Your thesaurus codes are: 06;19.63.1

# The supernova associated with GRB 020405

Shlom o Dado<sup>1</sup>, Arnon Dar<sup>1</sup> and A. De Rujula<sup>2</sup>

1. Physics D epartm ent and Space R esearch Institute, Technion, H aifa 32000, Israel

2. Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

A bstract. W e use the very sim ple and successful C annonball (CB) model of gamma ray bursts (GRBs) and their afterglows (AGs) to analyze the observations of the mildly extinct optical AG of the relatively nearby GRB 020405. W e show that GRB 020405 was associated with a 1998bw -like supernova (SN) at the GRB's redshift that appeared dimmer and redder than SN 1998bw because of extinction in the host and our Galaxy. The case for the SN/GRB association | advocated in the CB model| is becoming indubitable.W e discuss the extent to which the GRB/SN connection is model-dependent.

K ey w ords:gamma rays:bursts| supernovae:general

## 1. Introduction

In the Cannonball M odel of GRBs (Dar and De Rujula 2000, brie y reviewed in De Rujula 2002a,b) long duration GRBs are produced by highly relativistic jetted plasm oids (cannonballs) in core-collapse supernovae akin to SN 1998bw (Dar and Plaga 1999; Dar 1999a; Dar and D e Rujula 2000 and references therein). Possible evidence for an SN 1998bw-like contribution to a GRB afterglow (Dar 1999a; Castro-Tirado & Gorosabel 1999) was rst reported by B loom et al. (1999) for GRB 980326, but its unknown redshift prevented a categorical conclusion. The AG of GRB 970228 (at a redshift z = 0.695) appears to be overtaken by a light curve akin to that of SN 1998bw (at  $z_{bw} = 0.0085$ ), when properly scaled by their di ering redshifts (Dar 1999b; Reichart 1999; Galam a et al. 2000). Evidence of a similar associations was found for GRB 000418 (Darand DeRujula 2000; Dado et al. 2002a), GRB 980703 (Holland et al. 2000), GRB 991208 (Castro-Tirado et al. 2001), GRB 990712 (B pmsson et al. 2001), GRB 970508 (Sokobv et al. 2001), GRB 000911 (Lazzati et al. 2001; Dado et al. 2002b), GRB 010921 (Dado et al. 2002c) and GRB 011121 (Bloom et al. 2002a; Dado et al. 2002d). In the CB m odel, even the very nearby GRB 980425 and its associated SN (1998bw) are \norm al": only the low redshift and relatively large view ing angle are unique (D ar and DeRujula 2000, Dado et al. 2002e).

Unlike supernovae of type Ia (SN e Ia), core-collapse supernovae (SN e II/Ib/Ic) are far from being standard candles. But if their ejecta are fairly asymmetric | as they would be if a fair fraction of them em it two opposite jets of cannonballs | much of the diversity could be due to the varying angles from which we see their non-spherically expanding shells. Exploiting this possibility to its extrem e, ie., using SN 1998bw as an ansatz standard candle, Dar and DeRujula (2000) and Dado et al. (2002a) have shown that the optical AG of all relatively nearby GRBs with known redshift (all GRBswith z < 1:12) contain evidence or clear hints for an SN 1998bw -like contribution to their opticalAG, suggesting that most | and perhaps all of the long duration GRBs are associated with 1998bw-like supernovae (in the more distant GRBs, the ansatz standard candle could not be seen, and it was not seen). In several of the above cases, how ever, scarcity of data, lack of spectral information and multicolour photom etry and the uncertain extinction in the host galaxy prevented a rm conclusion. Thus, every new instance is still interesting, it might take a few more clear cases to reach a generally accepted conclusion.

On 2002 A pril 5.028773 UT the long duration (40s) GRB 020405 was detected and localized by Ulysses, Mars Odyssey - HEND, and BeppoSAX (Hurley et al. 2002). Its optical AG was rst detected in the R-band, 17.5 h after the burst (Price et al. 2002a) and its fading was followed in the I, R, V and B bands (Castro-Tirado et al. 2002; Palazzi et al. 2002; H prth et al. 2002a,b; Price et al. 2002b; Gal-Yam et al. 2002; Covino et al. 2002a, b, c; Bersier et al. 2002). Its redshift, z = 0:69, was determ ined (Masettietal. 2002, Price et al. 2002c) from em ission lines of its likely host galaxy. The optical AG was well tted by a t  $^{1:72}$  power-law decay, but the late time R-band m easurem ents with the M agellanic 6.5m B aade telescope, on A pril 18th, and with the 100" du Pont telescope at Las Cam panas, on M ay 3rd, lie signi cantly above the powerlaw extrapolation (Bersier et al. 2002).

The late time AG of GRB 020405 was observed with HST at several epochs spanning 19-31 days after the GRB and, to remove the host contribution, two months after the GRB (Price et al. 2002d). An excess of ux was found

in the HST images, compared to an extrapolation of the light-curve from early times and was identialed as a SN associated with GRB 020405, redder than a SN 1998bw displaced to z = 0.69, and dimmer than this ansatz by about one half magnitude (Price et al. 2002d).

In this letter we use the Cannonball M odel to estimate the extinction in the host galaxy of GRB 020405, and to predict the late time optical AG. We show that the evidence from HST is clear: GRB 020405 was indeed associated with a standard-candle 1998bw-like supernova at z = 0.69, appearing som ew hat dim m er and redder just because of the extinction in the host galaxy and in ours.

## 2.TheCBmodel

In the CB m odel, long-duration GRBs and their AGs are produced in core collapse SN e by jets of highly relativistic \cannonballs" that pierce through the SN shell. Crossing this shell with a large Lorentz factor , the surface of a CB is collisionally heated to keV temperatures and the radiation it em its when it reaches the transparent outskirts of the shell | boosted and collim ated by the CB's motion | is a single -ray pulse in a GRB. The cadence of pulses re ects the chaotic accretion processes and is not predictable, but the individual-pulse temporal and spectral properties are. A long list of general properties (D ar and D e Rujula 2001) of GRB pulses is reproduced in the CB-m odel, in which, unlike in the standard \ reball" m odels (for a review, see, e.g. G hisellini 2001) the GRBs' 's have a them al | as opposed to synchrotron | origin.

A CB exiting a SN shell soon becom es transparent to its own enclosed radiation. At that point, it is still expanding and cooling adiabatically and by brem sstrahlung. The brem ss spectrum is hard and dom inates the very early X-ray AG (for a minute or two) with a uence of predictable m agnitude decreasing with time as t  $^{5}$  until synchrotron emission from the ISM electrons that enter the CB takes over. All X-ray AGs are compatible in magnitude and shape with this prediction (Dar and DeRujula 2002a) for the very early AG, for which, as far as we know, there is no standard ( reball-m odel) counterpart. The optical AGs for which the data also start very early after the GRB show an early decline  $/ t^2$ . This initial decline is produced when the CB is plowing through a r<sup>2</sup> density-pro le of the \wind" from the SN progenitor (Dado et al. 2002a). In the reball models, the absence of \w indy" signatures is a problem : of the score of observed cases, only one has \clear evidence for a wind-fed circum burst m edium " (Price et al. 2002).

In the CB m odel the AG s observed at later times have three origins: the ejected CBs, the concom itant SN explosion, and the host galaxy. These components are usually unresolved in them easured \GRB afterglows", so that the corresponding light curves and spectra are the cum ulative energy ux density  $F_{AG} = F_{CBs} + F_{SN} + F_{HG}$ . The contribution of the host galaxy (HG) is usually determined by

late time observations when the CB and SN contributions become negligible.

Let the energy ux density of SN 1998bw at redshift  $z_{bw} = 0.0085$  (G alam a et al. 1998) be  $F_{bw}$  [;t]. For a sim ilar SN placed at a redshift z:

$$F_{SN}[;t] = \frac{1+z}{1+z_{bw}} \frac{D_{L}^{2}(z_{bw})}{D_{L}^{2}(z)}$$

$$F_{bw} = \frac{1+z}{1+z_{bw}}; t\frac{1+z_{bw}}{1+z} A(;z); \quad (1)$$

where A (;z) is the attenuation along the line of sight and  $D_{L}(z)$  is the lum inosity distance (we use a cosm ology with M = 0.3, = 0.7 and  $H_{0} = 65$  km/s/M pc).

In its rest fram e the optical AG of a CB is given by:

$$F_{cB}[;t] = \frac{f[(t)]^{2}}{b} p \frac{[=b]^{1=2}}{1+[=b]^{(p-1)}};$$
(2)

where f is a norm alization constant (see D ado et al. 2002e for its theoretical estimate), (t) is the Lorentz factor of the CB, p 2:2 is the spectral index of the radiating electrons in the CB and  $_{\rm b}$  is the \injection bend" frequency. For an interstellar density  $n_{\rm p}$ :

<sub>b</sub> ' 1:87 10<sup>3</sup> [ (t)]<sup>3</sup> 
$$\frac{h}{10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}}$$
 <sup>i</sup><sub>1=2</sub> Hz: (3)

The theoretical motivation, as well as the excellent observational support for this \bend", are discussed in D ado et al. (2002e). An observer in the GRB progenitor's rest system, viewing a CB at an angle, sees its radiation D oppler-boosted by a factor :

(t) 
$$\frac{1}{(t)(1 (t) \cos)}$$
  $\frac{2 (t)}{1 + 2 (t)^2}$ ; (4)

where the approximation is valid in the domain of interest for GRBs: large and small . The cannonballs'AG spectral energy density  $F_{CB}^{obs}$  seen by a cosm ological observer at a redshift z (Dar and DeRujula, 2000), is:

$$F_{CB}^{obs}[;t]' \frac{A(;z)(1+z)(t)^{3}}{4 D_{L}^{2}} F_{CB} \frac{(1+z)}{(t)}; \frac{(t)t}{1+z} :(5)$$

For an interstellar medium of constant baryon density  $n_p$ , the Lorentz factor (t) is given by (D ado et al. 2002a):

$$= (_{0}; ; x_{1}; t) = B^{-1} + C^{-4} + 1 = C$$
  
h  
C  $2 = B^{2} + 2^{-6} + B^{-7} = B^{2} + 4^{-6}$   
B  $1 = {}^{3}_{0} + 3^{-2} = {}_{0} + 6 ct = [(1 + z)x_{1}]$  (6)

where  $_0 = (0)$ , and  $x_1 N_{CB} = (R_{max}^2 n_p)$  characterizes the CB's slow-down in term s of  $N_{CB}$ : its baryon num – ber, and  $R_{max}$ : its radius (it takes a distance  $x_1 = _0$  for the CB to half its original Lorentz factor).

The selective extinction, A (;t) in Eq. (1), can be estim ated from the di erence between the observed spectral index at very early time when the CBs are still near the

10

10

10

10

1

10

10

10

10

10

10<sup>-0</sup>

μ JANSKY

I x 100

R

V / 100

B / 10000

1

SN and that expected in the absence of extinction. Indeed, the CB m odel predicts | and the data con m with precision | the gradual evolution of the e ective optical spectral index towards the constant value 1:1 observed in all late" AG s (D ado et al. 2002a). The late" index is independent of the attenuation in the host galaxy, since at t > 1 (observer's) days after the explosion, the CBs are typically already moving in the low column density, optically transparent halo of the host galaxy.

The com parison of the predictions of Eq. (5) with the observations of optical and X -ray AG light curves and of broad-band spectra is discussed in D ado et al. (2002a and 2002e, respectively). The results | for all G R B s of known redshift| involve a total of only ve parameters, and are very satisfactory. The C B -m odel results concerning X -ray lines in G R B A G s are also exceptionally predictive, sim ple and encouraging (D ado et al. 2002f).

## 3.GRB 020405 in the CB model

We have rst tted the CB-m odel predictions to the B, V,R and I light curves of the AG of GRB 020405, as observed during the rst 5 days after burst. In using Eq. (2), we assumed an electron spectral index p = 2.2, compatible with that of all other GRB AGs (Dado et al. 2002a). The tted parameters are:  $_0 = 645$ , = 0.42 m rad, and  $x_1 = 0.31$  M pc. After correcting for selective extinction in our Galaxy (E (B V) = 0.054 m ag towards GRB 020405; Schlegel et al. 1998), Bersier et al. (2002) found that the broad band BVRI spectrum of the AG, 1.3 days after the burst, had a spectral shape  $F_{obs}$  = 1.43 - 0.038. In the CB m odel, the unextinct spectral index in optical and nearby frequencies evolves from

0.5 to 1:1, as the injection bend frequency of Eq. (3) diminishes with time. At the time of these observations, our t to the AG time-evolution results in a predicted index 0:8 0:1. If the dimension with the observed index is due to selective extinction in the host galaxy, then E (B V) = 0:24 0:05 and the attenuation factors in the I, R, V and B bands (e.g., W hittet 1992) are, respectively, A(;z) 0:58;0:50;0:42 and 0:34. These attenuations, together with the G alactic extinction, were used to dim the contribution to the AG of a SN 1998bw-like supernova at the redshift of GRB 020405. The resulting late-time I, R, V and B light curves are presented in Fig. (1).

The agreement between theory and observations in Fig.(1) is surprisingly good, in view of the large observational uncertainties and the theoretical approximations. The presence of an SN 1998bw-like signal is completely convincing. With our consistent estimate of extinction in the host galaxy, the underlying SN is indistinguishable, within errors, from a standard candle SN 1998bw.



DAYS AFTER BURST

SN

SN

SN

10

### 4. The GRB/SN association in various models

In the CB model, GRBs are associated with SNe. The model is so simple and predictive that it allowed us to use, in the case of GRB 011121, the rst 2 days of R-band data to t the parameters describing the CBs' contribution to the AG. These tted parameters were used to predict explicitly the AG evolution and that the SN will tower in all bands over the CB's declining light curve at day 30 after burst (D ado et al. 2001). The comparison with the data, gathered later, vindicated the presence of a SN 1998bw-like signal (D ado et al. 2002d), as in GRB 020405 and all the other cases for which the SN was, in practice, detectable (D ado et al. 2002a). To what extent is the GRB/SN association model-dependent?

There are other models that link long-duration GRBs with SN explosions: the hypernova model (Paczynski 1998), the collapsar model (W oosley 1993) the supranova model (V ietri and Stella 1998) and the currently favoured conical jet models (see, e.g. Rossi et al. 2002, Zhang and M eszaros 2002, Salmonson and G alam a 2002, G ranot et al. 2002), in which the authors depart from the earlier view that it is a good approximation (Rhoades, 1999) to consider conical jets of various opening angles, pointing exactly to the observer, and having a uniform Lorentz factor (in the CB model the viewing angle is the only one that matters, and there is no need to introduce \jet pro les" of varying rapidity). A common feature of all these models is that they are not su ciently specic to repeat the CB-model exercise of the previous paragraph. In the jetted models, for instance, the AGs (unlike the smoothly-varying data) have \breaks". The early data on GRB 011121 do not tell where the break \is": they cannot be extrapolated.

In the CB model, the exceptionally close-by GRB 980425 (z = 0.0085) and its associated SN 1998bw are not intrinsically exceptional. Because it was viewed at an exceptionally large angle ( 8 m rad), the GRB's -ray uence was comparable to that of more distant ones, view ed 1 m rad. That is why its optical AG was dom inated at by the SN, except, perhaps, for the last measured point (Dar and DeRujula 2000). The X-ray AG is also of \normal" magnitude, it is not emitted by the SN; its tted param eters allowed us to predict successfully the magnitude of the cited last optical point (D ado et al. 2002a). The norm alization, time and frequency dependence of the radio AG of this GRB are also \norm al", and due to the CB, not the SN (D ado et al. 2002e). SN 1998bw, deprived of its \abnorm al" X -ray and radio em issions (which it did notem it!), loses most of its \peculiarity".G iven that GRB 980425 and SN 1998bw are not exceptional, the use of this SN as a standard candle to look for in other AGs (Dar 1999b) is, in the CB m odel, entirely natural. The surprise is how well this ansatz works (D ado et al. 2002a).

In the hypernova and collapsarm odels the parent star is supposed to be exceptionally massive. In the supranova m odel, it m ust have exploded m onths before the GRB em ission. M oreover, in these m odels, and in the currently favoured jetted m odels, GRB 980425 stands in a class by itself. Thus, there is every reason not to expect its associated SN (1998bw) to be sim ilar to the SNe associated with other GRBs. In the CB m odel | and in the data | the opposite is true.

### 5.Conclusion

In Dar and DeRujula (2000) we argued that long-duration GRBsmay all be associated with 1998bw-like supernovae, and that the apparent variability of core-collapse SN em ay to a large extent be due to a spread of viewing angles, relative to the CB-em ission axis. In Dado et al. (2002a) we showed how surprisingly successful the ansatz of an associated supernova identical to 1998bw was, when confronted with the observations for optical and X -ray AGs. The AGs of som e GRBs discovered after these quoted works | GRB 000911 (Dado et al. 2002b), GRB 010921 (D ado et al. 2002c), G R B 011121 (D ado et al. 2002d) and GRB 020405, discussed here | strengthen the conclusion: so far, in all AGs in which a SN like SN 1998bw could be seen (in practice, in the cases with redshift z < 1:12), it was seen, and it was compatible in magnitude and colour with an SN 1998bw standard-candle!

It goes without saying that there are no standard candles. It is just that the current data are not precise enough to detect signi cant deviations. But the important fact is that the SN 1998bw-like supernovae allegedly associated with all long-duration GRBs (D ado et al. 2002a, and references therein) happen to be there.

A cknow ledgm ent: This research was supported in part by the Helen A sher Space Research Fund and by the VPR fund for research at the Technion. We thank the referee Brian M cB reen for useful suggestions.

#### R eferences

Bersier D ., et al., 2002, astro-ph/0206465 B jpmsson G ., et al., 2001, A pJ 552, 121L Bloom J.S., et al., 1999, Nature 401, 452 Bloom J.S., et al., 2002, ApJ 572, L45 Castro-Tirado A J., Gorosabel J., 1999, A & A S 138(3), 449 Castro-Tirado A J., et al., 2002, GCN.Circ. 1327 Covino S., et al., 2002a, GCN. Circ. 1337 Covino S., et al., 2002b, GCN.Circ. 1345 Covino S., et al., 2002c, GCN.Circ. 1431 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2001, astro-ph/0111468 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002a, A&A 388, 1079 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002b, in preparation Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002c, astro-ph/0203315 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002d, ApJ 572, L143 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002e, astro-ph/0204474 Dado S., Dar A., De Rujula A., 2002f, astro-ph/0207015 DarA., 1999a, A&AS 138(3), 505 DarA., 1999b, GCN Circ. 346 DarA., DeRujula A., 2000, astro-ph/0008474 Dar A., De Rujula A., 2001, astro-ph/0012227 DarA., Plaga R., 1999, A&A 349, 259 DeRujula A., 2002a, astro-ph/0201210 DeRujula A., 2002b, astro-ph/0207033 Gal-Yam A., et al., 2002, GCN Circ. 1335 Galam a T J., et al., 1998, Nature 395, 670 Galama, T.J., et al., 2000, ApJ 536 185 Ghisellini, G., 2001, astro-ph/0111584 G ranot J., et al., 2002, A pJ 570, L61 H jorth J., et al., 2002a, GCN C irc. 1329 H prth J., et al., 2002b, GCN Circ. 1336 Holland S., et al., 2001, A & A 371, 52 Hurley K., et al., 2002, GCN Circ. 1325 LazzatiD., et al., 2001, A&A 378, 996 MasettiN., et al., 2002, GCN Circ. 1330 Palazzi E., et al., 2002, GCN Circ. 1328 PaczynskiB., 1998, ApJ 494, L45 Price P.A. et al., 2002, astro-ph/0203467 Price P.A., et al., 2002a, GCN Circ. 1326 Price PA., et al., 2002b, GCN Circ. 1333 Price PA., et al., 2002c, GCN Circ. 1340 Price PA., et al., 2002d, GCN Circ. 1458 Reichart D.E., 1999, ApJ 521, L111 R hoades J.E., A pJ 525, 737 RossiE., LazzatiD., Rees M J., 2002, MNRAS 332, 945 Salm on son J.D., Galam a T.J., astro-ph/0112298 Schlegel D J., Finkbeiner D P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ 500, 525 Sokolov V .V ., et al., 2001a, astro-ph/0102492

V ietri M ., Stella L., 1998, A pJ 507, L45
W hittet D C B., 1992, D ust in the G alactic Environm ent, IO P Pub. Ltd 1992 p.65
W oosley S E., A pJ 405, 273
Zhang B., M eszaros P., 2002, A pJ 571, 876