbative study of gauge theory on a non-commutative plane," J.High Energy Phys. 0209, 009 (2002) [hep-th/0203151].
- [16] W. Bietenholz, F. Hofheinz and J. Nishim ura, \Simulating non-commutative eld theory," hep-lat/0209021.
- [17] S. Profim o, \N oncom m utative Principal Chiral M odels," J. H igh Energy Phys. 0205, 014 (2002) [hep-th/0111285].
- [18] J.Ambjm and S.Catterall, \Stripes from (noncommutative) stars," hep-lat/0209106.
- [19] S.S.Gubser and S.L.Sondhi, \Phase structure of non-commutative scalar eld theories," Nucl. Phys. B 605 (2001) 395 [hep-th/0006119].
- [20] J.N ishim ura and M.A.Vazquez-Mozo, \Noncommutative chiral gauge theories on the lattice with manifest star-gauge invariance," J.H igh Energy Phys. 0108,033 (2001) [hep-th/0107110].
- [21] A.Coste and M.Luscher, \Parity A nom aly And Ferm ion Boson Transmutation In Three-D in ensional Lattice QED, "Nucl. Phys. B 323, 631 (1989).
- [22] S.M inwalla, M. Van Raam sdonk and N. Seiberg, \N oncom m utative perturbative dynam ics," J.H igh Energy Phys. 0002, 020 (2000) [hep-th/9912072].

- [23] C.S.Chu, \Induced Chem-Sim ons and W ZW action in noncommutative spacetime," Nucl. Phys.B 580, 352 (2000) [hep-th/0003007].
- [24] W .Bietenholz and J.Nishim ura, \G insparg-W ilson ferm ions in odd dim ensions," J.High Energy Phys.0107 (2001) 015, [hep-lat/0012020];

W.Bietenholz, J.Nishimura and P.Sodano, \Chem-Simons theory on the lattice," [hep-lat/0207010].

- [25] A.P.Polychronakos, \Q uantum Hall states on the cylinder as unitary matrix Chem-Sim ons theory," J.H igh Energy Phys. 0106,070 (2001) [hep-th/0106011].
- [26] Y.Makeenko, \R educed m odels and noncom m utative gauge theories," JETP Lett. 72 (2000) 393 [hep-th/0009028].
- [27] R.J.Szabo, \D iscrete noncom m utative gauge theory," M od. Phys. Lett. A 16, 367 (2001) [hep-th/0101216].
- [28] Y.Makeenko, Methods of Contemporary Gauge Theories, Cambridge 2002.
- [29] H.Kawai, R.Nakayam a and K.Seo, \Comparison Of The Lattice Lambda Param eter W ith The Continuum Lambda Param eter In Massless QCD, "Nucl. Phys. B 189, 40 (1981).
- [30] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, \R enormalizability of the supersymmetric Yang-M ills theories on the noncommutative torus," J.H igh Energy Phys. 9906,015 (1999) [hep-th/9903107].
  A.Amoni, \C omments on perturbative dynamics of non-commutative Yang-M ills theory," Nucl. Phys. B 593,229 (2001) [hep-th/0005208].
- [31] I.M ontvay and G.M unster, Quantum Fields on the Lattice, Cambridge 1994.
- [32] A.N.Redlich, \Gauge Noninvariance And Parity Nonconservation Of Three-D in ensional Fermions," Phys.Rev.Lett. 52, 18 (1984).

A.N.Redlich, \Parity V iolation And G auge Noninvariance Of The E ective G auge Field Action In Three-D in ensions," Phys.Rev.D 29,2366 (1984).

[33] A.J.N iem i and G.W. Sem eno , \AxialAnom aly Induced Ferm ion Fractionization And E ective G auge Theory Actions In O dd D im ensional Space-T im es," Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 2077 (1983).

L.A kvarez-G aum e, S.D ella Pietra and G.W.M oore, \A nom alies A nd O dd D im ensions," A nnals Phys. 163, 288 (1985).

A.N.Redlich and L.C.W ijewardhana, \Induced Chern-Sim ons Term sAt High Tem peratures And Finite Densities," Phys.Rev.Lett. 54, 970 (1985).

S.Deser, L.G riguolo and D.Sem inara, G auge invariance, nite tem perature and parity anom aly in D = 3," Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 1976 (1997) [hep-th/9705052].

- [34] G.V.Dunne, \A spects of Chem-Sim ons theory," hep-th/9902115.
- [35] D.K hveshchenko and P.B.W iegm ann, \PhysicalRealization Of The Parity Anomaly And Quantum HallE ect," Phys.Lett. B 225 (1989) 279.
- [36] L.A lvarez-G aum e and E.W itten, \G ravitationalA nom alies," Nucl. Phys. B 234, 269 (1984).
- [37] E.W itten, \An SU (2) Anom aly," Phys. Lett. B 117, 324 (1982).

[38] T.Reisz, \A PowerCounting Theorem For Feynman Integrals On The Lattice," Commun. Math.Phys.116,81 (1988).

T.Reisz, A Convergence Theorem For Lattice Feynman Integrals W ith Massless Propagators," Commun.Math.Phys.116,573 (1988).

- [39] A.Gonzalez-Arroyo and C.P.K orthals Altes, \Reduced Model For Large N Continuum Field Theories," Phys.Lett. B 131, 396 (1983).
- [40] T.Fik, \D ivergencies In A Field Theory On Quantum Space," Phys. Lett. B 376 (1996) 53.
- [41] N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawaiand Y. Kitazawa, \W ilson loops in noncommutative Yang-M ills," Nucl. Phys. B 573 (2000) 573 [hep-th/9910004].
- [42] F.Ardalan and N. Sadooghi, \A nom aly and nonplanar diagram s in noncommutative gauge theories," Int.J.M od.Phys.A 17, 123 (2002) [hep-th/0009233].

K.A. Intriligator and J.Kumar, \\*-warsepisode I: The phantom anomaly," Nucl. Phys.B 620, 315 (2002) [hep-th/0107199].

L.Bonora and A.Sorin, \Chiralanom alies in noncommutative YM theories," Phys.Lett.B 521,421 (2001) [hep-th/0109204].

C.P.M artin, \The covariant form of the gauge anom aly on noncommutative R<sup>2n</sup>," Nucl. Phys.B 623,150 (2002) [hep-th/0110046].

- [43] A.Amoni, E.Lopez and S.Theisen, \Nonplanar anomalies in noncommutative theories and the Green-Schwarzmechanism, J.High Energy Phys. 0206,050 (2002) [hep-th/0203165].
- [44] G.Arcioni and M.A.Vazquez-Mozo, \Thermale ects in perturbative noncommutative gauge theories," J.High Energy Phys.0001,028 (2000) [hep-th/9912140].
- [45] P.G insparg and K.W ilson, \A rem nant of chiral sym m etry on the lattice", Phys.Rev.D 25 (1982) 2649.
- [46] M. Luscher, \Exact chiral symmetry on the lattice and the G insparg-W ilson relation", Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 342, hep-lat/9802011.
- [47] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger, \A construction of lattice chiral gauge theories", Nucl. Phys. B 443 (1995) 305, hep-th/9411108.
- [48] H. Neuberger, \Exactly m assless quarks on the lattice" Phys. Lett. 417 (1998) 141, hep-lat/9707022; \M ore about exactly m assless quarks on the lattice", Phys. Lett. 427 (1998) 353, hep-lat/9801031.
- [49] H.Aoki, S.Iso and K.Nagao, \G insparg-W ilson Relation, Topological Invariants and Finite Noncommutative Geometry," hep-th/0209223.
- [50] Y.K ikukawa and H.Neuberger, \Overlap in odd dim ensions," Nucl. Phys. B 513 (1998) 735 [hep-lat/9707016].
- [51] R. Narayanan and J. Nishim ura, \Parity-invariant lattice regularization of a three-dimensional gauge-ferm ion system," Nucl. Phys. B 508 (1997) 371 [hep-th/9703109].
- [52] V.P.Nair and A.P.Polychronakos, \On level quantization for the noncommutative Chem-Sim ons theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 030403 (2001) [hep-th/0102181].
  D.Bak, K.M. Lee and J.H.Park, \Chem-Sim ons theories on noncommutative plane," Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 030402 (2001) [hep-th/0102188].

- [53] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, \A note on noncommutative Chem-Simons theories," Phys. Lett. B 510, 247 (2001) [hep-th/0102092].
- [54] E.F.M oreno and F.A.Schaposnik, \The W ess-Zum ino-W itten term in non-commutative two-dimensional ferm ion models," J.H igh Energy Phys. 0003,032 (2000) [hep-th/0002236].
  E.F.M oreno and F.A.Schaposnik, \W ess-Zum ino-W itten and ferm ion models in noncommutative space," Nucl. Phys. B 596,439 (2001) [hep-th/0008118].
- [55] A.H.Cham seddine and J.Frohlich, \The Chem-Sim ons Action In Noncommutative Geometry," J.M ath.Phys.35, 5195 (1994) [hep-th/9406013].

G.H.Chen and Y.S.Wu, \O ne-loop shift in noncommutative Chem-Simons coupling," Nucl.Phys.B 593, 562 (2001) [hep-th/0006114].

N.G randi and G.A.Silva, \Chem-Sim ons action in noncommutative space," Phys.Lett.B 507,345 (2001) [hep-th/0010113].

A.P.Polychronakos, \N oncom m utative C hem-Sim ons term s and the noncom m utative vacuum ," J.H igh Energy Phys.0011,008 (2000) [hep-th/0010264].

J.K luson, \M atrix m odel and noncom m utative C hem-Sim ons theory," Phys.Lett.B 505, 243 (2001) [hep-th/0012184].

A.K.Das and M.M.Sheikh-Jabbari, \Abænce of higher order corrections to noncom mutative Chem-Sim ons coupling," J.High Energy Phys.0106,028 (2001) [hep-th/0103139].

C.P.M artin, \Computing noncommutative Chem-Simons theory radiative corrections on the back of an envelope," Phys.Lett.B 515,185 (2001) [hep-th/0104091].

- [56] J.K iskis, R.N arayanan and H.N euberger, \Proposal for the num erical solution of planar QCD," Phys.Rev.D 66 (2002) 025019 [hep-lat/0203005].
- [57] Y.K ikukawa and H. Suzuki, \Chiralanom alies in the reduced model," J.H igh Energy Phys. 0209 (2002) 032 [hep-lat/0207009].
- [58] H.Aoki, S. Iso and K. Nagao, \Chiralanom aly on fuzzy 2-sphere," hep-th/0209137.